

# First Annual Report from the Evaluation of the Oncology Care Model: Baseline Period Appendices

Contract # HHSM-500-2014-00026l T0003

Final

Report Release Date: February 2018

Prepared for:

### Susannah Cafardi

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services 7500 Security Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21244

Submitted by:

### **Abt Associates**

4550 Montgomery Avenue Suite 800 North Bethesda, MD 20814

## In Partnership with:

The Lewin Group Harvard Medical School GDIT Dartmouth College

## **Table of Contents**

1.	Methodology for Baseline Comparison Group Selection	1
	1.1 Comparison Group Selected Using PSM	1
	1.2 Refinements to the Comparison Group	2
	1.3 Assessing Balance in the Baseline Comparison Group	3
2.	Episode Characteristics, Detailed Results	6
3.	Practice Characteristics Detailed Results	28
4.	Market Characteristics Detailed Results	30
5.	Subgroup Analyses Detailed Results	32
Exhib	its	
Exhibit	t A1-1: Variables included in the Propensity Score Model	2
Exhibit	t A1-2: Standardized Differences of PSM Variables between the OCM and Comparison Group	4
Exhibit	t A2-1: Share of Episode Volume by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	7
Exhibit	t A2-2: Share of Episode Volume by Beneficiary Characteristics in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)	8
Exhibit	t A2-3: Distribution of Standardized Part A and B, and Part D Costs per Episode in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	9
Exhibit	t A2-4: Distribution of Part B and Part D Chemotherapy Costs per Episode in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	10
Exhibit	t A2-5: Inpatient Stays per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	11
Exhibit	t A2-6: Inpatient Days per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	12
Exhibit	t A2-7: 30-Day Hospital Readmissions per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	13
Exhibit	t A2-8: Intensive Care Unit Admissions per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	14

Exhibit A2-9: Part A Inpatient Costs per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A2-10: Part A Costs for 30-Day Hospital Readmissions per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A2-11: Proportion of Episodes Using Home Health Services by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A2-12: Proportion of Episodes with at Least One Imaging Visit by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A2-13: Standard Imaging Visits per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A2-14: Advanced Imaging Visits per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A2-15: Episode Utilization of Outpatient Therapy Services in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A2-16: Unique Part D Prescriptions Each Month per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A2-17: Monthly Part D Prescription Cost per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A2-18: Claims-Based End-of-Life Measures for Decedent Patients in the Oncology Care  Model Evaluation
Exhibit A2-19: End-of-Life Measures for Decedent Cancer Patients as Assigned by the Most Recent Episode in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A2-20: End-of-Life Measures for Decedent Hospice-Using Cancer Patients as Assigned by the Most Recent Episode in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A3-1: Practice Provider NPI Characteristics in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A3-2: Practice Provider NPI Characteristics in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A4-1: Market Supply Characteristics of Practices in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Exhibit A4-2: Healthcare Utilization and Plan Enrollment in Markets in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-1: Cancer-Related E&M Services per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-2: Part B and D Chemo Services per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-3: ED Visits per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-4: SNF Stays per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-5: SNF Days per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-6: Proportion of Episodes Utilizing Radiation Therapy by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-7: Radiation Therapy Visits per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-8: Total Cost of Care per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-9: Cancer-Related E&M Costs per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-10: Part B and D Chemotherapy Cost per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-11: Beneficiary Cost Sharing per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-12: All-Cause Mortality by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-13: Characteristics of Breast Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015) 45
Exhibit A5-13: Characteristics of Breast Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015), Continued
Exhibit A5-14: Characteristics of Prostate Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015) 47

Exhibit A5-14: Characteristics of Prostate Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015),
Continued4
Exhibit A5-15: Utilization within Breast Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015) 4
Exhibit A5-16: Utilization within Prostate Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015) 5
Exhibit A5-17: Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing of Breast Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-18: Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing of Prostate Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-19: Share of Episodes by Cancer Bundle, Dual vs. Non-Dual Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-20: Share of Episodes by Cancer Bundle, White vs. Non-White Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-21: Share of Episodes by Cancer Bundle, High Risk vs. Low Risk Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-22: Episode Services, Dual vs. Non-Dual Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-23: Episode Services, White vs. Non-White Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-24: Episode Cancer E&M Services by Cancer Bundle, High vs. Low Risk Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-25: Episode Services, High vs. Low Risk Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 -Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-26: Share of Episodes by Cancer Bundle, High HCC vs. Low HCC Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-27: Episode Services, High vs. Low HCC Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Exhibit A5-28: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, High vs. Low HCC Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-29: Share of Episodes by Cancer Bundle, High vs. Low Patient Load Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-30: Episode Share by Cancer Bundle, High and Non-High Cost Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)64
Exhibit A5-31: Episode Share by Cancer Bundle and Affiliation Type in Performance Period -2 (Episodes initiating Jan. – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-32: Episode Characteristics, Large vs. Small Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-32: Episode Characteristics, Large vs. Small Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015), Continued
Exhibit A5-33: Episode Characteristics, Low vs. High Patient Load Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-33: Episode Characteristics, Low vs. High Patient Load Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015), Continued
Exhibit A5-34: Episode Characteristics, Oncology Only vs. Multi-Specialty Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)70
Exhibit A5-34: Episode Characteristics, Oncology Only vs. Multi-Specialty Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015), Continued
Exhibit A5-35: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Non-High Cost Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-35: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Non-High Cost Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015), Continued
Exhibit A5-36: Episode Characteristics by Affiliation Type in Performance Period -2 (Episodes initiating Jan. – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-37: Episode Services, Large vs. Small Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-38: Episode Services, Low vs. High Patient Load Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)
Exhibit A5-39: Episode Services, Oncology Only vs. Multi-Specialty Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Exhibit A5-40: Episode Services, High vs. Non-High Cost Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)	8
Exhibit A5-41: Episode Services by Affiliation Type in Performance Period -2 (Episodes initiating Jan. – Jun. 2015)	9
Exhibit A5-42: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, Large vs. Small Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	0
Exhibit A5-43: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, Low vs. High Patient Load Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015) 81	1
Exhibit A5-44: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, Oncology Only vs. Multi- Specialty Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	2
Exhibit A5-45: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, High vs. Non-High Cost Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	3
Exhibit A5-46: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing by Affiliation Type in Performance Period -2 (Episodes initiating Jan Jun. 2015)	4
Exhibit A5-47: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Low Income Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)	5
Exhibit A5-47: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Low Income Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015), Continued	6
Exhibit A5-48: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Low Specialty Supply Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	7
Exhibit A5-48: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Low Specialty Supply Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015), Continued	8
Exhibit A5-49: Episode Characteristics, Large vs. Small Population Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	9
Exhibit A5-49: Episode Characteristics, Large vs. Small Population Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015), Continued	0
Exhibit A5-50: Episode Services, High vs. Low Income Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)	1
Exhibit A5-51: Episode Services, High vs. Low Specialty Supply Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)	2
Exhibit A5-52: Episode Services, Large vs. Small Population Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)	
· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Exhibit A5-53: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, High vs. Low Income Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)9	4
Exhibit A5-54: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, High vs. Low Specialty Supply Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)9	5
Exhibit A5-55: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, Large vs. Small Population Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015) 9	6

#### 1. **Methodology for Baseline Comparison Group Selection**

As part of the OCM evaluation, participating OCM practices are compared to a selected group of comparison TINs on cost, utilization, and quality outcomes. We identified a large sample of 319 comparison TINs through propensity score matching (PSM). PSM matched comparison TINs to OCM practices based on a predicted probability of treatment (i.e., participation in OCM) given a set of observable factors. Through this matching technique, we identified a comparison sample that was similar to OCM practices across a number of market, practice and episode characteristics.

While the comparison group selection began with PSM, once selected, we made several refinements to the group of TINs. These refinements included replacing and removing TINs based on three main factors: 1) face validity concerns; 2) TINs that shared NPIs and health system affiliation with OCM practices; and 3) TINs that did not bill cancer-related E&Ms for chemotherapy patients in the first half of 2016. The comparison group refinements were made as we learned more about practice and TIN structure (e.g., NPI specialties and likelihood of overseeing an episode of care), constructed information on practice affiliation, and reviewed more recent billing data indicating that some TINs may have closed or been acquired. Additional detail on the selection of the comparison group and the adjustments applied are described below.

#### 1.1 Comparison Group Selected Using PSM

To select the comparison group, we started with the population of 1,958 non-OCM TINs identified after applying the exclusion criteria detailed in Section 2.5.1. We ran the PSM model using untransformed variable forms, treating non-OCM TINs as single entities, and not censoring the value of episode count. In doing so, there were five OCM practices without matches within the specified caliper; further investigation revealed that the TINs associated with these five OCM practices did not match any non-OCM TINs because they had very high episode volume, which substantially increased the estimated propensity scores.

We tested methods to match these five OCM practices by censoring the episode count variable and breaking each practice apart geographically. The censored model imposed a cap on the episode count at the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of the distribution (2,041 episodes), which censored episode volume for 10 OCM practices and 13 non-OCM TINs. By keeping all other attributes of TINs intact, the importance of episode volume was reduced in determining the match. The censored approach had more intuitive appeal than breaking up the large OCM practices because of the difficulty in determining reasonable ways to divide these large organizations based on the claims data or their OCM applications. Ultimately, we censored episode count to ensure all OCM practices were matched with at least one non-OCM TIN.

Affiliation with Academic Medical School	Percent Endocrine only Therapy for Prostate Cancer
Participation in Other CMMI Initiatives	Percent Endocrine only Therapy for Breast Cancer
Total NPI Count	Percent of Cancer Patients treated by TIN with at least one chemo episode
Percent NPIs with Oncology Specialty	Mortality Rate (6-month)
Percent Lung Cancer Bundle	Multiple sites (Number of Sites >1)
Percent Colorectal Cancer Bundle	Multiple markets (Number of Markets >1)
Percent Lymphoma Cancer Bundle	Median Household Income
Percent Leukemia Cancer Bundle	Primary Care Providers per 10,000
Percent Melanoma Bundle	Medicare Advantage Penetration
Percent Bladder Cancer Bundle	Percent of Benes in Other CMMI Initiatives
Percent Radiation Oncologists	Home Market Share
Percent Medicaid Dual Eligible	Home Dependence
Percent Female	Population
Percent Black	High Cost Provider in Market
Percent Hispanic	Episode Count
Mean 2014 HCC Score	

#### 1.2 Refinements to the Comparison Group

The PSM model selected 352 unique TINs into the comparison group. We closely examined these TINs and made manual modifications to the comparison group as we learned more about the OCM practices and comparison TINs, particularly with regards to face validity, practice affiliations, and billing history.

Face Validity of Specialty Practices and TINs: While PSM ensures statistical validity of matches, it does not necessarily ensure face validity because the match is made based upon probability of participation, rather than matching each unique variable included in the PSM. In several cases, we found substantial differences between the OCM practice and selected matches for key variables such as cancer mix (e.g., specialization in urology, gynecologic oncology, or breast cancer) and provider specialty (e.g., the PSM selected a urology practice as a match for a gynecologic oncology practice). We replaced the original TINs that were lacking face validity with new TINs which had both statistical and face validity.

**Practice and TIN Affiliations:** We examined the suitability of comparison TINs involving organizations that were potentially affiliated with OCM practices, as we were concerned about spillover effects and introducing bias to the comparison sample if these affiliations were present. We identified practices and TINs that were both affiliated with the same health system, and assessed NPI overlap between the organizations. If we found substantial overlap in the NPIs billing to the OCM practice and comparison TIN, we removed the TIN from the comparison group. Prior to doing so, we ensured that the comparison TIN was not matched to another, more valid, OCM practice. We also assessed TINs that were part of organizations that do not primarily serve the Medicare FFS population and removed those as well.

Claims Data Availability: Upon review of more recent claims data, we discovered that several TINs in the comparison group were no longer billing cancer-related E&Ms for chemotherapy patients in the first half of 2016 (the comparison group was selected using data from 2014). It is likely that these TINs will

also have no attributed episodes during the OCM intervention period (starting July 2016), and we removed them from the comparison group.

After assessing the comparison group originally selected by PSM and making manual modifications to address concerns with face validity, affiliation, and billing history, the comparison group consisted of 319 TINs.

#### 1.3 **Assessing Balance in the Baseline Comparison Group**

To assess balance between the 190 OCM practices and the comparison group, we computed standardized differences for each variable in the PSM model. The standardized difference compares the differences in means in relation to the pooled standard deviation. The average standardized difference was computed to assess overall balance. Exhibit A1-2 shows the distributions and standardized difference for the OCM practices and comparison group for each variable. Stuart (2010) recommends a threshold of 0.25 as an indication of potential balance issues, but we used an even lower threshold of 0.20. The average standardized difference was 0.101, indicating good balance overall. There were five variables with a standardized difference greater than 0.20: episode count, proportion of high cost TINs, NPI count, proportion of melanoma episodes, and proportion of multi-site practices. These differences were noted and will be controlled for in future impact analyses.

Exhibit A1-2: Standardized Differences of PSM Variables between the OCM and Comparison Group

Characteristics				OCM			Selected Comparison TINs						Standardized
Characteristics	N	Mean	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Variance	N	Mean	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Variance	Differences
Episode Count	190	533.20	1.00	311.00	10,810.50	1.14E+06	319	265.63	4.50	172.50	1,906.50	89830.85	0.342*
% NPIs with Oncology Specialty	190	0.61	0.00	0.64	1.00	0.08	319	0.60	0.02	0.58	1.00	0.10	0.032
Affiliation with Academic Medical Center	190	0.16	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.13	319	0.11	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.10	0.152
Participation in Other CMMI Initiatives	190	0.13	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.11	319	0.10	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.09	0.093
Median Household Income	190	55,628	32,666	53,546	105,217	1.76E+08	319	54,132	31,487	51,650	110,507	1.85E+08	0.111
Medicare Advantage Penetration	190	30.89	4.34	29.64	62.03	154.16	319	30.45	1.65	29.70	63.44	185.32	0.034
High Cost Provider in Market	190	0.20	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.16	319	0.30	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.21	-0.235*
Multiple Markets	190	0.18	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.15	319	0.16	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.073
Mean 2014 HCC Score	190	1.87	1.01	1.86	2.70	0.06	319	1.86	1.06	1.86	3.21	0.08	0.024
% Medicaid Dual Eligible	190	0.14	0.00	0.12	0.69	0.01	319	0.15	0.00	0.13	0.85	0.01	-0.069
% Black	190	0.10	0.00	0.07	0.48	0.01	319	0.10	0.00	0.06	0.97	0.02	-0.049
% Hispanic	190	0.06	0.00	0.02	0.83	0.01	319	0.05	0.00	0.02	1.00	0.01	0.026
Total NPI Count	190	40.96	1.00	20.00	443.00	3,635.46	319	26.75	1.00	10.50	248.00	1,507.05	0.280*
% Female	190	0.61	0.00	0.62	1.00	0.01	319	0.60	0.03	0.61	1.00	0.02	0.050
% Lung Cancer Bundle	190	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.24	0.00	319	0.10	0.00	0.09	0.31	0.00	-0.006
% Colorectal Cancer Bundle	190	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.24	0.00	319	0.07	0.00	0.06	0.28	0.00	-0.057
% Lymphoma Cancer Bundle	190	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.19	0.00	319	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.57	0.00	0.102
% Leukemia Cancer Bundle	190	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.11	0.00	319	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.14	0.00	0.107

Characteristics	OCM							Selected Comparison TINs					
Characteristics	N	Mean	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Variance	N	Mean	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Variance	Differences
% Melanoma Bundle	190	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	319	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.263*
% Bladder Cancer Bundle	190	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.21	0.00	319	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.23	0.00	-0.040
% Radiation Oncologists	190	0.11	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.03	319	0.12	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.04	-0.008
% Endocrine only Therapy for Prostate Cancer	190	0.10	0.00	0.07	0.75	0.01	319	0.11	0.00	0.07	0.84	0.02	-0.105
% Endocrine only Therapy for Breast Cancer	190	0.26	0.00	0.26	0.82	0.01	319	0.26	0.00	0.25	0.70	0.01	0.053
% of Cancer Patients treated by TIN with at least one chemo episode	190	0.85	0.15	0.89	0.98	0.02	319	0.85	0.17	0.88	1.00	0.01	0.053
Multiple Sites	190	0.56	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.25	319	0.41	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.24	0.304*
% of Benes in Other CMMI Initiatives	190	0.15	0.00	0.14	0.46	0.01	319	0.14	0.00	0.13	0.65	0.01	0.081
Mortality Rate	190	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.22	0.00	319	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.26	0.00	0.058
Primary Care Provider per 10,000	190	8.80	2.73	8.44	17.50	6.66	319	8.74	2.61	8.29	44.71	11.36	0.020
% Market Share	190	0.39	0.00	0.22	1.00	0.14	319	0.35	0.00	0.22	1.00	0.12	0.116
Home Flow	190	0.72	0.10	0.77	1.00	0.05	319	0.74	0.05	0.82	1.00	0.05	-0.099
Population	190	1,300,000	21947	549414	10,100,000	4.22E+12	319	1,120,000	10,202	392,702	10,100,000	4.16E+12	0.086
Overall													0.101

Source: Practice-level aggregate file with summarized episode, market, and practice characteristics, 2014. Note: Asterisk (\*) indicates a standardized difference > 0.2.

# **Episode Characteristics, Detailed Results**

Exhibit A2-1: Share of Episode Volume by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Dorformanaa	Dragtical	Number of		Percent of Episodes by Cancer Bundles									
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Number of Episodes	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer	
	National	298,752	10.8%	7.1%	31.3%	15.9%	7.3%	1.5%	3.7%	0.5%	2.7%	19.0%	
-8	OCM	101,192	11.6%	7.5%	32.1%	12.3%	8.2%	1.7%	4.0%	0.5%	2.2%	20.0%	
-0	Comparison	80,345	10.8%	7.0%	30.4%	16.4%	7.2%	1.5%	3.9%	0.6%	2.8%	19.5%	
	NONC	117,215	10.2%	6.9%	31.3%	18.7%	6.8%	1.4%	3.4%	0.4%	3.2%	17.7%	
	National	259,926	10.2%	6.9%	32.1%	16.1%	7.0%	1.5%	3.8%	0.5%	2.7%	19.3%	
-7	OCM	88,489	10.9%	7.3%	32.9%	12.6%	7.8%	1.6%	4.0%	0.6%	2.1%	20.2%	
-,	Comparison	70,523	10.2%	6.7%	31.2%	16.6%	6.8%	1.5%	3.9%	0.6%	2.7%	20.0%	
	NONC	100,914	9.6%	6.7%	32.1%	18.9%	6.3%	1.4%	3.5%	0.3%	3.1%	17.9%	
	National	287,531	9.9%	6.5%	33.6%	15.4%	6.7%	1.4%	3.9%	0.5%	2.7%	19.4%	
-6	OCM	97,611	10.6%	6.8%	34.4%	11.9%	7.4%	1.7%	4.1%	0.6%	2.2%	20.3%	
-0	Comparison	79,677	10.0%	6.4%	32.7%	15.6%	6.5%	1.5%	4.0%	0.6%	2.6%	20.0%	
	NONC	110,243	9.2%	6.3%	33.6%	18.4%	6.1%	1.2%	3.6%	0.4%	3.1%	18.0%	
	National	278,171	9.7%	6.4%	34.0%	15.2%	6.5%	1.5%	3.9%	0.5%	2.7%	19.7%	
-5	OCM	95,027	10.4%	6.7%	34.7%	11.8%	7.3%	1.6%	4.2%	0.6%	2.1%	20.5%	
-5	Comparison	77,374	9.8%	6.4%	33.0%	15.2%	6.5%	1.5%	4.1%	0.6%	2.7%	20.3%	
	NONC	105,770	9.0%	6.1%	34.0%	18.1%	5.9%	1.3%	3.6%	0.4%	3.1%	18.5%	
	National	303,016	9.4%	6.3%	34.1%	15.6%	6.3%	1.4%	4.0%	0.5%	2.8%	19.6%	
-4	OCM	102,988	10.0%	6.6%	34.8%	12.0%	7.2%	1.5%	4.3%	0.6%	2.2%	20.7%	
-4	Comparison	85,643	9.5%	6.2%	33.0%	15.9%	6.2%	1.5%	4.1%	0.6%	2.9%	20.3%	
	NONC	114,385	8.8%	6.0%	34.2%	18.5%	5.7%	1.2%	3.7%	0.4%	3.2%	18.2%	
	National	294,303	9.2%	6.2%	34.5%	15.4%	6.2%	1.4%	4.1%	0.6%	2.6%	19.8%	
-3	OCM	99,600	9.8%	6.4%	35.4%	12.0%	7.0%	1.5%	4.3%	0.6%	2.1%	20.9%	
-5	Comparison	83,828	9.3%	6.2%	33.3%	15.7%	6.1%	1.6%	4.2%	0.7%	2.6%	20.4%	
	NONC	110,875	8.6%	6.0%	34.6%	18.3%	5.7%	1.3%	3.8%	0.4%	2.9%	18.4%	
	National	312,437	9.0%	6.1%	34.3%	15.6%	6.2%	1.4%	4.1%	0.7%	2.6%	19.9%	
-2	OCM	106,978	9.6%	6.4%	35.1%	12.1%	7.2%	1.6%	4.3%	0.8%	2.2%	20.8%	
-2	Comparison	89,961	9.3%	6.0%	32.9%	16.1%	6.0%	1.5%	4.2%	0.8%	2.6%	20.7%	
	NONC	115,498	8.4%	5.9%	34.6%	18.5%	5.5%	1.2%	3.9%	0.5%	3.0%	18.5%	

**Source:** Episode characteristics file, 2012-2015.

Exhibit A2-2: Share of Episode Volume by Beneficiary Characteristics in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

		Percent of	Percent of		Percent	age of Episo	odes by Age	Bracket		P	ercentage of E	pisodes by Rad	ce
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Episodes Among Females	Episodes among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+	Non- Hispanic White	Non- Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other
	National	57.0%	15.6%	11.0%	23.7%	22.4%	18.7%	14.0%	10.2%	83.0%	9.2%	4.9%	2.9%
-8	OCM	59.3%	13.5%	10.9%	24.9%	22.9%	18.5%	13.5%	9.3%	83.0%	9.1%	5.3%	2.7%
-0	Comparison	56.2%	15.9%	11.9%	23.9%	22.2%	18.5%	13.6%	9.9%	82.7%	10.1%	4.2%	2.9%
	NONC	55.7%	17.4%	10.6%	22.5%	22.1%	18.9%	14.6%	11.2%	83.2%	8.6%	5.1%	3.1%
	National	57.4%	16.0%	11.3%	23.5%	22.4%	18.8%	13.7%	10.4%	82.8%	9.2%	5.0%	3.0%
-7	OCM	59.6%	13.6%	11.0%	24.5%	22.9%	18.6%	13.5%	9.5%	83.1%	9.0%	5.1%	2.8%
-/	Comparison	56.5%	16.2%	12.3%	23.9%	22.3%	18.4%	13.0%	10.0%	82.3%	10.3%	4.4%	3.0%
	NONC	55.9%	18.0%	10.8%	22.4%	22.1%	19.1%	14.3%	11.3%	82.8%	8.7%	5.2%	3.3%
	National	58.4%	15.0%	11.0%	23.6%	22.9%	18.8%	13.6%	10.2%	82.5%	9.5%	4.8%	3.2%
-6	OCM	60.5%	12.8%	10.8%	24.5%	23.2%	18.7%	13.4%	9.4%	82.7%	9.5%	4.9%	2.9%
-0	Comparison	57.7%	15.2%	12.0%	24.0%	22.7%	18.4%	12.9%	9.9%	82.1%	10.5%	4.2%	3.2%
	NONC	57.0%	16.9%	10.6%	22.6%	22.6%	19.0%	14.1%	11.1%	82.6%	8.9%	5.1%	3.4%
	National	58.7%	15.1%	11.1%	23.6%	22.9%	18.9%	13.3%	10.3%	82.4%	9.4%	4.9%	3.4%
-5	OCM	60.6%	12.8%	10.6%	24.5%	23.3%	19.0%	13.1%	9.5%	82.5%	9.4%	5.0%	3.1%
-5	Comparison	58.1%	15.2%	12.0%	24.3%	22.6%	18.5%	12.8%	9.8%	81.9%	10.4%	4.3%	3.5%
	NONC	57.3%	17.0%	10.7%	22.4%	22.7%	19.0%	13.8%	11.3%	82.6%	8.8%	5.1%	3.5%
	National	58.5%	14.4%	10.8%	23.9%	23.0%	19.0%	13.1%	10.2%	82.6%	9.3%	4.7%	3.4%
-4	OCM	60.5%	12.1%	10.2%	24.9%	23.6%	19.1%	12.8%	9.3%	82.8%	9.1%	5.0%	3.2%
-4	Comparison	57.6%	14.4%	11.6%	24.4%	22.7%	18.7%	12.7%	9.9%	82.0%	10.4%	4.1%	3.5%
	NONC	57.2%	16.4%	10.7%	22.7%	22.7%	19.1%	13.6%	11.2%	82.8%	8.7%	4.9%	3.6%
	National	58.7%	14.4%	10.7%	24.2%	23.1%	19.0%	12.9%	10.2%	82.6%	9.2%	4.8%	3.5%
-3	OCM	60.9%	11.9%	10.1%	24.9%	23.7%	19.1%	12.7%	9.4%	82.7%	9.1%	4.9%	3.3%
-3	Comparison	57.7%	14.4%	11.6%	24.7%	22.9%	18.4%	12.5%	9.8%	82.3%	10.0%	4.1%	3.5%
	NONC	57.4%	16.5%	10.7%	23.0%	22.6%	19.2%	13.4%	11.1%	82.7%	8.6%	5.1%	3.6%
	National	58.4%	13.9%	10.6%	24.5%	23.2%	18.9%	12.8%	9.9%	82.5%	9.2%	4.7%	3.6%
-2	OCM	60.5%	11.5%	10.0%	25.4%	23.8%	19.0%	12.6%	9.3%	82.7%	9.1%	4.8%	3.4%
-2	Comparison	57.5%	14.0%	11.4%	24.9%	23.2%	18.5%	12.6%	9.4%	82.2%	9.8%	4.3%	3.7%
	NONC	57.1%	16.2%	10.6%	23.3%	22.8%	19.1%	13.3%	10.9%	82.4%	8.7%	5.1%	3.8%

**Source:** Episode characteristics file, 2012-2015

Exhibit A2-3: Distribution of Standardized Part A and B, and Part D Costs per Episode in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Practice/TIN Type	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	1st Quartile	Median	3rd Quartile	Maximum
0	OCM	101,192	\$25,817	\$24,249	\$0	\$6,209	\$20,150	\$38,055	\$499,769
-8	Comparison	80,345	\$23,979*	\$23,773	\$0	\$4,892	\$17,912	\$35,337	\$375,731
-7	OCM	88,489	\$26,018	\$24,588	\$1	\$6,168	\$20,284	\$38,509	\$516,477
-/	Comparison	70,523	\$24,389*	\$24,794	\$0	\$4,832	\$18,188	\$36,121	\$1,312,285
4	OCM	97,611	\$26,278	\$25,450	\$0	\$5,596	\$20,399	\$39,265	\$1,117,612
-6	Comparison	79,677	\$24,939*	\$29,294	\$0	\$4,612	\$18,721	\$37,181	\$4,416,721
Г	OCM	95,027	\$26,295	\$25,384	\$0	\$5,426	\$20,306	\$39,378	\$498,657
-5	Comparison	77,374	\$24,883*	\$26,954	\$0	\$4,564	\$18,610	\$37,082	\$2,812,493
4	OCM	102,988	\$26,780	\$25,709	\$0	\$5,287	\$20,514	\$40,637	\$531,357
-4	Comparison	85,643	\$25,521*	\$25,931	\$0	\$4,468	\$18,678	\$38,649	\$570,965
2	OCM	99,600	\$26,960	\$26,281	\$0	\$5,206	\$20,761	\$40,546	\$480,289
-3	Comparison	83,828	\$25,816*	\$26,067	\$0	\$4,626	\$19,325	\$38,840	\$544,588
2	OCM	106,978	\$28,366	\$27,522	\$0	\$5,373	\$21,624	\$43,312	\$686,160
-2	Comparison	89,961	\$27,303*	\$27,605	\$0	\$4,736	\$20,149	\$41,674	\$638,283

Exhibit A2-4: Distribution of Part B and Part D Chemotherapy Costs per Episode in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Practice/TIN Type	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	1st Quartile	Median	3rd Quartile	Maximum
-8	OCM	101,192	\$8,800	\$13,777	\$0	\$50	\$1,455	\$13,163	\$332,933
-8	Comparison	80,345	\$8,107*	\$13,467	\$0	\$35	\$1,110	\$11,438	\$210,199
7	OCM	88,489	\$9,189	\$14,217	\$0	\$57	\$1,370	\$14,031	\$235,272
-7	Comparison	70,523	\$8,517*	\$14,039	\$0	\$42	\$1,060	\$12,415	\$216,846
4	OCM	97,611	\$9,370	\$14,875	\$0	\$23	\$1,088	\$14,322	\$260,486
-6	Comparison	79,677	\$8,870*	\$14,648	\$0	\$12	\$972	\$12,985	\$271,136
-5	OCM	95,027	\$9,683	\$15,172	\$0	\$24	\$1,105	\$15,034	\$235,831
-0	Comparison	77,374	\$9,059*	\$14,932	\$0	\$15	\$961	\$13,410	\$207,841
4	OCM	102,988	\$10,282	\$16,138	\$0	\$9	\$1,134	\$15,748	\$247,313
-4	Comparison	85,643	\$9,755*	\$16,059	\$0	\$0	\$1,016	\$14,351	\$205,865
-3	OCM	99,600	\$10,318	\$16,304	-\$36,478	\$10	\$1,253	\$15,805	\$405,181
-3	Comparison	83,828	\$9,895*	\$16,310	\$0	\$1	\$1,085	\$14,547	\$224,484
-2	OCM	106,978	\$11,453	\$17,999	\$0	\$6	\$1,338	\$17,447	\$631,878
-2	Comparison	89,961	\$11,087*	\$18,055	\$0	\$0	\$1,087	\$16,441	\$295,978

Exhibit A2-5: Inpatient Stays per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Practice/					Average Number	of Inpatient Sta	ıys			
Period	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	0.72	0.56	0.21	0.28*	0.50	0.73	0.51	0.57	0.52*	0.64
-8	OCM	0.72	0.55	0.21	0.31	0.49	0.76	0.53	0.58	0.63	0.65
-0	Comparison	0.67*	0.54	0.21	0.27*	0.51	0.67*	0.51	0.63	0.55*	0.62*
	NONC	0.75*	0.57	0.21	0.26*	0.50	0.74	0.50	0.49	0.44*	0.66
	National	0.71	0.57	0.21	0.28*	0.48	0.72	0.52	0.58	0.53*	0.62
-7	OCM	0.71	0.56	0.22	0.31	0.48	0.72	0.51	0.59	0.63	0.63
- /	Comparison	0.66*	0.53	0.21*	0.26*	0.48	0.74	0.51	0.59	0.54*	0.60*
	NONC	0.74	0.59*	0.21*	0.27*	0.48	0.71	0.53	0.54	0.47*	0.64
	National	0.69	0.56	0.19	0.26*	0.48	0.70	0.50	0.56	0.52*	0.61
-6	OCM	0.70	0.55	0.20	0.29	0.48	0.74	0.51	0.60	0.66	0.62
-0	Comparison	0.65*	0.54	0.19*	0.25*	0.49	0.69	0.50	0.55	0.50*	0.59*
	NONC	0.71	0.58	0.19	0.24*	0.47	0.66	0.50	0.53	0.43*	0.62
	National	0.68	0.54	0.19*	0.27*	0.49	0.69	0.47	0.57	0.51*	0.59
-5	OCM	0.69	0.54	0.19	0.30	0.50	0.70	0.48	0.61	0.63	0.60
-5	Comparison	0.63*	0.51	0.19	0.26*	0.50	0.67	0.45	0.58	0.50*	0.57*
	NONC	0.70	0.57	0.18*	0.25*	0.48	0.69	0.47	0.50*	0.44*	0.60
	National	0.66	0.55	0.18	0.25*	0.46	0.69	0.48	0.64	0.50*	0.59*
-4	OCM	0.67	0.55	0.19	0.29	0.45	0.70	0.49	0.64	0.62	0.61
-4	Comparison	0.62*	0.55	0.19	0.24*	0.47	0.68	0.44*	0.67	0.48*	0.57*
	NONC	0.68	0.55	0.18	0.24*	0.47	0.69	0.49	0.60	0.45*	0.59*
	National	0.67	0.55	0.19	0.26*	0.48	0.66	0.48	0.60	0.51*	0.59*
-3	OCM	0.68	0.56	0.19	0.30	0.49	0.66	0.47	0.62	0.65	0.61
-5	Comparison	0.64*	0.53*	0.19	0.26*	0.48	0.66	0.47	0.60	0.51*	0.57*
	NONC	0.68	0.57	0.18	0.24*	0.48	0.67	0.49	0.56	0.41*	0.59*
	National	0.66	0.53	0.18	0.25*	0.48	0.67	0.45	0.54	0.49*	0.58*
-2	OCM	0.68	0.53	0.18	0.28	0.49	0.69	0.46	0.56	0.61	0.60
-2	Comparison	0.61*	0.51	0.17	0.24*	0.48	0.66	0.45	0.52	0.48*	0.55*
	NONC	0.68	0.55	0.18	0.24*	0.47	0.65	0.44	0.54	0.41*	0.58

Exhibit A2-6: Inpatient Days per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

	Practice/			Aver	age Number of	Inpatient Days (a	mong episodes	with 1+ inpatie	nt stay)		
	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	8.68	8.91	6.52	6.90*	9.90	9.96	11.58	7.41	8.95	9.47
-8	OCM	8.74	8.73	6.55	7.24	9.86	9.89	11.48	7.53	9.59	9.33
-0	Comparison	8.14*	8.97	6.43	6.80*	10.16	9.02	11.55	7.53	8.56*	9.62
	NONC	8.99	9.02	6.56	6.73*	9.75	10.73	11.73	7.10	8.71*	9.51
	National	8.76	9.16	6.70	7.18	9.95	9.83	11.24	7.99	9.16	9.48
-7	OCM	8.60	8.81	6.87	7.37	10.04	9.88	11.61	8.84	9.65	9.41
-7	Comparison	8.51	9.11	6.72	6.94*	10.08	10.40	11.36	7.77	8.91	9.58
	NONC	9.10*	9.52*	6.53*	7.21	9.75	9.35	10.79	7.05*	8.95	9.47
	National	8.50	8.69	6.44	6.97	9.81	9.58	11.28	7.87	8.87	9.34
-6	OCM	8.56	8.62	6.58	7.33	9.83	9.89	11.90	7.89	9.42	9.39
-0	Comparison	8.44	8.42	6.24*	6.77*	9.77	9.14	11.07	8.32	9.19	9.33
	NONC	8.48	8.94	6.45	6.87*	9.82	9.59	10.79*	7.38	8.14*	9.30
	National	8.65	8.84	6.52	7.00	10.22	9.66	11.31	8.17	8.70	9.43
-5	OCM	8.74	8.77	6.64	7.31	10.63	9.85	10.97	8.61	8.91	9.51
-5	Comparison	8.32*	8.72	6.39	6.99	10.11	9.53	11.00	8.17	8.78	9.37
	NONC	8.79	9.00	6.49	6.79*	9.84*	9.55	11.94	7.51	8.46	9.40
	National	8.47	8.66	6.41	6.88	9.91	9.57	10.73	8.22	8.81	9.26
-4	OCM	8.58	8.76	6.42	6.98	9.93	9.42	10.70	8.56	9.30	9.35
-4	Comparison	8.03*	8.40	6.42	6.74	9.88	9.55	11.21	7.86	8.55	9.43
	NONC	8.69	8.77	6.39	6.90	9.91	9.76	10.39	8.18	8.58	9.04*
	National	8.59	8.68	6.63	7.07	9.96	9.56	10.84	8.23	8.82	9.18
-3	OCM	8.62	8.93	6.66	7.35	10.44	9.69	11.16	8.32	9.27	9.27
-5	Comparison	8.43	8.05*	6.55	6.91*	9.98	9.39	10.87	8.18	8.70	9.13
	NONC	8.69	8.93	6.66	6.98	9.44*	9.57	10.50	8.18	8.49	9.12
	National	8.52	8.52	6.31	6.62*	10.22	9.47	10.75	8.30	8.45*	9.15
-2	OCM	8.53	8.43	6.35	7.02	10.54	9.65	11.03	8.33	9.27	9.31
-2	Comparison	8.15*	8.49	6.17	6.38*	10.26	9.62	10.59	8.47	8.44*	8.92*
	NONC	8.80	8.63	6.38	6.51*	9.81*	9.09	10.56	8.06	7.71*	9.18

Exhibit A2-7: 30-Day Hospital Readmissions per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Practice/	<u> </u>		Average Numb	er of 30-Day Ho	ospital Readmis	sions (among e	pisodes with 1	I+ inpatient stay	()	
Period	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	0.47	0.37	0.24	0.29	0.56	0.50	0.57	0.38	0.41	0.47*
-8	OCM	0.47	0.35	0.25	0.31	0.59	0.52	0.57	0.35	0.42	0.45
-0	Comparison	0.42*	0.38	0.24	0.29	0.57	0.44	0.58	0.50	0.40	0.48*
	NONC	0.49	0.38	0.24	0.27*	0.52*	0.52	0.58	0.28	0.40	0.49*
	National	0.46	0.38	0.25	0.30	0.55	0.49	0.56	0.41	0.44	0.47
-7	OCM	0.45	0.37	0.26	0.33	0.55	0.50	0.56	0.45	0.44	0.45
-,	Comparison	0.44	0.36	0.25	0.28*	0.56	0.48	0.55	0.45	0.45	0.46
	NONC	0.47	0.39	0.24*	0.29*	0.54	0.49	0.58	0.31	0.43	0.49*
	National	0.46	0.35	0.25	0.29	0.59	0.49	0.58	0.43	0.41	0.47
-6	OCM	0.46	0.34	0.26	0.32	0.60	0.50	0.57	0.39	0.45	0.46
-0	Comparison	0.44	0.33	0.24	0.27*	0.61	0.50	0.56	0.50	0.40	0.46
	NONC	0.46	0.39*	0.25	0.29	0.56	0.45	0.59	0.43	0.38*	0.49
	National	0.46	0.35	0.24	0.29*	0.61	0.47	0.55	0.35	0.38	0.44
-5	OCM	0.47	0.35	0.25	0.34	0.65	0.50	0.56	0.38	0.40	0.43
-5	Comparison	0.43*	0.34	0.24	0.27*	0.64	0.46	0.53	0.33	0.40	0.44
	NONC	0.47	0.37	0.23	0.27*	0.53*	0.44	0.56	0.32	0.34	0.45
	National	0.45	0.36	0.24	0.29	0.60	0.48	0.54	0.47	0.42	0.45
-4	OCM	0.45	0.36	0.25	0.30	0.61	0.46	0.55	0.51	0.44	0.46
-4	Comparison	0.41*	0.36	0.25	0.28	0.64	0.48	0.51	0.50	0.38	0.46
	NONC	0.46	0.37	0.23	0.29	0.55	0.51	0.54	0.38	0.42	0.44
	National	0.45	0.37	0.25	0.29	0.59	0.46	0.52	0.42	0.40	0.44
-3	OCM	0.45	0.37	0.24	0.31	0.63	0.51	0.53	0.46	0.44	0.44
-3	Comparison	0.44	0.34	0.26	0.30	0.59	0.46	0.50	0.40	0.39	0.43
	NONC	0.46	0.38	0.25	0.27*	0.54*	0.40*	0.52	0.40	0.37*	0.44
	National	0.46	0.35	0.24	0.29*	0.65	0.49	0.50	0.43	0.38	0.45
-2	OCM	0.45	0.34	0.25	0.32	0.68	0.54	0.52	0.44	0.44	0.47
-2	Comparison	0.44	0.34	0.23	0.27*	0.67	0.45	0.49	0.43	0.39	0.43*
	NONC	0.48	0.37	0.24	0.27*	0.60*	0.47	0.51	0.41	0.33*	0.46

Exhibit A2-8: Intensive Care Unit Admissions per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Drastical		,	Average Num	ber of Intensive	Care Unit Admis	ssions (among e	episodes with 1	+ inpatient stay	)	
	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	0.24	0.20	0.15	0.19	0.21	0.29	0.23	0.22	0.25	0.23
-8	OCM	0.25	0.21	0.16	0.19	0.22	0.30	0.22	0.30	0.26	0.23
-0	Comparison	0.22*	0.19*	0.15	0.19	0.20	0.24*	0.23	0.16*	0.25	0.24
	NONC	0.25	0.19*	0.15	0.18	0.21	0.30	0.25	0.20	0.23	0.22
	National	0.24*	0.22	0.15	0.19	0.22	0.29	0.24	0.18	0.23	0.23
-7	OCM	0.26	0.22	0.16	0.20	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.20	0.24	0.24
-,	Comparison	0.21*	0.19	0.15	0.18	0.18*	0.32	0.22	0.18	0.22	0.23
	NONC	0.24*	0.24	0.15	0.18	0.22	0.30	0.24	0.17	0.23	0.22*
	National	0.25	0.20	0.15	0.19	0.22	0.29	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.24
-6	OCM	0.26	0.19	0.16	0.20	0.22	0.29	0.25	0.29	0.25	0.25
-0	Comparison	0.24*	0.18	0.15	0.18	0.20	0.31	0.22	0.22	0.26	0.24
	NONC	0.25	0.21	0.15*	0.19	0.24	0.28	0.26	0.19	0.24	0.22*
	National	0.24	0.23	0.16	0.19	0.24	0.28	0.23	0.26	0.23	0.24
-5	OCM	0.26	0.23	0.17	0.19	0.25	0.28	0.25	0.27	0.25	0.25
-5	Comparison	0.22*	0.22	0.16	0.19	0.23	0.30	0.21	0.32	0.22	0.23
	NONC	0.24	0.22	0.15*	0.19	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.17*	0.22	0.23
	National	0.25	0.21	0.16	0.20	0.23	0.28	0.23	0.23	0.27	0.23*
-4	OCM	0.26	0.22	0.16	0.21	0.22	0.27	0.23	0.25	0.30	0.25
-4	Comparison	0.22*	0.20	0.16	0.18*	0.22	0.32	0.24	0.22	0.26	0.22*
	NONC	0.25	0.21	0.16	0.20	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.23	0.24*	0.22*
	National	0.25*	0.20	0.16	0.20	0.24	0.29	0.25	0.30	0.25	0.23*
-3	OCM	0.27	0.22	0.16	0.20	0.26	0.29	0.27	0.32	0.26	0.25
-5	Comparison	0.23*	0.17*	0.16	0.18	0.21*	0.29	0.25	0.30	0.26	0.21*
	NONC	0.24*	0.21	0.16	0.20	0.23	0.29	0.23	0.26	0.24	0.22*
	National	0.25	0.20	0.16	0.18	0.22	0.28	0.23	0.25	0.22	0.23
-2	OCM	0.26	0.22	0.16	0.19	0.23	0.27	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.24
-2	Comparison	0.22*	0.19	0.15	0.18	0.23	0.31	0.23	0.28	0.22	0.22*
	NONC	0.27	0.20	0.16	0.18	0.21	0.27	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.22*

Exhibit A2-9: Part A Inpatient Costs per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

		Jan. 2010)									
				Aver	age Part A Inpa	atient Costs (amo	<u> </u>	vith 1+ inpatien	stay)		
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	\$12,726	\$12,927	\$9,860	\$10,533	\$15,116	\$14,751	\$18,567	\$11,948	\$14,077	\$14,128
0	OCM	\$12,981	\$12,764	\$9,749	\$10,897	\$15,192	\$15,057	\$18,679	\$12,833	\$14,800	\$14,031
-8	Comparison	\$11,972*	\$13,194	\$9,883	\$10,378	\$15,550	\$13,820	\$19,054	\$11,562	\$13,679	\$14,341
	NONC	\$12,993	\$12,901	\$9,946	\$10,390	\$14,724	\$15,104	\$18,064	\$11,168	\$13,775	\$14,070
	National	\$12,949	\$13,399	\$10,060	\$11,183	\$15,260	\$14,504	\$17,756	\$11,638	\$14,119	\$14,319
7	OCM	\$12,760	\$13,097	\$10,381	\$11,616	\$15,480	\$14,169	\$18,073	\$12,038	\$14,564	\$14,364
-7	Comparison	\$12,668	\$13,349	\$10,122	\$10,844*	\$15,271	\$15,883	\$18,251	\$11,631	\$13,841	\$14,657
	NONC	\$13,325*	\$13,710	\$9,722*	\$11,103	\$15,015	\$13,814	\$17,077	\$11,076	\$13,959	\$14,022
	National	\$13,109	\$13,113	\$10,255	\$11,065	\$15,635	\$14,706	\$18,854	\$12,382	\$14,214	\$14,574
,	OCM	\$13,298	\$12,758	\$10,363	\$11,482	\$15,565	\$14,879	\$19,538	\$12,641	\$14,397	\$14,706
-6	Comparison	\$13,071	\$12,950	\$10,031	\$10,857	\$15,968	\$15,066	\$18,670	\$13,115	\$15,078	\$14,599
	NONC	\$12,948	\$13,558*	\$10,311	\$10,919	\$15,455	\$14,128	\$18,288	\$11,260	\$13,438	\$14,421
	National	\$12,977	\$13,409	\$10,293	\$11,172	\$16,171	\$14,746	\$18,877	\$12,981	\$14,124	\$14,634
-5	OCM	\$13,085	\$13,230	\$10,402	\$11,144	\$16,511	\$15,448	\$18,089	\$13,609	\$14,148	\$14,866
-0	Comparison	\$12,668	\$13,429	\$10,246	\$11,461	\$16,501	\$15,190	\$18,387	\$12,985	\$14,347	\$14,682
	NONC	\$13,095	\$13,565	\$10,223	\$11,010	\$15,536	\$13,625*	\$20,156*	\$11,997	\$13,951	\$14,363
	National	\$12,946	\$13,083	\$10,312	\$11,117	\$15,816	\$14,648	\$18,211	\$13,227	\$14,583	\$14,464
	OCM	\$12,997	\$13,130	\$10,283	\$11,151	\$15,561	\$14,031	\$18,257	\$13,732	\$15,418	\$14,671
-4	Comparison	\$12,634	\$12,989	\$10,469	\$11,038	\$16,336	\$14,762	\$19,069	\$13,367	\$14,324	\$14,615
	NONC	\$13,133	\$13,111	\$10,224	\$11,144	\$15,684	\$15,275	\$17,525	\$12,316	\$14,070*	\$14,119*
	National	\$12,986	\$13,304	\$10,631	\$11,401	\$15,874	\$14,839	\$18,146	\$12,836	\$14,598	\$14,547
-3	OCM	\$13,182	\$13,521	\$10,688	\$11,644	\$16,559	\$15,315	\$18,760	\$12,643	\$15,084	\$14,759
-3	Comparison	\$12,845	\$12,699*	\$10,580	\$11,236	\$15,829	\$15,499	\$18,302	\$12,813	\$14,440	\$14,704
	NONC	\$12,895	\$13,555	\$10,616	\$11,344	\$15,171*	\$13,736	\$17,396	\$13,125	\$14,274	\$14,200*
	National	\$13,217	\$13,404	\$10,464	\$11,419	\$16,822	\$15,332	\$19,189	\$13,498	\$14,616	\$14,857
-2	OCM	\$13,137	\$13,296	\$10,448	\$12,138	\$16,822	\$14,545	\$19,846	\$13,442	\$15,186	\$15,168
-2	Comparison	\$12,753	\$13,639	\$10,530	\$11,113*	\$17,663	\$16,710	\$18,963	\$14,597	\$14,866	\$14,635*
	NONC	\$13,672*	\$13,337	\$10,431	\$11,129*	\$16,122	\$14,927	\$18,674	\$12,268	\$13,907*	\$14,710

Exhibit A2-10: Part A Costs for 30-Day Hospital Readmissions per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

	Initiating oai			Average Part	A Costs for 30	-Day Readmissic	ns (among epi	isodes with 1+	readmission)		
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	\$12,228	\$12,687	\$10,736	\$11,457	\$15,996	\$14,690	\$20,619	\$11,463	\$12,630	\$13,747
-8	OCM	\$12,229	\$12,604	\$11,078	\$11,872	\$16,358	\$15,897	\$19,800	\$13,925	\$13,170	\$13,557
-0	Comparison	\$11,646	\$12,936	\$9,758*	\$11,547	\$15,971	\$14,287	\$20,870	\$10,637	\$11,775	\$13,897
	NONC	\$12,587	\$12,596	\$11,096	\$11,091	\$15,626	\$13,683	\$21,340	\$9,230	\$12,856	\$13,818
	National	\$12,391	\$12,916	\$11,267	\$11,600	\$16,272	\$14,510	\$17,422	\$10,502	\$13,571	\$13,692
-7	OCM	\$11,900	\$12,368	\$11,437	\$11,489	\$15,897	\$15,182	\$17,238	\$10,191	\$14,230	\$13,798
-/	Comparison	\$11,917	\$12,753	\$11,069	\$11,900	\$16,838	\$14,862	\$16,707	\$9,644	\$12,767	\$13,982
	NONC	\$13,196*	\$13,529	\$11,233	\$11,504	\$16,277	\$13,577	\$18,125	\$12,689	\$13,603	\$13,384
	National	\$12,563	\$12,554	\$11,324	\$12,147	\$16,278	\$15,047	\$20,202	\$12,514	\$12,493	\$14,034
,	OCM	\$12,861	\$11,943	\$11,651	\$13,118	\$15,887	\$15,526	\$20,995	\$13,957	\$12,326	\$14,128
-6	Comparison	\$12,703	\$12,203	\$10,764	\$11,293*	\$17,443	\$16,148	\$20,169	\$14,557	\$13,474	\$13,612
	NONC	\$12,175	\$13,265*	\$11,390	\$11,986	\$15,784	\$13,310	\$19,418	\$8,797*	\$11,927	\$14,267
	National	\$12,216	\$13,070	\$11,585	\$11,508	\$17,773	\$15,355	\$20,110	\$13,351	\$12,482	\$14,325
_	OCM	\$12,474	\$12,559	\$11,554	\$11,068	\$17,903	\$16,749	\$19,831	\$13,298	\$11,684	\$14,543
-5	Comparison	\$12,033	\$12,839	\$11,803	\$12,234	\$18,832	\$15,436	\$18,701	\$11,353	\$13,005	\$14,130
	NONC	\$12,087	\$13,720	\$11,449	\$11,412	\$16,713	\$13,655	\$21,522	\$16,638	\$12,906	\$14,259
	National	\$12,439	\$12,314	\$11,152	\$11,954	\$17,232	\$14,492	\$18,969	\$13,668	\$12,332	\$13,952
4	OCM	\$12,324	\$12,071	\$11,355	\$11,796	\$17,584	\$13,204	\$19,384	\$15,041	\$12,673	\$14,219
-4	Comparison	\$12,169	\$12,178	\$11,504	\$11,906	\$17,386	\$16,003	\$19,564	\$13,247	\$12,081	\$13,810
	NONC	\$12,743	\$12,668	\$10,666	\$12,101	\$16,706	\$14,653	\$18,096	\$12,057	\$12,178	\$13,784
	National	\$13,534	\$13,898	\$13,033	\$12,981	\$19,374	\$17,857	\$21,585	\$13,905	\$14,907	\$15,483
2	OCM	\$13,797	\$13,993	\$12,897	\$13,707	\$19,363	\$19,596	\$21,836	\$13,411	\$14,140	\$15,546
-3	Comparison	\$12,945	\$13,199	\$12,466	\$12,456	\$20,742	\$17,977	\$20,529	\$15,303	\$15,331	\$15,687
	NONC	\$13,722	\$14,283	\$13,588	\$12,800	\$18,328	\$15,582	\$22,176	\$12,943	\$15,394	\$15,252
	National	\$15,508*	\$16,006	\$14,938*	\$15,549	\$24,525	\$20,153	\$26,023	\$18,454	\$16,929	\$18,663
	OCM	\$14,578	\$15,477	\$13,889	\$17,310	\$24,713	\$22,228	\$26,074	\$18,451	\$17,985	\$18,504
-2	Comparison	\$15,398	\$16,220	\$15,717*	\$14,933	\$25,352	\$20,255	\$25,742	\$20,230	\$16,668	\$18,784
	NONC	\$16,527*	\$16,346	\$15,450*	\$14,601	\$23,556	\$17,569*	\$26,196	\$16,401	\$16,023	\$18,738

Exhibit A2-11: Proportion of Episodes Using Home Health Services by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

						Proportion	n of Episode	es Utilizing Hor	me Health S	Services			
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	N	Overall	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	298,752	18.1%	26.6%	26.5%	11.4%	12.3%	16.8%	37.2%	15.4%	19.7%	20.3%	25.2%
-8	OCM	101,192	19.4%	27.7%	26.4%	12.0%	14.2%	17.6%	41.1%	17.3%	21.1%	26.0%	25.5%
-8	Comparison	80,345	17.7%	25.3%	27.1%	11.1%	12.2%	16.7%	36.3%	13.6%	18.3%	21.2%	24.5%
	NONC	117,215	17.2%	26.6%	26.1%	11.1%	11.2%	16.1%	33.7%	15.0%	19.5%	16.3%	25.4%
	National	259,926	18.0%	27.2%	26.9%	11.4%	12.5%	16.3%	36.7%	15.8%	22.2%	20.3%	24.6%
-7	OCM	88,489	19.0%	28.3%	26.5%	12.0%	14.1%	16.9%	39.3%	15.9%	22.2%	24.7%	24.9%
-1	Comparison	70,523	17.8%	25.9%	27.1%	11.0%	12.0%	15.9%	35.2%	16.6%	23.2%	20.8%	24.7%
	NONC	100,914	17.2%	27.1%	27.2%	11.1%	11.8%	16.0%	35.2%	15.1%	21.0%	17.3%	24.3%
	National	287,531	17.6%	26.6%	27.1%	10.9%	12.4%	16.2%	38.0%	15.9%	20.4%	21.5%	24.1%
,	OCM	97,611	18.7%	27.6%	26.2%	11.8%	13.8%	16.5%	38.5%	16.9%	20.6%	26.7%	24.6%
-6	Comparison	79,677	17.3%	25.2%	28.0%	10.4%	12.1%	15.7%	38.5%	16.2%	18.2%	21.7%	24.0%
	NONC	110,243	16.7%	26.6%	27.3%	10.4%	11.7%	16.4%	36.7%	14.6%	22.4%	18.2%	23.7%
	National	278,171	17.6%	26.7%	27.1%	10.6%	12.8%	17.0%	37.6%	15.1%	23.8%	20.8%	24.2%
_	OCM	95,027	18.6%	28.0%	26.6%	11.3%	14.1%	17.3%	38.6%	16.1%	26.0%	23.6%	24.7%
-5	Comparison	77,374	17.3%	25.0%	27.5%	10.3%	12.5%	16.7%	37.5%	14.9%	23.1%	22.0%	24.0%
	NONC	105,770	16.8%	26.8%	27.2%	10.2%	12.3%	16.8%	36.6%	14.3%	21.6%	18.2%	23.9%
	National	303,016	17.2%	27.3%	26.7%	10.3%	12.1%	16.3%	36.5%	15.7%	22.5%	19.9%	24.0%
4	OCM	102,988	18.5%	28.5%	27.1%	10.9%	13.3%	17.0%	38.1%	17.3%	23.1%	25.0%	25.0%
-4	Comparison	85,643	16.9%	26.2%	27.0%	9.8%	12.1%	16.1%	36.0%	14.0%	21.9%	20.1%	23.6%
	NONC	114,385	16.2%	26.8%	26.0%	10.1%	11.4%	15.7%	35.2%	15.3%	22.3%	16.6%	23.3%
	National	294,303	17.2%	26.7%	26.7%	10.4%	12.5%	17.0%	36.4%	15.4%	21.6%	20.3%	23.8%
2	OCM	99,600	18.5%	27.8%	27.3%	11.2%	14.2%	17.6%	37.4%	16.1%	21.8%	25.5%	24.8%
-3	Comparison	83,828	17.0%	25.7%	26.9%	10.0%	12.3%	16.5%	36.3%	14.9%	22.1%	20.4%	23.4%
	NONC	110,875	16.2%	26.4%	25.9%	10.0%	11.5%	16.6%	35.5%	15.1%	20.8%	17.0%	23.1%
	National	312,437	17.1%	26.7%	26.5%	10.3%	12.3%	16.5%	36.7%	15.1%	20.5%	20.1%	23.8%
	OCM	106,978	18.1%	28.3%	26.5%	10.6%	13.8%	17.1%	39.7%	16.1%	22.8%	25.2%	24.2%
-2	Comparison	89,961	16.9%	25.1%	27.2%	10.0%	12.3%	15.4%	35.7%	14.9%	19.7%	19.8%	23.6%
	NONC	115,498	16.2%	26.3%	25.8%	10.2%	11.4%	16.7%	34.2%	14.1%	18.4%	16.9%	23.6%

**Source**: Episode characteristics file, 2012-2015.

Exhibit A2-12: Proportion of Episodes with at Least One Imaging Visit by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

					Proportion	of Episodes wit	h at Least One	Imaging Visit			
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	98.4%	92.7%	85.2%	70.6%	89.4%	96.6%	74.8%	95.3%	82.0%	90.5%
-8	OCM	98.4%	93.0%	85.9%	74.1%	89.7%	97.3%	76.0%	95.6%	88.2%	90.6%
-0	Comparison	98.4%	93.0%	84.6%	71.5%	89.3%	95.9%	74.8%	96.5%	82.8%	91.1%
	NONC	98.4%	92.3%	85.0%	68.0%	89.0%	96.3%	73.7%	93.4%	77.9%	89.9%
	National	98.4%	93.2%	84.8%	71.0%	88.5%	96.5%	73.7%	94.6%	81.1%	89.9%
-7	OCM	98.6%	93.4%	85.5%	74.3%	89.0%	96.4%	74.2%	95.2%	86.8%	90.0%
-/	Comparison	98.5%	93.8%	84.9%	71.2%	89.0%	97.3%	74.3%	95.1%	80.2%	90.7%
	NONC	98.1%	92.6%	84.1%	68.9%	87.5%	96.1%	72.6%	93.2%	78.2%	89.1%
	National	98.3%	93.0%	84.6%	70.8%	88.1%	96.8%	74.2%	94.4%	80.6%	89.6%
4	OCM	98.3%	93.3%	85.3%	73.6%	88.2%	97.8%	76.0%	96.2%	86.7%	89.7%
-6	Comparison	98.3%	93.6%	84.6%	71.5%	88.6%	96.2%	73.9%	95.7%	81.2%	90.0%
	NONC	98.3%	92.3%	84.1%	68.7%	87.5%	96.1%	72.6%	90.9%	76.4%	89.3%
	National	98.4%	92.6%	83.9%	70.8%	88.3%	96.7%	73.0%	94.4%	80.8%	89.7%
_	OCM	98.6%	92.5%	84.4%	74.2%	89.0%	96.6%	74.7%	95.9%	86.0%	90.0%
-5	Comparison	98.4%	93.4%	83.8%	71.5%	88.0%	96.8%	72.5%	95.5%	82.4%	90.3%
	NONC	98.2%	92.0%	83.6%	68.5%	87.8%	96.7%	71.6%	91.2%	76.7%	89.0%
	National	98.3%	93.0%	83.9%	70.9%	88.0%	96.8%	73.5%	94.5%	81.2%	89.5%
	OCM	98.3%	93.7%	84.2%	74.1%	89.0%	97.2%	74.4%	95.2%	87.6%	89.4%
-4	Comparison	98.5%	93.3%	84.0%	71.4%	87.9%	96.7%	73.3%	95.1%	81.8%	90.0%
	NONC	98.1%	92.1%	83.6%	68.7%	87.0%	96.4%	72.7%	92.9%	77.0%	89.2%
	National	98.3%	93.4%	83.6%	71.8%	88.5%	97.2%	73.9%	95.9%	82.0%	89.8%
2	OCM	98.4%	94.0%	84.0%	75.1%	88.9%	97.2%	73.8%	96.1%	88.3%	89.6%
-3	Comparison	98.5%	93.6%	83.6%	72.4%	88.5%	97.3%	74.9%	96.5%	84.1%	90.5%
	NONC	98.1%	92.7%	83.3%	69.6%	88.2%	97.1%	73.2%	94.9%	76.6%	89.5%
	National	98.5%	93.3%	84.1%	72.0%	88.0%	96.9%	73.6%	96.0%	80.5%	89.6%
	OCM	98.5%	93.8%	84.7%	74.5%	88.7%	96.8%	74.5%	96.4%	86.3%	89.4%
-2	Comparison	98.5%	93.7%	84.0%	72.3%	87.7%	97.1%	73.3%	96.4%	80.4%	89.9%
	NONC	98.3%	92.5%	83.7%	70.3%	87.4%	96.7%	72.8%	94.9%	76.7%	89.4%

**Source**: Episode characteristics file, 2012-2015.

Exhibit A2-13: Standard Imaging Visits per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

			-	Average Numb	per of Standard	d Imaging Visits	(among episo	des with 1+ im	aging visit)		
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	3.78	2.52	2.8	2.31*	2.81	3.52	3.6	2.48	3.15*	3.41
-8	OCM	3.75	2.46	2.78	2.44	2.75	3.47	3.56	2.42	3.41	3.41
-0	Comparison	3.65	2.48	2.79	2.28*	2.86	3.44	3.63	2.64	3.2	3.38
	NONC	3.90*	2.62*	2.83	2.26*	2.85	3.63	3.6	2.37	2.94*	3.44
	National	3.71	2.54	2.78	2.33	2.82	3.35	3.68	2.67	3.18*	3.42
-7	OCM	3.61	2.49	2.76	2.35	2.79	3.28	3.6	2.71	3.44	3.44
/	Comparison	3.59	2.44	2.79	2.3	2.83	3.47	3.85	2.67	3.14*	3.39
	NONC	3.92*	2.65*	2.79	2.33	2.85	3.33	3.63	2.61	3.03*	3.43
	National	3.62	2.46	2.76	2.22	2.72	3.39	3.55	2.41	3.05	3.35
-6	OCM	3.62	2.37	2.73	2.29	2.64	3.25	3.58	2.47	3.24	3.38
-0	Comparison	3.56	2.44	2.75	2.21	2.74	3.55	3.56	2.48	3.16	3.34
	NONC	3.67	2.57*	2.78*	2.19*	2.79*	3.42	3.51	2.26	2.83*	3.32
	National	3.58	2.44	2.7	2.23	2.82	3.25	3.5	2.57	3.02	3.37
-5	OCM	3.54	2.43	2.7	2.27	2.78	3.16	3.45	2.67	3.26	3.4
-5	Comparison	3.51	2.31	2.68	2.27	2.83	3.26	3.55	2.53	2.99	3.33
	NONC	3.69*	2.54	2.73	2.17*	2.86	3.34	3.53	2.48	2.88*	3.38
	National	3.49	2.32	2.71*	2.14	2.68	3.2	3.47	2.6	2.99*	3.24
-4	OCM	3.47	2.31	2.66	2.18	2.64	3.12	3.34	2.78	3.29	3.29
-4	Comparison	3.41	2.34	2.7	2.09	2.72	3.22	3.52	2.43	2.96*	3.23
	NONC	3.58	2.31	2.75*	2.15	2.7	3.27	3.57*	2.53	2.81*	3.21
	National	3.46	2.33	2.72	2.16*	2.73	3.15	3.52	2.5	2.92	3.25
-3	OCM	3.49	2.31	2.69	2.24	2.73	3.01	3.52	2.64	3.07	3.29
-5	Comparison	3.45	2.21	2.73	2.11*	2.69	3.38*	3.6	2.59*	2.98	3.2
	NONC	3.45	2.43	2.75*	2.15*	2.78	3.09	3.46	2.2	2.76*	3.24
	National	3.45	2.25	2.72*	2.10*	2.74	3.13	3.42	2.34	2.83	3.18
-2	OCM	3.4	2.24	2.67	2.19	2.74	2.96	3.4	2.41	3	3.22
-2	Comparison	3.34	2.23	2.7	2.05*	2.68	3.30*	3.5	2.19	2.79	3.09*
	NONC	3.58*	2.29	2.77*	2.07*	2.77	3.17	3.38	2.43	2.73*	3.21

Exhibit A2-14: Advanced Imaging Visits per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

	Jan. 2012 - 00		A	verage Numbe	er of Advanced	I Imaging Visits	(among episo	des with 1+ im	aging visit)		
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	6.77*	4.12*	2.14*	4.35	3.94*	9.26*	2.13*	5.48	4.08*	4.22*
-8	OCM	7.44	4.44	2.34	4.25	4.25	10.52	2.28	5.67	5.12	4.46
-0	Comparison	6.47*	3.98*	2.13*	3.90*	3.91*	8.27*	2.09*	5.88	3.97*	4.27*
	NONC	6.32*	3.93*	1.96*	4.70*	3.62*	8.67*	2.00*	4.76*	3.47*	3.95*
	National	6.62*	4.25*	2.10*	4.22	3.73*	9.74*	2.15*	5.26	4.09*	4.22*
-7	OCM	7.12	4.54	2.33	4.06	3.89	10.64	2.37	5.68	4.86	4.41
-/	Comparison	6.40*	3.99*	2.06*	3.74*	3.65*	9.55*	2.12*	5.55	4.15*	4.21*
	NONC	6.29*	4.16*	1.92*	4.63*	3.62*	8.96*	1.95*	4.27*	3.55*	4.05*
	National	6.94*	4.37*	2.04*	4.5	3.78*	10.70*	2.11	5.22	4.29*	4.27*
	OCM	7.47	4.66	2.24	4.3	4.01	11.73	2.2	5.45	5.34	4.52
-6	Comparison	6.87*	4.20*	2.02*	4.24	3.76*	10.15*	2.11	5.44	4.19*	4.28*
	NONC	6.46*	4.22*	1.87*	4.79*	3.54*	9.91*	2.02*	4.67*	3.62*	4.01*
	National	7.00*	4.34*	2.02*	4.48	3.78*	11.15	2.07*	5.15	4.44*	4.27*
-5	OCM	7.42	4.73	2.2	4.42	4.03	11.9	2.21	5.27	5.23	4.47
-5	Comparison	6.98*	4.20*	2.03*	4.15*	3.75*	10.58*	2.03*	5.69	4.53*	4.26*
	NONC	6.57*	4.07*	1.85*	4.73*	3.52*	10.79*	1.94*	4.32*	3.84*	4.08*
	National	7.27*	4.41*	2.02*	4.89	3.81*	11.38	2.14	5.68	4.52*	4.42*
	OCM	7.81	4.81	2.15	4.83	4.06	12.07	2.24	5.64	5.8	4.67
-4	Comparison	6.98*	4.30*	2.03*	4.41*	3.72*	10.75*	2.14	6.09	4.25*	4.40*
	NONC	6.94*	4.10*	1.90*	5.24*	3.61*	11.17	2.03*	5.22	3.81*	4.16*
	National	7.40*	4.38*	2.01*	4.8	3.74*	11.84	2.04	5.59	4.54*	4.45*
-3	OCM	7.76	4.7	2.15	4.63	3.96	12.68	2.1	5.84	5.71	4.64
-5	Comparison	7.22*	4.16*	2.04*	4.42	3.67*	11.46*	2.08	5.72	4.29*	4.39*
	NONC	7.17*	4.23*	1.87*	5.16*	3.53*	11.30*	1.94*	5.11*	3.87*	4.30*
	National	7.26*	4.41*	2.01*	4.88	3.77*	11.12*	2.07	5.6	4.45*	4.33*
-2	OCM	7.8	4.75	2.13	4.83	4	11.94	2.12	5.76	5.42	4.52
	Comparison	7.09*	4.30*	2.02*	4.58*	3.71*	10.63*	2.04	5.81	4.14*	4.25*
	NONC	6.83*	4.16*	1.87*	5.13*	3.53*	10.60*	2.04	5.09*	3.94*	4.20*

Exhibit A2-15: Episode Utilization of Outpatient Therapy Services in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Practice/	Proportion of Ep Outpatien	oisodes Utilizing t Therapy	Average (among epi	Number of Outpatient Thera sodes with 1+ outpatient th	apy Visits erapy visit)
	TIN Type	N	%	N	Mean	Std Dev
	National	298,752	0.90%	2,736	10.82	11.71
-8	OCM	101,192	1.10%	1,136	10.62	11.04
-0	Comparison	80,345	0.80%	647	11.2	11.48
	NONC	117,215	0.80%	953	10.8	12.61
	National	259,926	0.80%	2,192	10.24	10.5
-7	OCM	88,489	1.00%	870	9.92	9.53
-1	Comparison	70,523	0.80%	539	10.01	10.85
	NONC	100,914	0.80%	783	10.75	11.24
	National	287,531	0.90%	2,527	10.07	9.56
	OCM	97,611	1.00%	999	10.03	9.03
-6	Comparison	79,677	0.80%	665	10.34	10.63
	NONC	110,243	0.80%	863	9.91	9.3
	National	278,171	0.80%	2,318	10.6	10.7
-5	OCM	95,027	1.00%	913	9.96	9.52
-5	Comparison	77,374	0.80%	625	10.83	11.31
	NONC	105,770	0.70%	780	11.17*	11.46
	National	303,016	0.90%	2,737	10.61	10.78
-4	OCM	102,988	1.10%	1,086	10.3	10.09
-4	Comparison	85,643	0.90%	739	10.8	10.95
	NONC	114,385	0.80%	912	10.83	11.41
	National	294,303	0.90%	2,562	9.91	9.48
-3	OCM	99,600	1.00%	997	10.29	9.48
-ა	Comparison	83,828	0.90%	748	9.47	9.5
	NONC	110,875	0.70%	817	9.85	9.44
	National	312,437	0.90%	2,938	10.7	11.38
	OCM	106,978	1.10%	1,222	10.47	10.54
-2	Comparison	89,961	0.90%	810	11.17	12.82
	NONC	115,498	0.80%	906	10.58	11.07

Exhibit A2-16: Unique Part D Prescriptions Each Month per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes **initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)** 

			,	Average Num	ber of Unique	Part D Prescrip	tions (conditio	nal on Part D e	enrollment)		
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	3.96*	3.21	4.31*	3.91	3.70	3.42	4.31	3.84	3.61	3.94*
-8	OCM	3.83	3.13	4.20	3.88	3.68	3.33	4.21	3.73	3.54	3.85
-0	Comparison	3.96*	3.18	4.31*	3.90	3.66	3.56	4.36	3.80	3.62	3.99*
	NONC	4.08*	3.29*	4.41*	3.93	3.74	3.42	4.36*	4.00	3.64	3.97*
	National	3.84*	3.10	4.34*	3.75	3.55	3.40	4.30	3.74	3.51	3.84*
-7	OCM	3.69	3.06	4.22	3.73	3.53	3.23	4.20	3.66	3.42	3.76
- /	Comparison	3.87*	3.13	4.34*	3.68	3.52	3.52*	4.39*	3.77	3.57	3.88*
	NONC	3.94*	3.12	4.44*	3.82*	3.61	3.48*	4.33	3.82	3.52	3.90*
	National	4.18*	3.43*	4.48*	4.12	3.87	3.67*	4.58	4.02	3.83	4.17*
4	OCM	4.08	3.35	4.38	4.15	3.79	3.49	4.46	4.03	3.73	4.12
-6	Comparison	4.20*	3.48*	4.49*	4.05*	3.87	3.72*	4.70*	3.89	3.83	4.19*
	NONC	4.27*	3.47*	4.56*	4.15	3.95*	3.85*	4.59	4.13	3.89	4.22*
	National	4.12*	3.40	4.46*	4.09	3.84	3.55	4.54	3.98	3.79*	4.17
-5	OCM	4.02	3.33	4.37	4.08	3.80	3.50	4.44	4.01	3.60	4.16
-0	Comparison	4.09	3.45*	4.46*	4.01	3.84	3.57	4.66*	3.92	3.75	4.17
	NONC	4.25*	3.43*	4.54*	4.14	3.88	3.58	4.54	4.00	3.93*	4.18
	National	4.15	3.46	4.48*	4.15	3.91	3.66	4.63*	4.09	3.88	4.19
-4	OCM	4.09	3.40	4.38	4.17	3.88	3.60	4.50	4.14	3.79	4.15
-4	Comparison	4.17	3.46	4.50*	4.06*	3.91	3.64	4.73*	3.96	3.92	4.20
	NONC	4.20*	3.50*	4.55*	4.19	3.95	3.75	4.67*	4.18	3.92	4.23*
	National	4.12*	3.43	4.46*	4.10	3.91	3.53	4.63*	4.07	3.81	4.18
-3	OCM	4.02	3.36	4.36	4.11	3.83	3.46	4.50	4.13	3.74	4.17
-3	Comparison	4.14*	3.41	4.44*	4.05	3.92	3.52	4.66*	3.99	3.79	4.16
	NONC	4.20*	3.50*	4.56*	4.14	4.00*	3.61	4.73*	4.09	3.87	4.20
	National	4.17*	3.44*	4.47*	4.15	3.95	3.69	4.71*	4.06	3.82	4.25*
2	OCM	4.09	3.36	4.38	4.17	3.89	3.63	4.58	4.01	3.72	4.20
-2	Comparison	4.24*	3.48*	4.45*	4.08*	3.93	3.77	4.83*	4.12	3.80	4.27*
	NONC	4.21*	3.48*	4.58*	4.18	4.03*	3.69	4.74*	4.07	3.90*	4.29*

Source: Episode characteristics file, 2012-2015. Note: \* Denotes a significant difference from OCM practices. Part D enrollment is defined as at least one month of Part D enrollment during the episode; the Part D prescription count is the number of 30-day equivalents.

Exhibit A2-17: Monthly Part D Prescription Cost per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Deufermen	Dunation		Av	erage Monthly	Part D Prescr	iption Cost per	Beneficiary (c	onditional on F	Part D enrollment)		
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	\$481*	\$158*	\$110*	\$242*	\$194	\$172*	\$1,714	\$1,048	\$123	\$1,088
-8	OCM	\$429	\$128	\$99	\$296	\$202	\$122	\$1,677	\$1,225	\$145	\$1,074
-0	Comparison	\$518*	\$144	\$106	\$244*	\$163*	\$171	\$1,743	\$931	\$109	\$1,113
	NONC	\$503*	\$194*	\$121*	\$211*	\$208	\$223*	\$1,727	\$968	\$118	\$1,082
	National	\$533*	\$231*	\$147*	\$398*	\$182	\$184*	\$1,783	\$867	\$117	\$1,105
-7	OCM	\$478	\$192	\$138	\$470	\$166	\$124	\$1,744	\$928	\$150	\$1,098
- /	Comparison	\$583*	\$231*	\$143	\$439	\$184	\$224*	\$1,784	\$761	\$109	\$1,139
	NONC	\$547*	\$267*	\$157*	\$330*	\$198	\$215*	\$1,819	\$908	\$103*	\$1,087
	National	\$608*	\$319*	\$159*	\$639*	\$250	\$195*	\$2,129	\$1,055	\$145	\$1,366
-6	OCM	\$560	\$285	\$149	\$784	\$244	\$146	\$2,088	\$1,048	\$153	\$1,369
-0	Comparison	\$669*	\$308	\$157	\$686*	\$246	\$234*	\$2,100	\$1,049	\$114	\$1,431*
	NONC	\$606*	\$358*	\$169*	\$528*	\$259	\$221*	\$2,191	\$1,071	\$158	\$1,310*
	National	\$599*	\$304*	\$163	\$677*	\$297	\$183	\$2,120	\$1,398	\$136	\$1,338
-5	OCM	\$548	\$267	\$156	\$853	\$300	\$177	\$2,037	\$1,305	\$153	\$1,342
-5	Comparison	\$645*	\$302	\$161	\$685*	\$319	\$198	\$2,118	\$1,343	\$123	\$1,385
	NONC	\$611*	\$339*	\$170*	\$569*	\$277	\$176	\$2,208*	\$1,586	\$134	\$1,297
	National	\$669*	\$330	\$173*	\$829*	\$481	\$222	\$2,677*	\$1,763	\$187*	\$1,505
-4	OCM	\$626	\$299	\$163	\$1,014	\$467	\$181	\$2,537	\$1,603	\$273	\$1,485
-4	Comparison	\$715*	\$326	\$169	\$879*	\$517	\$222	\$2,842*	\$1,872	\$157*	\$1,567*
	NONC	\$673	\$363*	\$184*	\$689*	\$466	\$265*	\$2,685*	\$1,866	\$155*	\$1,473
	National	\$665*	\$328	\$184*	\$842*	\$611	\$232	\$2,802	\$1,801	\$198	\$1,473
-3	OCM	\$614	\$298	\$173	\$1,011	\$629	\$200	\$2,700	\$1,669	\$247	\$1,457
-5	Comparison	\$722*	\$338	\$179	\$884*	\$602	\$226	\$2,903*	\$1,975	\$177	\$1,511
	NONC	\$668*	\$347*	\$197*	\$715*	\$599	\$270	\$2,821	\$1,783	\$182	\$1,455
	National	\$755	\$368	\$260*	\$1,029*	\$820	\$243*	\$3,364	\$1,677	\$168	\$1,763
-2	OCM	\$716	\$334	\$246	\$1,226	\$761	\$182	\$3,339	\$1,543	\$218	\$1,736
-2	Comparison	\$813*	\$366	\$271*	\$1,065*	\$949*	\$283*	\$3,437	\$1,651	\$141*	\$1,809*
	NONC	\$746	\$404*	\$265*	\$885*	\$778	\$274*	\$3,329	\$1,907	\$154*	\$1,749

Source: Episode characteristics file, 2012-2015. Note: \* Denotes a significant difference from OCM practices. Part D enrollment is defined as at least one month of Part D enrollment during the episode

We constructed ten claims-based end-of-life (EOL) measures focusing on patient-level outcomes related to EOL care. We describe below the detailed purpose and construction of these ten claims-based EOL measures:

Exhibit A2-18: Claims-Based End-of-Life Measures for Decedent Patients in the Oncology Care Model Evaluation

Measure	Purpose	Denominator	Measure Construction
Chemotherapy during the	The objective of end-of-life care is to ensure patients' comfort and dignity while dying. A	Cancer patients with	Flag patients with chemotherapy use within
last 14 days of life	means to this goal is the cessation of futile, aggressive treatments that detract from life	observed dates of death	14 days of death.
	quality. The choice to continue aggressive treatment should solely rest with patients and their families, but any divergence in chemotherapy at end of life between OCM practices		
	and comparison TINs would suggest changing treatment patterns. (NQF # 0210)		
Inpatient Hospitalizations in	A concern is that terminally ill individuals might receive aggressive, invasive procedures	Cancer patients with	Identify whether any inpatient discharge date
the last 30 days of life		observed dates of death	occurs 30 days or fewer before the date of death.
the last 30 days of life	much comfort as possible, at home with family and friends. Therefore, we I view a	observed dates of death	before the date of death.
	reduction in inpatient hospitalizations immediately prior to death as improved end-of-life		
	care.		
Intensive Care Unit (ICU) use in the last 30 days of life	We examine hospital stays with ICU use as a subset of all hospital stays. (NQF # 0213)	Cancer patients with observed dates of death	Identify whether any inpatient discharge date occurs 30 days or fewer before the date of death; if so, further identify if ICU use occurred during hospital stay by the presence of ICU-related revenue center codes on the inpatient hospital claim.
Emergency Department	We examine usage of the ED (including observational stays) in the last 30 days of life	Cancer patients with	Identify ED use by the presence of ED-related
(ED) visits in the last 30	(NQF # 0211, modified)	observed dates of death	revenue center codes on the inpatient hospital
days of life			claim, and ED Part B claims that occur 30 days
			or fewer before the date of death.
Deaths that occur in	The hospice philosophy places a strong emphasis on supporting patients at home for as	Cancer patients with	Match patients' date of death (taken from the
hospitals	long as possible, recognizing that most dying people prefer to be in a comfortable, familiar setting rather than in a hospital, at the end of life. We view a decrease in the rate of cancer	observed dates of death	beneficiary summary file) to hospital claims and note any patient that died during a hospital stay
	patients dying in hospitals as an improvement in end-of-life care quality.		(or with a hospital discharge destination code of
	patients dying in nospitals as an improvement in end-of-life care quality.		"death").
Deaths that occur in	In addition to emphasis of home care, as mentioned above an ideal for quality end-of-life	Cancer patients with	Match patients' date of death (taken from the
hospitals with ICU care	care is to avoid unnecessary aggressive or invasive care at the end of life that detracts	observed dates of death	beneficiary summary file) to hospital claims and
during the terminal	from life quality rather than enhances; for this reason, we particularly examine instances of		note any patient that died during a hospital stay
admission	hospital deaths where ICU use also occurred.		(or with a hospital discharge destination code of
			"death"). Of these occurrences, we determine if
			ICU use occurred by the presence of ICU-related
			revenue center codes on the inpatient hospital claim.
			Ciaim.

Measure	Purpose	Denominator	Measure Construction
Never admitted to hospice	We would never expect 100% hospice election rates, given patient preferences, but we	Cancer patients with	Flag patients that do not have any associated
	view a decrease in the rate of cancer patients never using hospice as an improvement in	observed dates of death	hospice claims in the six months prior to death.
	end-of-life care, an improvement in caregiving quality, and a desirable objective of the		
	OCM, perhaps suggesting improved coordination between oncology practices and hospice		
	providers that raises hospice as an option for patients who might not otherwise have		
	considered electing. (NQF # 0215)		
The number of days	A goal for terminally ill patients is to receive hospice care as soon as curative treatment is	Hospice users	Calculate as a continuous measure the number
between hospice entry and	no longer beneficial. Unfortunately, we cannot know from claims when a patient receives	discharged to death	of hospice service days between hospice
death	their terminal prognosis or for how long they were expected to live at the time they were	among cancer patients	admission and death: (Date of death)-(Date of
	informed.		hospice entry)+1.
Being on hospice less than	For some patients who reach hospice only in the last two days of life, there may be	Hospice users	Calculate continuous measure of hospice service
3 days before death	insufficient time to bring symptoms (e.g., pain, anxiety) under control. A decrease in this	discharged to death	days between hospice admission and death:
	measure would be viewed as an improved process quality outcome. (NQF # 0216)	among cancer patients	(Date of death)-(Date of hospice entry)+1; flag
			patients with values less than 3.
Disenrollment from hospice	Patterns of live hospice discharge, followed by hospitalizations and readmission to	Hospice users	Flag patients that experienced a "problematic live
(for a hospitalization) then	hospice, are recognized as problematic, as they suggest both fragmented medical care	discharged to death	discharge" as a hospital admission that follows
rapid return to hospice	and a manner by which hospices avoid the financial responsibility of expensive	among cancer patients	within two days of a hospice live discharge in
	hospitalizations. Cycling patients into and out of hospice could also be a strategy to		addition to and also a hospice readmission that
	reduce costs of care during OCM episodes, or could indicate patient dissatisfaction with		follows within two days of that same
	inappropriately early referral to hospice.		hospitalization's discharge.

Note: ICU use was identified as those claims with a revenue center code of 0200, 0201, 0202, 0203, 0204, 0207, 0208, or 0209. ED use was identified as those claims with revenue center code of 0450, 0451, 0452, 0456, or 0459. NQF # 0211 is actually specified as more than one ED visit in the last 30 days of life, but we here examine any usage of ED visits to better harmonize our EOL measures internally (because specifically we also examine any inpatient admissions and any ICU visits in the last 30 days of life).

Exhibit A2-19: End-of-Life Measures for Decedent Cancer Patients as Assigned by the Most Recent Episode in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	N	Chemotherapy during the Last 14 Days of Life	Hospital Use in the Last 30 Days of Life	Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Use in the Last 30 Days of Life	Emergency Department (ED) Use in the Last 30 Days of Life	Deaths that Occur in Hospitals	Deaths that Occur in Hospitals with ICU Care during the Terminal Admission	Never Admitted to Hospice
			Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	National	50,221	12.8%	55.4%	23.4%	55.7%	25.3%	12.5%	36.4%
0	OCM	11,965	13.4%	54.8%	24.5%	54.5%	24.1%	13.0%	32.6%
-8	Comparison	8,797	12.2%	55.4%	21.3%	54.5%	25.0%	11.5%	35.1%
	NONC	29,459	12.7%	55.7%	23.6%	56.5%	25.8%	12.7%	38.3%
	National	43,807	13.2%	56.2%	24.0%	56.9%	25.7%	12.5%	37.4%
7	OCM	10,776	13.4%	55.1%	25.3%	55.5%	24.6%	12.8%	33.9%
-7	Comparison	7,881	12.2%	56.1%	21.8%	56.1%	25.6%	11.5%	35.7%
	NONC	25,150	13.4%	56.6%	24.2%	57.8%	26.3%	12.6%	39.5%
	National	42,355	12.9%	54.7%	23.7%	56.2%	24.3%	12.0%	35.4%
4	OCM	10,831	13.4%	54.7%	25.1%	56.1%	23.1%	12.0%	32.2%
-6	Comparison	8,298	12.5%	54.4%	21.7%	55.4%	23.0%	10.7%	33.2%
	NONC	23,226	12.9%	54.7%	23.7%	56.5%	25.3%	12.5%	37.6%
	National	40,857	13.3%	55.2%	24.8%	57.2%	24.8%	12.4%	36.4%
-5	OCM	10,918	14.0%	55.0%	26.3%	57.1%	23.5%	12.5%	33.4%
-3	Comparison	8,274	12.7%	54.8%	23.1%	56.1%	24.6%	11.7%	34.6%
	NONC	21,665	13.2%	55.4%	24.6%	57.6%	25.6%	12.5%	38.5%
	National	41,575	13.0%	54.6%	24.2%	57.2%	24.0%	11.6%	35.3%
-4	OCM	11,282	13.5%	55.2%	26.5%	57.2%	23.3%	12.0%	32.1%
-4	Comparison	8,759	13.0%	54.4%	22.2%	56.7%	24.1%	10.9%	34.7%
	NONC	21,534	12.7%	54.4%	23.8%	57.5%	24.4%	11.7%	37.2%
	National	40,547	12.9%	55.1%	25.0%	57.5%	24.6%	11.8%	36.7%
-3	OCM	11,046	13.3%	56.1%	27.6%	58.1%	24.4%	12.7%	34.1%
-3	Comparison	8,868	12.7%	55.1%	22.6%	56.5%	24.2%	10.7%	35.7%
	NONC	20,633	12.7%	54.6%	24.6%	57.6%	24.8%	11.8%	38.4%
	National	39,890	13.1%	54.9%	25.2%	57.8%	24.1%	11.5%	35.1%
-2	OCM	11,046	13.6%	55.9%	26.9%	58.0%	23.3%	11.8%	32.3%
-2	Comparison	8,760	12.6%	53.9%	23.0%	56.5%	23.8%	10.6%	33.9%
	NONC	20,084	13.0%	54.8%	25.2%	58.3%	24.8%	11.7%	37.2%

Source: Decedents file, 2012 – 2015.

Exhibit A2-20: End-of-Life Measures for Decedent Hospice-Using Cancer Patients as Assigned by the Most Recent Episode in the **Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)** 

Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	N		between Hospice nd Death	Being on Hospice Less than 3 Days before Death	Disenrollment from Hospice for a hospitalization) then Rapid Return to Hospice
			Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Mean
	National	30,565	20.2	24.8	11.0%	0.8%
-8	OCM	7,737	20.4	25.1	11.1%	0.9%
-0	Comparison	5,449	20.3	24.0	9.8%	1.0%
	NONC	17,379	20.0	24.9	11.4%	0.7%
	National	26,588	19.4	24.0	11.5%	0.7%
-7	OCM	6,933	19.3	23.5	11.7%	0.8%
-/	Comparison	4,904	19.7	23.5	10.4%	0.5%
	NONC	14,751	19.4	24.4	11.7%	0.8%
	National	26,661	20.1	24.6	11.3%	0.7%
	OCM	7,164	19.6	23.9	11.7%	0.6%
-6	Comparison	5,371	19.8	23.8	10.9%	0.6%
	NONC	14,126	20.6	25.2	11.2%	0.7%
	National	25,381	19.7	24.2	11.8%	0.8%
-5	OCM	7,132	19.1	23.4	12.1%	0.9%
-5	Comparison	5,282	19.4	23.4	12.0%	0.8%
	NONC	12,967	20.2	25.0	11.6%	0.8%
	National	26,216	19.6	23.9	11.6%	0.7%
4	OCM	7,494	19.0	23.4	11.9%	0.7%
-4	Comparison	5,550	19.6	23.2	11.0%	0.8%
	NONC	13,172	20.0	24.4	11.7%	0.6%
	National	25,083	19.2	23.1	12.0%	0.7%
-3	OCM	7,115	18.2	22.2	12.8%	0.7%
-3	Comparison	5,560	19.4	23.4	11.7%	0.6%
	NONC	12,408	19.6	23.5	11.6%	0.7%
	National	25,293	19.5	23.4	11.7%	0.7%
	OCM	7,343	18.8	22.8	12.2%	0.8%
-2	Comparison	5,639	19.5	23.3	11.3%	0.7%
	NONC	12,311	19.9	23.9	11.5%	0.7%

Source: Decedents file, 2012 – 2015.

## **Practice Characteristics Detailed Results**

Exhibit A3-1: Practice Provider NPI Characteristics in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	N	Number of NPIs		Number of Oncologist NPIs		% Oncology NPIs <sup>a</sup>	% with Only Oncology Specialty <sup>b</sup>
			Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Mean
	National	1,986	12.89*	26.65	5.43*	12.18	67.0%*	51.8%*
-8	OCM	184	36.11	55.42	17.85	30.55	62.1%	27.7%
	Comparison	304	23.96*	34.46	9.05*	13.00	59.8%	41.1%*
	National	2,026	12.51*	25.45	5.51*	12.21	67.6%	51.6%*
-7	OCM	187	35.26	52.44	18.37	30.51	63.6%	28.9%
	Comparison	313	23.19*	33.51	9.18*	13.07	61.0%	42.5%*
	National	2,071	13.23*	27.07	5.64*	12.52	67.3%*	52.1%*
-6	OCM	188	38.08	57.13	18.99	31.64	61.6%	29.8%
	Comparison	316	24.64*	35.18	9.63*	13.42	60.9%	43.0%*
	National	2,098	13.23*	27.31	5.70*	12.59	68.1%*	52.8%*
-5	OCM	189	38.90	57.85	19.25	31.70	61.8%	31.2%
	Comparison	318	24.93*	35.69	9.83*	13.73	61.2%	41.8%*
	National	2,135	13.81*	28.59	5.85*	13.02	67.6%*	52.4%*
-4	OCM	189	40.86	60.10	20.08	32.98	61.1%	30.7%*
	Comparison	319	26.51*	38.51	10.22*	14.47	60.6%	41.1%*
	National	2,141	13.97*	29.11	5.93*	13.20	68.0%*	52.5%*
-3	OCM	190	41.24	60.68	20.09	33.14	61.8%	31.1%
	Comparison	319	26.98*	39.28	10.39*	14.98	60.3%	40.8%*
	National	2,126	14.79*	30.92	6.13*	13.83	67.4%*	52.0%*
-2	OCM	190	43.65	63.29	20.87	34.34	60.8%	30.5%
	Comparison	319	28.82*	42.95	10.80*	16.09	59.2%	39.8%*

Source: Practice characteristics file, 2012-2015. Note: \* Denotes a significant difference from OCM practices. a The percentage Oncology NPIs is calculated based on the sum of oncology specialty NPIs divided by the total number of NPIs. <sup>b</sup> The percentage with Only Oncology Specialty is calculated based on the share of practices comprised solely of Oncology NPIs and NPs/PAs.

Exhibit A3-2: Practice Provider NPI Characteristics in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type		NP/PA NPIs		Urologist NPIs		Hematology/ Medical Oncology NPIs		Surgical Oncology NPIs			ation gy NPIs		ologic gy NPIs
			Mean	%	Mean	%	Mean	%	Mean	%	Mean	%	Mean	%
	National	1,986	1.32*	5.8%*	1.18*	5.5%	3.80*	68.4%*	0.20*	2.8%	1.14*	23.9%*	0.29*	4.9%
-8	OCM	184	4.10	9.8%	2.46	4.3%	13.69	81.5%	0.57	2.2%	2.64	11.7%	0.96	4.7%
	Comparison	304	2.81	8.8%	2.14	6.0%	6.99*	82.9%	0.39	2.0%	1.19*	10.8%	0.48*	4.2%
	National	2,026	1.34*	6.2%*	1.21*	5.8%	3.91*	68.9%*	0.20*	2.8%	1.11*	23.6%*	0.30*	4.7%
-7	OCM	187	3.95	9.4%	2.50	4.7%	14.22	82.3%	0.57	2.2%	2.57	10.9%	1.01	4.6%
	Comparison	313	2.93	9.1%	2.13	5.7%	7.18*	83.4%	0.37	1.9%	1.15*	10.6%	0.48*	4.2%
	National	2,071	1.46*	6.4%*	1.24*	5.5%	3.96*	67.8%*	0.22*	3.1%	1.15*	24.1%*	0.31*	4.9%
-6	OCM	188	4.44	10.4%	2.63	4.5%	14.60	81.4%	0.62	2.5%	2.70	11.4%	1.07	4.7%
	Comparison	316	3.09*	9.2%	2.20	5.6%	7.48*	82.6%	0.41	2.0%	1.21*	10.7%	0.53*	4.7%
	National	2,098	1.53*	6.6%*	1.25*	5.6%	4.02*	68.1%*	0.22*	3.2%	1.14*	23.8%*	0.31*	4.9%
-5	OCM	189	4.92	11.1%	2.69	4.5%	14.86	82.2%	0.65	2.5%	2.70	10.9%	1.04	4.3%
	Comparison	318	3.29*	9.7%	2.20	5.9%	7.60*	81.5%	0.42	2.2%	1.26*	11.3%	0.55*	5.0%
	National	2,135	1.66*	7.1%*	1.29*	5.4%	4.10*	67.8%*	0.23*	3.2%	1.19*	23.9%*	0.33*	5.1%
-4	OCM	189	5.21	11.2%	2.80	4.4%	15.40	81.6%	0.67	2.4%	2.90	11.4%	1.11	4.6%
	Comparison	319	3.52*	10.1%	2.34	5.9%	7.89*	81.4%	0.44	2.1%	1.31*	11.3%	0.58*	5.1%
	National	2,141	1.72*	7.1%*	1.30*	5.5%	4.15*	67.4%*	0.24*	3.4%	1.21*	24.3%*	0.33*	5.0%
-3	OCM	190	5.44	11.6%	2.77	4.3%	15.44	81.7%	0.69	2.4%	2.87	11.5%	1.09	4.4%
	Comparison	319	3.71*	10.4%	2.31	5.6%	7.97*	81.0%	0.42	2.0%	1.41*	11.8%	0.59*	5.2%
	National	2,126	1.90*	7.5%*	1.36*	5.6%	4.28*	67.4%*	0.26*	3.3%	1.25*	24.2%*	0.34*	5.0%
-2	OCM	190	5.99	12.1%	2.86	4.4%	15.98	81.5%	0.77	2.6%	3.04	11.7%	1.08	4.2%
	Comparison	319	4.03*	10.6%	2.40	5.9%	8.18*	80.8%	0.45*	2.0%	1.54*	12.1%	0.63*	5.1%

## **Market Characteristics Detailed Results**

Exhibit A4-1: Market Supply Characteristics of Practices in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	N		s per opulation		lists per opulation		Beds per opulation
Feriou	Till Type		Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	National	1,986	8.32	2.91	11.27	8.34	37.14	22.32
-8	OCM	184	8.64	2.51	12.29	7.37	38.52	21.37
	Comparison	304	8.48	3.33	11.43	9.73	40.52	29.78
	National	2,025	8.31	2.89	11.25	8.31	37.08	21.96
-7	OCM	187	8.63	2.52	12.23	7.33	38.43	21.73
	Comparison	313	8.45	3.25	11.41	9.50	40.30	28.99
	National	2,070	8.45	2.95	11.19	8.18	35.62	20.80
-6	OCM	188	8.75	2.60	12.09	7.36	36.73	19.67
	Comparison	316	8.64	3.31	11.29	9.28	38.97	28.61
	National	2,098	8.46	2.96	11.15	8.15	35.54	20.95
-5	OCM	189	8.79	2.59	12.12	7.31	36.56	19.71
	Comparison	318	8.70	3.41	11.40	9.48	39.41	29.57
	National	2,134	8.46	2.94	12.21	8.97	35.52	21.22
-4	OCM	189	8.84	2.57	13.38	7.96	36.60	19.68
	Comparison	319	8.72	3.35	12.56	10.62	39.22	29.03
	National	2,141	8.47	2.95	12.24	9.02	35.50	21.03
-3	OCM	190	8.80	2.57	13.31	7.93	36.65	19.58
	Comparison	319	8.76	3.40	12.61	10.74	39.30	29.49
	National	2,126	8.43	2.94	12.17	8.96	35.39	21.31
-2	OCM	190	8.79	2.58	13.33	7.96	36.68	19.51
	Comparison	319	8.72	3.42	12.54	10.71	39.15	29.59

Exhibit A4-2: Healthcare Utilization and Plan Enrollment in Markets in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	N		sits per opulation		eficiaries per opulation	Proportion of Medicare Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Advantage Mean Std Dev		
			Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	
	National	1,986	4921.24	2346.61	1643.96	380.95	25.7%*	13.44	
-8	OCM	184	5084.41	2386.37	1622.70	320.81	27.7%	12.83	
	Comparison	304	5157.99	2645.92	1650.70	395.71	26.5%	13.55	
	National	2,025	4916.18	2318.36	1641.61	379.36	25.7%	13.45	
-7	OCM	187	5034.41	2426.30	1626.73	326.51	27.5%	12.79	
	Comparison	313	5177.81	2627.33	1645.50	398.83	26.9%	13.67	
	National	2,070	5102.83	2711.28	1680.68	384.84	27.2%	13.57	
-6	OCM	188	5127.39	2503.78	1667.04	326.03	28.8%	12.99	
	Comparison	316	5445.29	4585.31	1683.53	400.75	28.5%	13.58	
	National	2,098	5092.64	2745.07	1682.67	386.44	27.1%	13.50	
-5	OCM	189	5036.03	2357.97	1658.18	322.58	29.1%	12.93	
	Comparison	318	5463.26	4776.85	1687.05	398.68	28.4%	13.60	
	National	2,134	5084.18	2732.25	1718.98	397.77	29.2%	13.59	
-4	OCM	189	5035.46	2356.86	1697.92	336.96	30.9%	12.48	
	Comparison	319	5418.55	4652.45	1724.74	405.80	30.5%	13.62	
	National	2,141	5087.02	2745.72	1721.11	398.69	29.2%	13.55	
-3	OCM	190	5033.86	2348.25	1700.58	335.32	30.9%	12.39	
	Comparison	319	5424.52	4750.79	1724.01	406.06	30.4%	13.61	
	National	2,126	5068.13	2768.00	1753.06	403.31	30.3%	13.79	
-2	OCM	190	5029.15	2356.86	1733.99	344.82	32.1%	12.55	
	Comparison	319	5402.83	4768.63	1757.57	410.41	31.7%	13.77	

**Subgroup Analyses Detailed Results** 

Exhibit A5-1: Cancer-Related E&M Services per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Practice/				Avera	nge Number of Ca	ncer-Related E&	M Services			
Period	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	7.87*	8.56*	3.57*	3.36*	5.92	8.75*	5.93*	6.35	5.57*	7.60*
-8	OCM	8.05	9.10	3.77	4.03	5.90	9.43	6.21	6.51	6.69	7.88
-0	Comparison	7.57*	8.04*	3.46*	3.33*	5.71*	8.29*	5.62*	6.18	5.33*	7.26*
	NONC	7.91*	8.41*	3.47*	3.00*	6.09*	8.38*	5.87*	6.34	5.05*	7.58*
	National	7.66*	8.48*	3.48*	3.38*	5.63	8.54*	5.55*	6.20	5.25*	7.39*
-7	OCM	7.92	9.21	3.69	4.01	5.62	9.07	5.82	6.54	6.36	7.73
-/	Comparison	7.34*	7.84*	3.36*	3.34*	5.45*	8.25*	5.20*	6.09	5.00*	7.06*
	NONC	7.62*	8.22*	3.38*	3.03*	5.78	8.22*	5.56	5.85*	4.75*	7.30*
	National	7.76*	8.44*	3.38*	3.35*	5.79	8.70*	5.68*	6.21	5.46*	7.42*
	OCM	8.05	9.12	3.56	3.94	5.83	9.40	5.95	6.55	6.67	7.73
-6	Comparison	7.46*	7.93*	3.30*	3.33*	5.63*	8.34*	5.45*	6.06	5.26*	7.17*
	NONC	7.69*	8.16*	3.29*	3.02*	5.87	8.18*	5.60*	5.95*	4.82*	7.32*
	National	7.57*	8.20*	3.33*	3.30*	5.76	8.50*	5.42*	5.92*	5.36*	7.26*
-5	OCM	7.83	8.85	3.52	3.97	5.86	9.04	5.75	6.41	6.59	7.59
-5	Comparison	7.28*	7.69*	3.26*	3.27*	5.48*	8.36*	5.13*	5.58*	5.23*	6.90*
	NONC	7.53*	7.94*	3.21*	2.93*	5.86	8.03*	5.31*	5.64*	4.69*	7.22*
	National	7.58*	8.36*	3.34*	3.28*	5.79*	8.66*	5.45*	6.10	5.26*	7.30*
-4	OCM	7.86	9.06	3.52	3.86	5.93	9.09	5.77	6.12	6.67	7.60
-4	Comparison	7.25*	7.80*	3.27*	3.26*	5.48*	8.24*	5.08*	6.23	5.03*	6.97*
	NONC	7.54*	8.11*	3.24*	2.96*	5.89	8.56*	5.41*	5.91	4.55*	7.27*
	National	7.47*	8.12*	3.27*	3.31*	5.65	8.40*	5.19*	6.26*	5.24*	7.13*
-3	OCM	7.74	8.76	3.44	3.91	5.73	9.04	5.40	6.75	6.55	7.43
-3	Comparison	7.19*	7.60*	3.19*	3.28*	5.38*	8.06*	4.90*	6.14*	5.05*	6.83*
	NONC	7.41*	7.89*	3.17*	2.99*	5.76	8.04*	5.21	5.78*	4.54*	7.06*
	National	7.53*	8.15*	3.27*	3.28*	5.76	8.37*	5.27*	6.39	5.09*	7.17*
-2	OCM	7.80	8.84	3.45	3.86	5.82	8.82	5.58	6.59	6.24	7.50
	Comparison	7.26*	7.72*	3.19*	3.25*	5.52*	8.17*	4.94*	6.33	4.89*	6.83*
	NONC	7.47*	7.80*	3.17*	2.96*	5.90	8.01*	5.23*	6.19	4.47*	7.11*

Exhibit A5-2: Part B and D Chemo Services per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Practice/ TIN				Average Number	er of Part B and I	O Chemo Service	S			
Period	Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	10.08	15.50*	5.06*	2.88*	8.67	9.32*	8.52*	4.94	6.55*	11.19*
-8	OCM	10.22	16.04	5.19	3.25	8.70	10.09	8.98	5.55	7.41	11.79
-0	Comparison	9.66*	14.95*	5.05*	2.88*	8.38*	8.65*	8.39*	4.60*	6.41*	10.91*
	NONC	10.25	15.38*	4.95*	2.67*	8.86	9.01*	8.16*	4.55*	6.13*	10.81*
	National	10.13	15.55*	5.00*	3.01*	8.55	9.23	8.34*	4.88	6.42*	11.18*
-7	OCM	10.22	15.91	5.15	3.29	8.33	9.65	8.99	5.25	7.30	11.87
- /	Comparison	9.84*	15.04*	5.02*	3.01*	8.59	8.95	8.26*	4.48	6.30*	10.83*
	NONC	10.26	15.55	4.86*	2.85*	8.76*	9.00	7.75*	4.83	5.97*	10.77*
	National	10.26	15.42*	4.75*	3.09*	8.76	9.12*	8.27	4.71	6.52*	11.27*
-6	OCM	10.44	16.07	4.82	3.40	8.55	9.88	8.59	4.98	7.46	11.79
-0	Comparison	10.04*	15.02*	4.84	3.12*	8.87*	8.76*	8.28	4.60	6.54*	10.98*
	NONC	10.26	15.08*	4.63*	2.89*	8.91*	8.51*	7.94*	4.48	5.93*	10.98*
	National	10.12	15.17*	4.75*	3.12*	8.80	9.07*	8.07*	4.50	6.59*	11.44*
-5	OCM	10.17	15.73	4.86	3.49	8.70	9.76	8.70	4.64	7.54	11.97
-5	Comparison	9.98	14.58*	4.84	3.16*	8.65	8.87*	7.81*	4.47	6.73*	10.99*
	NONC	10.19	15.07*	4.58*	2.88*	9.04*	8.50*	7.63*	4.35	5.93*	11.29*
	National	10.09	15.44*	4.75*	3.16*	8.94	9.22	7.86	4.85	6.51*	11.64*
-4	OCM	10.10	15.85	4.83	3.47	8.77	9.54	8.06	4.72	7.59	11.99
-4	Comparison	9.90	14.70*	4.85	3.21*	8.87	9.04	7.78	5.12	6.53*	11.37*
	NONC	10.24	15.59	4.60*	2.94*	9.17*	9.01	7.72	4.70	5.83*	11.50*
	National	10.07	15.13	4.69*	3.31*	8.82*	9.22	7.68	5.04	6.54*	11.64*
-3	OCM	10.00	15.31	4.77	3.68	8.55	9.63	7.71	5.09	7.49	11.95
-3	Comparison	9.90	14.85*	4.78	3.37*	8.78	9.01	7.59	5.16	6.56*	11.38*
	NONC	10.29*	15.19	4.54*	3.05*	9.14*	8.96	7.73	4.84	5.92*	11.54*
	National	10.12	15.57	4.71*	3.37*	9.00*	8.78	7.86	5.99	6.50*	11.92*
-2	OCM	10.09	15.91	4.80	3.75	8.66	8.91	7.88	6.04	7.33	12.20
-2	Comparison	10.03	15.42*	4.81	3.40*	8.93	8.97	7.97	6.13	6.38*	11.72*
	NONC	10.23	15.34*	4.55*	3.12*	9.49*	8.45	7.74	5.72	6.03*	11.81*

Exhibit A5-3: ED Visits per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Practice/				Average N	Number of Eme	rgency Departm	ent Visits			
Period	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	1.08	0.76	0.40	0.50*	0.66	1.13	0.72	0.82	0.72*	0.89
-8	OCM	1.06	0.75	0.40	0.54	0.64	1.12	0.74	0.83	0.81	0.88
-0	Comparison	1.04	0.76	0.40	0.50*	0.65	1.09	0.70	0.86	0.75	0.88
	NONC	1.13*	0.78	0.40	0.49*	0.69*	1.16	0.73	0.77	0.66*	0.91*
	National	1.08*	0.80	0.40	0.53*	0.66*	1.14	0.74	0.91	0.75*	0.90
-7	OCM	1.05	0.77	0.40	0.56	0.63	1.10	0.71	0.92	0.86	0.87
-1	Comparison	1.03	0.78	0.40	0.50*	0.67	1.19	0.72	0.97	0.73*	0.87
	NONC	1.16*	0.84*	0.41	0.52*	0.70*	1.14	0.77	0.82	0.69*	0.94*
	National	1.08	0.80	0.38	0.51*	0.66*	1.12	0.72	0.82	0.72*	0.89
-6	OCM	1.05	0.79	0.38	0.54	0.62	1.07	0.72	0.82	0.84	0.87
-0	Comparison	1.06	0.76	0.38	0.49*	0.66	1.14	0.72	0.82	0.73*	0.87
	NONC	1.13*	0.84*	0.39	0.49*	0.69*	1.15	0.72	0.82	0.64*	0.91*
	National	1.07	0.80	0.37	0.52*	0.68*	1.14	0.72	0.85	0.74*	0.89
-5	OCM	1.04	0.77	0.37	0.57	0.65	1.10	0.72	0.86	0.84	0.88
-0	Comparison	1.02	0.77	0.38	0.51*	0.69	1.17	0.69	0.88	0.76	0.88
	NONC	1.13*	0.85*	0.37	0.50*	0.71*	1.17	0.74	0.83*	0.66*	0.91*
	National	1.08*	0.82	0.38	0.52*	0.68	1.16	0.73	1.01	0.76*	0.91
-4	OCM	1.05	0.80	0.37	0.57	0.65	1.13	0.72	0.97	0.86	0.90
-4	Comparison	1.05	0.83	0.38	0.49*	0.70	1.15	0.72	1.01	0.71*	0.90
	NONC	1.14*	0.83	0.39*	0.52*	0.70*	1.19	0.75	1.08	0.72*	0.92
	National	1.08	0.84	0.39	0.54*	0.70	1.16	0.75	0.93	0.75*	0.92
-3	OCM	1.05	0.82	0.38	0.59	0.67	1.08	0.71	0.93	0.90	0.91
-5	Comparison	1.06	0.82	0.39	0.52*	0.69	1.18	0.77*	0.95	0.74*	0.90
	NONC	1.14*	0.87*	0.39*	0.53*	0.74*	1.21*	0.77*	0.92	0.66*	0.94*
	National	1.11	0.82	0.39*	0.53*	0.70	1.16	0.74*	0.88	0.75*	0.91*
-2	OCM	1.09	0.81	0.37	0.56	0.68	1.12	0.68	0.88	0.87	0.89
-2	Comparison	1.07	0.82	0.39*	0.52*	0.69	1.21	0.80*	0.88	0.70*	0.90
	NONC	1.18*	0.84	0.40*	0.52*	0.74*	1.18	0.76*	0.88	0.71*	0.95*

Exhibit A5-4: SNF Stays per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

	Practice/				Average I	Number of Skill	ed Nursing Fac	ility Stays			•
Performance Period	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09
-8	OCM	0.09	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.09
-0	Comparison	0.09	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.10	0.08	0.08
	NONC	0.11*	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.16	0.08*	0.09	0.07	0.10*
	National	0.11	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.15	0.07	0.13	0.09	0.10
-7	OCM	0.11	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.15	0.07	0.14	0.10	0.09
-/	Comparison	0.10	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.09
	NONC	0.12*	0.10*	0.04*	0.07	0.09	0.16	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.11*
	National	0.10	0.08	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.07	0.10	0.08*	0.09
-6	OCM	0.10	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.08
-0	Comparison	0.09	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.06	0.10	0.08	0.08
	NONC	0.10	0.08*	0.04	0.05	0.09*	0.15	0.07	0.11	0.06*	0.09*
	National	0.11	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.14	0.07	0.12	0.09	0.10
-5	OCM	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.15	0.10	0.10
-0	Comparison	0.10	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.06	0.09*	0.09	0.09
	NONC	0.12	0.10*	0.04	0.07	0.11*	0.16*	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.10
	National	0.10	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.07	0.12	0.08	0.09
-4	OCM	0.09	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.14	0.09	0.09
-4	Comparison	0.09	0.06	0.03	0.05*	0.08	0.11	0.06	0.08*	0.07	0.08*
	NONC	0.11*	0.08	0.04*	0.05	0.08	0.15	0.08	0.13	0.09	0.10
	National	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.15*	0.08	0.13	0.09*	0.10
-3	OCM	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.08	0.12	0.12	0.10
-5	Comparison	0.11	0.07	0.03*	0.06*	0.09	0.17*	0.08	0.14	0.08*	0.09*
	NONC	0.12*	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.10*	0.17*	0.09	0.14	0.07*	0.11*
	National	0.10	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.12	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.09
-2	OCM	0.10	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.06	0.10	0.08	0.09
-2	Comparison	0.08*	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.08*
	NONC	0.11*	0.08*	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.12	0.07	0.11	0.06*	0.10*

Exhibit A5-5: SNF Days per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Practice/	_		Averaç	je Skilled Nursi	ng Facility Day	s (among episo	des with 1+ SN	IF stay)		
Period	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	25.35	28.29	32.75	33.10	29.92	37.42	32.21	20.63	28.88	28.72
-8	OCM	25.17	29.52	31.89	30.74	30.13	34.77	32.97	21.71	27.12	29.49
-0	Comparison	25.44	28.60	33.26	32.18	27.28	39.38	30.45	19.03	28.23	28.89
	NONC	25.43	27.12	33.14	34.96	31.42	38.91	32.50	21.18	30.57	27.98
	National	26.58	28.05	36.33	34.55	31.41	40.76	31.50	24.84	26.52	30.14
-7	OCM	26.12	28.42	34.04	33.27	31.01	41.37	27.24	29.30	29.66	30.02
-/	Comparison	26.38	28.65	34.25	32.11	31.10	36.93	29.14	18.54*	26.23	30.68
	NONC	27.10	27.43	39.50*	36.67	32.07	42.55	37.10*	24.76	24.62	29.90
	National	26.86	26.83	32.46	31.97	29.40	38.23	29.10	27.32	24.08	28.85
-6	OCM	27.45	28.87	30.55	29.75	30.50	37.38	30.21	31.29	22.87	28.63
-0	Comparison	26.31	23.58*	32.86	32.71	26.57	38.46	27.66	27.61	26.42	28.64
	NONC	26.70	27.04	33.90*	32.94	30.35	38.94	29.05	23.10	23.49	29.19
	National	26.43	28.46	35.46	32.50	32.84	41.77	31.72	24.33	28.10	29.73
-5	OCM	26.82	28.08	33.98	33.05	32.10	41.33	27.64	24.75	25.65	30.32
-0	Comparison	26.65	26.70	35.01	31.72	33.53	40.05	35.21	20.09	30.33	28.99
	NONC	25.92	29.90	37.04	32.63	33.07	43.39	33.46	27.64	28.50	29.69
	National	26.10	26.67	31.75	31.78	29.04	35.49	30.46	27.93	27.19	29.37
-4	OCM	26.52	26.20	30.13	31.60	28.86	33.75	30.98	29.15	26.57	29.25
-4	Comparison	24.56	26.57	30.15	29.52	29.57	32.02	29.25	24.51	26.27	29.57
	NONC	26.74	27.17	34.14*	33.20	28.83	39.53	30.73	29.09	28.17	29.34
	National	26.34	28.81	33.84	33.19	32.79	38.26	28.91	28.96	27.27	30.69
-3	OCM	25.82	28.36	31.97	31.86	31.07	33.25	28.99	30.81	23.65	30.41
-5	Comparison	25.70	28.30	34.07	34.84	31.60	39.36	28.84	25.40	29.58*	30.10
	NONC	27.29	29.50	35.39*	33.05	35.11*	41.21	28.91	30.78	29.22*	31.40
	National	26.14*	27.13	29.36	31.08	29.71	40.07	28.23	26.42	26.20	28.22
-2	OCM	23.18	26.73	28.17	30.61	28.05	35.53	27.07	26.16	23.55	28.78
-2	Comparison	26.90*	27.32	29.90	30.09	29.72	41.30	30.77	27.96	25.90	27.80
	NONC	28.45*	27.36	30.08	32.06	31.55	44.11*	27.35	25.38	28.67	27.98

Exhibit A5-6: Proportion of Episodes Utilizing Radiation Therapy by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Dorformanaa	Dragtical				Proportion	of Episodes U	tilizing Radiatio	n Therapy			
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	38.1%	13.4%	13.1%	14.5%	8.5%	62.9%	2.1%	27.3%	14.5%	17.4%
-8	OCM	38.0%	13.2%	13.3%	13.7%	8.4%	64.3%	2.4%	28.8%	18.6%	17.6%
-0	Comparison	39.2%	13.7%	13.3%	13.4%	8.5%	62.1%	2.0%	26.5%	15.5%	17.5%
	NONC	37.5%	13.3%	12.8%	15.5%	8.7%	62.0%	1.9%	26.3%	11.5%	16.9%
	National	37.1%	13.9%	11.9%	13.2%	8.0%	62.9%	2.1%	27.1%	13.8%	16.9%
-7	OCM	36.3%	13.5%	12.2%	12.5%	7.3%	61.6%	1.9%	30.4%	17.1%	16.8%
-7	Comparison	37.7%	13.7%	12.3%	11.8%	8.3%	63.4%	2.3%	25.2%	14.3%	17.0%
	NONC	37.4%	14.6%	11.4%	14.4%	8.4%	64.0%	2.0%	24.6%	11.6%	17.0%
	National	37.9%	14.4%	12.2%	14.0%	8.4%	63.7%	1.8%	26.7%	14.0%	16.7%
	OCM	37.7%	14.3%	12.7%	12.7%	8.2%	63.8%	1.9%	28.6%	19.2%	16.6%
-6	Comparison	38.1%	14.8%	12.2%	13.3%	8.8%	64.9%	1.9%	27.4%	14.4%	16.9%
	NONC	37.9%	14.1%	11.9%	15.1%	8.5%	62.5%	1.7%	23.5%	10.6%	16.7%
	National	37.2%	14.2%	11.8%	13.9%	8.4%	64.6%	1.7%	26.2%	13.7%	16.6%
-5	OCM	37.2%	14.0%	11.9%	13.6%	8.3%	63.2%	1.6%	24.4%	17.7%	16.4%
-0	Comparison	37.7%	14.0%	11.9%	13.0%	8.7%	66.1%	2.0%	29.1%	14.2%	16.9%
	NONC	36.9%	14.5%	11.7%	14.6%	8.2%	65.0%	1.5%	25.3%	10.8%	16.4%
	National	37.9%	14.5%	12.2%	14.2%	8.7%	63.9%	1.9%	27.3%	13.6%	16.4%
-4	OCM	38.1%	14.7%	12.3%	14.3%	8.8%	63.7%	2.2%	26.8%	19.3%	16.4%
-4	Comparison	38.6%	14.7%	12.1%	13.2%	9.0%	63.7%	1.6%	28.5%	12.3%	16.5%
	NONC	37.3%	14.3%	12.1%	14.7%	8.4%	64.4%	1.9%	26.4%	11.0%	16.2%
	National	38.0%	14.4%	11.9%	14.3%	8.4%	64.2%	1.8%	25.2%	13.7%	16.5%
-3	OCM	37.7%	14.4%	11.8%	13.6%	7.9%	63.7%	2.0%	24.3%	18.3%	16.3%
-5	Comparison	38.1%	14.4%	11.9%	13.4%	8.9%	64.0%	2.0%	27.0%	13.4%	16.6%
	NONC	38.3%	14.3%	11.8%	15.3%	8.5%	65.0%	1.5%	24.3%	11.1%	16.7%
	National	38.1%	14.4%	12.2%	15.0%	8.3%	64.8%	1.8%	21.3%	14.0%	16.2%
	OCM	36.8%	14.8%	12.2%	13.9%	7.7%	63.9%	1.8%	22.1%	18.1%	15.9%
-2	Comparison	38.8%	13.6%	12.4%	14.4%	8.4%	64.6%	2.0%	20.9%	12.8%	16.5%
	NONC	38.7%	14.5%	12.0%	16.1%	8.9%	65.9%	1.7%	20.6%	12.0%	16.3%

**Source:** Episode characteristics file, 2012-2015.

Exhibit A5-7: Radiation Therapy Visits per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Dorformanaa	Dragtical	•	Av	erage Number	of Radiation T	herapy Visits (a	mong episode	s with 1+ radia	tion therapy vis	sit)	
Performance Period	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	19.35	17.95	19.86	24.76*	16.32	25.51	12.97	10.65	20.39	18.05
-8	OCM	19.24	17.64	19.79	21.68	15.84	25.33	13.75	10.26	20.64	18.06
-0	Comparison	19.25	17.92	19.81	23.27*	17.00*	25.64	10.84	11.08	19.85	18.09
	NONC	19.53	18.26	19.96	27.08*	16.32	25.61	13.71	10.71	20.60	17.99
	National	19.17	18.42	17.96	24.33*	15.77	26.72	13.20	10.29	20.58	18.35
-7	OCM	19.24	18.12	17.58	20.94	15.41	26.83	13.96	11.15	20.22	18.32
-7	Comparison	18.96	18.15	17.89	22.93*	15.43	26.29	13.51	9.74	21.46	18.11
	NONC	19.26	18.88	18.37*	26.77*	16.35	26.94	12.20	9.41	20.24	18.57
	National	19.62	18.62	18.02	25.47*	15.55	26.86	14.00	9.86	21.41	18.38
4	OCM	19.31	18.49	17.78	21.73	15.91	26.73	13.93	9.27	20.71	18.63
-6	Comparison	20.03*	18.03	17.85	24.09*	14.97	26.70	14.32	9.74	20.93*	18.07
	NONC	19.60	19.21	18.36*	28.03*	15.63	27.18	13.81	10.91	22.61	18.39
	National	19.15	18.45*	17.55	24.00*	15.70	27.20	12.78	9.17	21.03	18.05
-5	OCM	19.34	17.61	17.37	20.34	15.66	26.70	15.13	8.57	20.48	18.00
-5	Comparison	18.84	19.16*	17.29	23.12*	15.87	27.34	12.52	9.29	20.03*	17.70
	NONC	19.21	18.73*	17.91	26.48*	15.58	27.61	10.36*	9.79	22.42	18.40
	National	19.46	18.66	17.57	25.14*	15.58	26.79	14.89	9.81	20.54	17.77
-4	OCM	19.54	19.20	17.18	21.96	16.08	26.49	14.52	9.11	20.03	17.94
-4	Comparison	19.24	18.56	17.84*	24.18*	14.95*	26.85	16.68	10.83	19.87	17.51
	NONC	19.57	18.19*	17.74*	27.50*	15.53	27.05	14.08	9.51	21.59	17.83
	National	19.42	18.43	17.09	24.81*	15.33	27.22	12.25	9.29	20.61	18.16
-3	OCM	19.36	18.57	17.17	20.69	15.45	27.60	12.45	8.53	20.05	17.96
-5	Comparison	19.41	18.36	17.02	23.80*	14.72	27.60	12.99	8.26	20.48	18.15
	NONC	19.50	18.34	17.08	27.55*	15.72	26.46*	11.14	11.55*	21.31	18.36
	National	18.97	18.50	16.75	25.09*	15.09	26.50	12.65	8.61	21.11	17.67
-2	OCM	18.98	18.75	16.62	21.39	14.86	26.26	11.94	7.91	20.67	17.54
-2	Comparison	18.83	18.17	16.81	24.63*	15.15	26.46	11.30	9.68	21.02	17.57
	NONC	19.08	18.50	16.83	27.30*	15.28	26.82	14.70	8.30	21.62	17.88

Exhibit A5-8: Total Cost of Care per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Practice/ TIN				Average Standard	dized Part A and	B, and Part D Co	sts			
Period	Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	\$33,330*	\$37,415*	\$12,702*	\$14,554*	\$33,783	\$38,036	\$36,268	\$56,061	\$18,766*	\$33,725*
-8	OCM	\$34,135	\$38,611	\$13,407	\$16,890	\$34,052	\$39,169	\$37,120	\$56,314	\$22,685	\$34,398
-0	Comparison	\$32,216*	\$36,417*	\$12,590*	\$14,428*	\$33,530	\$36,454*	\$36,295	\$59,667	\$19,098*	\$33,419*
	NONC	\$33,347*	\$36,991*	\$12,152*	\$13,309*	\$33,687	\$38,035	\$35,392*	\$51,615	\$16,263*	\$33,299*
	National	\$34,328*	\$37,639*	\$12,884*	\$15,078*	\$34,093	\$38,223	\$37,038	\$57,609	\$18,584*	\$34,592*
-7	OCM	\$34,971	\$38,424	\$13,712	\$17,391	\$34,085	\$38,218	\$37,378	\$57,315	\$22,061	\$35,339
-/	Comparison	\$33,398*	\$37,031*	\$12,817*	\$14,716*	\$34,157	\$38,850	\$37,236	\$63,513	\$18,845*	\$34,369*
	NONC	\$34,376	\$37,310*	\$12,185*	\$13,943*	\$34,055	\$37,756	\$36,552	\$50,896	\$16,347*	\$34,030*
	National	\$35,232*	\$35,296*	\$12,679*	\$15,950*	\$35,570	\$38,357	\$39,430	\$57,504	\$18,673*	\$35,819*
-6	OCM	\$36,216	\$36,381	\$13,301	\$18,319	\$35,381	\$39,011	\$39,862	\$57,671	\$22,880	\$36,676
-0	Comparison	\$34,601*	\$34,950*	\$12,810*	\$15,991*	\$35,945	\$38,386	\$39,406	\$63,756*	\$19,141*	\$35,753*
	NONC	\$34,729*	\$34,514*	\$12,022*	\$14,570*	\$35,486	\$37,523	\$39,015	\$50,709*	\$15,782*	\$35,016*
	National	\$35,194*	\$34,362*	\$12,573*	\$16,534*	\$36,653	\$37,755	\$38,506	\$61,276	\$18,836*	\$35,764*
-5	OCM	\$35,944	\$35,331	\$13,294	\$19,223	\$36,911	\$38,325	\$39,018	\$64,734	\$22,451	\$36,496
-5	Comparison	\$34,498*	\$33,665*	\$12,708*	\$16,714*	\$37,142	\$38,054	\$38,193	\$65,290	\$19,469*	\$35,373*
	NONC	\$34,971*	\$33,938*	\$11,817*	\$14,850*	\$35,966	\$36,886	\$38,225	\$51,909*	\$16,212*	\$35,347*
	National	\$35,535	\$34,613*	\$12,964*	\$17,119*	\$37,695	\$38,129	\$40,988	\$62,236	\$19,105*	\$36,776*
-4	OCM	\$36,045	\$35,672	\$13,561	\$19,707	\$37,693	\$38,085	\$40,954	\$62,745	\$23,717	\$37,492
-4	Comparison	\$34,859*	\$34,294*	\$13,152*	\$17,134*	\$38,347	\$37,997	\$41,736	\$66,882	\$18,906*	\$36,752*
	NONC	\$35,559	\$33,813*	\$12,282*	\$15,593*	\$37,170	\$38,295	\$40,415	\$55,881*	\$16,397*	\$36,060*
	National	\$35,932*	\$34,246*	\$13,161*	\$17,586*	\$38,731	\$38,818	\$40,725	\$66,753	\$19,469*	\$36,861*
-3	OCM	\$36,877	\$35,381	\$13,830	\$19,922	\$38,926	\$39,055	\$40,328	\$67,221	\$24,140	\$37,390
-3	Comparison	\$35,394*	\$33,341*	\$13,269*	\$17,769*	\$38,771	\$39,502	\$41,964*	\$72,036	\$19,533*	\$36,907
	NONC	\$35,401*	\$33,857*	\$12,468*	\$16,095*	\$38,482	\$37,919	\$40,099	\$60,234*	\$16,477*	\$36,284*
	National	\$38,352*	\$34,852*	\$13,764*	\$18,927*	\$40,896	\$38,961	\$44,548	\$66,333	\$19,118*	\$38,975*
-2	OCM	\$39,108	\$35,978	\$14,303	\$21,406	\$40,690	\$38,263	\$44,439	\$68,457	\$22,942	\$39,636
-2	Comparison	\$37,924*	\$34,479*	\$13,990*	\$19,039*	\$41,619	\$40,764*	\$45,389	\$66,954	\$18,791*	\$38,845*
	NONC	\$37,924*	\$34,004*	\$13,087*	\$17,354*	\$40,530	\$38,075	\$43,957	\$62,574*	\$16,798*	\$38,401*

Exhibit A5-9: Cancer-Related E&M Costs per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance											
Period	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	\$566*	\$587*	\$247*	\$230*	\$416*	\$607*	\$402*	\$459*	\$379*	\$540*
-8	OCM	\$609	\$639	\$274	\$293	\$436	\$690	\$444	\$503	\$495	\$593
-0	Comparison	\$522*	\$537*	\$227*	\$220*	\$385*	\$553*	\$361*	\$419*	\$356*	\$488*
	NONC	\$555*	\$573*	\$235*	\$202*	\$417*	\$559*	\$390*	\$449*	\$324*	\$529*
	National	\$541*	\$573*	\$229*	\$222*	\$382*	\$587*	\$361*	\$433*	\$346*	\$513*
-7	OCM	\$589	\$639	\$257	\$280	\$401	\$652	\$399	\$475	\$450	\$566
-7	Comparison	\$499*	\$517*	\$209*	\$209*	\$351*	\$543*	\$318*	\$411*	\$324*	\$468*
	NONC	\$526*	\$549*	\$219*	\$196*	\$384*	\$551*	\$355*	\$397*	\$298*	\$497*
	National	\$565*	\$589*	\$237*	\$235*	\$412*	\$613*	\$392*	\$444*	\$377*	\$534*
-6	OCM	\$622	\$653	\$262	\$293	\$435	\$698	\$437	\$479	\$498	\$586
-0	Comparison	\$516*	\$536*	\$219*	\$223*	\$381*	\$561*	\$352*	\$418*	\$355*	\$489*
	NONC	\$547*	\$566*	\$227*	\$208*	\$411*	\$558*	\$378*	\$427*	\$317*	\$518*
	National	\$536*	\$555*	\$218*	\$218*	\$394*	\$585*	\$353*	\$413*	\$358*	\$506*
-5	OCM	\$587	\$619	\$243	\$280	\$424	\$651	\$401	\$469	\$476	\$558
-5	Comparison	\$489*	\$502*	\$202*	\$205*	\$355*	\$547*	\$314*	\$367*	\$338*	\$456*
	NONC	\$519*	\$533*	\$207*	\$190*	\$391*	\$546*	\$335*	\$391*	\$298*	\$493*
	National	\$563*	\$594*	\$238*	\$234*	\$421*	\$623*	\$381*	\$450	\$374*	\$536*
-4	OCM	\$617	\$659	\$263	\$290	\$454	\$693	\$431	\$470	\$506	\$588
-4	Comparison	\$515*	\$538*	\$221*	\$224*	\$381*	\$560*	\$334*	\$446	\$351*	\$486*
	NONC	\$547*	\$572*	\$228*	\$207*	\$416*	\$600*	\$368*	\$426*	\$307*	\$524*
	National	\$535*	\$556*	\$216*	\$222*	\$391*	\$581*	\$342*	\$441*	\$357*	\$500*
-3	OCM	\$589	\$617	\$239	\$277	\$418	\$664	\$379	\$492	\$487	\$551
-5	Comparison	\$489*	\$504*	\$199*	\$212*	\$357*	\$532*	\$304*	\$408*	\$328*	\$454*
	NONC	\$516*	\$536*	\$207*	\$196*	\$389*	\$539*	\$336*	\$412*	\$295*	\$486*
	National	\$563*	\$584*	\$234*	\$237*	\$422*	\$609*	\$372*	\$470*	\$363*	\$530*
-2	OCM	\$617	\$652	\$259	\$294	\$447	\$677	\$414	\$499	\$476	\$584
-2	Comparison	\$522*	\$538*	\$218*	\$226*	\$388*	\$570*	\$333*	\$447*	\$335*	\$483*
	NONC	\$542*	\$552*	\$223*	\$209*	\$421*	\$565*	\$360*	\$456*	\$306*	\$515*

Exhibit A5-10: Part B and D Chemotherapy Cost per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Practice/ TIN				Part B a	nd D Chemother	apy Costs				
Period	Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	\$9,266	\$16,050	\$3,260*	\$3,599*	\$15,763	\$7,705	\$16,800	\$36,637	\$1,763*	\$11,565*
-8	OCM	\$9,521	\$16,440	\$3,632	\$4,708	\$16,069	\$7,983	\$16,957	\$36,367	\$2,147	\$11,793
-0	Comparison	\$9,254	\$15,814*	\$3,250*	\$3,768*	\$15,355*	\$7,201	\$16,706	\$39,336	\$1,703*	\$11,580
	NONC	\$9,023*	\$15,850*	\$2,936*	\$2,871*	\$15,742	\$7,793	\$16,714	\$33,897	\$1,572*	\$11,331*
	National	\$10,134*	\$15,159	\$3,548*	\$3,996*	\$16,721	\$7,754	\$17,903	\$37,402	\$1,420*	\$12,294*
-7	OCM	\$10,539	\$15,480	\$3,966	\$5,182	\$16,647	\$8,039	\$18,119	\$36,603	\$1,746	\$12,669
- /	Comparison	\$10,191	\$15,109	\$3,550*	\$4,187*	\$16,806	\$7,894	\$17,618	\$43,063*	\$1,319*	\$12,244*
	NONC	\$9,690*	\$14,887*	\$3,172*	\$3,183*	\$16,738	\$7,353	\$17,908	\$31,726	\$1,288*	\$11,964*
	National	\$10,648*	\$12,592*	\$3,440*	\$4,717*	\$17,749	\$7,269	\$19,379	\$38,372	\$1,398*	\$13,247*
-6	OCM	\$11,067	\$13,187	\$3,753	\$6,115	\$17,885	\$7,647	\$19,369	\$38,146	\$1,698	\$13,608
-0	Comparison	\$10,782	\$12,668*	\$3,567*	\$4,984*	\$17,875	\$7,135	\$19,038	\$43,708*	\$1,276*	\$13,477
	NONC	\$10,119*	\$11,969*	\$3,066*	\$3,754*	\$17,505	\$6,925	\$19,664	\$33,029	\$1,287*	\$12,703*
	National	\$10,926	\$12,135	\$3,698*	\$4,964*	\$18,192	\$7,405	\$19,439	\$42,324	\$1,425	\$13,716*
-5	OCM	\$11,082	\$12,423	\$4,093	\$6,401	\$18,421	\$8,098	\$20,002	\$44,632	\$1,675	\$14,171
-5	Comparison	\$11,136	\$12,218	\$3,857*	\$5,237*	\$18,172	\$7,234	\$18,786*	\$46,349	\$1,407	\$13,564*
	NONC	\$10,600*	\$11,788*	\$3,222*	\$3,956*	\$17,950	\$6,791*	\$19,394	\$34,508*	\$1,281*	\$13,382*
	National	\$11,243	\$12,444	\$3,954*	\$5,756*	\$19,631	\$7,577	\$21,724	\$45,371	\$1,584*	\$14,837
-4	OCM	\$11,460	\$12,567	\$4,351	\$7,212	\$19,809	\$7,843	\$21,521	\$44,751	\$2,357	\$15,107
-4	Comparison	\$11,346	\$12,487	\$4,068*	\$6,111*	\$19,857	\$7,260	\$22,179	\$50,273*	\$1,360*	\$14,838
	NONC	\$10,937*	\$12,288	\$3,508*	\$4,675*	\$19,247	\$7,561	\$21,565	\$40,342	\$1,258*	\$14,559*
	National	\$11,211*	\$12,254	\$4,171*	\$5,943*	\$20,323	\$7,739	\$21,087	\$49,250	\$1,588*	\$14,518
-3	OCM	\$11,702	\$12,371	\$4,630	\$7,220	\$20,481	\$8,177	\$20,678	\$48,142	\$2,165	\$14,716
-3	Comparison	\$11,270	\$12,290	\$4,307*	\$6,321*	\$20,456	\$7,764	\$21,640*	\$55,726*	\$1,387*	\$14,636
	NONC	\$10,657*	\$12,111	\$3,649*	\$4,947*	\$20,041	\$7,242	\$21,044	\$43,454	\$1,359*	\$14,217*
	National	\$12,985*	\$12,370	\$4,623*	\$7,107*	\$21,846	\$7,570	\$24,056	\$47,987	\$1,604*	\$16,214
-2	OCM	\$13,472	\$12,455	\$5,044	\$8,609	\$21,675	\$7,515	\$23,963	\$48,902	\$2,095	\$16,473
-2	Comparison	\$13,443	\$12,536	\$4,836*	\$7,456*	\$22,464*	\$8,146	\$24,154	\$49,039	\$1,413*	\$16,404
	NONC	\$12,078*	\$12,150	\$4,068*	\$5,963*	\$21,528	\$7,086	\$24,068	\$45,382	\$1,408*	\$15,780*

Exhibit A5-11: Beneficiary Cost Sharing per Episode by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Practice/ TIN			Average S	tandardized Part	A and B, and Pa	rt D Beneficiary (	Cost-Sharing			
Period	Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	\$6,753	\$8,267*	\$2,929*	\$3,028*	\$7,387	\$7,730	\$6,177	\$7,877*	\$3,650*	\$6,231*
-8	OCM	\$6,851	\$8,481	\$3,087	\$3,457	\$7,349	\$7,885	\$6,340	\$9,024	\$4,287	\$6,364
-8	Comparison	\$6,619*	\$8,022*	\$2,923*	\$2,927*	\$7,316	\$7,518*	\$6,080*	\$6,742*	\$3,706*	\$6,164*
	NONC	\$6,754	\$8,236*	\$2,794*	\$2,846*	\$7,476	\$7,726	\$6,088*	\$7,705*	\$3,242*	\$6,153*
	National	\$7,414*	\$8,624	\$3,137*	\$3,342*	\$8,540	\$8,402	\$6,779	\$8,956*	\$3,984*	\$6,835*
-7	OCM	\$7,554	\$8,780	\$3,301	\$3,771	\$9,326	\$8,415	\$6,855	\$9,932	\$4,634	\$6,983
-/	Comparison	\$7,219*	\$8,412*	\$3,123*	\$3,228*	\$7,991	\$8,295	\$6,691	\$8,562*	\$4,008*	\$6,698*
	NONC	\$7,419	\$8,621	\$3,001*	\$3,161*	\$8,102	\$8,469	\$6,771	\$8,000*	\$3,580*	\$6,795*
	National	\$7,751*	\$8,241*	\$3,089*	\$3,382*	\$8,603	\$8,803	\$6,674	\$8,778	\$4,062*	\$6,931*
4	OCM	\$7,900	\$8,543	\$3,224	\$3,792	\$8,444	\$8,979	\$6,825	\$9,652	\$4,839	\$7,068
-6	Comparison	\$7,576*	\$8,104*	\$3,147	\$3,364*	\$8,649	\$8,789	\$6,611	\$8,130*	\$4,162*	\$6,822*
	NONC	\$7,738*	\$8,054*	\$2,926*	\$3,158*	\$8,741*	\$8,599	\$6,574	\$8,381*	\$3,520*	\$6,881*
	National	\$7,876*	\$8,054*	\$3,160*	\$3,681*	\$8,864	\$8,829	\$6,950*	\$10,165*	\$4,130*	\$7,145*
-5	OCM	\$8,010	\$8,314	\$3,323	\$4,183	\$8,853	\$8,800	\$7,231	\$11,880	\$4,704	\$7,280
-0	Comparison	\$7,758*	\$7,864*	\$3,212*	\$3,759*	\$8,774	\$8,922	\$6,832*	\$9,740*	\$4,279*	\$7,005*
	NONC	\$7,831*	\$7,943*	\$2,974*	\$3,340*	\$8,949	\$8,784	\$6,751*	\$8,329*	\$3,683*	\$7,123*
	National	\$7,612	\$7,874*	\$3,095*	\$3,435*	\$8,870	\$8,616	\$6,301	\$13,625	\$3,908*	\$6,809*
-4	OCM	\$7,666	\$8,085	\$3,231	\$3,881	\$8,824	\$8,463	\$6,458	\$13,863	\$4,632	\$6,927
-4	Comparison	\$7,416*	\$7,759*	\$3,155*	\$3,406*	\$8,928	\$8,604	\$6,198	\$14,592	\$3,905*	\$6,733*
	NONC	\$7,713	\$7,754*	\$2,927*	\$3,193*	\$8,875	\$8,797	\$6,222	\$12,110*	\$3,465*	\$6,752*
	National	\$8,054*	\$8,028*	\$3,272*	\$3,809*	\$9,279	\$8,979	\$6,684	\$15,468	\$4,196*	\$7,278
-3	OCM	\$8,258	\$8,252	\$3,444	\$4,209	\$9,163	\$8,959	\$6,655	\$15,542	\$4,945	\$7,358
-3	Comparison	\$7,856*	\$7,766*	\$3,312*	\$3,844*	\$9,242	\$8,932	\$6,786	\$16,763	\$4,202*	\$7,205*
	NONC	\$8,008*	\$8,017*	\$3,085*	\$3,551*	\$9,438*	\$9,045	\$6,628	\$13,923*	\$3,719*	\$7,256
	National	\$8,269*	\$7,921*	\$3,176*	\$3,595*	\$9,293	\$8,863	\$6,138	\$14,347	\$3,978*	\$7,013*
2	OCM	\$8,442	\$8,203	\$3,321	\$3,938	\$9,225	\$8,739	\$6,198	\$14,855	\$4,600	\$7,111
-2	Comparison	\$8,112*	\$7,755*	\$3,219*	\$3,599*	\$9,237	\$9,043	\$6,122	\$14,327	\$3,883*	\$6,942*
	NONC	\$8,219*	\$7,767*	\$3,008*	\$3,386*	\$9,424	\$8,840	\$6,090	\$13,657	\$3,630*	\$6,972*

Exhibit A5-12: All-Cause Mortality by Cancer Bundle in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Practice/					All-Cause M	lortality Rate				
Period	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	National	26.8%	12.3%	3.4%	21.7%	8.5%	20.5%	10.1%	28.1%	10.6%	18.4%
-8	OCM	27.7%	12.5%	3.6%	6.8%	8.2%	20.1%	11.1%	29.9%	14.0%	18.7%
-0	Comparison	26.1%	11.9%	3.5%	5.6%	8.1%	19.9%	9.8%	26.5%	11.6%	18.4%
	NONC	26.4%	12.3%	3.1%	20.7%	9.0%	21.2%	9.3%	27.7%	7.9%	18.1%
	National	27.8%	13.9%	3.7%	19.8%	8.5%	20.4%	10.4%	27.9%	11.9%	18.5%
-7	OCM	28.2%	14.4%	4.1%	7.8%	8.5%	20.2%	10.5%	28.8%	15.0%	18.9%
-/	Comparison	27.3%	13.5%	3.6%	6.0%	8.8%	19.0%	10.2%	26.4%	11.3%	18.3%
	NONC	27.9%	13.6%	3.6%	18.7%	8.2%	21.5%	10.5%	28.4%	10.4%	18.3%
	National	26.6%	13.1%	3.2%	20.8%	7.9%	20.0%	10.1%	26.3%	10.5%	17.3%
4	OCM	27.0%	12.9%	3.4%	6.8%	7.3%	19.0%	10.7%	28.4%	14.7%	17.6%
-6	Comparison	25.1%	12.9%	3.2%	5.8%	8.3%	20.7%	10.2%	26.4%	10.7%	17.2%
	NONC	27.3%	13.4%	2.9%	19.4%	8.2%	20.8%	9.5%	23.5%	7.8%	17.3%
	National	27.2%	13.5%	3.3%	20.1%	8.5%	18.9%	9.7%	28.4%	11.6%	17.4%
E	OCM	28.2%	13.9%	3.5%	7.8%	8.4%	19.5%	9.6%	29.9%	16.0%	17.5%
-5	Comparison	25.9%	13.6%	3.6%	6.1%	8.1%	18.9%	9.9%	28.9%	11.1%	17.2%
	NONC	27.2%	13.2%	3.0%	18.8%	8.9%	18.2%	9.7%	25.8%	9.2%	17.3%
	National	26.4%	12.8%	3.1%	21.1%	8.1%	19.6%	9.4%	26.1%	10.5%	17.0%
4	OCM	26.9%	13.1%	3.2%	7.2%	7.7%	20.0%	9.5%	28.7%	14.5%	17.4%
-4	Comparison	25.6%	13.0%	3.2%	5.7%	8.3%	21.0%	9.0%	23.0%	9.9%	16.9%
	NONC	26.7%	12.3%	2.9%	19.6%	8.2%	17.9%	9.8%	26.2%	8.4%	16.7%
	National	26.8%	13.5%	3.3%	19.7%	8.8%	18.4%	9.6%	24.7%	11.1%	17.4%
-3	OCM	26.8%	14.1%	3.5%	7.0%	8.4%	16.7%	10.4%	24.3%	15.3%	17.6%
-3	Comparison	26.4%	13.7%	3.3%	6.0%	9.1%	18.6%	9.0%	23.2%	11.0%	17.4%
	NONC	27.1%	12.8%	3.1%	18.5%	9.0%	19.9%	9.3%	27.1%	8.5%	17.2%
	National	24.8%	12.4%	3.0%	48.7%	7.9%	19.2%	9.0%	20.5%	11.0%	16.3%
-2	OCM	25.3%	12.4%	3.0%	6.7%	8.0%	19.6%	8.8%	23.2%	14.0%	16.7%
-2	Comparison	23.9%	12.2%	2.9%	5.6%	7.7%	18.8%	9.0%	17.7%	11.9%	16.2%
	NONC	25.0%	12.6%	3.0%	20.7%	7.9%	19.1%	9.1%	20.3%	8.3%	15.9%

Exhibit A5-13: Characteristics of Breast Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance			Number of	Percent of		Pe	rcent of Episode	es by Age Brack	cet	
Period	Group	Practice/TIN Type	Episodes	Episodes among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	21,436	18.2%	9.9%	28.4%	23.4%	16.9%	12.4%	9.0%
-8	Only	Comparison	16,571	21.6%*	11.4%*	27.1%*	23.0%	17.4%	12.3%	8.8%
	Other Therapies	OCM	11,084	14.8%†	18.1%†	28.9%	22.4%	14.6%†	9.6%†	6.4%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	7,825	17.7%*†	20.7%*†	28.9%†	21.0%*†	13.9%†	9.1%†	6.4%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	18,948	18.5%	9.8%	28.2%	23.8%	17.1%	12.0%	9.0%
-7	Only	Comparison	14,884	22.1%*	11.7%*	27.3%	23.8%	17.0%	11.2%*	9.1%
-7	Other Therapies	OCM	10,149	14.9%†	17.9%†	28.8%	21.1%†	15.0%†	10.0%†	7.2%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	7,091	18.4%*†	21.8%*†	28.3%	20.5%†	14.2%†	8.8%*†	6.4%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	22,997	15.4%	9.0%	28.5%	24.0%	17.6%	12.2%	8.8%
-6	Only	Comparison	18,254	18.9%*	10.6%*	27.4%*	23.9%	17.7%	11.6%	8.8%
-0	Other Therapies	OCM	10,610	14.3%†	17.7%†	28.4%	22.1%†	14.8%†	9.6%†	7.3%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	7,811	17.8%*†	21.1%*†	28.9%†	20.8%*†	13.8%†	8.8%†	6.4%*†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	22,496	15.2%	8.9%	28.7%	24.2%	17.8%	11.8%	8.6%
-5	Only	Comparison	17,758	18.4%*	10.6%*	28.0%	23.5%	17.2%	11.7%	8.9%
-0	Other Therapies	OCM	10,468	14.2%†	17.7%†	28.2%	22.5%†	15.2%†	9.0%†	7.5%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	7,811	18.1%*	20.8%*†	28.1%	21.3%†	14.5%†	8.7%†	6.7%*†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	24,845	14.0%	8.2%	29.2%	25.2%	18.0%	11.2%	8.3%
-4	Only	Comparison	19,931	16.9%*	10.1%*	28.7%	24.4%*	17.4%	11.1%	8.4%
-4	Other Therapies	OCM	11,024	13.4%	16.9%†	29.8%	22.4%†	14.8%†	9.0%†	7.2%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	8,289	17.2%*	19.9%*†	29.7%	20.9%*†	14.7%†	8.3%†	6.5%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	24,280	13.7%	8.2%	29.2%	24.7%	18.2%	11.3%	8.4%
-3	Only	Comparison	19,627	16.9%*	9.9%*	29.1%	24.1%	17.5%	11.0%	8.5%
-5	Other Therapies	OCM	10,958	13.4%	16.9%†	29.5%	22.8%†	14.8%†	8.8%†	7.3%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	8,258	17.1%*	20.5%*†	29.2%	22%†	14.2%†	8.3%†	5.7%*†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	26,406	12.7%	7.9%	29.9%	25.0%	17.7%	11.1%	8.4%
-2	Only	Comparison	21,102	16.0%*	9.8%*	29.2%	24.7%	17.4%	11.0%	7.9%
-2	Other Therapies	OCM	11,184	12.8%	17.0%†	29.7%	23.0%†	15.1%†	8.5%†	6.8%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	8,468	17.5%*†	20.6%*†	29.4%	22.3%†	13.8%*†	8.2%†	5.6%*†

Exhibit A5-13: Characteristics of Breast Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015), Continued

Performance		Practice/	Number of		Percent of Episodes b	y Race		Percent of
Period	Group	TIN Type	Episodes	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other	Episodes with HCC Score >= 2
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	21,436	83.3%	8.1%	6.1%	2.5%	21.2%
-8	Only	Comparison	16,571	83.6%	9.7%*	4.1%*	2.6%	22.4%*
-0	Other Therapies	OCM	11,084	81.3%†	10.6%†	5.4%†	2.7%	55.3%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	7,825	80.7%†	12.0%*†	4.5%*	2.8%	55.8%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	18,948	83.6%	7.9%	5.9%	2.7%	20.1%
-7	Only	Comparison	14,884	83.0%	10.0%*	4.2%*	2.8%	20.6%
-1	Other Therapies	OCM	10,149	81.9%†	10.2%†	4.9%†	2.9%	45.8%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	7,091	80.3%*†	11.7%*†	5.1%†	2.8%	44.7%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	22,997	83.6%	8.8%	5.3%	2.3%	20.7%
-6	Only	Comparison	18,254	83.0%	10.3%*	3.9%*	2.8%*	21.9%*
-0	Other Therapies	OCM	10,610	81.2%†	10.9%†	5.0%	2.9%†	55.4%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	7,811	79.8%*†	12.2%*†	4.7%†	3.3%†	55.7%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	22,496	83.4%	8.6%	5.2%	2.7%	18.2%
-5	Only	Comparison	17,758	82.9%	10.1%*	3.9%*	3.1%	19.1%*
-5	Other Therapies	OCM	10,468	81.5%†	10.3%†	5.1%	3.0%	45.3%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	7,811	79.4%*†	12.3%*†	4.7%†	3.6%*†	45.1%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	24,845	83.9%	8.3%	5.0%	2.8%	16.6%
-4	Only	Comparison	19,931	83.2%	10.0%*	3.9%*	2.9%	17.2%
<del>-4</del>	Other Therapies	OCM	11,024	81.0%†	10.5%†	5.5%	2.9%	51.8%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	8,289	79.9%†	11.7%*†	4.8%*†	3.6%*†	51.8%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	24,280	83.7%	8.5%	4.8%	3.0%	14.9%
-3	Only	Comparison	19,627	83.6%	9.6%*	3.7%*	3.1%	15.3%
-5	Other Therapies	OCM	10,958	81.0%†	10.5%†	5.4%†	3.1%	41.5%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	8,258	80.2%†	11.7%*†	4.6%*†	3.6%*†	42.7%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	26,406	83.9%	8.3%	4.7%	3.1%	19.9%
-2	Only	Comparison	21,102	83.7%	9.3%*	3.9%*	3.1%	21.0%*
	Other Therapies	OCM	11,184	80.9%†	10.4%†	5.1%	3.6%†	54.5%†
	()ther I heranies	Comparison	8,468	79.7%*†	11.8%*†	4.8%†	3.8%†	56.6%*†

Exhibit A5-14: Characteristics of Prostate Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance		Practice/	Number of	Percent of		Pe	ercent of Episod	es by Age Brack	cet	
Period	Group	TIN Type	Episodes	Episodes among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	9,490	9.0%	3.1%	15.3%	19.4%	21.8%	19.9%	20.6%
-8	Only	Comparison	10,817	9.3%	2.5%*	13.8%*	18.6%	21.3%	20.0%	23.7%*
-0	Other Therapies	OCM	2,921	7.9%	5.4%†	19.4%†	23.9%†	23.5%	17.2%†	10.6%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,399	11.2%*†	5.6%†	21.1%†	23.8%†	22.6%	16.6%†	10.3%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,212	8.3%	3.2%	14.4%	19.3%	21.8%	19.6%	21.7%
-7	Only	Comparison	9,156	9.3%*	2.9%	14.7%	18.5%	21.3%	19.5%	23.2%*
-1	Other Therapies	OCM	2,973	10.2%†	5.3%†	19.3%†	23%†	24.5%†	16.8%†	11.1%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,524	12.2%*†	5.9%†	20.1%†	23.3%†	21.6%*	17.2%†	11.9%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,319	8.5%	2.9%	15.0%	19.3%	22.1%	19.7%	21.0%
6	Only	Comparison	9,539	8.2%	3.0%	14.8%	19.1%	21.0%	19.1%	23.0%*
-6	Other Therapies	OCM	3,266	11.0%†	5.3%†	18.7%†	23.3%†	22.5%	18.3%	11.9%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,867	11.7%†	6.2%†	19.0%†	23.3%†	20.5%	18.4%	12.6%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	7,825	8.4%	2.9%	14.8%	20.5%	21.6%	19.2%	20.9%
-5	Only	Comparison	8,900	8.7%	2.9%	15.0%	19.2%*	20.5%	19.3%	23.1%*
-5	Other Therapies	OCM	3,412	10.6%†	4.2%†	18.0%†	22.8%†	22.8%	18.4%	13.8%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,839	10.5%†	5.5%*†	18.5%†	23.6%†	21.8%	17.6%†	13.0%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,692	7.9%	3.1%	15.6%	19.9%	21.5%	19.3%	20.6%
-4	Only	Comparison	10,283	7.8%	2.6%*	14.3%*	19.0%	20.9%	19.8%	23.4%*
<del>-4</del>	Other Therapies	OCM	3,683	9.8%†	4.6%†	17.3%†	23.6%†	22.8%	18.2%	13.4%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	3,322	10.1%†	5.3%†	19.4%*†	23.9%†	22.0%	16.4%*†	13.0%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,053	7.5%	2.7%	15.0%	20.8%	21.0%	19.7%	20.7%
-3	Only	Comparison	9,600	7.6%	2.5%	14.8%	20.0%	20.7%	19.4%	22.6%*
-ა	Other Therapies	OCM	3,868	10.1%†	4.1%†	18.0%†	24.1%†	22.8%†	17.4%†	13.6%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	3,556	10.6%†	5.5%*†	18.2%†	24.7%†	21.0%	16.5%†	14.0%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	9,191	7.6%	2.9%	15.7%	20.3%	22.1%	19.1%	19.9%
-2	Only	Comparison	11,090	7.7%	2.8%	14.9%	20.3%	21.1%	19.3%	21.6%*
	Other Therapies	OCM	3,730	9.7%†	4.4%†	18.2%†	25.2%†	22.2%	17.2%†	12.8%†
	Tithar I haranias —	Comparison	3,412	9.9%†	5.2%†	19.0%†	22.9%*†	22.7%†	17.0%†	13.2%†

Exhibit A5-14: Characteristics of Prostate Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015), Continued

Dorformanaa		Dractical	Number of		Percent of Episo	odes by Race		Dereant of Enjander with
Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Number of Episodes	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other	Percent of Episodes with HCC Score >= 2
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	9,490	78.1%	13.7%	5.6%	2.6%	39.4%
-8	Only	Comparison	10,817	80.0%*	13.1%	4.1%*	2.8%	35.3%*
-0	Other Therapies	OCM	2,921	80.6%†	12.4%	4.5%†	2.5%	72.5%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,399	79.3%	12.5%	5.2%†	3.0%	70.4%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,212	79.0%	13.0%	5.4%	2.5%	34.4%
-7	Only	Comparison	9,156	79.7%	13.2%	4.0%*	3.1%*	31.0%*
-7	Other Therapies	OCM	2,973	80.0%	12.0%	5.0%	2.9%	59.6%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,524	79.5%	12.0%	5.4%†	3.1%	56.9%*†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,319	78.4%	13.6%	5.1%	2.9%	39.2%
-6	Only	Comparison	9,539	79.8%*	13.0%	4.0%*	3.2%	35.1%*
-0	Other Therapies	OCM	3,266	78.8%	12.6%	5.5%	3.1%	71.7%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,867	79.0%	13.4%	4.8%†	2.8%	71.7%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	7,825	79.0%	13.1%	4.9%	3.0%	33.1%
-5	Only	Comparison	8,900	79.7%	13.0%	4.0%*	3.3%	30.6%*
-0	Other Therapies	OCM	3,412	79.5%	12.0%	5.4%	3.0%	60.7%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,839	79.7%	13.1%	4.5%	2.7%	60.2%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,692	79.2%	12.8%	5.0%	3.0%	37.0%
-4	Only	Comparison	10,283	80.2%	12.8%	3.8%*	3.2%	34.0%*
-4	Other Therapies	OCM	3,683	80.2%	11.3%†	5.5%	3.0%	71.3%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	3,322	79.8%	13.0%*	4.1%*	3.1%	68.4%*†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,053	79.4%	12.6%	4.8%	3.2%	31.1%
-3	Only	Comparison	9,600	80.8%*	12.3%	3.9%*	3.0%	28.0%*
-5	Other Therapies	OCM	3,868	79.8%	11.8%	5.1%	3.3%	59.6%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	3,556	79.6%	12.9%	4.4%	3.1%	58.5%†
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	9,191	79.7%	12.5%	4.8%	3.0%	39.8%
-2	Only	Comparison	11,090	80.6%	11.9%	4.1%*	3.5%*	37.3%*
-2	Other Therapies	OCM	3,730	79.4%	11.9%	4.9%	3.8%†	72.8%†
	Other Therapies	Comparison	3,412	78.6%†	12.5%	5.1%†	3.9%	73.7%†

Exhibit A5-15: Utilization within Breast Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Number of Episodes	<u> </u>	M Services pisode	Chemo Service	rt B and Part D es per Episode	Admissions	f Inpatient per Episode	in IP Stay p	sits Not Resulting per Episode
				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	21,436	1.92	1.38	2.49	1.72	0.12	0.41	0.20	0.60
-8	Only	Comparison	16,571	1.87*	1.30	2.64*	1.74	0.13	0.43	0.21	0.62
	Other Therapies	OCM	11,084	7.37†	4.60	10.41†	7.60	0.39†	0.80	0.33†	0.87
	·	Comparison	7,825	6.84*†	4.35	10.16*†	7.30	0.39†	0.77	0.37*†	0.98
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	18,948	1.92	1.38	2.48	1.71	0.13	0.44	0.20	0.58
-7	Only	Comparison	14,884	1.87*	1.32	2.67*	1.75	0.13	0.42	0.21*	0.62
- /	Other Therapies	OCM	10,149	7.01†	4.52	10.13†	7.20	0.38†	0.77	0.33†	0.88
	1	Comparison	7,091	6.49*†	4.23	9.95†	6.87	0.37†	0.77	0.35†	0.88
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	22,997	1.91	1.39	2.38	1.68	0.12	0.43	0.19	0.59
-6	Only	Comparison	18,254	1.88*	1.33	2.60*	1.76	0.12	0.41	0.21*	0.66
-0	Other Therapies	OCM	10,610	7.11†	4.49	10.1†	6.97	0.37†	0.76	0.33†	0.80
	Other Therapies	Comparison	7,811	6.64*†	4.27	10.07†	6.78	0.37†	0.76	0.36*†	0.81
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	22,496	1.89	1.38	2.34	1.68	0.11	0.41	0.19	0.60
-5	Only	Comparison	17,758	1.84*	1.28	2.50*	1.71	0.11	0.40	0.20	0.61
-5	Other Therapies	OCM	10,468	7.02†	4.54	10.27†	7.07	0.37†	0.75	0.32†	0.75
	'	Comparison	7,811	6.49*†	4.25	10.17†	7.06	0.36†	0.74	0.37*†	0.87
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	24,845	1.90	1.39	2.32	1.67	0.11	0.40	0.19	0.56
-4	Only	Comparison	19,931	1.86*	1.30	2.49*	1.72	0.11	0.39	0.21*	0.64
-4	Other Therapies	OCM	11,024	7.16†	4.56	10.49†	7.01	0.36†	0.75	0.33†	0.76
	Other Therapies	Comparison	8,289	6.64*†	4.24	10.54†	7.11	0.37†	0.79	0.38*†	0.88
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	24,280	1.87	1.35	2.23	1.65	0.11	0.40	0.19	0.57
-3	Only	Comparison	19,627	1.83*	1.28	2.40*	1.72	0.12	0.41	0.21*	0.67
-5	Other Therapies	OCM	10,958	6.92†	4.41	10.40†	7.13	0.35†	0.73	0.34†	0.88
	Offici Therapies	Comparison	8,258	6.40*†	4.09	10.45†	6.92	0.36†	0.76	0.36†	0.82
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	26,406	1.93	1.49	2.30	1.84	0.11	0.40	0.20	0.59
-2	Only	Comparison	21,102	1.87*	1.41	2.46*	1.92	0.11	0.40	0.21*	0.64
	Other Therapies	OCM	11,184	7.03†	4.34	10.68†	7.04	0.35†	0.74	0.33†	0.77
	Other Therapies	Comparison	8,468	6.48*†	4.15	10.66†	6.90	0.33†	0.73	0.40*†	1.00

Exhibit A5-16: Utilization within Prostate Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Number of Episodes	Number of Ca E&M So per Ep	ervices pisode	Chemo Services per Episode		Admissions per Episode		Number of ED Visits Not Resulting in IP Stay per Episod	
				Mean	Std Dev			Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	9,490	2.95	2.29	2.16	1.94	0.24	0.62	0.27	0.68
-8	Only	Comparison	10,817	2.51*	2.04	2.03*	1.81	0.23	0.60	0.26	0.67
	Other Therapies	OCM	2,921	7.51†	4.60	6.79†	4.84	0.54†	0.93	0.39†	0.79
	·	Comparison	2,399	7.01*†	4.38	6.72†	4.95	0.49*†	0.88	0.46*†	0.94
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,212	2.94	2.28	2.16	1.92	0.24	0.62	0.28	0.70
-7	Only	Comparison	9,156	2.52*	2.04	2.11	1.84	0.21*	0.57	0.26*	0.68
- 7	Other Therapies	OCM	2,973	6.97†	3.96	6.42†	4.38	0.51†	0.91	0.39†	0.80
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,524	6.29*†	3.92	6.27†	4.24	0.45*†	0.83	0.47*†	0.94
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,319	2.92	2.29	2.17	1.93	0.23	0.61	0.28	0.74
-6	Only	Comparison	9,539	2.52*	2.05	2.11*	1.87	0.20*	0.54	0.26*	0.68
-0	Other Therapies	OCM	3,266	6.54†	3.77	6.53†	4.42	0.45†	0.87	0.39†	0.85
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,867	6.04*†	3.72	6.47†	4.42	0.42†	0.80	0.46*†	1.07
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	7,825	2.93	2.30	2.15	1.89	0.24	0.63	0.28	0.75
-5	Only	Comparison	8,900	2.50*	2.06	2.13	1.89	0.22*	0.57	0.27	0.83
-5	Other Therapies	OCM	3,412	6.34†	3.84	6.57†	4.55	0.44†	0.85	0.42†	0.93
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,839	5.67*†	3.58	6.38†	4.10	0.39*†	0.80	0.42†	0.91
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,692	2.89	2.22	2.15	1.90	0.23	0.61	0.28	0.70
-4	Only	Comparison	10,283	2.48*	1.99	2.09*	1.86	0.20*	0.55	0.27	0.73
-4	Other Therapies	OCM	3,683	6.13†	3.77	6.57†	4.22	0.45†	0.84	0.43†	0.91
	Other Therapies	Comparison	3,322	5.68*†	3.64	6.66†	4.00	0.36*†	0.77	0.42†	0.90
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,053	2.83	2.15	2.20	1.90	0.23	0.62	0.30	0.77
-3	Only	Comparison	9,600	2.43*	1.91	2.13*	1.92	0.21*	0.58	0.28	0.72
-3	Other Therenies	OCM	3,868	6.15†	3.79	6.74†	4.14	0.43†	0.80	0.44†	0.89
	Other Therapies	Comparison	3,556	5.59*†	3.52	6.73†	4.05	0.38*†	0.79	0.43†	0.89
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	9,191	3.01	2.32	2.41	2.15	0.22	0.60	0.30	0.77
2	Only	Comparison	11,090	2.58*	2.09	2.32*	2.19	0.19*	0.54	0.30	0.74
-2	Other Therenies	OCM	3,730	5.96†	3.68	7.04†	4.30	0.43†	0.84	0.42†	0.88
Other Therapies	Other Therapies	Comparison	3,412	5.45*†	3.61	6.90†	3.88	0.38*†	0.80	0.46*†	1.00

Exhibit A5-17: Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing of Breast Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Number of		and B, and Part D per sode		A and B, and Part D haring per Episode	
Period	·	,	Episodes	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	21,436	\$5,511	\$9,095	\$1,305	\$1,485	
-8	Only	Comparison	16,571	\$5,447	\$9,047	\$1,278	\$1,494	
-8	Other Therapies	OCM	11,084	\$28,676†	\$17,840	\$6,532†	\$3,860	
		Comparison	7,825	\$27,717*†	\$17,585	\$6,409*†	\$3,805	
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	18,948	\$5,627	\$10,035	\$1,458	\$1,738	
-7	Only	Comparison	14,884	\$5,559	\$9,565	\$1,453	\$1,732	
-1	Other Therapies	OCM	10,149	\$28,808†	\$18,465	\$6,742†	\$4,049	
		Comparison	7,091	\$28,051*†	\$18,292	\$6,628†	\$3,917	
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	22,997	\$5,685	\$9,489	\$1,411	\$1,777	
,	Only	Comparison	18,254	\$5,404*	\$8,923	\$1,363*	\$1,754	
-6	Other Therapies	OCM	10,610	\$29,810†	\$18,971	\$7,152†	\$4,534	
		Comparison	7,811	\$30,117†	\$53,204	\$7,316†	\$13,267	
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	22,496	\$5,345	\$9,301	\$1,424	\$1,692	
-5	Only	Comparison	17,758	\$5,198	\$9,034	\$1,408	\$1,713	
-3	Other Therapies	OCM	10,468	\$30,377†	\$19,955	\$7,404†	\$4,658	
		Comparison	7,811	\$29,780*†	\$19,651	\$7,313†	\$4,656	
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	24,845	\$5,499	\$9,536	\$1,318	\$1,604	
-4	Only	Comparison	19,931	\$5,443	\$9,657	\$1,330	\$1,712	
-4	Other Therapies	OCM	11,024	\$31,729†	\$20,241	\$7,542†	\$4,803	
		Comparison	8,289	\$31,686†	\$20,585	\$7,545†	\$4,893	
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	24,280	\$5,474	\$9,888	\$1,432	\$1,751	
2	Only	Comparison	19,627	\$5,385	\$9,310	\$1,428	\$1,685	
-3	Other Therapies	OCM	10,958	\$32,343†	\$21,117	\$7,903†	\$4,989	
		Comparison	8,258	\$32,009†	\$21,056	\$7,788†	\$4,977	
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	26,406	\$5,799	\$10,244	\$1,369	\$1,811	
-2	Only	Comparison	21,102	\$5,902	\$10,727	\$1,383	\$1,779	
-Z	Other Therapies	OCM	11,184	\$34,382†	\$21,927	\$7,928†	\$5,120	
		Comparison	8,468	\$34,144†	\$22,113	\$7,794†	\$5,083	

Exhibit A5-18: Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing of Prostate Cancer Episodes, Hormonal Therapy Only vs. Other Therapies, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Number of		and B, and Part D per sode		A and B, and Part D haring per Episode
Period	·		Episodes	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	9,490	\$10,530	\$13,813	\$2,211	\$2,323
-8	Only	Comparison	10,817	\$9,681*	\$13,134	\$2,089*	\$2,311
-8	Other Therenies	OCM	2,921	\$37,550†	\$26,745	\$7,503†	\$5,727
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,399	\$35,829*†	\$27,211	\$6,704*†	\$4,983
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,212	\$10,383	\$14,609	\$2,422	\$2,533
-7	Only	Comparison	9,156	\$9,375*	\$13,024	\$2,275*	\$2,489
-/	Other Therenies	OCM	2,973	\$36,749†	\$26,316	\$7,497†	\$5,858
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,524	\$34,094*†	\$25,192	\$6,683*†	\$5,165
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,319	\$10,518	\$14,521	\$2,437	\$2,838
,	Only	Comparison	9,539	\$9,676*	\$13,003	\$2,335*	\$2,735
-6	Other Theresies	OCM	3,266	\$38,192†	\$24,770	\$7,243†	\$6,545
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,867	\$37,003†	\$23,557	\$6,785*†	\$6,112
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	7,825	\$11,058	\$14,578	\$2,627	\$2,956
-5	Only	Comparison	8,900	\$10,263*	\$14,797	\$2,523*	\$3,134
-3	Other Therenies	OCM	3,412	\$37,948†	\$25,549	\$7,752†	\$6,729
	Other Therapies	Comparison	2,839	\$36,939†	\$26,714	\$7,635†	\$7,166
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,692	\$10,870	\$14,339	\$2,500	\$2,995
4	Only	Comparison	10,283	\$9,967*	\$13,374	\$2,329*	\$2,791
-4	Other Therenies	OCM	3,683	\$40,563†	\$25,828	\$7,142†	\$6,774
	Other Therapies	Comparison	3,322	\$39,321*†	\$25,111	\$6,740*†	\$6,351
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	8,053	\$10,742	\$14,352	\$2,542	\$2,731
2	Only	Comparison	9,600	\$10,237*	\$13,852	\$2,508	\$2,804
-3	Other Therenies	OCM	3,868	\$39,035†	\$24,368	\$7,681†	\$6,139
	Other Therapies	Comparison	3,556	\$38,105†	\$24,042	\$7,451†	\$5,967
	Hormonal Therapy	OCM	9,191	\$12,267	\$18,196	\$2,639	\$3,025
-2	Only	Comparison	11,090	\$11,264*	\$15,244	\$2,568	\$3,080
-2	Other Therenies	OCM	3,730	\$43,926†	\$25,581	\$7,140†	\$6,191
	()thar I haranias	Comparison	3,412	\$44,312†	\$25,372	\$6,948†	\$5,923

Exhibit A5-19: Share of Episodes by Cancer Bundle, Dual vs. Non-Dual Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

						Perce	nt of Episodes	by Cancer B	undles			
Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other
	Dual	OCM	11.6%	7.8%	40.6%	8.0%	5.4%	2.3%	4.0%	0.5%	1.2%	18.8%
-8	Duai	Comparison	11.3%	7.4%	39.0%*	10.0%*	5.2%	2.2%	4.3%	0.4%	1.4%	18.8%
-8	Non-Dual	OCM	11.6%	7.4%	30.8%†	12.9%†	8.6%†	1.6%†	4.0%	0.6%	2.3%†	20.2%†
	NOH-Duai	Comparison	10.6%*†	6.9%*	28.7%*†	17.7%*†	7.5%*†	1.4%*†	3.8%*†	0.6%*†	3.0%*†	19.6%*†
	Duel	OCM	10.8%	7.7%	41.6%	8.2%	5.0%	2.0%	4.0%	0.3%	1.1%	19.3%
7	Dual	Comparison	10.4%	7.1%	40.3%*	10.1%*	4.2%*	2.1%	4.2%	0.4%	1.4%*	19.7%
-7	Non-Dual	OCM	10.9%	7.3%	31.5%†	13.3%†	8.3%†	1.6%†	4.0%	0.6%†	2.3%†	20.3%†
	Non-Duai	Comparison	10.1%*	6.6%*	29.4%*†	17.8%*†	7.2%*†	1.4%*†	3.8%†	0.6%†	3.0%*†	20.1%
	Dual	OCM	11.1%	7.4%	40.4%	8.5%	4.9%	2.3%	4.3%	0.4%	1.1%	19.6%
	Duai	Comparison	11.0%	7.6%	39.9%	9.2%*	4.4%*	2.2%	4.3%	0.4%	1.3%	19.7%
-6	Non-Dual	OCM	10.5%†	6.7%†	33.5%†	12.4%†	7.8%†	1.6%†	4.1%	0.6%†	2.3%†	20.5%†
	Non-Duai	Comparison	9.8%*†	6.2%*†	31.4%*†	16.7%*†	6.8%*†	1.4%*†	4.0%	0.6%†	2.9%*†	20.1%
	Dual	OCM	10.7%	7.4%	40.3%	8.4%	5.0%	2.1%	4.4%	0.5%	1.4%	19.8%
-5	Duai	Comparison	10.7%	7.4%	39.8%	9.2%*	4.3%*	2.1%	4.4%	0.4%	1.5%	20.1%
-0	Non-Dual	OCM	10.3%	6.6%†	33.9%†	12.3%†	7.7%†	1.5%†	4.2%	0.6%	2.3%†	20.6%†
	NOH-Duai	Comparison	9.6%*†	6.2%*†	31.8%*†	16.3%*†	6.8%*†	1.4%*†	4.0%†	0.6%†	2.9%*†	20.3%
	Dual	OCM	10.5%	7.6%	39.8%	8.5%	4.7%	2.2%	4.4%	0.5%	1.2%	20.6%
-4	Duai	Comparison	10.6%	7.5%	38.8%	9.2%	4.5%	2.1%	4.7%	0.5%	1.5%*	20.6%
-4	Non-Dual	OCM	9.9%†	6.5%†	34.1%†	12.5%†	7.5%†	1.4%†	4.3%	0.6%†	2.3%†	20.8%
	NOH-Duai	Comparison	9.3%*†	6.0%*†	32.0%*†	17.0%*†	6.5%*†	1.3%†	4.0%*†	0.6%†	3.1%*†	20.2%*
	Dual	OCM	10.1%	7.3%	40.5%	8.4%	4.8%	2.1%	4.6%	0.5%	1.2%	20.5%
2	Duai	Comparison	10.6%	7.6%	39.1%*	9.2%*	4.3%	2.3%	4.5%	0.6%	1.2%	20.7%
-3	Non-Dual	OCM	9.8%	6.3%†	34.7%†	12.5%†	7.2%†	1.4%†	4.3%	0.7%†	2.2%†	20.9%
	Non-Duai	Comparison	9.1%*†	6.0%*†	32.3%*†	16.8%*†	6.4%*†	1.4%†	4.2%	0.7%	2.9%*†	20.3%*†
	Dual	OCM	10.3%	7.5%	38.8%	8.7%	5.0%	2.3%	4.5%	0.5%	1.2%	21.1%
2	Dual	Comparison	10.4%	7.5%	38.6%	9.5%*	4.2%*	2.1%	4.6%	0.6%	1.3%	21.1%
-2	Non-Dual	OCM	9.5%†	6.3%†	34.7%†	12.5%†	7.4%†	1.5%†	4.3%	0.8%†	2.3%†	20.8%
	INUIT-DUAL	Comparison	9.1%*†	5.8%*†	31.9%*†	17.2%*†	6.2%*†	1.4%†	4.1%†	0.9%†	2.9%*†	20.6%†

Exhibit A5-20: Share of Episodes by Cancer Bundle, White vs. Non-White Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance		Practice/				Perce	ent of Episodes	s by Cancer Bu	ndles			
Period	Group	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other
	White	OCM	12.0%	7.2%	32.0%	11.6%	8.7%	1.7%	4.1%	0.6%	2.4%	19.7%
-8	VVIIILE	Comparison	11.0%*	6.7%*	30.3%*	15.9%*	7.7%*	1.5%*	4.0%	0.7%	3.0%*	19.1%*
-0	Non-White	OCM	9.7%†	8.7%†	32.8%†	15.4%†	5.5%†	1.7%	3.3%†	0.2%†	1.1%†	21.6%†
	INOH-WILLE	Comparison	9.6%†	8.2%†	30.5%*	19.2%*†	4.7%*†	1.5%	3.4%†	0.2%†	1.5%*†	21.3%†
	White	OCM	11.3%	7.1%	32.9%	12.1%	8.4%	1.6%	4.1%	0.6%	2.3%	19.7%
-7	VVIIILE	Comparison	10.4%*	6.5%*	31.1%*	16.0%*	7.4%*	1.5%*	4.0%	0.7%	3.0%*	19.5%
-7	Non-White	OCM	9.2%†	8.6%†	33.0%	15.5%†	5.1%†	1.7%	3.4%†	0.2%†	1.2%†	22.2%†
	INOH-WILLE	Comparison	9.0%†	7.8%*†	31.4%*	19.0%*†	4.0%*†	1.6%	3.3%†	0.1%†	1.5%*†	22.4%†
	White	OCM	10.9%	6.6%	34.5%	11.3%	8.0%	1.7%	4.2%	0.7%	2.4%	19.9%
6	vviille	Comparison	10.2%*	6.2%*	32.7%*	15.1%*	6.9%*	1.5%*	4.2%	0.7%	2.9%*	19.6%
-6	Non-White	OCM	9.2%†	8.0%†	34.1%	14.7%†	4.8%†	1.6%	3.6%†	0.2%†	1.2%†	22.5%†
	INOH-WITHE	Comparison	9.1%†	7.6%†	32.8%*	17.7%*†	4.3%†	1.5%	3.4%†	0.2%†	1.3%†	22.1%†
	White	OCM	10.6%	6.5%	34.8%	11.3%	7.8%	1.6%	4.3%	0.7%	2.3%	20.0%
-5	vviille	Comparison	10.0%*	6.2%*	33.0%*	14.8%*	7.0%*	1.5%*	4.2%	0.7%	2.9%*	19.7%
-5	Non-White	OCM	9.2%†	7.8%†	34.1%	14.1%†	5.0%†	1.5%	3.9%†	0.2%†	1.3%†	22.9%†
	INOH-WITHE	Comparison	8.8%†	7.5%†	33.2%	17.0%*†	4.0%*†	1.5%	3.6%†	0.2%†	1.6%*†	22.6%†
	White	OCM	10.3%	6.3%	34.9%	11.5%	7.7%	1.6%	4.4%	0.7%	2.4%	20.2%
-4	vviille	Comparison	9.7%*	6.0%*	33.0%*	15.5%*	6.6%*	1.5%	4.2%*	0.7%	3.1%*	19.7%*
-4	Non-White	OCM	8.8%†	7.9%†	34.4%	14.3%†	4.9%†	1.4%	3.8%†	0.2%†	1.3%†	23.1%†
	INOH-WITHE	Comparison	8.6%†	7.3%*†	32.5%*	17.6%*†	4.3%*†	1.5%	3.5%†	0.2%†	1.5%†	23.0%†
	White	OCM	10.1%	6.1%	35.5%	11.5%	7.4%	1.5%	4.4%	0.7%	2.3%	20.4%
-3	VVIIILE	Comparison	9.4%*	6.0%	33.4%*	15.4%*	6.5%*	1.6%	4.4%	0.8%	2.9%*	19.8%*
-3	Non-White	OCM	8.4%†	7.8%†	35.0%	14.1%†	4.8%†	1.5%	3.9%†	0.2%†	1.2%†	23.1%†
	INOH-WITHE	Comparison	8.8%†	7.3%†	32.8%*	17.3%*†	4.0%*†	1.5%	3.5%*†	0.2%†	1.5%*†	23.2%†
	White	OCM	9.9%	6.2%	35.3%	11.6%	7.6%	1.6%	4.4%	0.9%	2.4%	20.2%
-2	AAIIIIC	Comparison	9.5%*	5.8%*	33.0%*	15.7%*	6.4%*	1.5%	4.3%	0.9%	2.9%*	20.1%
-2	Non-White	OCM	8.0%†	7.8%†	34.5%	14.2%†	5.0%†	1.3%†	3.8%†	0.2%†	1.3%†	23.9%†
	INOH-WILLE	Comparison	8.4%†	7.2%†	32.2%*†	18.0%*†	4.0%*†	1.4%	3.7%†	0.3%*†	1.5%†	23.3%†

Exhibit A5-21: Share of Episodes by Cancer Bundle, High Risk vs. Low Risk Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

						Perce	nt of Episodes	by Cancer B	undles			
Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other
	High Risk	OCM	17.4%	7.3%	22.3%	12.8%	8.3%	1.8%	4.3%	0.6%	3.2%	22.0%
-8	HIGH KISK	Comparison	16.1%*	6.8%*	21.0%*	16.9%*	7.4%*	1.9%	4.1%	0.6%	3.8%*	21.3%*
-0	Low Risk	OCM	6.9%†	7.6%†	40.0%†	11.8%†	8.1%	1.6%†	3.8%†	0.5%	1.3%†	18.4%†
	LUW KISK	Comparison	6.6%†	7.1%*	37.6%*†	16.1%*†	6.9%*†	1.3%*†	3.7%†	0.6%	1.9%*†	18.1%†
	High Risk	OCM	16.4%	7.2%	23.4%	13.4%	7.8%	1.8%	4.3%	0.5%	3.1%	22.1%
-7	nigii Kisk	Comparison	15.2%*	6.5%*	21.7%*	17.1%*	7.1%*	1.7%	4.3%	0.6%	3.8%*	21.9%
-/	Low risk	OCM	6.5%†	7.5%	40.5%†	12%†	7.8%	1.5%†	3.7%†	0.6%	1.3%†	18.6%†
	LOW IISK	Comparison	6.3%†	6.8%*	38.3%*†	16.1%*†	6.5%*†	1.3%*†	3.5%†	0.6%	1.9%*†	18.6%†
	High Risk	OCM	16.0%	6.8%	24.2%	12.6%	7.5%	1.9%	4.6%	0.6%	3.2%	22.6%
-6	HIGH KISK	Comparison	15.1%*	6.3%*	22.7%*	15.9%*	6.8%*	1.6%*	4.7%	0.6%	3.6%*	22.5%
-0	Low Risk	OCM	6.4%†	6.8%	42.3%†	11.3%†	7.4%	1.5%†	3.7%†	0.6%	1.4%†	18.6%†
	LOW KISK	Comparison	6.1%†	6.5%*	40.2%*†	15.3%*†	6.2%*†	1.4%†	3.5%†	0.6%	1.9%*†	18.2%†
	High Risk	OCM	15.9%	6.7%	24.0%	12.6%	7.5%	1.7%	4.6%	0.7%	3.1%	23.2%
-5	HIGH KISK	Comparison	14.6%*	6.3%*	23.0%*	15.8%*	7.0%*	1.7%	4.7%	0.6%	3.8%*	22.6%
-5	Low risk	OCM	6.1%†	6.8%	43.0%†	11.2%†	7.2%	1.5%†	3.9%†	0.5%†	1.4%†	18.4%†
	LUWIISK	Comparison	6.1%†	6.5%	40.8%*†	14.7%*†	6.1%*†	1.4%*†	3.7%†	0.6%	1.8%*†	18.5%†
	High Risk	OCM	15.2%	6.5%	24.4%	12.8%	7.4%	1.7%	4.8%	0.7%	3.2%	23.4%
-4	nigii Kisk	Comparison	14.3%*	6.1%*	22.8%*	16.7%*	6.5%*	1.6%	4.6%	0.6%	4.1%*	22.6%*
-4	Low Risk	OCM	6.1%†	6.7%	42.7%†	11.4%†	7.0%	1.4%†	3.9%†	0.6%†	1.4%†	18.8%†
	LUW KISK	Comparison	5.9%†	6.3%*	40.6%*†	15.3%*†	5.9%*†	1.3%†	3.6%*†	0.6%	1.9%*†	18.5%†
	High Risk	OCM	15.1%	6.3%	24.7%	12.5%	7.3%	1.7%	4.8%	0.7%	3.1%	23.7%
-3	nigii Kisk	Comparison	14.2%*	5.9%*	23.2%*	16.5%*	6.4%*	1.8%	4.8%	0.7%	3.9%*	22.7%*
-3	Low risk	OCM	5.8%†	6.5%	43.5%†	11.5%†	6.7%†	1.4%†	3.9%†	0.6%	1.2%†	18.7%†
	LOW IISK	Comparison	5.6%†	6.4%†	40.9%*†	15.1%*†	5.8%*†	1.4%†	3.7%†	0.6%	1.7%*†	18.7%†
	High Risk	OCM	14.7%	6.3%	24.5%	12.7%	7.5%	1.8%	4.9%	0.8%	3.3%	23.5%
2	HIGH KISK	Comparison	14.3%	5.8%*	22.8%*	16.8%*	6.4%*	1.6%*	4.6%	0.9%*	3.8%*	23.0%
-2	Low Risk	OCM	5.7%†	6.5%	43.1%†	11.6%†	6.9%†	1.4%†	3.9%†	0.8%	1.4%†	18.9%†
	LUW KISK	Comparison	5.6%†	6.2%*†	40.1%*†	15.6%*†	5.7%*†	1.4%†	3.8%†	0.7%†	1.8%*†	19.0%†

Exhibit A5-22: Episode Services, Dual vs. Non-Dual Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Group	Practice TIN Type	N		ancer-Related s per Episode	Number of Par Chemo Service			f Inpatient per Episode	Number of E Resulting in Epis	IP Stay per
				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	Dual	OCM	13,634	5.44	5.04	7.63	8.35	0.55	1.01	0.54	1.25
-8	Duai	Comparison	12,738	4.95*	4.38	7.25*	7.74	0.50*	0.94	0.57	1.21
-0	Non-Dual	OCM	87,558	6.05†	5.16	8.33†	9.45	0.31†	0.75	0.446†	0.86
	INOTIFDUAL	Comparison	67,607	5.40*†	4.83	7.53*†	8.53	0.33*†	0.78	0.41*†	0.84
	Dual	OCM	12,051	5.28	4.93	7.59	8.30	0.51	0.94	0.52	1.21
-7	Duai	Comparison	11,413	4.70*	4.25	7.11*	7.67	0.51	0.95	0.56*	1.19
- /	Non-Dual	OCM	76,438	5.89†	4.99	8.22†	9.30	0.31†	0.72	0.43†	0.85
	NOH-Duai	Comparison	59,110	5.23*†	4.66	7.54*†	8.45	0.33*†	0.77	0.40*†	0.81
	Dual	OCM	12,514	5.44	5.04	7.72	8.45	0.51	0.96	0.54	1.20
-6	Duai	Comparison	12,117	4.81*	4.34	7.38*	7.90	0.47*	0.94	0.58*	1.25
-0	Non-Dual	OCM	85,097	5.80†	5.02	8.04†	9.17	0.31†	0.72	0.41†	0.84
	NOH-Duai	Comparison	67,560	5.24*†	4.74	7.49*	8.51	0.33*†	0.81	0.38*†	0.80
	Dual	OCM	12,167	5.23	4.86	7.58	8.35	0.51	0.96	0.52	1.12
-5	Duai	Comparison	11,758	4.74*	4.31	7.32*	7.86	0.47*	0.92	0.58*	1.22
-0	Non-Dual	OCM	82,860	5.71†	4.95	8.08†	9.18	0.31†	0.78	0.41†	0.82
	NOH-Duai	Comparison	65,616	5.09*†	4.55	7.45*	8.49	0.33*†	0.81	0.38*†	0.79
	Dual	OCM	12,418	5.37	4.91	7.82	8.51	0.51	0.95	0.55	1.16
4	Duai	Comparison	12,371	4.82*	4.33	7.45*	8.04	0.47*	0.94	0.60*	1.21
-4	Non-Dual	OCM	90,570	5.69†	4.97	7.98†	9.06	0.32†	0.75	0.40†	0.82
	NOH-Dual	Comparison	73,272	5.06*†	4.49	7.49*	8.63	0.34*†	0.81	0.37*†	0.79
	Dual	OCM	11,820	5.15	4.79	7.63	8.45	0.51	0.96	0.54	1.14
-3	Dual	Comparison	12,103	4.76*	4.19	7.53	8.21	0.47*	0.91	0.58*	1.16
-3	Non-Dual	OCM	87,780	5.56†	4.84	7.88†	8.98	0.32†	0.75	0.40†	0.82
	NOH-Dual	Comparison	71,725	4.97*†	4.39	7.48*	8.60	0.34*†	0.81	0.38*†	0.79
	Dual	OCM	12,281	5.30	4.72	7.85	8.56	0.50	0.98	0.56	1.12
	Dual	Comparison	12,582	4.80*	4.15	7.80	8.48	0.46*	0.92	0.62*	1.32
-2	Non Duol	OCM	94,697	5.57†	4.87	7.99†	9.09	0.33†	0.76	0.39†	0.82
	Non-Dual	Comparison	77,379	4.99*†	4.42	7.59*†	8.78	0.36*†	0.84	0.36*†	0.78

Exhibit A5-23: Episode Services, White vs. Non-White Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N		ancer-Related s per Episode		rt B and Part D es per Episode		f Inpatient per Episode	Number of E Resulting ir Epis	
				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	White	OCM	83,946	6.00	5.15	8.22	9.25	0.45	0.87	0.33	0.81
-8	VVIIILE	Comparison	66,463	5.34*	4.80	7.47*	8.43	0.42*	0.84	0.35*	0.83
-0	Non-White	OCM	17,246	5.81†	5.16	8.30	9.58	0.49†	0.94	0.44†	0.96
	INOTI-VVIIILE	Comparison	13,882	5.26*	4.60	7.55*	8.34	0.45*†	0.91	0.46*†	1.03
	White	OCM	73,507	5.83	4.98	8.08	9.09	0.44	0.84	0.32	0.78
-7	VVIIILE	Comparison	58,007	5.17*	4.65	7.48*	8.37	0.41*	0.82	0.34*	0.81
-7	Non-White	OCM	14,982	5.72†	5.03	8.35†	9.56	0.48†	0.93	0.43†	0.94
	INOTI-VVIIILE	Comparison	12,516	5.02*†	4.39	7.41*	8.18	0.44*†	0.91	0.46*†	1.04
	White	OCM	80,722	5.76	5.01	7.95	9.01	0.42	0.84	0.33	0.76
-6	VVIIILE	Comparison	65,437	5.21*	4.73	7.43*	8.39	0.39*	0.81	0.35*	0.84
-0	Non-White	OCM	16,889	5.71	5.06	8.24†	9.37	0.47†	0.92	0.42†	0.97
	INOTI-WITHE	Comparison	14,240	5.04*†	4.46	7.68*†	8.54	0.42*†	0.88	0.45*†	1.12
	White	OCM	78,395	5.66	4.94	7.96	8.99	0.41	0.83	0.32	0.81
-5	VVIIILE	Comparison	63,369	5.05*	4.55	7.38*	8.36	0.39*	0.80	0.35*	0.85
-5	Non-White	OCM	16,632	5.61	4.96	8.29†	9.48	0.46†	0.92	0.42†	0.94
	INOTI-VVIIILE	Comparison	14,005	5.00*	4.37	7.69*†	8.56	0.41*†	0.86	0.46*†	1.03
	White	OCM	85,243	5.65	4.95	7.88	8.89	0.40	0.82	0.33	0.78
-4	VVIIILE	Comparison	70,245	5.04*	4.50	7.44*	8.49	0.38*	0.80	0.36*	0.84
-4	Non-White	OCM	17,745	5.63	5.03	8.35†	9.48	0.45†	0.91	0.43†	0.96
	INOTI-VVIIILE	Comparison	15,398	4.97*	4.29	7.69*†	8.78	0.40*†	0.87	0.47*†	1.04
	White	OCM	82,362	5.53	4.83	7.78	8.79	0.41	0.82	0.33	0.79
-3	VVIIILE	Comparison	68,993	4.94*	4.39	7.42*	8.49	0.38*	0.80	0.36*	0.84
-3	Non-White	OCM	17,238	5.42	4.85	8.22†	9.50	0.45†	0.89	0.42†	0.90
	INOTI-VVIIILE	Comparison	14,835	4.95*	4.25	7.77*†	8.78	0.41*†	0.86	0.47*†	1.02
	White	OCM	88,472	5.54	4.87	7.91	8.96	0.40	0.83	0.34	0.78
-2	VVIIILE	Comparison	73,938	4.97*	4.43	7.56*	8.68	0.36*	0.78	0.37*	0.87
-2	Non-White	OCM	18,506	5.49	4.78	8.28†	9.35	0.43†	0.90	0.43†	0.98
	INOTI-VVIIILE	Comparison	16,023	4.90*	4.16	7.92*†	9.00	0.40*†	0.87	0.48*†	1.14

Exhibit A5-24: Episode Cancer E&M Services by Cancer Bundle, High vs. Low Risk Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Dorformanaa		Practice/ TIN			Average	Number of Ca	incer-Related I	E&M Services	per Episode			
Performance Period	Group	Туре	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia		Bladder Cancer	Other Cancer
	High Risk	OCM	8.06	8.82	3.86	4.19	6.11	9.21	6.57	6.62	7.01	7.73
-8	Tilgii Kisk	Comparison	7.57*	7.77*	3.51*	3.37*	5.86*	7.89*	5.78*	5.78*	5.88*	7.15*
-0	Low Risk	OCM	8.03	9.32†	3.73†	3.88†	5.73†	9.64	5.89†	6.42	6.05†	8.03†
	LOW KISK	Comparison	7.58*	8.24*†	3.44*	3.30*	5.58†	8.74*†	5.48*	6.49†	4.48*†	7.35*†
	High Risk	OCM	7.90	8.82	3.78	4.15	5.84	8.67	5.92	6.57	6.69	7.61
-7	riigii Kisk	Comparison	7.33*	7.51*	3.44*	3.42*	5.56*	7.97*	5.34*	5.94	5.54*	6.93*
- /	Low Risk	OCM	7.98	9.51†	3.65†	3.89†	5.44†	9.44†	5.73	6.51	5.73†	7.85†
	LOW KISK	Comparison	7.36*	8.08*†	3.32*†	3.27*†	5.36	8.52*	5.07*	6.21	4.15*†	7.18*†
	High Risk	OCM	8.05	8.82	3.58	4.02	6.01	8.96	6.17	6.63	6.97	7.58
-6	Tilgii Kisk	Comparison	7.50*	7.71*	3.43*	3.44*	5.81	8.17*	5.67*	5.30*	5.80*	7.09*
-0	Low Risk	OCM	8.07	9.34†	3.54	3.88†	5.68†	9.82†	5.75†	6.49	6.14†	7.86†
	LOW INISK	Comparison	7.38*	8.09*†	3.25*†	3.25*†	5.49†	8.49*	5.22*†	6.60†	4.46*†	7.24*
	High Risk	OCM	7.80	8.62	3.68	4.02	6.02	8.78	6.04	6.44	7.06	7.45
-5	riigii ixisk	Comparison	7.28*	7.51*	3.37*	3.34*	5.73*	8.14*	5.41*	5.39*	5.78*	6.81*
-5	Low Risk	OCM	7.88	9.03†	3.45†	3.92	5.74†	9.26	5.49†	6.37	5.77†	7.72†
	LOW INISK	Comparison	7.29*	7.83*†	3.21*†	3.21*†	5.25*†	8.57*	4.85*†	5.75	4.32*†	6.98*†
	High Risk	OCM	7.91	8.80	3.66	3.97	6.08	8.80	6.13	5.94	7.14	7.48
-4	Tilgii Kisk	Comparison	7.3*	7.62*	3.43*	3.35*	5.71*	8.33	5.11*	5.86	5.54*	6.87*
-4	Low Risk	OCM	7.78	9.24†	3.45†	3.76†	5.81†	9.36	5.43†	6.28	5.84†	7.72†
	LOW INISK	Comparison	7.16*	7.92*†	3.20*†	3.19*†	5.28*†	8.16*	5.05*	6.52	4.22*†	7.06*†
	High Risk	OCM	7.72	8.44	3.62	3.98	5.92	8.70	5.68	6.61	6.92	7.35
-3	riigii ixisk	Comparison	7.21*	7.40*	3.28*	3.33*	5.56*	8.14*	5.10*	5.78*	5.45*	6.65*
-5	Low Risk	OCM	7.80	9.00†	3.36†	3.85†	5.58†	9.36†	5.13†	6.86	5.84†	7.51†
	LOW KISK	Comparison	7.15*	7.75*†	3.15*†	3.25*	5.23*†	7.99*	4.71*†	6.43	4.36*†	6.99*†
	High Risk	OCM	7.81	8.56	3.57	3.92	5.96	8.57	5.94	6.86	6.71	7.35
-2	I ligit ixisk	Comparison	7.38*	7.54*	3.27*	3.29*	5.78	8.07	5.23*	6.07*	5.25*	6.75*
-2	Low Risk	OCM	7.78	9.05†	3.39†	3.81†	5.71†	9.05	5.24†	6.38	5.40†	7.64†
	LUW KISK	Comparison	7.05*†	7.84*†	3.16*†	3.23*	5.32*†	8.26*	4.69*†	6.57	4.35*†	6.91*†

Exhibit A5-25: Episode Services, High vs. Low Risk Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 -Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N		ancer-Related s per Episode		rt B and Part D es per Episode		f Inpatient per Episode		IP Stay per sode
				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	High Risk	OCM	44,977	6.37	5.26	8.44	9.16	0.67	1.03	0.45	0.97
-8	I light Kisk	Comparison	35,056	5.66*	4.88	7.61*	8.37	0.63*	1.01	0.48*	1.03
-0	Low Risk	OCM	56,215	5.65†	5.04	8.07†	9.42	0.28†	0.69	0.26†	0.70
	LOW KISK	Comparison	45,289	5.07*†	4.66	7.38*†	8.45	0.26*†	0.67	0.28*†	0.71
	High Risk	OCM	39,503	6.16	5.05	8.28	9.00	0.65	1.00	0.44	0.95
-7	Tilgii Kisk	Comparison	30,511	5.46*	4.69	7.59*	8.25	0.61*	0.99	0.47*	0.96
- /	Low Risk	OCM	48,986	5.53	4.92	8.01†	9.31	0.27†	0.68	0.26†	0.67
	LOW INISK	Comparison	40,012	4.91*†	4.52	7.37*†	8.39	0.26*†	0.66	0.29*†	0.76
	High Risk	OCM	42,437	6.14	5.09	8.27	9.11	0.63	1.00	0.44	0.92
-6	I light Kisk	Comparison	34,213	5.58*	4.82	7.79*	8.49	0.59*	0.97	0.5*	1.06
-0	Low Risk	OCM	55,174	5.46†	4.95	7.8†	9.05	0.27†	0.69	0.26†	0.69
	LOW INISK	Comparison	45,464	4.87*†	4.55	7.25*†	8.37	0.25*†	0.66	0.28*†	0.74
	High Risk	OCM	41,506	6.08	5.05	8.31	9.07	0.62	0.99	0.44	0.95
-5	I light Kisk	Comparison	33,536	5.42*	4.67	7.70*	8.40	0.57*	0.95	0.48*	0.99
-5	Low Risk	OCM	53,521	5.32†	4.83	7.79†	9.08	0.26†	0.67	0.26†	0.73
	LOW INISK	Comparison	43,838	4.75*†	4.37	7.23*†	8.39	0.25*†	0.65	0.29*†	0.79
	High Risk	OCM	44,328	6.08	5.10	8.32	9.07	0.60	0.98	0.46	0.94
-4	I light Kisk	Comparison	36,677	5.41*	4.62	7.72*	8.50	0.57*	0.96	0.49*	0.97
-4	Low Risk	OCM	58,660	5.32†	4.84	7.69†	8.93	0.27†	0.68	0.27†	0.70
	LOW KISK	Comparison	48,966	4.74*†	4.32	7.30*†	8.58	0.24*†	0.65	0.30*†	0.80
	High Risk	OCM	43,195	5.95	4.95	8.20	8.98	0.61	0.97	0.46	0.92
-3	I light Kisk	Comparison	36,081	5.27*	4.48	7.65*	8.41	0.58*	0.95	0.5*	1.01
-5	Low Risk	OCM	56,405	5.17†	4.72	7.58†	8.86	0.27†	0.67	0.27†	0.70
	LOW INISK	Comparison	47,747	4.69*†	4.25	7.36*†	8.64	0.25*†	0.65	0.29*†	0.74
	High Risk	OCM	45,566	5.96	4.94	8.31	9.06	0.60	0.99	0.46	0.93
-2	I light ixisk	Comparison	37,719	5.34*	4.55	7.91*	8.79	0.55*	0.94	0.52*	1.07
-2	Low Risk	OCM	61,412	5.22†	4.76	7.72†	9.00	0.26†	0.68	0.27†	0.71
	LOW INISK	Comparison	52,242	4.69*†	4.23	7.41*†	8.70	0.24*†	0.65	0.30*†	0.79

Exhibit A5-26: Share of Episodes by Cancer Bundle, High HCC vs. Low HCC Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Danfarmanas		Practice/ TIN				Percent of E	pisodes by C	ancer Bundles				
Performance Period	Group	Туре	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other
	High HCC	OCM	14.8%	9.2%	23.2%	12.7%	7.3%	1.8%	4.4%	0.8%	1.8%	24.1%
-8	High nec	Comparison	13.9%*	9.0%	22.2%*	15.1%*	6.6%*	1.7%	4.4%	0.8%	2.1%*	24.1%*
-0	Low HCC	OCM	8.9%†	6.0%†	39.7%†	11.9%†	8.9%†	1.6%	3.7%†	0.4%†	2.4%†	16.5%†
	LOW HCC	Comparison	8.1%*†	5.4%*†	37.1%*†	17.5%*†	7.7%*†	1.4%*†	3.4%*†	0.4%*†	3.3%*†	15.7%*†
	High HCC	OCM	11.6%	8.3%	27.0%	14.7%	6.8%	1.4%	4.7%	0.7%	1.6%	23.3%
-7	HIGH HCC	Comparison	11.2%	7.9%	25.6%*	17.5%*	6.2%*	1.3%	4.9%	0.7%	1.7%	22.9%*
-/	Low HCC	OCM	10.5%†	6.8%†	36.1%†	11.5%†	8.4%†	1.8%†	3.6%†	0.5%†	2.4%†	18.4%†
	LOW HCC	Comparison	9.6%*†	6.0%*†	34.1%*†	16.0%*†	7.1%*†	1.6%*†	3.3%*†	0.5%†	3.3%*†	18.5%*†
	High HCC	OCM	12.8%	8.6%	25.4%	13.4%	6.2%	1.7%	4.6%	0.8%	1.8%	24.8%
,	High HCC	Comparison	12.0%*	8.3%	24.6%*	15.9%*	5.5%*	1.4%*	4.7%	0.8%	1.7%	25.0%*
-6	Law LICC	OCM	8.9%†	5.5%†	41.2%†	10.7%†	8.4%†	1.6%	3.8%†	0.5%†	2.5%†	17.0%†
	Low HCC	Comparison	8.5%*†	5.0%*†	38.7%*†	15.3%*†	7.2%*†	1.6%	3.6%*†	0.5%†	3.3%*†	16.4%*†
	High HCC	OCM	10.8%	7.7%	27.6%	14.6%	6.4%	1.4%	4.9%	0.7%	1.5%	24.4%
F	High HCC	Comparison	10.2%*	7.5%	26.6%*	17.1%*	5.9%*	1.2%	4.9%	0.8%	1.7%	24.1%*
-5	1 1100	OCM	10.1%†	6.3%†	38.3%†	10.4%†	7.8%†	1.7%†	3.8%†	0.5%†	2.5%†	18.6%†
	Low HCC	Comparison	9.6%*†	5.9%*†	36.3%*†	14.2%*†	6.8%*†	1.6%†	3.7%†	0.5%†	3.1%*†	18.3%*†
	History	OCM	12.4%	8.6%	23.0%	13.7%	6.5%	1.6%	5.1%	0.8%	1.9%	26.4%
4	High HCC	Comparison	12.1%	8.1%*	21.9%*	16.4%*	5.6%*	1.5%	4.9%	0.8%	2.1%*	26.5%*
-4	1 1100	OCM	8.3%†	5.2%†	43.2%†	10.8%†	7.6%†	1.5%	3.7%†	0.5%†	2.4%†	16.7%†
	Low HCC	Comparison	7.6%*†	4.9%*†	40.6%*†	15.5%*†	6.5%*†	1.4%	3.5%*†	0.5%†	3.4%*†	15.9%*†
	Himb HCC	OCM	10.6%	7.5%	25.3%	14.9%	6.5%	1.2%	5.5%	0.7%	1.6%	26.1%
2	High HCC	Comparison	10.5%	7.3%	24.0%*	17.6%*	5.7%*	1.3%	5.5%	0.7%	1.8%*	25.7%*
-3	1 1100	OCM	9.5%†	5.9%†	40.2%†	10.6%†	7.2%†	1.6%†	3.8%†	0.6%†	2.3%†	18.4%†
	Low HCC	Comparison	8.8%*†	5.7%†	37.7%*†	14.8%*†	6.3%*†	1.7%†	3.6%†	0.6%	3.0%*†	17.9%*†
	High HCC	OCM	11.9%	7.9%	24.3%	13.6%	6.5%	1.6%	5.1%	1.1%	1.8%	26.2%
2	High HCC	Comparison	11.3%*	7.4%*	23.3%*	16.8%*	5.5%*	1.5%	4.9%	1.2%	1.9%	26.2%*
-2	1 1100	OCM	7.8%†	5.3%†	43.6%†	10.9%†	7.6%†	1.5%	3.6%†	0.5%†	2.5%†	16.6%†
	Low HCC	Comparison	7.6%†	4.9%*†	40.4%*†	15.6%*†	6.4%*†	1.5%	3.6%†	0.5%†	3.2%*†	16.3%*†

Exhibit A5-27: Episode Services, High vs. Low HCC Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N	Number of Ca E&M Services	ancer-Related s per Episode	Number of Part Chemo Serv Episo	vices per ode	Number of Admissions			ED Visits Not Stay per Episode
				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	High HCC	OCM	46,114	6.75	5.19	9.18	9.70	0.56	0.95	0.40	0.93
-8	High nec	Comparison	36,331	6.05*	4.82	8.39*	8.74	0.53*	0.93	0.43*	0.96
-0	Low HCC	OCM	55,078	5.31†	5.02	7.44†	8.89	0.37†	0.80	0.30†	0.75
	LOWINCC	Comparison	44,014	4.73*†	4.64	6.74*†	8.06	0.34*†	0.78	0.32*†	0.79
	High HCC	OCM	31,329	6.18	4.89	8.61	9.43	0.52	0.92	0.40	0.90
-7	nigii ncc	Comparison	24,358	5.50*	4.56	7.89*	8.42	0.49*	0.90	0.43*	0.94
- /	Low HCC	OCM	57,160	5.6†	5.03	7.87†	9.02	0.40†	0.82	0.31†	0.75
	LOW HCC	Comparison	46,165	4.96*†	4.61	7.24*†	8.28	0.37*†	0.80	0.33*†	0.81
	High HCC	OCM	41,879	6.46	5.00	8.87	9.40	0.53	0.93	0.40	0.88
-6	nigii ncc	Comparison	33,934	5.84*	4.74	8.33*	8.79	0.49*	0.90	0.44*	1.01
-0	Low HCC	OCM	55,732	5.23†	4.98	7.35†	8.77	0.35†	0.79	0.29†	0.73
	LOW HCC	Comparison	45,743	4.69*†	4.58	6.85*†	8.08	0.33*†	0.76	0.32*†	0.80
	High HCC	OCM	31,974	6.04	4.80	8.49	9.15	0.50	0.91	0.40	1.00
-5	nigii ncc	Comparison	25,973	5.37*	4.50	7.91*	8.42	0.46*	0.86	0.43*	0.98
-0	Low HCC	OCM	63,053	5.45†	5.00	7.78†	9.04	0.38†	0.80	0.31†	0.74
	LOW HCC	Comparison	51,401	4.87*†	4.51	7.19*†	8.37	0.36*†	0.78	0.34*†	0.84
	High HCC	OCM	42,710	6.44	5.00	9.04	9.43	0.52	0.92	0.42	0.91
4	nigii ncc	Comparison	35,226	5.74*	4.50	8.51*	8.86	0.48*	0.91	0.46*	0.97
-4	Low HCC	OCM	60,278	5.08†	4.87	7.20†	8.60	0.34†	0.76	0.30†	0.74
	LOW HCC	Comparison	50,417	4.53*†	4.37	6.77*†	8.24	0.31*†	0.73	0.33*†	0.82
	High HCC	OCM	32,272	6.00	4.85	8.53	9.16	0.51	0.91	0.41	0.90
-3	nigii ncc	Comparison	27,141	5.35*	4.29	8.17*	8.71	0.48*	0.88	0.44*	0.92
-S	Low HCC	OCM	67,328	5.27†	4.81	7.53†	8.78	0.37†	0.79	0.32†	0.76
	LOW HCC	Comparison	56,687	4.74*†	4.38	7.16*†	8.44	0.35*†	0.77	0.35*†	0.85
	High HCC	OCM	46,771	6.23	4.86	8.98	9.43	0.51	0.92	0.42	0.91
	High HCC	Comparison	39,591	5.55*	4.41	8.58*	9.08	0.45*	0.87	0.47*	1.01
-2		OCM	60,207	4.99†	4.78	7.19†	8.63	0.33†	0.76	0.29†	0.73
	Low HCC	Comparison	50,370	4.49*†	4.30	6.87*†	8.39	0.31*†	0.73	0.34*†	0.84
		Comparison	46,114	4.60*†	4.35	6.94*†	8.36	0.32*†	0.75	0.34*†	0.84

Exhibit A5-28: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, High vs. Low HCC Beneficiaries, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N		A and B, and Part D r Episode		A and B, and Part D haring per Episode
				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	High HCC	OCM	46,114	\$30,431	\$24,161	\$6,034	\$4,755
-8	HIGH HCC	Comparison	36,331	\$28,380*	\$23,904	\$5,594*	\$4,642
-0	Low HCC	OCM	55,074	\$21,955†	\$23,642	\$4,583†	\$4,702
	LOW HCC	Comparison	44,012	\$20,348*†	\$23,041	\$4,258*†	\$4,558
	High HCC	OCM	31,329	\$29,642	\$24,154	\$6,131	\$4,982
-7	HIGH HCC	Comparison	24,358	\$28,135*	\$24,307	\$5,747*	\$4,937
-1	Low HCC	OCM	57,156	\$24,033†	\$24,598	\$5,508†	\$43,660
	LOW HCC	Comparison	46,160	\$22,411*†	\$24,820	\$4,950*†	\$4,961
	High HCC	OCM	41,879	\$31,409	\$25,420	\$6,492	\$5,519
,	High HCC	Comparison	33,934	\$29,935*	\$25,145	\$6,117*	\$5,424
-6	Low HCC	OCM	55,719	\$22,424†	\$24,784	\$4,991†	\$5,539
	LOW HCC	Comparison	45,734	\$21,233*†	\$31,521	\$4,723*†	\$7,324
	High HCC	OCM	31,974	\$31,038	\$25,609	\$6,525	\$5,591
-5	High HCC	Comparison	25,973	\$29,427*	\$25,387	\$6,157*	\$5,545
-0	Low HCC	OCM	63,039	\$23,888†	\$24,926	\$5,424†	\$5,494
	LOW HCC	Comparison	51,392	\$22,584*†	\$27,426	\$5,118*†	\$5,514
	High HCC	OCM	42,710	\$32,955	\$25,824	\$6,551	\$5,531
4	nigii ncc	Comparison	35,226	\$31,651*	\$26,308	\$6,234*	\$5,577
-4	Low HCC	OCM	60,275	\$22,405†	\$24,710	\$4,851†	\$5,326
	LOW FICE	Comparison	50,414	\$21,239*†	\$24,781	\$4,585*†	\$5,296
	High HCC	OCM	32,272	\$32,763	\$26,428	\$6,724	\$5,640
2	nigii ncc	Comparison	27,141	\$31,542*	\$26,328	\$6,423*	\$5,571
-3	Low HCC	OCM	67,322	\$24,180†	\$25,751	\$5,453†	\$5,648
	Low HCC	Comparison	56,684	\$23,075*†	\$25,490	\$5,198*†	\$5,579
	High HCC	OCM	46,771	\$34,565	\$27,722	\$6,698	\$5,743
า	High HCC	Comparison	39,591	\$33,312*	\$27,825	\$6,354*	\$5,623
-2	Low HCC	OCM	60,204	\$23,550†	\$26,378	\$4,989†	\$5,634
	LOW HCC	Comparison	50,368	\$22,579*†	\$26,491	\$4,740*†	\$5,663

Exhibit A5-29: Share of Episodes by Cancer Bundle, High vs. Low Patient Load Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Patient Load	Practice/				Percent of E	pisodes by Ca	ancer Bundles				
Period	Group	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia		Bladder Cancer	Other
	High	OCM	12.7%	8.1%	31.9%	11.9%	8.4%	1.8%	4.1%	0.5%	2.4%	18.2%
-8	riigii	Comparison	11.2%*	7.1%*	29.8%*	19.8%*	7.5%*	1.4%*	3.5%*	0.4%	3.3%*	15.9%*
-0	Low	OCM	11.1%†	7.2%†	32.2%	12.4%†	8.1%	1.7%	4.0%	0.6%	2.1%†	20.7%†
	LOW	Comparison	10.6%*†	6.9%*	30.6%*†	14.8%*†	7.0%*†	1.6%†	4.0%†	0.7%*†	2.5%*†	21.3%*†
	  High	OCM	11.7%	7.6%	30.2%	15.1%	7.8%	1.6%	4.0%	0.5%	3.2%	18.2%
-7	l light	Comparison	10.7%*	6.7%*	30.2%	20.7%*	6.8%*	1.4%*	3.5%	0.4%	3.6%	16.1%*
-7	Low	OCM	10.8%†	7.3%	33.3%†	12.2%†	7.8%	1.6%	4.0%	0.6%	1.9%†	20.5%†
	LOW	Comparison	10.0%*†	6.7%*	31.5%*†	15.0%*†	6.8%*	1.6%†	4.0%†	0.7%†	2.4%*†	21.5%*†
	High	OCM	11.6%	7.5%	33.1%	12.8%	7.8%	1.7%	4.2%	0.6%	3.0%	17.7%
-6	riigii	Comparison	10.0%*	6.4%*	31.6%*	20.4%*	6.4%*	1.3%*	3.5%*	0.4%*	3.9%*	16.1%*
-0	Low	OCM	10.3%†	6.7%†	34.7%†	11.7%†	7.4%†	1.7%	4.1%	0.6%	2.0%†	20.9%†
	LOW	Comparison	10.0%*	6.4%	33.1%*†	13.9%*†	6.5%*	1.6%†	4.2%†	0.7%*†	2.2%*†	21.4%*†
	High	OCM	11.3%	7.2%	32.4%	13.5%	7.6%	1.6%	4.4%	0.6%	2.9%	18.6%
-5	i ligii	Comparison	10.2%*	6.3%*	32.2%	19.3%*	6.4%*	1.3%*	3.9%*	0.4%*	3.8%*	16.3%*
-5	Low	OCM	10.2%†	6.7%†	35.1%†	11.5%†	7.3%	1.6%	4.2%	0.6%	2.0%†	20.9%†
	LOW	Comparison	9.7%*†	6.4%	33.3%*†	13.9%*†	6.5%*	1.6%*†	4.1%	0.7%*†	2.3%*†	21.5%*†
	High	OCM	11.2%	7.1%	34.1%	12.4%	7.4%	1.6%	4.3%	0.6%	2.6%	18.9%
-4	riigii	Comparison	9.5%*	5.9%*	31.7%*	20.9%*	6.1%*	1.3%*	3.7%*	0.4%*	4.1%*	16.3%*
-4	Low	OCM	9.8%†	6.5%†	35.0%†	11.9%	7.1%	1.5%	4.3%	0.6%	2.1%†	21.1%†
	LOW	Comparison	9.5%	6.3%†	33.4%*†	14.2%*†	6.2%*	1.5%†	4.2%†	0.7%†	2.4%*†	21.7%*†
	High	OCM	11.0%	6.8%	34.1%	13.0%	7.2%	1.7%	4.3%	0.6%	2.4%	18.9%
-3	Filgii	Comparison	9.3%*	6.0%*	32.1%*	21.2%*	6.1%*	1.3%*	3.9%*	0.5%	4.0%*	15.7%*
-5	Low	OCM	9.6%†	6.4%†	35.6%†	11.8%†	6.9%	1.5%†	4.3%	0.7%	2.0%†	21.2%†
	Low	Comparison	9.3%	6.3%	33.6%*†	13.9%*†	6.1%*	1.7%*†	4.3%†	0.7%†	2.2%*†	21.9%*†
	High	OCM	10.9%	6.4%	34.1%	13.5%	7.2%	1.6%	4.1%	0.7%	2.8%	18.7%
-2		Comparison	9.3%*	6.0%	31.5%*	21.7%*	5.9%*	1.3%*	3.7%	0.5%*	4.4%*	15.8%*
	Low	OCM	9.3%†	6.4%	35.4%†	11.8%†	7.1%	1.6%	4.3%	0.8%	2.0%†	21.3%†
	LUW	Comparison	9.3%	6.0%*	33.3%*†	14.4%*†	6.0%*	1.5%†	4.3%†	0.9%*†	2.1%†	22.2%*†

Exhibit A5-30: Episode Share by Cancer Bundle, High and Non-High Cost Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Dorformanaa		Dractice/				Percent of E	pisodes by Ca	ancer Bundles				
Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other
	High Cost	OCM	12.2%	8.1%	32.4%	10.5%	8.7%	1.7%	4.1%	0.4%	1.8%	20.2%
-8	riigii Cust	Comparison	12.5%	8.0%	30.8%*	10.5%	8.4%	1.7%	4.5%*	0.8%*	1.9%	20.9%
-0	Not High Cost	OCM	11.3%†	7.2%†	32.0%	13.0%†	7.9%†	1.7%	3.9%	0.6%†	2.3%†	19.9%
	Not riigit Cost	Comparison	10.1%*†	6.6%*†	30.2%*	18.7%*†	6.7*†	1.5%*†	3.6%*†	0.5%†	3.1%*†	19.0%*†
	High Cost	OCM	11.5%	7.6%	32.8%	11.3%	8.3%	1.6%	4.0%	0.4%	1.5%	21.0%
-7	riigii Cust	Comparison	11.6%	7.3%	32.0%	11.4%	7.9%	1.6%	4.4%*	0.7%*	1.7%	21.4%
-/	Not High Cost	OCM	10.7%†	7.2%†	32.9%	13.1%†	7.6%†	1.6%	3.9%	0.6%†	2.3%†	19.9%†
	Not High Cost	Comparison	9.5%*†	6.4%*†	30.8%*†	19.0%*†	6.2%*†	1.5%*	3.6%*†	0.5%	3.2%*†	19.4%*†
	High Cost	OCM	10.9%	7.1%	34.2%	10.2%	7.8%	1.7%	4.3%	0.5%	1.7%	22.0%
-6	riigii Cust	Comparison	11.0%	6.5%*	32.2%*	12.6%*	7.1%*	1.6%	4.6%	0.8%*	1.7%	21.9%
-0	Not High Cost	OCM	10.4%†	6.7%†	34.5%	12.5%†	7.3%†	1.7%	4.0%	0.6%	2.4%†	19.8%†
	Not High Cost	Comparison	9.5%*†	6.4%*	33.0%*†	16.9%*†	6.2%*†	1.5%*	3.8%*†	0.5%†	3.0%*†	19.2%*†
	High Cost	OCM	11.7%	7.0%	35.0%	9.1%	7.7%	1.5%	4.5%	0.5%	1.5%	21.5%
-5	riigii Cust	Comparison	10.9%*	6.5%	31.5%*	12.7%*	7.3%	1.7%	4.6%	0.9%*	1.9%*	22.1%
-5	Not High Cost	OCM	10.1%†	6.7%	34.6%	12.3%†	7.3%	1.6%	4.2%	0.6%	2.3%†	20.4%†
	Not High Cost	Comparison	9.3%*†	6.4%*	33.7%*†	16.3%*†	6.1%*†	1.4%*†	3.9%*†	0.5%*†	3.0%*†	19.5%*†
	High Cost	OCM	11.4%	7.1%	33.4%	9.9%	7.9%	1.5%	4.7%	0.5%	1.8%	22.0%
-4	riigii Cust	Comparison	9.9%*	6.4%*	33.4%	11.9%*	6.9%*	1.5%	4.4%	0.7%*	2.0%	23.0%*
<del>-4</del>	Not High Cost	OCM	9.7%†	6.5%†	35.1%†	12.5%†	7.0%†	1.5%	4.2%†	0.6%†	2.3%†	20.5%†
	Not riigit Cost	Comparison	9.3%*†	6.2%*	32.7%*	17.8%*†	5.8%*†	1.4%	3.9%*†	0.6%*†	3.3%*†	19.0%*†
	High Cost	OCM	11.4%	6.9%	33.9%	9.4%	8.1%	1.5%	4.6%	0.5%	1.6%	22.1%
-3	Tilgir Cost	Comparison	9.7%*	6.3%*	33.7%	11.8%*	6.6%*	1.7%	4.8%	0.8%*	1.9%*	22.6%
-3	Not High Cost	OCM	9.5%†	6.3%†	35.7%†	12.5%†	6.7%†	1.5%	4.3%	0.7%†	2.2%†	20.6%†
	TNOT HIGH COST	Comparison	9.1%*†	6.2%*	33.0%*	17.8%*†	5.8%*†	1.5%†	3.9%*†	0.6%*†	3.0%*†	19.2%*†
	High Cost	OCM	10.8%	6.8%	34.3%	10.6%	7.9%	1.6%	4.6%	0.8%	1.7%	21.0%
-2	I ligit Cost	Comparison	9.8%*	6.3%*	33.0%*	12.2%*	6.6%*	1.6%	4.5%	0.9%	1.8%	23.3%*
	Not High Cost	OCM	9.3%†	6.4%†	35.3%†	12.4%†	7.0%†	1.6%	4.2%†	0.8%	2.3%†	20.8%
	INOT HIGH COST	Comparison	9.0%*†	5.9%*†	32.8%*	18.5%*†	5.6%*†	1.4%*†	4.0%*†	0.8%	3.1%*†	19.1%*†

Exhibit A5-31: Episode Share by Cancer Bundle and Affiliation Type in Performance Period -2 (Episodes initiating Jan. – Jun. 2015)

Affiliation		Practice/				Percent of E	pisodes by Ca	ancer Bundles				
Type	Group	TIN Type	Lung Cancer	Colorectal Cancer	Breast Cancer	Prostate Cancer	Lymphoma	Head and Neck Cancer	Leukemia	Melanoma	Bladder Cancer	Other
Academic	Academic	OCM	7.6%	4.8%	31.0%	14.8%	6.5%	1.7%	4.5%	1.4%	2.6%	25.1%
Medical Center	Academic	Comparison	8.4%*	4.8%	28.7%*	15.9%*	5.9%*	2.2%*	4.7%	1.5%	2.5%	25.4%
	Non-Academic	OCM	10.0%†	6.8%†	36.0%†	11.5%†	7.3%†	1.5%	4.2%	0.6%†	2.1%†	19.9%†
Ailliation	NOH-ACAUEIIIC	Comparison	9.5%*†	6.3%*†	33.9%*†	16.2%*	6.0%*	1.3%*†	4.0%*†	0.7%†	2.7%*	19.5%†
	Health System	OCM	8.0%	5.4%	33.6%	14.3%	6.6%	1.6%	4.2%	1.1%	2.6%	22.7%
Health System	rieaiiii Systeiii	Comparison	9.3%*	5.8%*	33.5%	14.5%	5.9%*	1.6%	4.4%	0.9%*	2.3%	21.7%*
Affiliation	No Health	OCM	10.2%†	6.9%†	35.9%†	11.1%†	7.4%†	1.5%	4.3%	0.6%†	2.0%†	20.0%†
	System	Comparison	9.2%*	6.4%*†	31.9%*†	18.4%*†	6.0%*	1.3%*†	3.8%*†	0.7%†	3.1%*†	19.2%*†
	Hospital	OCM	8.4%	5.4%	33.1%	13.8%	6.9%	1.6%	4.2%	1.2%	2.5%	23.0%
Hospital	Ownership	Comparison	8.9%*	6.0%*	31.9%*	16.0%*	5.4%*	1.7%	4.2%	1.1%	2.2%*	22.6%
Ownership	No Hospital	OCM	9.8%†	6.7%†	35.6%†	11.7%†	7.2%	1.5%	4.3%	0.7%†	2.1%†	20.3%†
	Ownership	Comparison	9.4%*†	6.0%*	33.3%*†	16.2%*	6.2%*†	1.4%*†	4.2%	0.7%†	2.8%*†	19.7%*†

Exhibit A5-32: Episode Characteristics, Large vs. Small Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Group	Practice/	Number of	Percent of Episodes		Percent	t of Episodes by A	ge Bracket		
Period	Group	TIN Type	Episodes	among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+
	Largo	OCM	79,998	13.0%	10.8%	25.2%	23.0%	18.6%	13.4%	9.0%
-8	Large	Comparison	40,039	16.6%*	13.4%*	25.5%	22.4%*	17.7%*	12.6%*	8.3%*
-0	Small	OCM	21,194	15.2%†	11.2%	23.5%†	22.7%	18.5%	13.8%	10.4%†
	Siliali	Comparison	40,306	15.1%†	10.4%*†	22.3%*†	22.0%	19.3%*†	14.6%*†	11.4%*†
	Largo	OCM	70,925	13.1%	10.9%	24.9%	22.9%	18.6%	13.4%	9.2%
-7	Large	Comparison	35,403	16.9%*	13.6%*	25.3%	22.5%	17.7%*	12.2%*	8.7%*
-7	Small	OCM	17,564	15.7%†	11.3%	22.8%†	22.5%	18.6%	13.9%	10.9%†
	Siliali	Comparison	35,120	15.5%†	11.0%†	22.5%†	22.1%	19.2%†	13.8%†	11.2%†
	Largo	OCM	79,183	12.4%	10.8%	24.8%	23.4%	18.6%	13.3%	9.1%
-6	Large	Comparison	41,134	15.9%*	13.2%*	25.4%*	22.9%*	17.8%*	12.0%*	8.6%*
-0	Small	OCM	18,428	14.8%†	10.6%	23.4%†	22.5%†	19.2%†	13.9%†	10.5%†
	Siliali	Comparison	38,543	14.5%†	10.7%†	22.6%*†	22.6%	19.0%†	13.8%†	11.3%*†
	Largo	OCM	77,515	12.5%	10.7%	24.8%	23.3%	18.9%	13.0%	9.3%
-5	Large	Comparison	40,897	15.8%*	13.1%*	25.6%*	22.7%*	18.0%*	12.0%*	8.6%*
-0	Small	OCM	17,512	14.3%†	10.4%	23.0%†	23.1%	19.4%	13.5%	10.6%†
	Siliali	Comparison	36,477	14.5%†	10.8%†	22.8%†	22.4%*	19.1%†	13.8%†	11.2%*†
	Largo	OCM	84,031	11.7%	10.2%	25.2%	23.8%	19.1%	12.7%	9.1%
-4	Large	Comparison	46,457	14.9%*	12.3%*	25.6%	23.0%*	18.3%*	12.0%*	8.8%
-4	Small	OCM	18,957	13.7%†	10.3%	23.6%†	22.9%†	19.4%	13.4%†	10.4%†
	Siliali	Comparison	39,186	13.9%†	10.8%†	22.9%†	22.5%	19.2%†	13.4%†	11.2%*†
	Largo	OCM	80,216	11.4%	10.1%	25.3%	23.9%	19.0%	12.6%	9.1%
-3	Large	Comparison	46,663	14.9%*	12.5%*	26.0%*	23.2%*	17.8%*	11.8%*	8.6%*
-3	Small	OCM	19,384	13.7%†	10.3%	23.5%†	23.0%†	19.4%	13.2%†	10.6%†
	Small	Comparison	37,165	13.9%†	10.5%†	23.1%†	22.5%†	19.2%†	13.3%†	11.3%*†
	Largo	OCM	86,039	11.0%	9.9%	25.8%	23.9%	18.9%	12.4%	9.0%
-2	Large	Comparison	51,094	14.4%*	12.1%*	26.2%	23.7%	18.0%*	11.7%*	8.3%*
-2	Small	OCM	20,939	13.4%†	10.3%	23.7%†	23.2%†	19.3%	13.2%†	10.4%†
	Jiliali	Comparison	38,867	13.5%†	10.5%†	23.3%†	22.4%†	19.2%†	13.7%†	10.8%†

Exhibit A5-32: Episode Characteristics, Large vs. Small Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015), Continued

Performance	Croup	Practice/	Number of Enicodes		Percent of Episodes by F	Race		Percent of Episodes
Period	Group	TIN Type	Number of Episodes	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other	with HCC Score >= 2
	Largo	OCM	79,998	83.1%	8.8%	5.5%	2.6%	45.6%
-8	Large	Comparison	40,039	82.2%*	10.0%*	4.2%*	3.5%*	46.7%*
-0	Small	OCM	21,194	82.5%†	9.9%†	4.4%†	3.2%†	45.6%
	Silidii	Comparison	40,306	83.2%*†	10.3%	4.3%	2.2%*†	43.7%*†
	Largo	OCM	70,925	83.1%	9.0%	5.2%	2.7%	35.5%
-7	Large	Comparison	35,403	81.1%*	10.3%*	4.8%*	3.8%*	36.0%
-/	Small	OCM	17,564	82.9%	9.0%	4.7%†	3.4%†	35.1%
	Siliali	Comparison	35,120	83.4%†	10.3%*	4.0%*†	2.3%*†	33.0%*†
	Lorgo	OCM	79,183	82.8%	9.4%	5.0%	2.8%	43.1%
4	Large	Comparison	41,134	80.7%*	10.8%*	4.6%*	4.0%*	44.4%*
-6	Small	OCM	18,428	82.3%	9.7%	4.7%	3.4%†	41.9%†
	SIIIdii	Comparison	38,543	83.7%*†	10.3%*†	3.8%*†	2.3%*†	40.7%*†
	Largo	OCM	77,515	82.5%	9.3%	5.2%	3.0%	33.9%
-5	Large	Comparison	40,897	80.6%*	10.6%*	4.5%*	4.3%*	34.9%*
-0	Small	OCM	17,512	82.3%	9.6%	4.5%†	3.6%†	32.5%†
	Siliali	Comparison	36,477	83.4%*†	10.1%†	4.0%*†	2.5%*†	32.1%†
	Largo	OCM	84,031	82.8%	9.0%	5.2%	3.1%	41.7%
4	Large	Comparison	46,457	81.1%*	10.2%*	4.4%*	4.3%*	43.0%*
-4	Small	OCM	18,957	82.7%	9.2%	4.4%†	3.6%†	40.4%†
	Siliali	Comparison	39,186	83.2%†	10.6%*	3.8%*†	2.5%*†	39.0%*†
	Largo	OCM	80,216	82.7%	9.0%	5.1%	3.2%	32.6%
-3	Large	Comparison	46,663	81.2%*	10.0%*	4.4%*	4.3%*	33.8%*
-3	Small	OCM	19,384	82.8%	9.4%	4.2%†	3.6%†	31.6%†
	Siliali	Comparison	37,165	83.7%*†	10.0%*	3.7%*†	2.5%*†	30.6%*†
	Largo	OCM	86,039	82.7%	9.0%	4.9%	3.3%	44.1%
-2	Large	Comparison	51,094	81.3%*	9.4%*	4.7%*	4.6%*	45.6%*
-2	Small	OCM	20,939	82.7%	9.3%	4.1%†	4.0%†	42.1%†
	Jiliali	Comparison	38,867	83.3%*†	10.4%*†	3.7%*†	2.6%*†	41.1%†

Exhibit A5-33: Episode Characteristics, Low vs. High Patient Load Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance		Practice/ TIN	Number of	Percent of		Percen	t of Episodes by A	ge Bracket		
Period	Group	Туре	Episodes	Episodes among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+
	High	OCM	27,737	12.5%	10.0%	23.5%	23.1%	19.3%	14.0%	10.0%
-8	riigii	Comparison	26,325	12.9%	9.6%	21.8%*	21.4%*	19.7%	15.0%*	12.3%*
-0	Low	OCM	73,455	13.8%†	11.2%†	25.4%†	22.8%	18.2%†	13.3%†	9.0%†
	LOW	Comparison	54,020	17.3%*†	13.0%*†	24.9%†	22.6%†	17.9%†	12.9%*†	8.7%*†
	High	OCM	12,347	10.7%	8.6%	21.8%	22.9%	19.8%	15.2%	11.7%
-7	riigii	Comparison	19,560	13.3%*	10.1%*	21.9%	21.5%*	19.6%	14.6%	12.4%
-7	Low	OCM	76,142	14.1%†	11.4%†	24.9%†	22.8%	18.4%†	13.2%†	9.2%†
	LOW	Comparison	50,963	17.3%*†	13.2%*†	24.7%†	22.6%†	18.0%*†	12.4%*†	9.1%†
	High	OCM	18,127	11.3%	9.9%	22.2%	23.2%	19.5%	14.6%	10.5%
-6	i ligii	Comparison	20,817	12.6%*	10.0%	22.4%	22.1%*	19.4%	14.3%	11.8%*
-0	Low	OCM	79,484	13.2%†	10.9%†	25.0%†	23.3%	18.5%†	13.2%†	9.1%†
	LOW	Comparison	58,860	16.1%*†	12.7%*†	24.6%†	23.0%†	18.1%*†	12.5%*†	9.2%†
	High	OCM	15,616	10.0%	8.8%	21.9%	23.0%	20.5%	14.7%	11.1%
-5	i ligii	Comparison	18,450	11.7%*	10.0%*	22.8%	22.2%	19.3%*	13.9%*	11.8%*
-5	Low	OCM	79,411	13.3%†	11.0%†	25.0%†	23.4%	18.6%†	12.8%†	9.2%†
	LOW	Comparison	58,924	16.3%*†	12.7%*†	24.7%†	22.7%*	18.2%*†	12.5%†	9.2%†
	High	OCM	17,497	9.6%	9.0%	22.6%	24.0%	20.4%	13.7%	10.3%
-4	i ligii	Comparison	22,034	10.7%*	9.1%	22.1%	22.1%*	19.8%	14.5%*	12.4%*
-4	Low	OCM	85,491	12.6%†	10.5%†	25.4%†	23.5%	18.9%†	12.7%†	9.1%†
	LOW	Comparison	63,609	15.7%*†	12.5%*†	25.2%†	23.0%*†	18.3%*†	12.0%*†	9.0%†
	High	OCM	15,741	9.2%	8.7%	22.4%	23.9%	20.5%	14.0%	10.4%
-3	i ligii	Comparison	20,975	10.6%*	9.0%	22.3%	22.2%*	19.7%*	14.3%	12.5%*
-3	Low	OCM	83,859	12.3%†	10.4%†	25.4%†	23.7%	18.9%†	12.5%†	9.2%†
	_OW (	Comparison	62,853	15.7%*†	12.5%*†	25.5%†	23.1%*†	18.0%*†	11.9%*†	8.9%*†
	High	OCM	19,730	9.5%	8.9%	23.2%	23.5%	20.0%	13.7%	10.6%
-2	I ligit	Comparison	21,304	10.6%*	9.3%	22.6%	22.4%*	19.4%	14.4%*	11.8%*
-2	Low	OCM	87,248	11.9%†	10.2%†	25.9%†	23.8%	18.7%†	12.3%†	9.0%†
	LOW	Comparison	68,657	15.0%*†	12.1%*†	25.7%†	23.4%†	18.2%*†	12.0%†	8.6%*†

Exhibit A5-33: Episode Characteristics, Low vs. High Patient Load Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015), Continued

Performance			Number of		Percent of Episo	odes by Race		Percent of
Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Episodes	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other	Episodes with HCC Score >= 2
	High	OCM	27,737	86.7%	8.9%	2.6%	1.8%	47.0%
-8	Inigii	Comparison	26,325	85.8%*	9.8%*	2.7%	1.7%	42.3%*
-0	Low	OCM	73,455	81.5%†	9.1%	6.3%†	3.0%†	45.0%†
	LOW	Comparison	54,020	81.2%†	10.3%*†	5.0%*†	3.4%*†	46.7%*†
	Lligh	OCM	12,347	88.9%	6.1%	3.2%	1.7%	37.5%
-7	High	Comparison	19,560	85.4%*	10.7%*	2.4%*	1.4%*	32.2%*
-1	Low	OCM	76,142	82.1%†	9.5%†	5.4%†	3.0%†	35.1%†
	Low	Comparison	50,963	81.0%*†	10.2%*	5.1%*†	3.6%*†	35.4%†
	Lligh	OCM	18,127	87.9%	7.3%	2.9%	1.8%	43.4%
4	High	Comparison	20,817	85.3%*	11.0%*	2.1%*	1.6%	39.0%*
-6	Low	OCM	79,484	81.5%†	10.0%†	5.4%†	3.1%†	42.8%
	Low	Comparison	58,860	81.0%*†	10.3%*†	4.9%*†	3.7%*†	43.9%*†
	High	OCM	15,616	87.8%	7.3%	3.1%	1.7%	34.9%
-5	High	Comparison	18,450	84.3%*	11.7%*	2.3%*	1.7%	31.4%*
-3	Low	OCM	79,411	81.5%†	9.7%†	5.4%†	3.4%†	33.4%†
	Low	Comparison	58,924	81.2%†	10.0%†	4.9%*†	4.0%*†	34.3%*†
	High	OCM	17,497	88.1%	6.6%	3.4%	1.9%	41.9%
4	High	Comparison	22,034	84.9%*	11.1%*	2.2%*	1.8%	38.0%*
-4	Low	OCM	85,491	81.7%†	9.6%†	5.3%†	3.4%†	41.4%
	Low	Comparison	63,609	81.0%*†	10.1%*†	4.8%*†	4.1%*†	42.2%*†
	High	OCM	15,741	87.9%	7.1%	3.2%	1.9%	33.7%
-3	High	Comparison	20,975	85.0%*	11.0%*	2.2%*	1.8%	29.9%*
-3	Low	OCM	83,859	81.7%†	9.5%†	5.2%†	3.6%†	32.2%†
	Low	Comparison	62,853	81.4%†	9.7%†	4.7%*†	4.1%*†	33.2%*†
	Lligh	OCM	19,730	87.0%	7.4%	3.3%	2.3%	44.3%
	High	Comparison	21,304	85.1%*	10.9%*	2.2%*	1.8%*	40.0%*
-2	Low	OCM	87,248	81.7%†	9.5%†	5.1%†	3.72%†	43.6%
	Low	Comparison	68,657	81.3%*†	9.5%†	4.9%†	4.3%*†	45.3%*†

Exhibit A5-34: Episode Characteristics, Oncology Only vs. Multi-Specialty Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance			Number of	Percent of		Percent	of Episodes by A	ge Bracket		
Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Episodes	Episodes among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+
	Onc Only	OCM	19,362	12.7%	11.2%	25.1%	23.0%	18.8%	13.1%	8.8%
-8	One only	Comparison	23,884	15.5%*	11.0%	24.2%*	22.6%	19.0%	13.9%*	9.3%
	Multi	OCM	81,830	13.7%†	10.8%	24.8%	22.9%	18.5%	13.6%	9.4%†
	Maiti	Comparison	56,461	16.0%*	12.3%*†	23.8%*	22.0%*	18.3%†	13.5%	10.1%*†
	Onc Only	OCM	17,249	13.5%	11.1%	24.8%	22.9%	18.7%	13.2%	9.2%
-7	One Only	Comparison	20,960	14.9%*	11.3%	23.7%*	23.2%	19.3%	13.1%	9.4%
-7	Multi	OCM	71,240	13.7%	11.0%	24.4%	22.8%	18.6%	13.6%	9.6%
	IVIGITI	Comparison	49,563	16.7%*†	12.7%*†	24.0%	21.9%*†	18.0%*†	13.0%*	10.2%*†
	Onc Only	OCM	22,337	12.7%	11.0%	24.9%	22.9%	19.1%	13.2%	8.9%
-6	Offic Offity	Comparison	25,101	14.2%*	11.1%	24.1%*	23.6%	18.7%	13.0%	9.5%*
-0	Multi	OCM	75,274	12.9%	10.7%	24.4%	23.3%	18.6%	13.5%	9.5%†
	IVIUIII	Comparison	54,576	15.7%*†	12.4%*†	24.0%	22.3%*†	18.3%	12.9%*	10.1%*†
	Onc Only	OCM	20,645	12.6%	10.9%	24.6%	23.2%	19.2%	13.0%	9.0%
-5	Offic Offity	Comparison	20,320	13.9%*	11.1%	24.1%	23.1%	19.2%	12.6%	9.9%*
-0	Multi	OCM	74,382	12.9%	10.5%	24.4%	23.3%	18.9%	13.1%	9.66%†
	IVIUIII	Comparison	57,054	15.7%*†	12.3%*†	24.3%	22.4%*†	18.2%*†	12.9%	9.8%
	Onc Only	OCM	21,598	11.3%	10.9%	24.8%	23.5%	19.3%	12.8%	8.7%
-4	Offic Offity	Comparison	23,067	13.0%*	10.8%	24.6%	23.2%	19.1%	12.8%	9.5%*
-4	Multi	OCM	81,390	12.3%†	10.1%†	24.9%	23.6%	19.1%	12.8%	9.5%†
	IVIUIII	Comparison	62,576	15.0%*†	11.9%*†	24.3%*	22.6%*	18.5%*†	12.6%	10.1%*†
	Onc Only	OCM	20,560	11.6%	10.5%	25.0%	23.7%	18.9%	12.7%	9.3%
-3	Offic Offity	Comparison	21,256	13.3%*	11.4%*	25.2%	22.8%*	18.8%	12.5%	9.2%
-3	Multi	OCM	79,040	11.9%	10.0%	24.9%	23.7%	19.2%	12.7%	9.4%
	Multi	Comparison	62,572	14.8%*†	11.7%*	24.5%†	23.0%*	18.3%*	12.5%	10.0%*†
	Onc Only	OCM	19,147	11.5%	10.5%	25.4%	23.4%	18.9%	12.7%	9.1%
-2	One Only	Comparison	22,241	12.6%*	11.2%*	25.5%	23.3%	18.7%	12.8%	8.5%*
-2	Multi	OCM	87,831	11.5%	9.9%†	25.4%	23.8%	19.0%	12.5%	9.3%
	iviulti	Comparison	67,720	14.5%*†	11.5%*	24.7%*†	23.2%*	18.4%*	12.5%	9.7%*†

Exhibit A5-34: Episode Characteristics, Oncology Only vs. Multi-Specialty Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015), Continued

Performance			Number of		Percent of Episod	des by Race		Percent of
Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Episodes	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other	Episodes with HCC Score >= 2
	Onc Only	OCM	19,362	83.8%	10.4%	3.8%	2.1%	47.2%
-8	Offic Offig	Comparison	23,884	81.8%*	10.9%	4.6%*	2.6%*	47.9%
-0	Multi	OCM	81,830	82.8%†	8.8%†	5.6%†	2.8%†	45.2%†
	IVIUILI	Comparison	56,461	83.1%†	9.8%*†	4.1%*†	3.0%†	44.1%*†
	Onc Only	OCM	17,249	82.3%	11.0%	4.3%	2.4%	36.3%
-7	Offic Offiny	Comparison	20,960	82.4%	11.1%	4.0%	2.6%	35.8%
-7	Multi	OCM	71,240	83.2%†	8.5%†	5.3%†	2.9%†	35.2%†
	IVIUIU	Comparison	49,563	82.2%*	10.0%*†	4.5%*†	3.2%*†	34.0%*†
	Onc Only	OCM	22,337	82.7%	10.8%	4.0%	2.6%	44.3%
-6	Offic Offig	Comparison	25,101	82.1%	11.2%	4.0%	2.7%	44.1%
-0	Multi	OCM	75,274	82.7%	9.1%†	5.2%†	3.0%†	42.5%†
	IVIUILI	Comparison	54,576	82.2%*	10.2%*†	4.3%*	3.4%*†	41.9%*†
	Onc Only	OCM	20,645	82.6%	10.7%	4.0%	2.7%	34.4%
-5	Offic Offiny	Comparison	20,320	82.3%	11.0%	4.1%	2.5%	33.3%*
-0	Multi	OCM	74,382	82.5%	9.0%†	5.3%†	3.2%†	33.4%†
	IVIUIU	Comparison	57,054	81.7%*	10.1%*†	4.3%*	3.8%*†	33.7%
	Onc Only	OCM	21,598	84.0%	9.9%	3.6%	2.5%	42.7%
-4	Offic Offig	Comparison	23,067	81.8%*	12.5%*	3.2%*	2.4%	41.2%*
-4	Multi	OCM	81,390	82.5%†	8.8%†	5.4%†	3.3%†	41.2%†
	IVIUILI	Comparison	62,576	82.1%	9.6%*†	4.4%*†	3.9%*†	41.1%
	Onc Only	OCM	20,560	83.4%	10.2%	3.6%	2.7%	33.3%
-3	Offic Offiny	Comparison	21,256	81.8%*	12.4%*	3.2%*	2.6%	32.1%*
-3	Multi	OCM	79,040	82.5%†	8.8%†	5.2%†	3.4%†	32.2%†
	IVIUILI	Comparison	62,572	82.5%†	9.3%*†	4.4%*†	3.9%*†	32.5%
	Onc Only	OCM	19,147	84.9%	8.3%	4.0%	2.8%	44.2%
-2	One Only	Comparison	22,241	82.3%*	12.4%*	2.7%*	2.6%	44.0%
-Z	Multi	OCM	87,831	82.2%†	9.3%†	4.9%†	3.6%†	43.6%
	IVIUILI	Comparison	67,720	82.2%	9.0%*†	4.8%†	4.1%*†	44.0%

Exhibit A5-35: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Non-High Cost Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance			Number of	Percent of		Percent	t of Episodes by A	ge Bracket		
Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Episodes	Episodes among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+
	High Cost	OCM	29,672	13.2%	10.2%	25.0%	22.8%	18.5%	13.8%	9.6%
-8	riigii Cost	Comparison	21,887	15.0%*	12.4%*	24.5%	22.8%	18.4%	13.2%	8.6%*
-0	Not High Cost	OCM	71,520	13.6%	11.2%†	24.8%	23.0%	18.5%	13.3%†	9.2%†
	Not riigit Cost	Comparison	58,458	16.1%*†	11.7%*†	23.7%*†	22.0%*†	18.6%	13.7%*	10.4%*†
	High Cost	OCM	23,625	13.1%	10.6%	24.3%	23.0%	18.9%	13.7%	9.5%
-7	riigii Cost	Comparison	22,274	14.7%*	12.5%*	24.5%	22.8%	18.6%	12.7%*	8.8%*
-/	Not High Cost	OCM	64,864	13.8%†	11.2%†	24.5%	22.8%	18.5%	13.4%	9.5%
	Not riigh Cost	Comparison	48,249	16.8%*†	12.2%*	23.7%*†	22.1%*†	18.4%	13.2%	10.5%*†
	High Cost	OCM	27,940	12.4%	9.9%	24.3%	23.4%	19.1%	13.7%	9.5%
	riigii Cust	Comparison	24,890	16.3%*	13.2%*	25.0%	22.9%	18.1%*	12.3%*	8.6%*
-6	Not High Cost	OCM	69,671	13.0%†	11.1%†	24.6%	23.2%	18.6%†	13.3%	9.3%
	INOT HIGH COST	Comparison	54,787	14.8%*†	11.4%†	23.6%*†	22.7%†*	18.6%	13.2%†	10.5%*†
	High Cost	OCM	14,213	11.8%	10.1%	24.2%	23.0%	19.1%	13.6%	10.0%
	nigii Cost	Comparison	23,596	17.1%*	13.7%*	25.1%*	22.9%	18.0%*	11.9%*	8.4%*
-5 	High Cost  Not High Cost	OCM	80,814	13.0†	10.7%†	24.5%	23.4%	18.9%	13.0%	9.4%†
	Not High Cost	Comparison	53,778	14.4%*†	11.3%*†	23.9%*†	22.4%†*	18.7%†	13.2%†	10.5%*†
	High Cost	OCM	18,359	11.3%	10.0%	24.7%	23.7%	19.4%	12.8%	9.3%
4	nigii Cost	Comparison	27,815	15.7%*	12.7%*	25.3%	23.3%	18.4%*	11.9%*	8.4%*
-4	Not High Cost	OCM	84,629	12.2%†	10.3%	24.9%	23.6%	19.1%	12.8%	9.3%
	Not High Cost	Comparison	57,828	13.9%*†	11.1%*†	23.9%*†	22.5%*†	18.8%	13.0%†	10.6%*†
	High Cost	OCM	16,264	11.5%	10.6%	24.6%	24.1%	18.9%	12.3%	9.5%
-3	High Cost	Comparison	29,519	15.6%*	12.8%*	25.9%*	23.6%	18.0%*	11.5%*	8.2%*
-ა	Not High Cost	OCM	83,336	11.9%	10.0%†	25.0%	23.6%	19.2%	12.8%	9.4%
	Not High (Jost —	Comparison	54,309	13.8%*†	11.0%*†	24.1%*†	22.5%*†	18.7%*†	13.0%†	10.7%*†
	Lligh Cost	OCM	17,103	10.6%	9.3%	25.4%	24.1%	19.0%	12.6%	9.7%
,	High Cost	Comparison	33,943	15.4%*	12.6%*	25.8%	23.1%*	18.1%*	12.1%	8.3%*
-2	Not High Cost	OCM	89,875	11.6%†	10.1%†	25.4%	23.7%	19.0%	12.5%	9.2%
	INUT HIGH CUST	Comparison	56,018	13.1%*†	10.7%*†	24.4%*†	23.3%	18.7%†	12.9%†	10.0%*†

Exhibit A5-35: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Non-High Cost Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015), Continued

Dorformanaa			Number of		Percent of Episod	des by Race		Percent of
Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Episodes	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other	Episodes with HCC Score >= 2
	High Cost	OCM	29,672	81.8%	7.7%	7.9%	2.6%	46.3%
-8	nigii Cost	Comparison	21,887	82.4%	9.8%*	5.4%*	2.4%	47.8%*
-0	Not High Cost	OCM	71,520	83.5%†	9.6%†	4.2%†	2.7%	45.3%†
	Not rigit cost	Comparison	58,458	82.8%*	10.3%*	3.8%*†	3.1%*†	44.2%*†
	High Cost	OCM	23,625	81.3%	8.7%	7.7%	2.3%	36.4%
-7	High Cost	Comparison	22,274	83.6%*	9.4%*	4.4%*	2.6%	36.1%
-/	Not High Cost	OCM	64,864	83.7%†	9.1%	4.2%†	3.0%†	35.1%†
	Not High Cost	Comparison	48,249	81.6%*†	10.8%*†	4.4%	3.2%*†	33.8%*†
	Lliah Coot	OCM	27,940	80.3%	9.2%	7.7%	2.9%	44.2%
,	High Cost	Comparison	24,890	80.9%*	10.0%*	5.6%*	3.5%*	45.7%*
-6	Not High Cost	OCM	69,671	83.7%†	9.6%	3.9%†	2.9%	42.4%†
	Not High Cost	Comparison	54,787	82.7%*†	10.8%*†	3.5%*†	3.0%†	41.2%*†
	Lliah Coot	OCM	14,213	83.4%	8.5%	4.7%	3.5%	35.6%
г	High Cost	Comparison	23,596	80.4%*	10.8%*	5.3%*	3.6%	35.9%
-5	Not High Cost	OCM	80,814	82.3%†	9.5%†	5.1%†	3.0%†	33.3%†
	Not High Cost	Comparison	53,778	82.6%†	10.2%*†	3.8%*†	3.4%*	32.5%*†
	Lliah Coot	OCM	18,359	81.6%	10.0%	4.6%	3.8%	45.0%
4	High Cost	Comparison	27,815	79.4%*	11.0%*	5.3%*	4.3%*	43.9%*
-4	Not High Cost	OCM	84,629	83.0%†	8.8%†	5.1%†	3.0%†	40.7%†
	Not High Cost	Comparison	57,828	83.3%†	10.1%*†	3.5%*†	3.1%†	39.8%*†
	Lliah Coot	OCM	16,264	83.0%	9.8%	3.9%	3.3%	35.0%
2	High Cost	Comparison	29,519	78.1%*	11.6*%	6.0%*	4.2%*	33.6%*
-3	Not High Cost	OCM	83,336	82.6%	9.0%†	5.1%†	3.3%	31.9%†
	Not High Cost	Comparison	54,309	84.6%*†	9.2%†	3.1%*†	3.2%†	31.7%†
	High Cost	OCM	17,103	82.7%	8.7%	4.8%	3.8%	45.8%
2	High Cost	Comparison	33,943	79.6%*	10.0%*	5.8%*	4.5%*	46.4%
-2	Not High Cost	OCM	89,875	82.7%	9.2%†	4.7%†	3.4%†	43.3%†
	Not High Cost	Comparison	56,018	83.7%*†	9.7%*	3.3%*†	3.2%†	42.6%*†

Exhibit A5-36: Episode Characteristics by Affiliation Type in Performance Period -2 (Episodes initiating Jan. – Jun. 2015)

			Number	Percent of		Percent of	of Episode	s by Age	Bracket		Perc	ent of Epis	odes by Ra	ce	Percent of
Affiliation Type	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	of Episodes	Episodes among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+	Non- Hispanic White	Non- Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other	Episodes with HCC Score >= 2
Academic	Academic	OCM	19,527	14.5%	12.4%	27.8%	23.7%	17.8%	10.6%	7.8%	77.3%	13.4%	4.8%	4.5%	45.5%
Medical	Academic	Comparison	17,372	17.1%*	14.8%*	28.1%	24.3%	16.4%*	10.1%	6.4%*	75.9%*	13.1%	5.5%*	5.5%*	46.5%
Center	Non-	OCM	87,451	10.8%†	9.4%†	24.9%†	23.8%	19.3%†	13.0%†	9.6%†	83.9%†	8.1%†	4.7%	3.2%†	43.3%†
Affiliation	Academic	Comparison	72,589	13.2%*†	10.6%*†	24.2%*†	22.9%*†	19.0%†	13.2%†	10.1%*†	83.7%†	9.1%*†	3.9%*†	3.3%†	43.4%†
Health	Health	OCM	33,749	13.6%	11.8%	26.6%	23.4%	18.0%	11.5%	8.7%	79.0%	13.3%	4.0%	3.7%	43.6%
System	System	Comparison	52,541	14.2%*	12.3%*	26.0%*	23.4%	17.9%	11.9%	8.5%	82.7%*	9.7%*	3.8%	3.8%	43.8%
Affiliation	No Health	OCM	73,229	10.5%†	9.1%†	24.9%†	23.9%	19.5%†	13.1%†	9.5%†	84.4%†	7.2%†	5.1%†	3.3%†	43.8%
Amilation	System	Comparison	37,420	13.7%*	10.2%*†	23.5%*†	22.8%*†	19.3%†	13.6%*†	10.5%*†	81.4%*†	10.0%*	4.9%†	3.7%*	44.3%
	Hospital	OCM	20,319	14.5%	12.4%	26.4%	23.3%	18.3%	11.2%	8.4%	78.4%	13.0%	4.9%	3.7%	43.9%
Hospital	Ownership	Comparison	29,340	15.9%*	12.8%	25.5%*	23.4%	18.0%	11.8%*	8.5%	80.2%*	9.5%*	5.7%*	4.6%*	46.8%*
Ownership	No Hospital	OCM	86,659	10.7%†	9.4%†	25.2%†	23.9%	19.1%†	12.9%†	9.5%†	83.7%†	8.2%†	4.7%	3.4%†	43.7%
	Ownership	Comparison	60,621	13.0%*†	10.8%*†	24.6%*†	23.1%*	18.7%*†	13.0%†	9.8%*†	83.2%*†	10.0%*†	3.6%*†	3.3%†	42.7%*†

Exhibit A5-37: Episode Services, Large vs. Small Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Group	Practice/	N	Number of Ca E&M Services		Number of Par Chemo Service	t B and Part D es per Episode	Number of Admissions	•		ED Visits Not Stay per Episode
Period	•	TIN Type		Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	Lorgo	OCM	79,998	6.00	5.15	8.27	9.42	0.45	0.88	0.34	0.84
-8	Large	Comparison	40,039	4.88*	4.07	7.19*	7.91	0.42*	0.86	0.38*	0.89
-0	Small	OCM	21,194	5.83†	5.14	8.10†	8.88	0.45	0.88	0.35	0.82
	Siliali	Comparison	40,306	5.77†	5.33	7.77*†	8.88	0.43*	0.85	0.35†	0.85
	Large	OCM	70,925	5.86	5.00	8.20	9.27	0.44	0.86	0.34	0.81
-7	Large	Comparison	35,403	4.77*	3.96	7.25*	7.91	0.42*	0.84	0.38*	0.88
-/	Small	OCM	17,564	5.60†	4.97	7.85†	8.77	0.44	0.87	0.34	0.82
	Siliali	Comparison	35,120	5.53†	5.14	7.69*†	8.73	0.42*	0.83	0.35†	0.83
	Largo	OCM	79,183	5.81	5.03	8.05	9.14	0.43	0.86	0.34	0.80
-6	Large	Comparison	41,134	4.86*	4.11	7.35*	8.11	0.40*	0.84	0.38*	0.93
-0	Small	OCM	18,428	5.52†	5.00	7.78†	8.80	0.40†	0.82	0.35	0.80
	Siliali	Comparison	38,543	5.52†	5.21	7.61*†	8.74	0.39	0.81	0.36†	0.86
	Large	OCM	77,515	5.70	4.95	8.08	9.18	0.42	0.85	0.34	0.85
-5	Large	Comparison	40,897	4.76*	3.99	7.30*	8.05	0.40*	0.83	0.39*	0.90
-5	Small	OCM	17,512	5.42†	4.92	7.77†	8.62	0.41	0.84	0.34	0.78
	Jiliali	Comparison	36,477	5.35†	5.02	7.59*†	8.76	0.38*†	0.79	0.35*†	0.87
	Large	OCM	84,031	5.71	4.99	8.02	9.08	0.42	0.84	0.35	0.80
-4	Large	Comparison	46,457	4.76*	3.98	7.37*	8.33	0.39*	0.83	0.39*	0.89
-4	Small	OCM	18,957	5.38†	4.83	7.73†	8.64	0.39†	0.81	0.35	0.87
	Jiliali	Comparison	39,186	5.35†	4.96	7.61†	8.79	0.37*†	0.79	0.38*	0.88
	Large	OCM	80,216	5.55	4.85	7.87	8.93	0.42	0.84	0.35	0.81
-3	Large	Comparison	46,663	4.74*	3.95	7.43*	8.34	0.40*	0.83	0.38*	0.86
-3	Small	OCM	19,384	5.35†	4.77	7.76	8.85	0.39†	0.80	0.36†	0.84
	Jiliali	Comparison	37,165	5.20*†	4.82	7.55*	8.78	0.38†	0.79	0.37	0.89
	Large	OCM	86,039	5.57	4.88	7.99	9.02	0.41	0.85	0.35	0.81
-2	Largo	Comparison	51,094	4.79*	4.02	7.64*	8.62	0.38*	0.82	0.40*	0.94
_	Small	OCM	20,939	5.37†	4.74	7.90	9.08	0.38†	0.81	0.35	0.84
	O.Hall	Comparison	38,867	5.18*†	4.81	7.60*	8.90	0.36*†	0.77	0.38*†	0.91

Exhibit A5-38: Episode Services, Low vs. High Patient Load Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Group	Practice/	N	Number of Ca E&M Services			rt B and Part D es per Episode	Number of Admissions	•		ED Visits Not Stay per Episode
Period	•	TIN Type		Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	High	OCM	27,737	6.28	5.23	8.55	9.23	0.44	0.85	0.33	0.80
-8	migri	Comparison	26,325	5.54*	5.11	7.61*	8.77	0.42*	0.84	0.36*	0.91
-0	Low	OCM	73,455	5.85†	5.12	8.12†	9.34	0.46	0.89	0.35†	0.85
	Low	Comparison	54,020	5.22*†	4.59	7.42*†	8.23	0.43*	0.86	0.37*†	0.85
	High	OCM	12,347	6.36	5.34	8.59	9.37	0.42	0.83	0.30	0.71
-7	Inign	Comparison	19,560	5.30*	5.00	7.40*	8.52	0.40	0.82	0.35*	0.83
- /	Low	OCM	76,142	5.72†	4.93	8.06†	9.14	0.45†	0.86	0.35†	0.83
	LOW	Comparison	50,963	5.09*†	4.44	7.49*	8.26	0.42*†	0.84	0.37*†	0.87
	High	OCM	18,127	6.08	5.25	8.50	9.33	0.39	0.81	0.33	0.75
-6	liligii	Comparison	20,817	5.21*	5.02	7.39*	8.69	0.37*	0.78	0.35*	0.87
-0	Low	OCM	79,484	5.68†	4.97	7.89†	9.02	0.43†	0.86	0.34	0.81
	LOW	Comparison	58,860	5.16*	4.56	7.51*	8.32	0.4*1†	0.84	0.38*†	0.91
	  High	OCM	15,616	6.39	5.37	8.54	9.34	0.41	0.83	0.30	0.74
-5	l light	Comparison	18,450	5.22*	4.85	7.40*	8.57	0.36*	0.76	0.33*	0.83
3	Low	OCM	79,411	5.50†	4.84	7.92†	9.03	0.42	0.85	0.35†	0.86
	LOW	Comparison	58,924	4.98*†	4.40	7.44*	8.34	0.40*†	0.82	0.38*†	0.90
	  High	OCM	17,497	5.96	4.96	8.32	9.16	0.40	0.81	0.31	0.75
-4	l light	Comparison	22,034	5.03*	4.70	7.31*	8.51	0.36*	0.77	0.36*	0.86
	Low	OCM	85,491	5.6†	4.96	7.89†	8.96	0.42†	0.84	0.36†	0.83
	LOW	Comparison	63,609	5.03*	4.38	7.54*†	8.56	0.39*†	0.83	0.39*†	0.89
	High	OCM	15,741	5.83	4.90	8.34	9.17	0.39	0.79	0.32	0.75
-3	l light	Comparison	20,975	4.85*	4.53	7.18*	8.39	0.36*	0.77	0.35*	0.85
	Low	OCM	83,859	5.45†	4.82	7.76†	8.87	0.42†	0.84	0.35†	0.82
	LOW	Comparison	62,853	4.97*†	4.30	7.59*†	8.59	0.40*†	0.82	0.39*†	0.88
	High	OCM	19,730	5.87	4.99	8.14	9.18	0.39	0.81	0.32	0.79
-2	9''	Comparison	21,304	4.96*	4.71	7.35*	8.70	0.34*	0.74	0.36*	0.85
	Low	OCM	87,248	5.46†	4.82	7.93†	9.00	0.41†	0.85	0.36†	0.82
		Comparison	68,657	4.96*	4.27	7.71*†	8.75	0.38*†	0.81	0.40*†	0.95

Exhibit A5-39: Episode Services, Oncology Only vs. Multi-Specialty Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Dorformonoo		Dractice/ TIN		Number of Ca	ancer-Related	Number of Pa	rt B and Part D	Number o	f Inpatient	Number of E	D Visits Not
Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN	N	E&M Service:	s per Episode	Chemo Service	es per Episode	Admissions	per Episode	Resulting in IP S	Stay per Episode
Periou		Туре		Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	Onc Only	OCM	19,362	6.26	4.97	8.80	9.81	0.47	0.88	0.38	0.90
-8	Offic Offig	Comparison	23,884	6.38*	5.52	8.29*	8.78	0.42*	0.83	0.37	0.90
-0	Multi	OCM	81,830	5.90†	5.19	8.10†	9.18	0.45†	0.88	0.34†	0.82
	IVIUILI	Comparison	56,461	4.88*†	4.33	7.14*†	8.23	0.43*	0.86	0.37*	0.86
	Onc Only	OCM	17,249	6.17	5.00	8.78	9.79	0.45	0.86	0.35	0.81
-7	One Only	Comparison	20,960	6.09	5.40	8.13*	8.61	0.41*	0.84	0.37	0.83
-7	Multi	OCM	71,240	5.72†	4.98	7.97†	9.01	0.44	0.86	0.34†	0.81
	IVIUILI	Comparison	49,563	4.75*†	4.16	7.19*†	8.20	0.41*	0.84	0.37*	0.87
	Onc Only	OCM	22,337	6.13	5.01	8.51	9.42	0.43	0.85	0.36	0.80
6	One Only	Comparison	25,101	6.07	5.43	8.19*	8.78	0.39*	0.80	0.37	0.86
-0	-6 Multi	OCM	75,274	5.64†	5.02	7.85†	8.97	0.43	0.86	0.33†	0.80
IVIUILI	IVIUILI	Comparison	54,576	4.77*†	4.24	7.15*†	8.23	0.40*†	0.84	0.37*	0.92
	Onc Only	OCM	20,645	5.88	4.86	8.50	9.38	0.42	0.84	0.36	0.84
-5	One Only	Comparison	20,320	5.94	5.33	8.12*	8.78	0.39*	0.80	0.36	0.90
-5	Multi	OCM	74,382	5.59†	4.96	7.89†	8.99	0.42	0.85	0.33†	0.84
	IVIUILI	Comparison	57,054	4.72*†	4.14	7.19*†	8.24	0.39*	0.81	0.37*†	0.88
	Onc Only	OCM	21,598	5.89	4.87	8.27	9.07	0.42	0.83	0.37	0.87
-4	One only	Comparison	23,067	5.78*	5.08	8.19	8.85	0.38*	0.80	0.36	0.87
	Multi	OCM	81,390	5.58†	4.99	7.88†	8.98	0.41	0.84	0.35†	0.80
	Ividiti	Comparison	62,576	4.75*†	4.18	7.22*†	8.42	0.38*	0.82	0.39*†	0.89
	Onc Only	OCM	20,560	5.77	4.77	8.09	8.72	0.41	0.82	0.36	0.84
-3	One only	Comparison	21,256	5.65*	5.02	8.16	8.81	0.39*	0.80	0.37	0.90
J	Multi	OCM	79,040	5.44†	4.85	7.79†	8.97	0.41	0.84	0.34†	0.81
	ividiti	Comparison	62,572	4.70*†	4.09	7.25*†	8.43	0.39*	0.81	0.38*	0.87
	Onc Only	OCM	19,147	5.74	4.73	8.30	9.12	0.40	0.82	0.36	0.84
-2	Citio Offiny	Comparison	22,241	5.79	5.18	8.22	8.85	0.38*	0.79	0.39*	0.93
	Multi	OCM	87,831	5.49†	4.88	7.90†	9.01	0.41	0.85	0.35	0.81
	N/Irilti ∟	Comparison	67,720	4.69*†	4.05	7.43*†	8.70	0.37*†	0.80	0.39*	0.93

Exhibit A5-40: Episode Services, High vs. Non-High Cost Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance		Practice/			ancer-Related		rt B and Part D		f Inpatient	Number of ED Visits Not	
Period	Group	TIN Type	N		s per Episode		es per Episode		per Episode		Stay per Episode
1 0110 0				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	High Cost	OCM	29,672	6.13	5.23	9.02	10.28	0.48	0.91	0.34	0.85
-8	- Ingiri dost	Comparison	21,887	5.95*	5.29	8.57*	9.24	0.47	0.91	0.37*	0.90
	Not High Cost	OCM	71,520	5.90†	5.11	7.91†	8.86	0.44†	0.87	0.34	0.83
	Not riigir oost	Comparison	58,458	5.10*†	4.53	7.08*†	8.04	0.41*†	0.83	0.37*	0.86
	High Cost	OCM	23,625	5.82	4.91	8.82	10.06	0.48	0.90	0.35	0.79
-7	-7	Comparison	22,274	5.56*	4.92	8.28*	8.93	0.47	0.89	0.38*	0.88
	Not High Cost	OCM	64,864	5.80	5.02	7.88†	8.82	0.43†	0.85	0.34	0.82
	Not riigii Cost	Comparison	48,249	4.95*†	4.44	7.09*†	8.02	0.39*†	0.81	0.36*	0.84
	High Cost	OCM	27,940	6.16	5.29	8.58	9.82	0.46	0.89	0.33	0.76
-6	Tilgii Cost	Comparison	24,890	5.38*	4.88	8.10*	8.79	0.44*	0.87	0.38*	0.87
-0	Not High Cost	OCM	69,671	5.59†	4.90	7.77†	8.75	0.41†	0.84	0.34†	0.82
	Two triigir cost	Comparison	54,787	5.09*†	4.59	7.19*†	8.23	0.38*†	0.80	0.37*	0.91
High Cost	High Cost	OCM	14,213	6.19	5.46	8.16	8.57	0.48	0.91	0.34	0.79
-5	Tilgii Cost	Comparison	23,596	5.45*	4.80	8.21	8.94	0.43*	0.86	0.38*	0.87
-5	Not High Cost	OCM	80,814	5.55†	4.84	7.99†	9.17	0.41†	0.83	0.34	0.85
	Not riigii Cost	Comparison	53,778	4.86*†	4.37	7.09*†	8.12	0.37*†	0.79	0.36*†	0.89
	High Cost	OCM	18,359	6.04	5.36	8.22	8.82	0.47	0.91	0.33	0.76
-4	High Cost	Comparison	27,815	5.53*	4.85	8.03*	8.83	0.40*	0.84	0.38*	0.89
-4	Not High Cost	OCM	84,629	5.56†	4.87	7.91†	9.04	0.40†	0.82	0.35†	0.83
	Not riigh Cost	Comparison	57,828	4.79*†	4.25	7.22*†	8.39	0.37*†	0.80	0.38*	0.88
	High Cost	OCM	16,264	5.85	5.07	8.18	8.58	0.50	0.93	0.36	0.79
-3	nigh Cost	Comparison	29,519	5.26*	4.49	7.91*	8.74	0.40*	0.83	0.38*	0.90
-5	Not High Cost	OCM	83,336	5.44†	4.78	7.79†	8.98	0.40†	0.82	0.35†	0.82
	Not High Cost	Comparison	54,309	4.77*†	4.28	7.25*†	8.42	0.38*†	0.80	0.38*	0.86
	High Cost	OCM	17,103	5.96	5.20	8.24	8.94	0.46	0.91	0.34	0.77
2	High Cost	Comparison	33,943	5.24*	4.47	8.18	9.03	0.39*	0.83	0.39*	0.90
-2	(	OCM	89,875	5.45†	4.78	7.92†	9.05	0.40†	0.83	0.35	0.83
	Not High (`ost ⊢	Comparison	56,018	4.79*†	4.32	7.28*†	8.55	0.36*†	0.78	0.39*	0.94

Exhibit A5-41: Episode Services by Affiliation Type in Performance Period -2 (Episodes initiating Jan. – Jun. 2015)

Affiliation Type	Group Practice/ TIN Type		N	Number of Cancer-Related E&M Services per Episode		Number of Par Chemo Service	t B and Part D es per Episode	Number of Inpatient Admissions per Episode		Number of ED Visits Not Resulting in IP Stay per Episode	
				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
Acadomic	Academic	OCM	19,527	4.97	4.41	7.12	7.91	0.45	0.93	0.36	0.81
Academic Medical Center	Academic	Comparison	17,372	4.71*	3.88	7.72*	8.98	0.42*	0.90	0.44*	1.00
Affiliation	Non-Academic	OCM	87,451	5.66†	4.94	8.16†	9.25	0.40†	0.82	0.35	0.82
Amilation	INUIT-ACAUCITIIC	Comparison	72,589	5.02*†	4.49	7.60*	8.68	0.36*†	0.77	0.38*†	0.91
	Health System	OCM	33,749	4.88	4.24	7.30	8.38	0.42	0.89	0.36	0.83
Health System	riealiii Systeiii	Comparison	52,541	4.69*	3.98	7.53*	8.76	0.39*	0.83	0.41*	0.95
Affiliation	No Health	OCM	73,229	5.84†	5.08	8.28†	9.30	0.40†	0.82	0.35†	0.81
	System	Comparison	37,420	5.33*†	4.87	7.75*†	8.72	0.34*†	0.75	0.37*†	0.90
	Hospital	OCM	20,319	4.81	4.14	7.27	8.19	0.42	0.89	0.37	0.85
Hospital	Ownership	Comparison	29,340	4.78	4.01	7.61*	8.66	0.36*	0.80	0.41*	0.92
Ownership	No Hospital	OCM	86,659	5.70†	4.99	8.13†	9.21	0.40†	0.83	0.35†	0.81
	Ownership	Comparison	60,621	5.05*†	4.55	7.63*	8.78	0.37*	0.79	0.39*†	0.93

Exhibit A5-42: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, Large vs. Small Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N		A and B, and Part D r Episode	Standardized Part A and B, and Part D Beneficiary Cost-Sharing per Episode		
i chou				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	
	Lorgo	OCM	79,998	\$25,910	\$24,328	\$5,254	\$4,765	
-8	Large	Comparison	40,039	\$24,514*	\$24,565	\$4,897*	\$4,669	
-0	Small	OCM	21,194	\$25,468†	\$23,947	\$5,205	\$4,841	
	Siliali	Comparison	40,306	\$23,449*†	\$22,948	\$4,827*†	\$4,620	
	Lorgo	OCM	70,925	\$26,264	\$24,649	\$5,806	\$39,252	
-7	Large	Comparison	35,403	\$25,241*	\$25,982	\$5,321*	\$5,005	
-/	Small	OCM	17,564	\$25,029†	\$24,316	\$5,414†	\$5,099	
	Siliali	Comparison	35,120	\$23,530*†	\$23,505	\$5,130*†	\$4,928	
	Lorgo	OCM	79,183	\$26,602	\$25,688	\$5,694	\$5,618	
4	Large	Comparison	41,134	\$26,222*	\$33,721	\$5,514*	\$7,715	
-6	Cmall	OCM	18,428	\$24,887†	\$24,353	\$5,382†	\$5,407	
	Small	Comparison	38,543	\$23,569*†	\$23,596	\$5,106*†	\$5,189	
	Lorgo	OCM	77,515	\$26,561	\$25,505	\$5,842	\$5,557	
-5	Large	Comparison	40,897	\$25,977*	\$29,145	\$5,620*	\$5,680	
-0	Small	OCM	17,512	\$25,120†	\$24,806	\$5,589†	\$5,523	
	Siliali	Comparison	36,477	\$23,657*†	\$24,204	\$5,297*†	\$5,388	
	Lorgo	OCM	84,031	\$27,109	\$25,890	\$5,613	\$5,490	
4	Large	Comparison	46,457	\$26,629*	\$27,083	\$5,393*	\$5,580	
-4	Small	OCM	18,957	\$25,323†	\$24,836	\$5,304†	\$5,411	
	Siliali	Comparison	39,186	\$24,208*†	\$24,430	\$5,109*†	\$5,342	
	Lorgo	OCM	80,216	\$27,282	\$26,536	\$5,912	\$5,683	
-3	Large	Comparison	46,663	\$26,966*	\$27,191	\$5,758*	\$5,718	
-3	Cmall	OCM	19,384	\$25,627†	\$25,156	\$5,668†	\$5,644	
	Small	Comparison	37,165	\$24,371*†	\$24,507	\$5,388*†	\$5,454	
	Lorgo	OCM	86,039	\$28,735	\$27,793	\$5,799	\$5,767	
2	Large	Comparison	51,094	\$28,586	\$28,831	\$5,626*	\$5,730	
-2	Small	OCM	20,939	\$26,848†	\$26,329	\$5,478†	\$5,644	
	SIIIdil	Comparison	38,867	\$25,615*†	\$25,808	\$5,219*†	\$5,658	

Exhibit A5-43: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, Low vs. High Patient Load Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N		A and B, and Part D r Episode		A and B, and Part D Sharing per Episode
Period	'	7		Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	Himb	OCM	27,737	\$27,146	\$24,253	\$5,688	\$5,055
0	High	Comparison	26,325	\$23,096*	\$22,926	\$4,815*	\$4,675
-8	1	OCM	73,359	\$25,339†	\$24,234	\$5,080†	\$4,664
	Low	Comparison	53,624	\$24,508*†	\$24,172	\$4,904*†	\$4,634
	Lliab	OCM	12,347	\$27,738	\$25,057	\$6,171	\$5,555
7	High	Comparison	19,560	\$22,948*	\$23,233	\$5,028*	\$4,904
-7	1	OCM	76,056	\$25,760†	\$24,503	\$5,661†	\$37,918
	Low	Comparison	50,702	\$25,017*†	\$25,368	\$5,316*†	\$4,993
	1 lbala	OCM	18,127	\$27,607	\$25,498	\$6,079	\$5,862
,	High	Comparison	20,817	\$22,643*	\$23,374	\$4,944*	\$5,133
-6	1	OCM	79,394	\$25,996†	\$25,435	\$5,537†	\$5,510
	Low	Comparison	58,859	\$25,749†	\$31,078	\$5,448*†	\$7,064
	I li ada	OCM	15,616	\$28,127	\$25,828	\$6,456	\$6,059
г	High	Comparison	18,450	\$23,164*	\$23,804	\$5,289*	\$5,463
-5	1	OCM	79,323	\$25,956†	\$25,284	\$5,670†	\$5,438
	Low	Comparison	58,924	\$25,421*†	\$27,845	\$5,524*†	\$5,571
	1 lbala	OCM	17,497	\$27,776	\$25,212	\$5,968	\$5,637
4	High	Comparison	22,034	\$23,339*	\$24,205	\$5,018*	\$5,383
-4	1	OCM	85,484	\$26,577†	\$25,805	\$5,472†	\$5,439
	Low	Comparison	63,609	\$26,277*†	\$26,461	\$5,348*†	\$5,503
	I II all	OCM	15,741	\$27,720	\$25,812	\$6,284	\$5,913
2	High	Comparison	20,975	\$23,677*	\$24,497	\$5,322*	\$5,541
-3	1	OCM	83,858	\$26,818†	\$26,366	\$5,786†	\$5,627
	Low	Comparison	62,853	\$26,529*†	\$26,532	\$5,685*†	\$5,624
	Lligh	OCM	19,730	\$28,805	\$26,899	\$6,084	\$5,936
2	High	Comparison	21,304	\$24,981*	\$25,928	\$5,211*	\$5,985
-2	Low	OCM	87,248	\$28,266†	\$27,661	\$5,657†	\$5,698
	Low	Comparison	68,656	\$28,022†	\$28,066	\$5,524*†	\$5,610

Exhibit A5-44: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, Oncology Only vs. Multi-Specialty Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N		A and B, and Part D r Episode		A and B, and Part D haring per Episode
Period				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	Onc Only	OCM	19,362	\$26,880	\$24,125	\$5,573	\$4,970
-8	Offic Offig	Comparison	23,884	\$24,866*	\$23,107	\$5,092*	\$4,626
-8	NA. II+i	OCM	81,830	\$25,566†	\$24,272	\$5,166†	\$4,732
	Multi	Comparison	56,461	\$23,605*†	\$24,040	\$4,765*†	\$4,649
	Onc Only	OCM	17,249	\$27,154	\$24,756	\$5,959	\$5,393
-7	Offic Offig	Comparison	20,960	\$25,359*	\$24,117	\$5,462*	\$5,047
-1	Multi	OCM	71,240	\$25,744†	\$24,540	\$5,673	\$39,157
	IVIUILI	Comparison	49,563	\$23,979*†	\$25,064	\$5,126*†	\$4,930
	One Only	OCM	22,337	\$27,215	\$24,620	\$5,926	\$5,586
,	Onc Only	Comparison	25,101	\$25,664*	\$24,283	\$5,503*	\$5,350
-6	N / 1   1+	OCM	75,274	\$26,001†	\$25,685	\$5,549†	\$5,575
	Multi	Comparison	54,576	\$24,605*†	\$31,325	\$5,231*†	\$7,124
	One Only	OCM	20,645	\$27,147	\$24,960	\$6,075	\$5,733
-5	Onc Only	Comparison	20,320	\$25,269*	\$24,452	\$5,667*	\$5,561
-0	NA. II+i	OCM	74,382	\$26,059†	\$25,495	\$5,718†	\$5,498
	Multi	Comparison	57,054	\$24,746*†	\$27,789	\$5,397*†	\$5,540
	Onc Only	OCM	21,598	\$27,531	\$25,566	\$5,818	\$5,677
4	Offic Offity	Comparison	23,067	\$26,311*	\$25,319	\$5,544*	\$5,656
-4	NA. II+i	OCM	81,390	\$26,581†	\$25,743	\$5,487†	\$5,420
	Multi	Comparison	62,576	\$25,230*†	\$26,147	\$5,159*†	\$5,402
	One Only	OCM	20,560	\$27,481	\$25,409	\$6,073	\$5,740
2	Onc Only	Comparison	21,256	\$26,498*	\$25,509	\$5,872*	\$5,856
-3	Multi	OCM	79,040	\$26,825†	\$26,501	\$5,810†	\$5,658
	iviulti	Comparison	62,572	\$25,584*†	\$26,250	\$5,500*†	\$5,514
	Onc Only	OCM	19,147	\$29,232	\$27,067	\$6,017	\$5,898
-2	Offic Offig	Comparison	22,241	\$27,855*	\$26,802	\$5,652*	\$5,681
-2	Multi	OCM	87,831	\$28,177†	\$27,617	\$5,675†	\$5,709
	iviuiti	Comparison	67,720	\$27,121*†	\$27,861	\$5,384*†	\$5,708

Exhibit A5-45: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, High vs. Non-High Cost Practices, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N		A and B, and Part D r Episode	Standardized Part A and B, and Part D Beneficiary Cost-Sharing per Episode		
Period	·			Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	
	Lligh Cost	OCM	29,672	\$26,850	\$24,752	\$5,381	\$4,817	
0	High Cost	Comparison	21,887	\$27,797*	\$25,815	\$5,586*	\$5,039	
-8	Not High Cost	OCM	71,520	\$25,389†	\$24,025	\$5,187†	\$4,765	
	Not High Cost	Comparison	58,458	\$22,550*†	\$22,799	\$4,591*†	\$4,458	
	Lligh Coat	OCM	23,625	\$27,196	\$25,351	\$5,787	\$5,163	
7	High Cost	Comparison	22,274	\$27,868*	\$27,692	\$5,969*	\$5,422	
-7	Not High Cost	OCM	64,864	\$25,590†	\$24,291	\$5,707	\$41,013	
	Not High Cost	Comparison	48,249	\$22,783*†	\$23,160	\$4,882*†	\$4,703	
	Llink Cook	OCM	27,940	\$27,294	\$26,970	\$5,766	\$5,909	
,	High Cost	Comparison	24,890	\$28,449*	\$38,939	\$5,923*	\$9,042	
-6	Net High Cost	OCM	69,671	\$25,871†	\$24,803	\$5,583†	\$5,441	
	Not High Cost	Comparison	54,787	\$23,344*†	\$23,473	\$5,041*†	\$5,130	
	Llink Cont	OCM	14,213	\$29,328	\$26,973	\$6,481	\$5,944	
F	High Cost	Comparison	23,596	\$28,360*	\$32,588	\$6,123*	\$6,144	
-5	Nat High Coat	OCM	80,814	\$25,762†	\$25,056	\$5,675†	\$5,471	
	Not High Cost	Comparison	53,778	\$23,358*†	\$23,910	\$5,180*†	\$5,237	
	Lligh Coat	OCM	18,359	\$29,646	\$28,025	\$6,092	\$5,813	
4	High Cost	Comparison	27,815	\$28,635*	\$28,169	\$5,854*	\$5,879	
-4	Nat Illah Osat	OCM	84,629	\$26,158†	\$25,135	\$5,440†	\$5,394	
	Not High Cost	Comparison	57,828	\$24,024*†	\$24,643	\$4,979*†	\$5,244	
	Llink Cook	OCM	16,264	\$30,308	\$28,129	\$6,493	\$6,087	
2	High Cost	Comparison	29,519	\$28,483*	\$28,090	\$6,071*	\$5,906	
-3	Nat High Coat	OCM	83,336	\$26,307†	\$25,854	\$5,742†	\$5,584	
	Not High Cost	Comparison	54,309	\$24,366*†	\$24,779	\$5,335*†	\$5,417	
	Lligh Coot	OCM	17,103	\$31,218	\$29,129	\$6,360	\$6,178	
2	High Cost	Comparison	33,943	\$30,454*	\$29,516	\$5,944*	\$5,811	
-2	Not High Coot	OCM	89,875	\$27,823†	\$27,172	\$5,618†	\$5,651	
	Not High Cost	Comparison	56,018	\$25,393*†	\$26,196	\$5,151*†	\$5,614	

Exhibit A5-46: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing by Affiliation Type in Performance Period -2 (Episodes initiating Jan. - Jun. 2015)

Affiliation Type	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N		A and B, and Part D r Episode		A and B, and Part D haring per Episode
	э.э.г			Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	Academic	OCM	19,527	\$29,038	\$29,344	\$5,459	\$5,582
Academic Medical	Academic	Comparison	17,372	\$30,618*	\$30,255	\$5,717*	\$5,844
Center Affiliation	Non Acadomic	OCM	87,451	\$28,215†	\$27,097	\$5,798†	\$5,779
	Non-Academic	Comparison	72,589	\$26,509*†	\$26,872	\$5,387*†	\$5,666
	Lloolth Cyctom	OCM	33,749	\$28,085	\$28,704	\$5,397	\$5,555
Health System	Health System	Comparison	52,541	\$27,625*	\$27,583	\$5,469	\$5,782
Affiliation	No Health System	OCM	73,229	\$28,495†	\$26,960	\$5,892†	\$5,823
	No nealth System	Comparison	37,420	\$26,850*†	\$27,630	\$5,423*	\$5,588
	Hospital Ownership	OCM	20,319	\$28,427	\$28,893	\$5,420	\$5,610
Haspital Ownership	Hospital Ownership	Comparison	29,340	\$28,249	\$28,966	\$5,456	\$5,514
Hospital Ownership	No Hospital	OCM	86,659	\$28,351	\$27,191	\$5,810†	\$5,774
	Ownership	Comparison	60,621	\$26,844*†	\$26,910	\$5,447*	\$5,791

Exhibit A5-47: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Low Income Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance			Number of	Percentage of		Percenta	ge of Episodes by	Age Bracket		
Period	Income Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Episodes	Episodes among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+
	High	OCM	30,455	13.3%	10.3%	25.1%	22.6%	18.8%	13.6%	9.6%
-8	riigii	Comparison	24,206	14.1%*	9.5%*	22.8%*	21.6%*	19.2%	14.8%*	12.0%*
-0	Low	OCM	70,737	13.5%	11.1%†	24.8%	23.0%	18.4%	13.4%	9.2%
	LOW	Comparison	56,139	16.6%*†	12.9%*†	24.3%†	22.4%*†	18.2%†	13.1%†	9.0%†
	High	OCM	27,189	13.6%	10.3%	24.7%	22.6%	18.7%	13.9%	9.8%
-7	riigii	Comparison	19,721	14.7%*	9.8%*	23.0%*	21.9%	18.9%	14.1%	12.2%*
-7	Low	OCM	61,300	13.6%	11.3%†	24.4%	23.0%	18.6%	13.3%†	9.4%†
	LOW	Comparison	50,802	16.8%*†	13.3%*†	24.23%†	22.5%*	18.3%	12.61%*†	9.1%†
	High	OCM	38,178	13.3%	10.2%	24.5%	22.9%	18.7%	14.0%	9.7%
-6	riigii	Comparison	30,027	15.9%*	10.2%	23.5%*	22.5%	18.5%	13.9%	11.3%*
-0	Low	OCM	59,433	12.5%†	11.1%†	24.5%	23.4%	18.8%	13.1%†	9.1%†
	Low	Comparison	49,650	14.7%*†	13.0%*†	24.3%†	22.9%*	18.3%	12.4%*†	9.1%†
	High	OCM	37,012	13.4%	10.3%	24.5%	23.2%	18.9%	13.3%	9.8%
-5	riigii	Comparison	29,533	15.7%*	10.1%	23.5%*	22.2%*	18.8%	13.8%	11.7%*
-0	Low	OCM	58,015	12.4%†	10.8%†	24.5%	23.4%	19.0%	13.0%	9.3%†
	LOW	Comparison	47,841	14.9%*†	13.2%*†	24.7%†	22.8%*†	18.3%*	12.2%*†	8.7%*†
	High	OCM	54,908	12.0%	9.4%	25.2%	23.8%	19.2%	13.0%	9.3%
-4	riigii	Comparison	35,160	15.0%*	10.2%*	23.8%*	22.7%*	19.1%	13.1%	11.1%*
-4	Low	OCM	48,080	12.2%	11.2%†	24.5%†	23.4%	19.0%	12.6%	9.3%
	LOW	Comparison	50,483	14.1%*†	12.6%*†	24.8%†	22.8%*	18.4%*†	12.3%†	9.1%†
	High	OCM	51,755	12.1%	9.3%	24.9%	24.0%	19.1%	13.1%	9.6%
-3	riigii	Comparison	34,510	15.3%*	10.2%*	24.4%	22.9%*	18.5%*	13.3%	10.7%*
-3	Low	OCM	47,845	11.6%†	11.0%†	24.9%	23.4%†	19.1%	12.3%†	9.2%
	Low	Comparison	49,318	13.9%*†	12.6%*†	24.9%	22.9%*	18.4%*	12.0%†	9.2%†
	High	OCM	56,575	11.7%	9.1%	25.4%	23.9%	19.1%	12.9%	9.6%
-2	High	Comparison	37,428	14.6%*	10.2%*	24.7%*	23.5%	18.5%*	12.9%	10.3%*
-2	Low	OCM	50,403	11.3%	10.9%†	25.4%	23.6%	18.9%	12.2%†	9.0%†
	LOW	Comparison	52,533	13.5%*†	12.3%*†	25.1%	23.0%*	18.4%	12.4%†	8.7%†

Exhibit A5-47: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Low Income Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015), Continued

Performance	Incomo				Percentage of I	Episodes by Race		Percentage of
Period	Income Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Number of Episodes	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other	Episodes with HCC Score >= 2.0
	∐igh	OCM	30,455	82.8%	9.7%	4.2%	3.3%	47.4%
-8	High	Comparison	24,206	83.4%*	7.0%*	5.2%*	4.3%*	44.0%*
-0	Low	OCM	70,737	83.0%	8.8%†	5.7%†	2.4%†	44.8%†
	Low	Comparison	56,139	82.4%*†	11.5%*†	3.8%*†	2.3%†	45.8%*†
	Lligh	OCM	27,189	82.7%	9.6%	4.3%	3.4%	36.9%
-7	High	Comparison	19,721	83.8%*	6.8%*	5.0%*	4.4%*	34.4%*
-/	Low	OCM	61,300	83.2%†	8.7%†	5.5%†	2.5%†	34.7%†
	LOW	Comparison	50,802	81.7%†*	11.7%*†	4.1%*†	2.5%†	34.6%
	Lligh	OCM	38,178	81.8%	9.9%	4.6%	3.7%	44.2%
-6	High	Comparison	30,027	81.9%	6.8%*	5.9%*	5.5%*	42.6%*
-0	Low	OCM	59,433	83.3%†	9.2%†	5.1%†	2.4%†	42.1%†
	Low	Comparison	49,650	82.3%*	12.8%*†	3.2%*†	1.8%*†	42.6%
	Lligh	OCM	37,012	81.4%	9.7%	4.8%	4.1%	34.4%
-5	High	Comparison	29,533	81.6%	6.6%*	5.9%*	5.9%*	33.9%
-0	Low	OCM	58,015	83.2%†	9.1%†	5.2%†	2.5%†	33.2%†
	LOW	Comparison	47,841	82.1%*	12.7%*†	3.3%*†	1.9%*†	33.4%
	High	OCM	54,908	81.2%	8.7%	6.4%	3.7%	41.8%
-4	Inigh	Comparison	35,160	82.1%*	6.6%*	5.5%*	5.8%*	41.5%
-4	Low	OCM	48,080	84.6%†	9.4%†	3.5%†	2.5%†	41.1%†
	LOW	Comparison	50,483	81.9%*	13.0%*†	3.2%*†	1.9%*†	40.9%
	High	OCM	51,755	80.8%	9.0%	6.3%	3.8%	32.9%
-3	riigii	Comparison	34,510	81.4%*	7.3%*	5.5%*	5.9%*	32.9%
-5	Low	OCM	47,845	84.7%†	9.3%	3.3%†	2.7%†	31.9%†
	LOW	Comparison	49,318	82.9%*	12.0%*†	3.2%†	1.9%*†	32.1%†
	High	OCM	56,575	80.9%	8.8%	6.2%	4.1%	44.2%
-2	Lingii	Comparison	37,428	81.4%*	7.0%*	5.6%*	6.0%*	44.5%
-2	Low	OCM	50,403	84.7%†	9.4%†	3.2%†	2.7%†	43.2%†
	LOW	Comparison	52,533	82.8%*	11.9%*†	3.3%†	2.1%*†	43.7%†

Exhibit A5-48: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Low Specialty Supply Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Supply		Number of	Percentage of	Percentage of Episodes by Age Bracket							
Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Episodes	Episodes among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+		
	High	OCM	42,229	13.6%	11.3%	24.8%	22.6%	18.1%	13.5%	9.5%		
-8	Inign	Comparison	40,539	14.9%*	12.1%*	24.3%	21.7%*	18.3%	13.5%	10.1%*		
-0	Low	OCM	58,963	13.4%	10.6%†	24.9%	23.1%	18.8%†	13.4%	9.2%		
	LOW	Comparison	39,806	16.9%*†	11.7%*	23.5%*†	22.7%*†	18.8%	13.7%	9.7%*		
	High	OCM	36,567	13.9%	11.4%	24.2%	22.4%	18.3%	13.8%	9.9%		
-7	Inign	Comparison	35,072	15.3%*	12.6%*	24.2%	22.1%	18.0%	13.0%*	10.2%		
-/	Low	OCM	51,922	13.5%	10.7%†	24.6%	23.2%†	18.9%†	13.3%	9.2%†		
	Low	Comparison	35,451	17.1%*†	12.1%*†	23.7%*	22.6%*	18.9%†	13.1%	9.8%*		
	Lliah	OCM	39,463	13.3%	11.2%	24.4%	22.8%	18.2%	13.7%	9.7%		
4	High	Comparison	39,495	14.3%*	12.5%*	24.5%	22.6%	18.0%	12.6%*	9.9%		
-6	Low	OCM	58,148	12.5%†	10.4%†	24.6%	23.5%†	19.1%†	13.2%†	9.1%†		
Low	Comparison	40,182	16.1%*†	11.5%*†	23.6%*†	22.9%*	18.8%†	13.3%†	9.9%*			
	High	OCM	38,509	13.4%	11.2%	24.6%	23.0%	18.4%	13.0%	9.8%		
-5	Підп	Comparison	38,574	14.4%*	12.5%*	24.7%	22.2%*	18.3%	12.5%*	9.9%		
-o	Low	OCM	56,518	12.4%†	10.2%†	24.4%	23.5%	19.4%†	13.2%	9.3%†		
	Low	Comparison	38,800	15.9%*†	11.5%*†	23.8%*†	22.9%*†	18.7%*	13.2%†	9.8%*		
	High	OCM	51,128	12.5%	10.8%	25.1%	23.2%	18.6%	12.7%	9.5%		
-4	Підп	Comparison	47,737	13.9%*	12.0%*	24.7%	22.5%*	18.3%	12.6%	9.9%*		
-4	Low	OCM	51,860	11.6%†	9.7%†	24.7%	24.0%†	19.6%†	12.9%	9.1%†		
	Low	Comparison	37,906	15.2%*†	11.1%*†	24.0%*†	23.0%*	19.2%†	12.8%	10.0%*		
	High	OCM	49,317	12.4%	10.6%	25.5%	23.2%	18.7%	12.4%	9.5%		
-3	Підп	Comparison	46,320	13.9%*	12.1%*	25.0%	23.0%	18.0%*	12.3%	9.6%		
-3	Low	OCM	50,283	11.3%†	9.6%†	24.4%†	24.2%†	19.5%†	13.1%†	9.4%		
	Low	Comparison	37,508	15.1%*†	11.1%*†	24.3%†	22.8%*	19.0%*†	12.7%	10.1%*†		
	Lligh	OCM	54,946	11.9%	10.4%	26.0%	23.5%	18.6%	12.2%	9.3%		
-2	High	Comparison	49,044	13.6%*	11.9%*	25.2%*	23.3%	17.9%*	12.3%	9.2%		
-∠	Low	OCM	52,032	11.0%†	9.5%†	24.9%†	24.1%†	19.4%†	12.9%†	9.2%		
	LUW	Comparison	40,917	14.5%*†	10.9%*†	24.6%†	23.0%*	19.1%†	12.9%†	9.5%		

Exhibit A5-48: Episode Characteristics, High vs. Low Specialty Supply Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015), Continued

Dorformanaa			Number		Percentage of Ep	oisodes by Race		Percentage of
Performance Period	Supply Group	Practice/ TIN Type	of Episodes	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other	Episodes with HCC Score >= 2
	Lligh	OCM	42,229	83.9%	9.7%	3.4%	2.9%	45.7%
-8	High	Comparison	40,539	81.8%*	12.2%*	3.3%	2.6%*	44.7%*
-8	Low	OCM	58,963	82.3%†	8.6%†	6.6%†	2.5%†	45.5%
	Low	Comparison	39,806	83.6%*†	8.0%*†	5.2%*†	3.1%*†	45.7%†
	Lliah	OCM	36,567	83.7%	10.0%	3.3%	3.0%	35.7%
7	High	Comparison	35,072	81.3%*	12.6%*	3.4%	2.7%	34.6%*
-7	Low	OCM	51,922	82.6%†	8.3%†	6.4%†	2.7%†	35.2%
	Low	Comparison	35,451	83.2%*†	8.1%†	5.4%*†	3.3%*†	34.5%*
	Lliah	OCM	39,463	83.1%	10.4%	3.3%	3.2%	43.5%
4	High	Comparison	39,495	81.4%*	12.5%*	3.2%	2.9%*	41.9%*
-6	Low	OCM	58,148	82.5%†	8.8%†	6.0%†	2.7%†	42.5%†
	Low	Comparison	40,182	82.8%†	8.6%†	5.1%*†	3.4%*†	43.3%*†
	Lliah	OCM	38,509	82.6%	10.5%	3.5%	3.4%	33.7%
-5	High	Comparison	38,574	81.0%*	12.5%*	3.3%	3.2%*	33.4%
-0	Low	OCM	56,518	82.4%	8.6%†	6.1%†	2.9%†	33.6%
	Low	Comparison	38,800	82.8%†	8.2%*†	5.3%*†	3.7%*†	33.8%
	Lliah	OCM	51,128	82.1%	11.1%	3.4%	3.4%	41.7%
4	High	Comparison	47,737	81.0%*	12.3%*	3.5%	3.2%	41.3%
-4	Low	OCM	51,860	83.4%†	7.0%†	6.6%†	2.9%†	41.2%
	Low	Comparison	37,906	83.3%†	8.0%*†	4.9%*†	3.8%*†	40.9%
	Lligh	OCM	49,317	81.6%	11.2%	3.6%	3.6%	32.1%
-3	High	Comparison	46,320	81.3%	11.8%*	3.4%	3.4%	32.4%
-3	Low	OCM	50,283	83.7%†	7.1%†	6.2%†	3.0%†	32.7%†
	Low	Comparison	37,508	83.5%†	7.8%*†	4.9%*†	3.8%*†	32.3%
	High	OCM	54,946	81.8%	10.7%	3.6%	3.8%	43.7%
-2	High	Comparison	49,044	81.8%	11.4%*	3.2%*	3.6%	44.3%*
-Z	Low	OCM	52,032	83.6%†	7.4%†	5.9%†	3.1%†	43.8%
	Low	Comparison	40,917	82.7%*†	7.9%*†	5.5%*†	3.9%*	43.6%†

Exhibit A5-49: Episode Characteristics, Large vs. Small Population Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Population		Number of	Percentage of	Percentage of Episodes by Age Bracket						
Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Episodes	Episodes among Dual Eligibles	< 65	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+	
	High	OCM	85,750	13.5%	10.7%	24.9%	22.9%	18.4%	13.6%	9.5%	
-8	riigii	Comparison	56,433	16.0%*	11.6%*	24.3%*	21.9%*	18.3%	13.7%	10.2%*	
-0	Low	OCM	15,442	13.5%	12.0%†	24.7%	23.1%	19.1%	12.7%†	8.4%†	
	LOW	Comparison	23,912	15.5%*	12.6%†	23.0%*†	223.0%†	19.0%†	13.3%	9.2%*†	
	High	OCM	75,851	13.6%	10.7%	24.5%	22.9%	18.6%	13.6%	9.7%	
-7	nigh	Comparison	49,292	16.4%*	11.9%*	24.1%	22.2%*	18.2%	13.2%	10.3%*	
-/	Low	OCM	12,638	13.6%	12.6%†	24.4%	22.7%	18.8%	13.0%	8.5%†	
	Low	Comparison	21,231	15.7%*†	13.2%†	23.5%*†	22.6%	19.0%†	12.6%†	9.1%*†	
	High	OCM	82,732	12.8%	10.5%	24.5%	23.3%	18.6%	13.5%	9.6%	
-6	Inigh	Comparison	56,846	15.2%*	11.6%*	24.4%	22.7%*	18.2%*	13.0%*	10.2%*	
-0	Low	OCM	14,879	13.1%	12.1%†	24.7%	23.1%	19.3%	12.7%†	8.1%†	
	Low	Comparison	22,831	15.2%*	13.0%*†	23.2%*†	22.9%	19.0%†	12.9%	9.1%*†	
	Lligh	OCM	80,656	12.8%	10.4%	24.5%	23.3%	19.0%	13.2%	9.7%	
-5	High	Comparison	55,082	15.1%*	11.6%*	24.5%	22.5%*	18.3%*	12.8%*	10.2%*	
-0	Low	OCM	14,371	13.0%	12.1%†	24.4%	23.5%	18.6%	12.6%	8.7%†	
	Low	Comparison	22,292	15.3%*	13.0%*†	23.7%†	22.6%	19.0%†	12.8%	8.9%†	
	High	OCM	87,715	12.0%	9.9%	25.0%	23.7%	19.1%	12.9%	9.4%	
4	Inigii	Comparison	60,586	14.4%*	11.2%*	24.7%	22.6%*	18.5%*	12.6%	10.2%*	
-4	Low	OCM	15,273	12.5%	11.9%†	24.6%	23.3%	19.2%	12.4%	8.6%†	
	Low	Comparison	25,057	14.5%*	12.6%*†	23.5%*†	22.9%	19.1%	12.7%	9.1%*†	
	High	OCM	84,567	11.8%	9.8%	25.0%	23.7%	19.1%	12.8%	9.6%	
-3	Inigh	Comparison	59,232	14.4%*	11.3%*	25.1%	22.9%*	18.3%*	12.5%	9.9%*	
-3	Low	OCM	15,033	12.4%†	11.8%†	24.6%	23.7%	19.2%	12.4%	8.4%†	
	Low	Comparison	24,596	14.6%*	12.4%*†	23.7%*†	23.1%	18.9%†	12.5%	9.4%*†	
	High	OCM	91,267	11.4%	9.7%	25.5%	23.8%	19.0%	12.6%	9.4%	
-2	High	Comparison	64,822	14.0%*	11.2%*	25.3%	23.4%	18.2%*	12.5%	9.5%	
-2	Low	OCM	15,711	11.9%	11.6%†	25.1%	23.5%	18.8%	12.5%	8.5%†	
	Low	Comparison	25,139	14.0%*	12.1%†	24.2%*†	22.7%†	19.1%†	12.8%	9.2%*†	

Exhibit A5-49: Episode Characteristics, Large vs. Small Population Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015), Continued

Performance	Population		Number of		Percentage of			
Period	Group	Practice/ TIN Type	Episodes	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic	Other	Episodes with HCC Score >= 2
	High	OCM	85,750	82.2%	8.9%	6.0%	3.0%	45.7%
-8	High	Comparison	56,433	80.5%*	10.3%*	5.5%*	3.7%*	45.9%*
-0	Low	OCM	15,442	87.4%†	9.8%†	1.5%†	1.2%†	45.0%
	LOW	Comparison	23,912	87.9%†	9.7%†	1.3%†	1.1%†	43.6%†
	Lligh	OCM	75,851	82.0%	9.2%	5.7%	3.0%	35.6%
-7	High	Comparison	49,292	80.1%*	10.5%*	5.7%	3.8%*	35.1%
-/	Low	OCM	12,638	89.3%†	7.8%†	1.5%†	1.4%†	34.0%†
	Low	Comparison	21,231	87.3%*†	10.0%*†	1.4%†	1.3%†	33.2%†
	Lliah	OCM	82,732	81.8%	9.5%	5.5%	3.2%	43.0%
4	High	Comparison	56,846	80.0%*	10.8%*	5.3%	4.0%*	43.2%
-6	Low	OCM	14,879	87.8%†	9.3%	1.6%†	1.4%†	42.3%†
	Low	Comparison	22,831	87.5%†	9.9%*†	1.4%†	1.2%†	41.0%†
	Lliah	OCM	80,656	81.6%	9.4%	5.7%	3.4%	33.9%
Е	High	Comparison	55,082	79.7%*	10.6%*	5.4%*	4.3%*	34.3%
-5	Low	OCM	14,371	87.5%†	9.4%	1.6%†	1.5%†	32.4%†
		Comparison	22,292	87.4%†	9.7%†	1.5%†	1.4%†	31.8%†
	Lliah	OCM	87,715	81.9%	9.1%	5.6%	3.4%	41.6%
-4	High	Comparison	60,586	79.9%*	10.6%*	5.2%*	4.3%*	42.0%
-4	Low	OCM	15,273	87.8%†	9.0%	1.5%†	1.6%†	40.4%†
	Low	Comparison	25,057	87.2%*†	9.9%*†	1.5%†	1.4%†	39.0%*†
	Lliah	OCM	84,567	81.8%	9.1%	5.5%	3.6%	32.7%
-3	High	Comparison	59,232	80.3%*	10.1%*	5.2%*	4.4%*	33.4%*
-3	Low	OCM	15,033	87.6%†	9.2%	1.5%†	1.8%†	30.7%†
	Low	Comparison	24,596	87.0%†	9.9%*	1.5%†	1.5%†	30.0%†
	High	OCM	91,267	81.3%	9.1%	5.3%	3.8%	44.0%
-2	High	Comparison	64,822	80.3%*	9.8%*	5.3%	4.5%*	44.6%*
	Low	OCM	15,711	87.7%†	9.2%	1.4%†	1.6%†	42.1%†
	Low	Comparison	25,139	86.9%*†	9.9%*	1.6%†	1.6%†	42.4%†

Exhibit A5-50: Episode Services, High vs. Low Income Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Income Group	Practice/ N		Number of Cancer-Related E&M Services per Episode		Number of Part B and Part D Chemo Services per Episode		Number of Inpatient Admissions per Episode		Number of ED Visits Not Resulting in IP Stay per Episode	
				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	High	OCM	30,455	6.32	5.37	8.16	9.15	0.46	0.89	0.35	0.85
-8	lingii	Comparison	24,206	5.18*	4.75	6.79*	7.78	0.38*	0.81	0.34	0.84
-0	Low	OCM	70,737	5.81†	5.04	8.27	9.38	0.44†	0.87	0.34	0.83
	LOW	Comparison	56,139	5.39*†	4.77	7.77*†	8.66	0.44†	0.87	0.37*†	0.88
	High	OCM	27,189	6.11	5.27	8.18	9.24	0.45	0.88	0.33	0.83
-7	Inigii	Comparison	19,721	4.96*	4.57	6.78*	7.69	0.37*	0.81	0.34	0.82
- /	Low	OCM	61,300	5.67†	4.85	8.11	9.15	0.44	0.85	0.34	0.80
	LOW	Comparison	50,802	5.21*†	4.61	7.73*†	8.56	0.42*†	0.85	0.37*†	0.87
	High	OCM	38,178	5.98	5.20	7.84	8.90	0.43	0.87	0.32	0.78
-6	I ligit	Comparison	30,027	4.96*	4.63	6.91*	7.89	0.36*	0.79	0.35*	0.87
-0	Low	OCM	59,433	5.60†	4.90	8.10†	9.19	0.42†	0.85	0.35†	0.82
	LOW	Comparison	49,650	5.30*†	4.71	7.81*†	8.71	0.43†	0.85	0.38*†	0.91
	∣Hiαh ⊢	OCM	37,012	5.84	5.16	7.85	8.87	0.43	0.86	0.33	0.83
-5	I ligit	Comparison	29,533	4.84*	4.54	6.90*	7.99	0.35*	0.78	0.34	0.88
-5	Low	OCM	58,015	5.52†	4.79	8.12†	9.21	0.42	0.84	0.34	0.85
	LOW	Comparison	47,841	5.15*†	4.50	7.76*†	8.62	0.41†	0.83	0.39*†	0.89
	High	OCM	54,908	5.84	5.17	8.06	9.30	0.42	0.84	0.34	0.82
-4	I ligit	Comparison	35,160	4.88*	4.51	7.05*	8.27	0.35*	0.79	0.35	0.84
-4	Low	OCM	48,080	5.42†	4.71	7.84†	8.64	0.41	0.83	0.36†	0.82
	LOW	Comparison	50,483	5.13*†	4.43	7.78†	8.72	0.40†	0.83	0.40*†	0.91
	High	OCM	51,755	5.72	5.07	7.95	9.24	0.42	0.84	0.33	0.79
-3	I ligit	Comparison	34,510	4.81*	4.37	7.12*	8.38	0.36*	0.79	0.35*	0.80
-5	Low	OCM	47,845	5.27†	4.56	7.74†	8.55	0.41	0.83	0.36†	0.84
	LOW	Comparison	49,318	5.03*†	4.35	7.73†	8.64	0.41†	0.83	0.40*†	0.92
	High	OCM	56,575	5.80	5.13	8.09	9.33	0.41	0.85	0.34	0.81
-2	l light	Comparison	37,428	4.8*	4.38	7.33*	8.58	0.35*	0.79	0.37*	0.86
	Low	OCM	50,403	5.2†	4.51	7.83†	8.67	0.40	0.83	0.36†	0.82
	LOW	Comparison	52,533	5.0*†	4.38	7.83†	8.85	0.38*†	0.80	0.41*†	0.97

Exhibit A5-51: Episode Services, High vs. Low Specialty Supply Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance	Supply	Practice/	N	Number of Cancer-Related E&M Services per Episode		Number of Part B and Part D Chemo Services per Episode		Number of Inpatient Admissions per Episode		Number of ED Visits Not Resulting in IP Stay per Episode	
Period	Group	TIN Type		Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev
	Lligh	OCM	42,229	5.66	4.89	7.64	8.61	0.47	0.91	0.34	0.81
-8	High	Comparison	40,539	4.93*	4.46	7.12*	8.10	0.42*	0.85	0.37*	0.83
-0	Low	OCM	58,963	6.18†	5.32	8.66†	9.76	0.44†	0.86	0.35†	0.85
	LOW	Comparison	39,806	5.74*†	5.02	7.85*†	8.70	0.43*	0.86	0.37*	0.91
	Lligh	OCM	36,567	5.53	4.79	7.48	8.26	0.46	0.89	0.33	0.79
-7	High	Comparison	35,072	4.79*	4.31	7.11*	7.91	0.41*	0.85	0.37*	0.85
- /	Low	OCM	51,922	6.01†	5.12	8.59†	9.75	0.43†	0.83	0.35†	0.83
	LOW	Comparison	35,451	5.50*†	4.85	7.82*†	8.72	0.41*	0.82	0.36*	0.86
	High	OCM	39,463	5.54	4.85	7.42	8.20	0.46	0.90	0.33	0.80
-6	l ligh	Comparison	39,495	4.88*	4.43	7.24*	8.27	0.40*	0.84	0.37*	0.90
-0	-	OCM	58,148	5.90†	5.13	8.40†	9.61	0.41†	0.82	0.34†	0.80
		Comparison	40,182	5.46*†	4.91	7.72*†	8.56	0.40	0.82	0.37*	0.89
	High	OCM	38,509	5.42	4.78	7.47	8.32	0.44	0.89	0.34	0.82
-5	l light	Comparison	38,574	4.73*	4.21	7.21*	8.17	0.39*	0.83	0.37*	0.86
-5	Low	OCM	56,518	5.80†	5.04	8.40†	9.55	0.40†	0.81	0.34	0.85
		Comparison	38,800	5.34*†	4.78	7.66*†	8.61	0.39*	0.79	0.37*	0.91
	  High	OCM	51,128	5.46	4.88	7.50	8.30	0.43	0.87	0.35	0.80
-4	l light	Comparison	47,737	4.78*	4.19	7.26*	8.33	0.39*	0.83	0.38*	0.87
-4	Low	OCM	51,860	5.83†	5.04	8.42†	9.62	0.40†	0.81	0.36	0.84
	LOW	Comparison	37,906	5.34*†	4.77	7.77*†	8.80	0.37*†	0.79	0.38*	0.90
	High	OCM	49,317	5.31	4.77	7.36	8.24	0.43	0.87	0.34	0.81
-3	Tiligit	Comparison	46,320	4.72*	4.10	7.29	8.27	0.40*	0.83	0.37*	0.84
3	Low	OCM	50,283	5.70†	4.89	8.33†	9.51	0.40†	0.80	0.36†	0.81
	LOW	Comparison	37,508	5.21*†	4.65	7.71*†	8.86	0.38*†	0.79	0.39*	0.91
	High	OCM	54,946	5.37	4.83	7.48	8.26	0.42	0.87	0.34	0.79
-2		Comparison	49,044	4.76*	4.15	7.41	8.52	0.37*	0.81	0.39*	0.91
-2	Low	OCM	52,032	5.71†	4.87	8.50†	9.75	0.39†	0.81	0.36†	0.84
	Low	Comparison	40,917	5.20*†	4.64	7.87*†	8.99	0.37*	0.78	0.39*	0.94

Exhibit A5-52: Episode Services, Large vs. Small Population Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Population Group	Practice/ TIN Type	l NI I		Number of Cancer-Related E&M Services per Episode		Number of Part B and Part D Chemo Visits Services per Episode		Number of Inpatient Admissions per Episode		Number of ED Visits Not Resulting in IP Stay per Episode	
				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	
	Large	OCM	85,750	6.00	5.21	8.24	9.34	0.46	0.89	0.33	0.82	
-8	Large	Comparison	56,433	5.38*	4.87	7.37*	8.31	0.42*	0.86	0.35*	0.85	
-0	Small	OCM	15,442	5.76†	4.79	8.21	9.16	0.43†	0.83	0.39†	0.92	
	Jiliali	Comparison	23,912	5.21*†	4.51	7.74*†	8.64	0.43	0.85	0.41*†	0.92	
	Largo	OCM	75,851	5.85	5.04	8.15	9.21	0.45	0.87	0.33	0.80	
-7	Large	Comparison	49,292	5.14*	4.65	7.36*	8.26	0.41*	0.84	0.35*	0.84	
-7	Small	OCM	12,638	5.55†	4.69	8.04	8.98	0.42†	0.82	0.40†	0.89	
	Siliali	Comparison	21,231	5.16*	4.48	7.71*†	8.50	0.41	0.83	0.41†	0.90	
	Largo	OCM	82,732	5.81	5.08	7.96	9.08	0.43	0.86	0.33	0.78	
-6	Large	Comparison	56,846	5.17*	4.72	7.42*	8.37	0.40*	0.83	0.35*	0.85	
-0	Small	OCM	14,879	5.43†	4.71	8.25†	9.06	0.40†	0.81	0.40†	0.89	
	Siliali	Comparison	22,831	5.18*	4.59	7.63*†	8.55	0.40	0.82	0.42†	1.00	
	Largo	OCM	80,656	5.70	5.01	7.99	9.08	0.42	0.85	0.33	0.83	
-5	Large	Comparison	55,082	5.04*	4.53	7.35*	8.36	0.40*	0.82	0.35*	0.86	
-5	Small	OCM	14,371	5.35†	4.54	8.17†	9.11	0.40†	0.82	0.39†	0.90	
	Siliali	Comparison	22,292	5.05*	4.47	7.64*†	8.46	0.39	0.79	0.41*†	0.94	
	Large	OCM	87,715	5.71	5.05	7.93	9.02	0.42	0.85	0.34	0.80	
-4	Large	Comparison	60,586	5.04*	4.49	7.42*	8.59	0.38*	0.82	0.36*	0.84	
-4	Small	OCM	15,273	5.30†	4.44	8.11†	8.90	0.39†	0.79	0.41†	0.92	
	Siliali	Comparison	25,057	5.01*	4.41	7.63*†	8.45	0.38	0.79	0.43*†	0.97	
	Largo	OCM	84,567	5.55	4.90	7.81	8.88	0.42	0.84	0.34	0.79	
-3	Large	Comparison	59,232	4.97*	4.40	7.47*	8.57	0.39*	0.82	0.36*	0.82	
-3	Small	OCM	15,033	5.26†	4.47	8.09†	9.11	0.39†	0.81	0.40†	0.92	
	JIIIali	Comparison	24,596	4.86*†	4.28	7.51*	8.48	0.39	0.79	0.43*†	0.98	
	Largo	OCM	91,267	5.59	4.92	7.91	8.96	0.41	0.85	0.34	0.79	
-2	Large	Comparison	64,822	4.97*	4.39	7.59*	8.72	0.37*	0.81	0.37*	0.87	
-2	Small	OCM	15,711	5.25†	4.42	8.34†	9.40	0.39†	0.79	0.41†	0.95	
Small	JIIIAII	Comparison	25,139	4.93*	4.37	7.72*†	8.79	0.36*	0.76	0.45*†	1.05	

Exhibit A5-53: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, High vs. Low Income Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 - Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Income Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N		A and B, and Part D r Episode	Standardized Part A and B, and Part D Beneficiary Cost-Sharing per Episode		
i eriou				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	
	High	OCM	30,455	\$26,358	\$24,551	\$5,335	\$4,796	
-8		Comparison	24,206	\$21,886*	\$22,642	\$4,563*	\$4,589	
-0	Low	OCM	70,737	\$25,584†	\$24,115	\$5,204†	\$4,775	
	LOW	Comparison	56,139	\$24,882*†	\$24,189	\$4,991*†	\$4,662	
	Lligh	OCM	27,189	\$26,549	\$24,753	\$6,080	\$63,063	
-7	High	Comparison	19,721	\$22,481*	\$23,634	\$4,899*	\$4,950	
-1	Low	OCM	61,300	\$25,783†	\$24,511	\$5,572	\$5,113	
	Low	Comparison	50,802	\$25,130*†	\$25,191	\$5,353*†	\$4,968	
	High	OCM	38,178	\$26,672	\$25,722	\$5,711	\$5,601	
4	High	Comparison	30,027	\$24,001*	\$35,710	\$5,090*	\$8,257	
-6	Low	OCM	59,433	\$26,026†	\$25,271	\$5,586†	\$5,566	
		Comparison	49,650	\$25,506*†	\$24,598	\$5,454*†	\$5,385	
	High	OCM	37,012	\$26,603	\$26,102	\$5,820	\$5,569	
-5	High	Comparison	29,533	\$23,751*	\$25,226	\$5,203*	\$5,440	
-3	Low	OCM	58,015	\$26,099†	\$24,913	\$5,780	\$5,541	
		Comparison	47,841	\$25,582*†	\$27,944	\$5,631*†	\$5,605	
	High	OCM	54,908	\$26,735	\$25,921	\$5,508	\$5,465	
4		Comparison	35,160	\$24,784*	\$26,592	\$5,060*	\$5,414	
-4	Low	OCM	48,080	\$26,831	\$25,464	\$5,611†	\$5,489	
	Low	Comparison	50,483	\$26,035*†	\$25,448	\$5,405*†	\$5,511	
	High	OCM	51,755	\$27,015	\$26,480	\$5,824	\$5,683	
2	High	Comparison	34,510	\$25,042*	\$26,668	\$5,398*	\$5,550	
-3	Low	OCM	47,845	\$26,900	\$26,064	\$5,908†	\$5,668	
	Low	Comparison	49,318	\$26,357*†	\$25,625	\$5,731*†	\$5,640	
	High	OCM	56,575	\$28,436	\$27,939	\$5,690	\$5,742	
2	High	Comparison	37,428	\$26,888*	\$28,561	\$5,287*	\$5,600	
-2	Low	OCM	50,403	\$28,286	\$27,047	\$5,788†	\$5,747	
		Comparison	52,533	\$27,598*†	\$26,899	\$5,566*†	\$5,771	

Exhibit A5-54: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, High vs. Low Specialty Supply Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance Period	Supply Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N	Standardized Part A Costs per	•		Standardized Part A and B, and Part D Beneficiary Cost-Sharing per Episode		
Period				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev		
	High	OCM	42,229	\$25,192	\$24,625	\$5,054	\$4,692		
-8	підії	Comparison	40,539	\$23,569*	\$23,978	\$4,741*	\$4,600		
-0	Low	OCM	58,963	\$26,265†	\$23,967	\$5,380†	\$4,840		
	Low	Comparison	39,806	\$24,398*†	\$23,556	\$4,985*†	\$4,686		
	Lliah	OCM	36,567	\$25,543	\$24,842	\$5,747	\$54,429		
7	High	Comparison	35,072	\$24,021*	\$25,446	\$5,089*	\$4,908		
-7	Low	OCM	51,922	\$26,354†	\$24,402	\$5,715	\$5,201		
	Low	Comparison	35,451	\$24,753*†	\$24,127	\$5,361*†	\$5,022		
	Lliah	OCM	39,463	\$26,298	\$25,951	\$5,558	\$5,451		
,	High	Comparison	39,495	\$24,739*	\$33,311	\$5,227*	\$7,696		
-6	Low	OCM	58,148	\$26,265	\$25,105	\$5,687†	\$5,665		
		Comparison	40,182	\$25,135*	\$24,715	\$5,405*†	\$5,350		
	Lliah	OCM	38,509	\$26,125	\$25,893	\$5,660	\$5,472		
-5	High	Comparison	38,574	\$24,743*	\$25,231	\$5,390*	\$5,441		
-0	Low	OCM	56,518	\$26,411	\$25,030	\$5,888†	\$5,603		
		Comparison	38,800	\$25,023*	\$28,563	\$5,545*†	\$5,648		
	High	OCM	51,128	\$26,715	\$26,270	\$5,474	\$5,456		
4		Comparison	47,737	\$25,458*	\$25,818	\$5,193*	\$5,406		
-4	Low	OCM	51,860	\$26,843	\$25,143	\$5,637†	\$5,496		
	Low	Comparison	37,906	\$25,601*	\$26,072	\$5,351*†	\$5,557		
	Lliah	OCM	49,317	\$26,922	\$26,947	\$5,768	\$5,703		
2	High	Comparison	46,320	\$25,816*	\$26,018	\$5,502*	\$5,532		
-3	Law	OCM	50,283	\$26,997	\$25,611	\$5,960†	\$5,649		
	Low	Comparison	37,508	\$25,814*	\$26,128	\$5,707*†	\$5,692		
	High	OCM	54,946	\$28,269	\$28,155	\$5,619	\$5,698		
2	High	Comparison	49,044	\$27,072*	\$27,310	\$5,367*	\$5,560		
-2	Low	OCM	52,032	\$28,468	\$26,838	\$5,859†	\$5,792		
	Low	Comparison	40,917	\$27,578*†	\$27,952	\$5,550*†	\$5,867		

Exhibit A5-55: Episode Total Cost of Care and Cost Sharing, Large vs. Small Population Markets, in the Expanded Baseline Period (Episodes initiating Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2015)

Performance	Population Group	Practice/ TIN Type	N		A and B, and Part D r Episode	Standardized Part A and B, and Part D Beneficiary Cost-Sharing per Episode		
Period				Mean	Std Dev	Mean	Std Dev	
	High	OCM	85,750	\$25,939	\$24,446	\$5,238	\$4,774	
0	High	Comparison	56,433	\$24,270*	\$24,102	\$4,875*	\$4,659	
-8	Low	OCM	15,442	\$25,139†	\$23,114	\$5,277	\$4,821	
	Low	Comparison	23,912	\$23,294*†	\$22,965	\$4,831*	\$4,611	
	High	OCM	75,851	\$26,250	\$24,824	\$5,766	\$37,978	
-7	High	Comparison	49,292	\$24,741*	\$25,493	\$5,247*	\$5,004	
-1	Low	OCM	12,638	\$24,629†	\$23,074	\$5,506	\$5,149	
	Low	Comparison	21,231	\$23,570*†	\$23,069	\$5,177*	\$4,880	
	Lliah	OCM	82,732	\$26,373	\$25,728	\$5,626	\$5,609	
,	High	Comparison	56,846	\$25,347*	\$31,328	\$5,358*	\$7,110	
-6	Low	OCM	14,879	\$25,753†	\$23,842	\$5,686	\$5,415	
		Comparison	22,831	\$23,921*†	\$23,445	\$5,213*†	\$5,194	
	High	OCM	80,656	\$26,415	\$25,626	\$5,787	\$5,548	
-5	High	Comparison	55,082	\$25,246*	\$28,106	\$5,463*	\$5,588	
-3	Low	OCM	14,371	\$25,620†	\$23,968	\$5,841	\$5,572	
		Comparison	22,292	\$23,987*†	\$23,845	\$5,478*	\$5,443	
	High	OCM	87,715	\$26,925	\$25,950	\$5,554	\$5,469	
4		Comparison	60,586	\$25,984*	\$26,614	\$5,291*	\$5,516	
-4	Low	OCM	15,273	\$25,947†	\$24,259	\$5,568	\$5,518	
	Low	Comparison	25,057	\$24,402*†	\$24,165	\$5,196*†	\$5,370	
	High	OCM	84,567	\$27,148	\$26,575	\$5,868	\$5,670	
2	High	Comparison	59,232	\$26,242*	\$26,594	\$5,628*	\$5,612	
-3	Low	OCM	15,033	\$25,901†	\$24,534	\$5,844	\$5,710	
	Low	Comparison	24,596	\$24,787*†	\$24,723	\$5,512*†	\$5,588	
	Lliah	OCM	91,267	\$28,452	\$27,769	\$5,716	\$5,728	
2	High	Comparison	64,822	\$27,791*	\$28,319	\$5,461*	\$5,591	
-2	Low	OCM	15,711	\$27,862†	\$26,040	\$5,853†	\$5,841	
	Low	Comparison	25,139	\$26,043*†	\$25,631	\$5,422*	\$5,980	