

## IRF-PAI V2.00.0 (DRAFT) Data Specifications Errata

### Errata History

10/20/2015 Issues 01-03 were added.

Issue ID	Problem	Resolution	Status
01	<p>Edit -5062, subedits a and b read as follows:</p> <p>a) If GG0170H1=[0], then items GG0170I1, GG0170J1, GG0170K1, GG0170L1, GG0170M1, GG0170N1, GG0170O1, GG0170P1, GG0170I2, GG0170J2, GG0170K2, GG0170L2, GG0170M2, GG0170N2, GG0170O2, and GG0170P2 must be equal to [^].</p> <p>b) If GG0170H1=[1], then items GG0170I1, GG0170J1, GG0170K1, GG0170L1, GG0170M1, GG0170N1, GG0170O1, and GG0170P1 must be equal to [^], and items GG0170I2, GG0170J2, GG0170K2, GG0170L2, GG0170M2, GG0170N2, GG0170O2, and GG0170P2 must not be equal to [^].</p> <p>However, the following items do not have [^] as an allowed value: GG0170I1, GG0170J1, GG0170K1, GG0170L1, GG0170M1, GG0170N1, GG0170O1, GG0170P1, GG0170I2, GG0170J2, GG0170K2, GG0170L2, GG0170M2, GG0170N2, GG0170O2, and GG0170P2.</p>	<p>The caret [^] will be added as an allowed value for the following items: GG0170I1, GG0170J1, GG0170K1, GG0170L1, GG0170M1, GG0170N1, GG0170O1, GG0170P1, GG0170I2, GG0170J2, GG0170K2, GG0170L2, GG0170M2, GG0170N2, GG0170O2, and GG0170P2. The item value description for [^] will be Blank (skip pattern).</p>	<p>This change will be made in the next version of the IRF-PAI Data Submission Specs.</p>
02	<p>Edit -5064, subedit a, reads as follows:</p> <p>If GG0170H3=[0], then items GG0170I3, GG0170J3, GG0170K3, GG0170L3, GG0170M3, GG0170N3, GG0170O3 and GG0170P3 must be equal to [^].</p> <p>However, items GG0170I3, GG0170J3, GG0170K3, GG0170L3, GG0170M3, GG0170N3, GG0170O3 and GG0170P3 do not have [^] as an allowed value.</p>	<p>The caret [^] will be added as an allowed value for the following items: GG0170I3, GG0170J3, GG0170K3, GG0170L3, GG0170M3, GG0170N3, GG0170O3 and GG0170P3. The item value description for [^] will be Blank (skip pattern).</p>	<p>This change will be made in the next version of the IRF-PAI Data Submission Specs.</p>

<b>Issue ID</b>	<b>Problem</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Status</b>
03	Three XML tags in the data dictionary (field XML_tag in the itm_mstr table) are incorrect.	The XML_tag value for item Filler – 22 should be FILLER_22, not R22. The XML_tag value for item Filler – 44A should be FILLER_44A, not FILLER_44D. The XML_tag value for item Filler – 50D should be FILLER_50D, not FILLER_50d.	These changes will be made in the next version of the IRF-PAI Data Submission Specs.