

LTCH Data Submission Specifications Errata Version 2.00.0 (FINAL)

Errata History

Date	Changes Made
09/17/2015	Issues 01-06 were added.

Issues Added: 09/02/2015

Issue ID	Problem	Resolution	Status
01	When edit -3036 was revised per the errata for the DRAFT version of the V2.00.0 data specs, the mapping of this edit to item B0100 was inadvertently left out in the data specs database.	Edit -3036 will be mapped to B0100. This will result in the edit becoming part of the item B0100 page of the LTCH Data Specs Report for Section B.	This change will be made in the next version of the LTCH data specs.
02	Items in Section C do not have a valid value of [^]. These items are part of the skip pattern from B0100 (edit -3036) and therefore should have [^] as a valid value.	The following items will have [^] added as a valid value: C1610A, C1610B, C1610C, C1610D, C1610E1, C1610E2.	This change will be made in the next version of the LTCH data specs.

Issue ID	Problem	Resolution	Status
03	<p>There is a conflict between edits -3036 and -3932.</p> <p>Edit -3036 reads as follows: a) If B0100=[1], then all active items from BB0700 through C1610E2 must equal [^]. b) If B0100=[0], then all active items from BB0700 through C1610E2 must not equal [^]. c) If B0100=[-], then all active items from BB0700 through C1610E2 must equal [-].</p> <p>Edit -3932 reads as follows: a) If C1610E1=[1], then C1610E2 must equal [0]. b) If C1610E1=[-], then C1610E2 must not equal [-]. c) If C1610E2=[-], then C1610E1 must not equal [-].</p> <p>So part c of edit -3036 contradicts parts b and c of edit -3932.</p>	<p>Edit -3932 will be modified as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Part b will be revised as follows: If B0100=[0], then C1610E1 and C1610E2 cannot BOTH be equal to [-]. 2) Part c will be removed. 	<p>This change will be made in the next version of the LTCH data specs.</p>
04	<p>Edit -3934 currently reads as follows:</p> <p>If GG0170H1=[-], then GG0170I1, GG0170J1, and GG0170K1 must all be equal to [-].</p> <p>This edit needs to include the goal (column 2) items as well.</p>	<p>Edit -3934 will be revised to read as follows:</p> <p>If GG0170H1=[-], then all active items from GG0170I1 through GG0170K2 must all be equal to [-].</p>	<p>This change will be made in the next version of the LTCH data specs.</p>
05	<p>For item B0100, the description for the allowed value of dash [-] read as follows:</p> <p>“Not assessed/no information/unable to determine”</p> <p>The “unable to determine” should be removed.</p>	<p>The description for the allowed value of dash [-] for B0100 will be changed to read as follows:</p> <p>“Not assessed/no information”</p>	<p>This change will be made in the next version of the LTCH data specs.</p>

Issue ID	Problem	Resolution	Status
06	<p>In the previous spec version, edits -3017, -3019, and -3021 contained two subedits each. In the current spec version, however, the (b) subedits were removed for these edits, so the (a) subedits were not labelled with (a), as there is only a single edit portion. However, this could cause confusion for users; for example, edit -3017a from the previous spec version would become -3017 with the new version (similarly for edits -3019a and -3021a).</p>	<p>For consistency, the (a) subedits will continue to be referenced with the (a) in the data specs for the following edits: -3017, -3019, and -3021.</p>	<p>This change will be made in the next version of the LTCH data specs.</p>