

Peer Reviewed Journal Article Requirement Template

Section 101(c)(1) of the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015 (MACRA) requires submission of new measures for publication in applicable specialty-appropriate, peer-reviewed journals prior to implementing in the Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS). These measures will be submitted by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), to a journal(s) before including any new measure in the final list of annual clinical quality measures (CQM) under MIPS. The measure owner shall provide the required information for article submission under the MACRA per CMS “Call for Measures” submission process.

Measure owners submitting measures into JIRA¹ must complete the required information by the Call for Measures deadline. Some of the information requested below may be listed in specific fields in the JIRA tool, however to ensure that CMS has all of the necessary information and to avoid delays in the evaluation of your submission, please fully complete this form as an attached Word document in JIRA. The information in JIRA must be consistent with the information below. This includes, but is not limited to:

[Measure Title]
[Domain]

Measure Owner: [Name]
Measure Developer: [Name]
Description:

I. Statement

- Background (Why is this measure important?)
- Environmental Scan (Are there existing measures in this area?)

II. Gap Analysis

- Provide Evidence for Measure (What are the gaps and opportunities to improve care?)
- Expected Outcome (Patient care/patient health improvements, cost savings)
- Recommendation for Measure (Is it based on a study, consensus opinion, USPSTF recommendation etc.?)

¹ <https://oncprojecttracking.healthit.gov/>





III. Reliability/Validity

- A. What testing has been performed at the clinician level? Please provide testing results including the N value, Bonnie test case results, correlation coefficient and any other pertinent information or values to be considered.
- Reliability Testing Results:
 - Validity Testing Results, clinician sites:
 - Exclusion frequency:
- B. What were the minimum sample sizes used for reliability results? Other Information:
- Is it risk adjusted? If so, how?
 - What benchmarking information is available?

IV. Endorsement

- Provide NQF endorsement status (and ID) and/or other endorsing body (If measure is only endorsed for paper records, please note endorsement for only the data source being submitted)

V. Summary

- Alignment with CMS Meaningful Measures Initiative or MACRA (If applicable)
- Importance to MIPS or other CMS programs
- Rationale: Use of measure for inclusion in program (specialty society, regional collaborative, other)
- Public reporting (if applicable)
- Preferable relevant Peer-Review Journal for publication