ESRD QIP Payment Year 2016
Program Details

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) administers the End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) Quality Incentive Program (QIP) to promote high-quality care by outpatient dialysis facilities treating patients with ESRD. The first of its kind in Medicare, this program changes the way CMS pays for the treatment of ESRD patients by linking a portion of payment directly to facilities’ performance on quality care measures. The ESRD QIP will reduce payments to ESRD facilities that do not meet or exceed certain performance standards.

For more information about the program, see http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient-Assessment-Instruments/ESRDQIP/index.html. If you have questions about the program after reviewing this content, you may reach the CMS ESRD QIP team by emailing ESRDQIP@cms.hhs.gov.

Please note that this document is an informal reference only, and does not constitute official CMS guidance. Please refer to the implementing regulations.

ESRD QIP Final Rule Governing Payment Year 2016

The final rule governing the ESRD QIP for Payment Year (PY) 2016, published in the Federal Register on December 2, 2013, outlines how CMS will implement the law establishing the program. The rule specifies the following in detail:

- **Measures selected** – Eleven total measures (eight clinical and three reporting) for assessing the quality of ESRD care
- **Performance period** – Timeframe during which CMS will collect data to evaluate facility performance
- **Methodology** – The process used to score facility performance
- **Payment reduction scale** – Scale used to determine payment reductions for facilities not meeting established performance standards.

The final rule also addresses public comments to the earlier proposed rule and CMS’s responses to those comments.

Measuring Quality

Section 153(c) of the Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act (MIPPA) requires CMS to use certain types of quality measures as part of the ESRD QIP. These include:

- Measures on anemia management that reflect the labeling approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for administration of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs)
Measures on dialysis adequacy

Other measures as the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) may specify on iron management, bone mineral metabolism, vascular access, and patient satisfaction.

For PY 2016, CMS selected eleven measures for evaluating each facility. The resulting scores will be combined to establish the facility’s Total Performance Score. Eight of these measures are “clinical,” meaning that they evaluate how well facilities meet clinical performance goals. The remaining three measures are related to “reporting,” meaning that they evaluate facilities on the basis of the data they submit to CMS. By increasing the number, scope, and meaningfulness of clinical measures, the PY 2016 final rule illustrates that the ESRD QIP is evolving and becoming more sophisticated in its evaluation of dialysis facilities.

Not all facilities are eligible for a Total Performance Score in 2016. To receive a Total Performance Score, a facility must receive a score on at least one clinical measure and at least one reporting measure. Facilities will not receive a score on any of the clinical measures if they do not treat at least 11 patients who are eligible for each of the measures. Facilities will not receive a score on any of the reporting measures if they obtain a CMS Certification Number (CCN) after June 30, 2014.

If a facility does not receive a Total Performance Score, this does not indicate that the facility provided low-quality care.

Clinical Measures Selected

Five of the eight clinical measures are organized into two “measure topics;” one evaluates the success of dialysis treatment in removing waste products from patients’ blood, and the other examines the type of vascular access used to treat patients. The remaining three measures evaluate: (1) anemia management, addressing hemoglobin levels; (2) infections incurred by in-center hemodialysis outpatients; and (3) Hypercalcemia, a measure of mineral metabolism. Data to assess performance on these measures will be taken from Medicare claims, other CMS forms, and CROWNWeb.

For the anemia measure, the fewer facility patients with hemoglobin outside the range, the better the facility will score; likewise, the fewer facility patients with Hypercalcemia or infections, the better the facility will score. For the dialysis adequacy measures, the greater the number of patients above the threshold, the better the facility will score. The Vascular Access Type score is affected negatively if patients have catheters and positively if patients have fistulae.

For additional information about exclusions and measure calculations, see the “Final Measure Specifications for the PY 2016 ESRD Quality Incentive Program” section of http://www.dialysisreports.org/ESRDMeasures.aspx.

Reporting Measures Selected

The reporting measures require facilities to:

1. Report hemoglobin or hematocrit values and ESA dosage (as applicable) via Medicare claims
2. Report serum phosphorus levels in CROWNWeb
3. Administer the In-Center Hemodialysis Survey Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (ICH CAHPS) survey and deliver the results via a CMS-approved vendor.

For additional information about exclusions and measure calculations, see the “Final Measure Specifications for the PY 2016 ESRD Quality Incentive Program” section of http://www.dialysisreports.org/ESRDMeasures.aspx.
Facility Scoring

Period of Performance

The period of performance for PY 2016 is calendar year (CY) 2014. This timeframe was selected to allow enough time for CMS to:

1. Ensure that claims used in calculations are complete and accurate
2. Calculate facility performance scores
3. Allow facilities to view their performance scores before public release and obtain additional information if needed.

Scoring for Clinical Measures

Facility performance will be evaluated against each measure; a facility receives a score based on the higher of its achievement and improvement on a measure. The comparison period for the PY 2016 clinical measures was CY 2012 for achievement and CY 2013 for improvement.

Two exceptions to this approach exist in PY 2016. The National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Bloodstream Infections in Hemodialysis Outpatients measure will only be scored via the achievement method, and CY 2014 will serve as both the comparison period and the performance period for that measure. In addition, the achievement comparison period for the Hypercalcemia measure will be May – December 2012.

Facilities receive achievement points on a measure based on where they fall on the achievement range. The achievement range begins at the achievement threshold, which is defined as the 15th percentile of facilities during the comparison period. It ends at the benchmark, which is defined as the 90th percentile of facilities during the comparison period. A facility will receive an achievement score of 0 if it is below the achievement threshold, 1 – 9 if its performance falls within this range, and 10 points if it is at or above the benchmark.

Facilities may receive improvement points on a measure based on where they fall on the improvement range. The improvement range begins at the facility’s prior performance rate on the measure during the improvement period (facility comparison rate) and ends at the benchmark. A facility will receive an improvement score of 0 if it is below the facility's comparison rate, 0 – 9 if its performance falls within this range, and 10 if it is at or above the benchmark.

Scoring for Reporting Measures

The reporting scores are not calculated using achievement and improvement scores; instead, facilities receive points based on whether they meet certain reporting requirements. For the ICH CAHPS survey measure, if the facility satisfies the reporting requirements, then the facility will earn full points (10) for each measure. For the Anemia Management and Mineral Metabolism reporting measures, facilities may be able to earn partial points for satisfying some of the reporting requirements. Please see the implementing regulations for more information.
Measure Weighting

The eleven measures for the PY 2016 ESRD QIP do not contribute equally to the Total Performance Score. Each facility’s score will be calculated according to the following measure weights:

- Clinical measures – 75 percent (with the Hypercalcemia measure accounting for two-thirds the weight of the remaining clinical measures)
- Reporting measures – 25 percent

If a score is not received for a measure or measure topic(s), then the remaining measures and measure topics will be reweighted to add up to 75 percent (for the clinical measures) or 25 percent (for the reporting measures) of the total weight of the Total Performance Score. For example, if a facility does not have sufficient patients to calculate a score for the ICH CAHPS reporting measure, then the Mineral Metabolism and Anemia Management reporting measures (for which the hypothetical facility did receive scores) would be weighted equally at 12.5 percent of the Total Performance Score.

Calculating a Facility’s Total Performance Score

A facility’s Total Performance Score in PY 2016 is calculated by:

1. Multiplying each measure by its appropriate weight
2. Adding these weighted measures
3. Multiplying the sum by 10.

A facility’s Total Performance Score can range from 0 – 100 points.

The following graphic illustrates the methodology that CMS uses for calculating PY 2016 performance scores and payment reductions.
Payment Adjustments

Section 153(c) of MIPPA directs the Secretary of HHS to develop a method to assess the quality of dialysis care provided by facilities and to link this performance to possible payment reductions. To receive full payment for PY 2016, facilities must have a Total Performance Score of at least 54 points. Facilities that fail to meet this standard may receive a payment reduction of up to two percent. This payment reduction will apply to all Medicare payments to that facility in CY 2016.

Scale for Payment Reductions

PY 2016 payment reductions will apply to a facility according to the following chart:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Performance Score</th>
<th>Payment Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54 to 100</td>
<td>No reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 to 53</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 to 43</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 to 33</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to 23</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preview Period

Facilities will have the opportunity to preview their scores and any resulting payment reductions prior to public release. The Preview Period will last for one month and occur in the summer of 2015. During this time, facilities can ask general clarification questions about how their scores were calculated. In addition, each facility can submit one formal inquiry regarding data or scoring-related issues if the facility believes a scoring error has occurred.