		Danis	Davenport-Ennis	Grant	Hayes	Henderson	Puklin	Richner	Scheuner	Schroeder	Spertus	Teutsch	Weiner	Perfetto	Gutman	Holtzman	Mansfield	Voting Member Average	Overall Average
4	For each type of outcome below, how confident are you that methodologically rigorous evidence on the outcome is sufficient to infer whether or not screening genetic tests is effective for the prevention or early detection of illness or disability?																		
	1 Not Confident -2- 3 Moderately Confident -4- 5 Highly Confident																		
	A. Additional (confirmatory) diagnostic procedure **(The MEDCAC panel decided not to vote on this question)**.																	**#DIV/0!**	**#DIV/0!**
	B. Survival	5	4	5	5	5	3	4	4	3	4	2	3	2	3	1	3	**3.92**	**3.50**
	C. Other patient-focused healthcare outcomes e.g., functional status, incidence of adverse events	4	4	4	3	1	2	3	4	4	5	3	4	4	3	1	3	**3.42**	**3.25**

		Danis	Davenport-Ennis	Grant	Hayes	Henderson	Puklin	Richner	Scheuner	Schroeder	Spertus	Teutsch	Weiner	Perfetto	Gutman	Holtzman	Mansfield	Voting Member Average
5	What are desirable measures of the cost-effectiveness of screening genetic tests for the prevention or early detection of illness or disability?																	
	1 Not Confident 2 Equivocal 3 High Confidence																	
	A. Quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) gained due to screening?	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	**2.83**
	B. Decreases in incidence of illness or disability, or net gains in other patient-focused healtcare outcomes?	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	**2.67**
	C. Net changes in lifetime costs of illness or disability?	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	1	3	2	1	3	3	2	**1.92**

Overall Average
2.88
2.75
2.00