

For the voting questions, use the following scale identifying level of confidence - with 1 being the lowest or no confidence and 5 representing a high level of confidence.		Phurrough	Cabral-Daniels	Heseltine	Janowitz	McDonough	Saadi	Samson	Steinbrook	Seal	Rudy	Voting Member Average	Overall Average
1	How confident are you that there is adequate evidence to determine whether or not SAECH technologies are able to reliably and accurately detect:												
	1 Low Confidence -2- 3 Intermediate Confidence -4- 5 High Confidence												
	a. coronary artery disease in asymptomatic patients at risk for the disease	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.13	1.10
	b. patients with signs and symptoms suggestive of ACS with or without chest pain	3	1	3	3	4	3	1	3	3	3	2.63	2.70

|

Note: If the result of Question 1 is at least intermediate (mean vote ≥ 2.5) for either range of correct open set sentence recognition scores noted prior.		Phurrough	Cabral-Daniels	Heseltine	Janowitz	McDonough	Saadi	Samson	Steinbrook	Seal	Rudy	Voting Member Average	Overall Average
2	<i>If the result of Question 1 is at least intermediate (mean vote ≥ 2.5) in any of the conditions noted, how confident are you that ECG based signal analysis technologies are able to reliably and accurately detect:</i>												
	<i>1 Low Confidence -2- 3 Intermediate Confidence -4- 5 High Confidence</i>												
	a. coronary artery disease in asymptomatic patients at risk for the disease The mean score from question 1a. was less then 2.5 so their was no vote for this question.												
	b. patients with signs/symptoms suggestive of ACS with or without chest pain	2	3	4	3	2	3	2	2	3	3	2.63	2.70

		Phurrough	Cabral-Daniels	Heseltine	Janowitz	McDonough	Saadi	Samson	Steinbrook	Seal	Rudy	Voting Member Average	Overall Average
3	<i>How confident are you that there is adequate evidence to determine whether or not the incremental information obtained from SAECD technologies beyond that provided by the standard 12 lead ECG, improves physician decision making in the management of:</i>												
	<i>1 Low Confidence -2- 3 Intermediate Confidence -4- 5 High Confidence</i>												
	a. coronary artery disease in asymptomatic patients at risk for the disease The mean score from question 2a. was less then 2.5 so their was no vote for this question.												
	b. patients with signs/symptoms suggestive of ACS with or without chest pain	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1.1

|

		Phurrough	Cabral-Daniels	Heseltine	Janowitz	McDonough	Saadi	Samson	Steinbrook	Seal	Rudy	Voting Member Average	Overall Average
4	<i>If the result of Question 3 is at least intermediate (mean vote ≥ 2.5), how confident are you that the incremental information obtained from SAECC technologies beyond that provided by the standard 12 lead ECG, improves physician decision making in the management of:</i>												
	<i>1 Low Confidence -2- 3 Intermediate Confidence -4- 5 High Confidence</i>												
	a. coronary artery disease in asymptomatic patients at risk for the disease The mean score from question 3a. was less then 2.5 so their was no vote for this question.												
	b. patients with signs/symptoms suggestive of ACS with or without chest pain The mean score from question 3b. was less then 2.5 so their was no vote for this question.												

		Phurrough	Cabral-Daniels	Heseltine	Janowitz	McDonough	Saadi	Samson	Steinbrook	Seal	Rudy	Voting Member Average	Overall Average
5	<i>How confident are you that there is adequate evidence to determine whether or not the incremental information obtained from SAECC technologies beyond that provided by the standard 12 lead ECG, can eliminate the need (at the level of an individual patient) for</i>												
	<i>1 Low Confidence -2- 3 Intermediate Confidence -4- 5 High Confidence</i>												
	a. diagnostic laboratory testing (e.g. troponin)	4	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.5	1.4
	b. noninvasive tests of cardiac anatomy/functioning (e.g. stress testing, echocardiography, etc)	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1.25	1.3
	c. invasive test of cardiac anatomy/functioning (i.e. coronary angiography)	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1.375	1.5

[illegible]

1

		Phurrough	Cabral-Daniels	Heseltine	Janowitz	McDonough	Saadi	Samson	Steinbrook	Seal	Rudy	Voting Member Average	Overall Average
7	How confident are you that there is adequate evidence to determine whether or not the use of SAECC technologies significantly improves patient health outcomes?												
	1 Low Confidence Confidence -4- 3 Intermediate 5 High Confidence	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1.125	1.3

		Phurrough	Cabral-Daniels	Heseltine	Janowitz	McDonough	Saadi	Samson	Steinbrook	Seal	Rudy	Voting Member Average	Overall Average
8	<i>If the result of Question 7 is at least intermediate (mean vote ≥ 2.5), how confident are you that the use of SAECC technologies significantly improves patient health outcomes?</i> The mean score from question 7 was less than 2.5 so their was no vote for this question.												
	<i>1 Low Confidence -2- 3 Intermediate Confidence -4- 5 High Confidence</i>												

|

		Phurrough	Cabral-Daniels	Heseltine	Janowitz	McDonough	Saadi	Samson	Steinbrook	Seal	Rudy	Voting Member Average	Overall Average
10	How confident are you that these conclusions are generalizable to:												
	1 Low Confidence -2- 3 Intermediate Confidence -4- 5 High Confidence												
	a. The Medicare patient population	5	4	4	4	4	4	1	3	4	3	3.625	3.6
	b. Community based settings	5	4	4	4	3	3	1	3	4	3	3.375	3.4