

CMS Manual System	Department of Health & Human Services (DHHS)
Pub 100-04 Medicare Claims Processing	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Transmittal 1436	Date: February 5, 2008
	Change Request 5866

SUBJECT: Modifications to the National Coordination of Benefits Agreement (COBA) Crossover Process

I. SUMMARY OF CHANGES: The purpose of this instruction is four-fold: 1) to suppress claims that are fully denied due to Health Insurance Claim Number (HICN) and beneficiary name mismatch from the COBA crossover process; 2) to change the shared systems to ensure that they will not generate provider notification letters when the Coordination of Benefits Contractor (COBC) generates specified "222" and "333" error codes to Medicare contractors via returned Detailed Error Reports; 3) to clarify previous instruction concerning crossover messages on provider remittance advices as well as conditions under which policy number information is derived from the incoming claim; and 4) to modify data population routines for outbound crossover files within the Part B shared system.

New / Revised Material

Effective Date: July 1, 2008

Implementation Date: July 7, 2008

Disclaimer for manual changes only: The revision date and transmittal number apply only to red italicized material. Any other material was previously published and remains unchanged. However, if this revision contains a table of contents, you will receive the new/revised information only, and not the entire table of contents.

II. CHANGES IN MANUAL INSTRUCTIONS: (N/A if manual is not updated)

R=REVISED, N=NEW, D=DELETED-*Only One Per Row.*

R/N/D	Chapter / Section / Subsection / Title
R	27/80.14/Consolidated Claims Crossover Process
R	28/70/70.6/Consolidation of the Claims Crossover Process
R	28/70/70.6.1/Coordination of Benefits Agreement (COBA) Detailed Error Report Notification Process

III. FUNDING:

SECTION A: For Fiscal Intermediaries and Carriers:

No additional funding will be provided by CMS; Contractor activities are to be carried out within their operating budgets.

SECTION B: For Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs):

The Medicare Administrative Contractor is hereby advised that this constitutes technical direction as defined in your contract. CMS does not construe this as a change to the MAC Statement of Work. The contractor is not obligated to incur costs in excess of the amounts allotted in your contract unless and until specifically authorized by the Contracting Officer. If the contractor considers anything provided, as described above, to

be outside the current scope of work, the contractor shall withhold performance on the part(s) in question and immediately notify the Contracting Officer, in writing or by e-mail, and request formal directions regarding continued performance requirements.

IV. ATTACHMENTS:

Business Requirements

Manual Instruction

**Unless otherwise specified, the effective date is the date of service.*

Attachment - Business Requirements

Pub. 100-04	Transmittal: 1436	Date: February 5, 2008	Change Request: 5866
-------------	-------------------	------------------------	----------------------

SUBJECT: Modifications to the National Coordination of Benefits Agreement (COBA) Crossover Process

Effective Date: July 1, 2008

Implementation Date: July 7, 2008

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Background: Currently, through the national COBA eligibility file-based crossover process, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) allows the supplemental payers or insurers with whom it exchanges crossover claims to include or exclude fully denied claims. Change Request (CR) 5770 directed Medicare Part B contractors, including Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs), and Durable Medical Equipment Medicare Administrative Contractors (DME MACs) to deny unassigned claims (including beneficiary-submitted 1490-S claims) with claim adjustment reason code (CARC) 140 in situations where: 1) the Common Working File (CWF) system returned disposition code 55 with an 08 trailer containing error code 5052 to the Medicare contractor due to a Health Insurance Claim Number (HICN)-beneficiary name mismatch; and 2) the beneficiary failed to respond timely to contractor-generated development letters. The CMS must ensure that claims that Medicare fully denies using CARC 140 will not be crossed over. The requirements related to this action are addressed below. The DME MACs shall implement this requirement under a future change request. However, their shared system shall code for the requirements of this instruction at this time.

Through CR 5851, CMS requested that all Medicare contractors hold their provider notification letters when the Coordination of Benefits Contractor (COBC) returns error code 000101 (“Claim is contained within a BHT envelope previously crossed; claim rejected”) as a “222” error via the Detailed Error Report process. This instruction automates this requirement within the contractors’ shared systems and expands the automation requirement to code 000100 (“Duplicate claim; duplicate ST-SE detected”), which the COBC also returns to the Medicare contractors as a “222” error, as well as to three (3) specific “333” (trading partner dispute) error conditions tied to the receipt of duplicate crossover claims.

Through this instruction, CMS is directing the DME MAC system, with qualified exceptions (namely, the creation of the beneficiary policy number within 2010BA NM109 in Medigap claim-based crossover situations), to derive data for creation of the 2010BA segment from its internal eligibility data. In addition, presently, the DME MAC system currently defaults to a value of “FC” when creating the N402 state code on outbound 837 professional flat file claims in those instances where the incoming claim did not contain a valid state code, when required. This practice shall discontinue effective with this instruction.

B. Policy: To accommodate the first set of requirements within this instruction, the CWF maintainer shall create a new 1-byte indicator (fully denied claim) field in the header of its HUBC and HUDC claim transactions. The CWF maintainer shall only accept the values of “D” (fully denied claim; HICN-name mismatch) or spaces within this newly created field.

When, in accordance with CR 5770, Medicare Part B contractors, including MACs, deny unassigned claims, including beneficiary-submitted 1490-S claim forms, using CARC 140, they shall include a “D” value (fully denied claim) in the newly created field in the header of their HUBC claims as they cable them to CWF for

verification and validation. All DME MACs shall not begin to send the new “D” value on their fully denied HUDC claims until CMS instructs them to do so through a future change request. The DME MAC system shall, however, code for this change at this time. Upon receipt of fully denied HUBC or HUDC claims that contains a “D” value in the newly defined field, CWF shall automatically exclude the claim from both the COBA eligibility file-based crossover and Medigap claim-based crossover processes. The CWF shall therefore not return a Beneficiary Other Insurance (BOI) reply trailer (29), even if the COBA trading partner’s claims selection criteria, as derived from the COBA Insurance File (COIF), otherwise stipulate inclusion of fully denied claims with or without beneficiary liability. Upon excluding the claim, CWF shall include the new “D” (fully denied claim) value within the header detail of the claim as displayed on the Health Insurance Master Record (HIMR) PTBH or DMEH screens.

The CWF shall create consistency edits that will activate when Part B contractors or DME MACs attempt to send a value other than “D” or spaces in the newly created field or when they attempt to send this value on assigned partially denied claims. Upon receipt of this CWF consistency edit, the affected Medicare contractors shall 1) correct the value transmitted in the denial indicator field; and 2) retransmit the claim to CWF. If CWF rejects the claim with a consistency edit because the Part B contractor or DME MAC set the “D” value (fully denied claim; HICN-beneficiary name mismatch) on an assigned claim **or** non-assigned partially denied claims, the contractors shall work with their shared system maintainer to effectuate a resolution that will allow the claim to be retransmitted to CWF appropriately.

Upon receipt of COBC Detailed Error Reports that contain “222” error codes 000100 (“Claim is contained within a BHT envelope previously crossed; claim rejected”) and 000101 (“Duplicate claim; duplicate ST-SE detected”), all contractor systems shall automatically suppress generation of the special provider notification letters that they would normally generate for their associated contractors in accordance with Transmittals 474 and 837 (CRs 3709 and 4277). In addition, upon receipt of COBC Detailed Error Reports that contain “333” (trading partner dispute) error code 100 (duplicate claim) or 110 (duplicate ISA-IEA) or 120 (duplicate ST-SE), all contractor systems shall automatically suppress generation of the special provider notification letters. **(NOTE:** When suppressing their provider notification letters for the foregoing qualified situations, the contractors shall also **not** update their claims histories to reflect the non-crossing over of the associated claims.)

Part A contractors are reminded that, in accordance with Transmittal 138, CR 3218, they shall create an MA-18 message on their outbound 835 Electronic Remittance Advices (ERAs) when CWF returns a BOI reply trailer (29) in association with one of their adjudicated claims.

Effective with this instruction, the DME MAC system shall populate the data necessary to create the 2010BA segment for COBA eligibility file-based crossover purposes using information derived from its internal eligibility file data. **(NOTE:** This holds true regardless of whether a supplier’s incoming claim to a DME MAC is submitted on paper or electronically.) In addition, the DME MAC system shall discontinue utilizing the “FC” default logic when creating the N402 (State or Province Code) within the 837 flat file for COBA crossover, as well as when creating 835 ERAs, in situations where the state code, when required on the incoming claim, does not represent a valid U.S. state.

Through this instruction, CMS is clarifying for its Part B contractors, including MACs, and DME MACs, and their shared systems that they should only derive the supplemental identifier/policy number from the incoming paper or electronic claim for purposes of populating the 2010BA NM109 within the outbound 837 when the incoming claim contains a valid Medigap claim-based COBA ID (defined as range 55000 to 59999) in item 9D of the CMS-1500 or in 2330B NM109 of the incoming 837 professional claim. In addition, the DME MAC system shall also take the beneficiary’s policy number from incoming NCPDP claims and place it within the appropriate cardholder ID field on the outbound NCPDP claim file when the identifier placed in 301-C1 of the T04 segment of the incoming NCPDP claim falls in the range of 55000 to 59999, denoting Medigap claim-

based crossover. For both the Part B contractor and DME MAC systems, if the incoming claim contains a value in 9D of the CMS-1500 claim form, in 2330B NM109 of the 837 professional claim, or in field 301-C1 of the T04 segment of an NCPDP claim **other than 55000 to 59999**, the systems shall place the beneficiary's HICN in 2010BA NM109 of the outbound 837 professional crossover claim flat file and in the appropriate equivalent place in the NCPDP COBA crossover claim file.

Lastly, the Part B shared system shall develop a pre-pass edit that will activate when a provider submits a claim to Medicare that contains provider taxonomy codes in both the 2000-A PRV and 2310-B PRV segments. This system shall ensure that this edit prevents the mapping of provider taxonomy codes in both the 2000-A PRV and 2310-B PRV segments within its 837 professional claim flat files that it transmits to the COBC for crossover purposes.

II. BUSINESS REQUIREMENTS TABLE

"Shall" denotes a mandatory requirement

Number	Requirement	Responsibility (place an "X" in each applicable column)										
		A / B M A C	D M B M A C	F I I E R	C A R I E R	R H H I S	Shared-System Maintainers				OTH ER	
							F I S S	M C S	V M S	C W F		
5866.1	The CWF maintainer shall create a new 1-byte "D" (fully denied claim) value field in the header of its HUBC and HUDC claim transactions.										X	
5866.1.1	The CWF maintainer shall only accept values of "D" (fully denied claim; HICN-name mismatch) and spaces within this newly created field.										X	
5866.2	When the Part B contractors deny unassigned claims (including beneficiary-submitted 1490-S claim forms) with CARC 140, they shall include a new "D" value in the newly designated field within the header of these fully denied HUBC claims as they cable them to CWF for verification and validation.							X				
5866.2.1	All DME MACs shall not begin to send the new "D" value in the header of their fully denied HUDC claims until CMS instructs them to do so through a future change request.		X									
5866.2.2	The DME MAC system shall code for the functionality of setting a "D" value in the newly designated field within the header of their HUDC claims to accommodate the future implementation of a CMS instruction that shall direct all DME MACs to deny HICN-beneficiary name mismatched claims with CARC 140.								X			
5866.2.3	Upon receipt of a claim that contains a "D" (fully										X	

Number	Requirement	Responsibility (place an "X" in each applicable column)									
		A / B	D M E	F I	C A R R I E R	R H I	Shared-System Maintainers				OTH ER
							F I S S	M C S	V M S	C W F	
	denied) value in the newly defined HUBC or HUDC header claim field, CWF shall automatically exclude the claim from the COBA eligibility file-based crossover process.										
5866.2.3.1	The CWF shall therefore not return a Beneficiary Other Insurance (BOI) reply trailer (29), even if the COBA trading partner's claims selection criteria, as derived from the COBA Insurance File (COIF), otherwise stipulate inclusion of fully denied claims with or without beneficiary liability.										X
5866.2.4	Upon excluding the claim, CWF shall include the "D" value (fully denied claim; HICN-beneficiary name mismatch) within the header of the claim as displayed on the Health Insurance Master Record (HIMR) PTBH and DMEH screens.										X
5866.2.5	The CWF shall create consistency edits that will activate when the Part B contractor or DME MAC attempts to send a value other than "D" or spaces in the newly created field <u>or</u> when they attempt to send this value on an assigned claim or non-assigned partially denied claims.										X
5866.2.6	Upon receipt of this CWF consistency edit, the affected Medicare contractors shall 1) correct the value transmitted in the denial indicator field; and 2) retransmit the claim to CWF.	X	X		X			X			
5866.2.7	If CWF rejected the claim with a consistency edit because the Part B contractor or DME MAC set the "D" (fully denied claim; HICN-beneficiary name mismatch) value on an assigned claim or non-assigned partially denied claim, the indicated contractors shall work with their shared system maintainers to effectuate a resolution that will allow the claim to be retransmitted to CWF appropriately.	X	X		X			X			
5866.3	Upon receipt of COBC Detailed Error Reports that contain "222" error codes 000100 ("Claim is contained within a BHT envelope previously crossed; claim rejected") and 000101 ("Duplicate claim; duplicate ST-						X	X	X		

Number	Requirement	Responsibility (place an "X" in each applicable column)									
		A / B M A C	D M E M A C	F I M A C	C A R I E R	R H I S S	Shared-System Maintainers				OTH ER
							F I S	M C S	V M S	C W F	
	SE detected”), all contractor systems shall automatically suppress generation of the special provider notification letters that they would normally generate for their associated contractors in accordance with Transmittals 474 and 837 (CRs 3709 and 4277).										
5866.3.1	In addition, upon receipt of COBC Detailed Error Reports that contain “333” error code 100 (duplicate claim) or 110 (duplicate ISA-IEA) or 120 (duplicate ST-SE), all contractor systems shall automatically suppress generation of the special provider notification letters. (NOTE: When suppressing their provider notification letters for the qualified situations discussed in 5866.3 and 5866.3.1, the contractors shall also not update their claims histories to reflect the non-crossing over of the associated claims.)						X	X	X		
5866.4	Part A contractors and their shared system are reminded that, in accordance with Transmittal 138, CR 3218, they shall create an MA-18 message on their outbound 835 Electronic Remittance Advices (ERAs) when CWF returns a BOI reply trailer (29) in association with one of their adjudicated claims.			X		X	X				
5866.5	The DME MAC system shall populate the data necessary for creation of the 2010BA segment of the 837 professional flat file for COBA eligibility file-based crossover purposes using information derived from its internal eligibility file data. (NOTE: This holds true regardless of whether a supplier’s incoming claim to a DME MAC is submitted on paper or electronically.)								X		
5866.6	In addition, the DME MAC system shall discontinue utilizing the “FC” default logic when creating the N402 (State or Province Code) within the 837 flat file for COBA crossover, as well as when creating 835 ERAs, in situations where the state code, when required on the incoming claim, does not represent a valid U.S. state.								X		
5866.7	As a clarification to earlier CMS instructions, the indicated shared systems shall only derive the							X	X		

Number	Requirement	Responsibility (place an "X" in each applicable column)									
		A / B	D M E	F I	C A R R I E R	R H I	Shared-System Maintainers				OTH ER
							F I S S	M C S	V M S	C W F	
	supplemental identifier/policy number from the incoming hard-copy (paper) or 837 electronic claim for purposes of populating the 2010BA NM109 within the outbound 837 flat file when the incoming claim contains a Medigap claim-based COBA ID (range 55000 to 59999) in item 9D of the CMS-1500 or in 2330B NM109 of the incoming 837 professional claim.										
5866.7.1	In addition, the DME MAC system shall also take the beneficiary's policy number from incoming NCPDP claims and place it within the appropriate policy number field on the outbound NCPDP claim file when the identifier placed in 301-C1 of the T04 segment falls in the range of 55000 to 59999, denoting Medigap claim-based crossover.								X		
5866.7.2	For both the Part B contractor and DME MAC systems, if the incoming claim contains a value in 9D of the CMS-1500 claim form, in 2330B NM109 of the 837 professional claim, or in field 301-C1 of the T04 segment of an NCPDP claim other than 55000 to 59999, the systems shall place the beneficiary's HICN in 2010BA NM109 of the outbound 837 professional crossover claim flat file and in the appropriate equivalent place in the NCPDP COBA crossover claim file.							X	X		
5866.8	The indicated shared system shall develop a pre-pass edit that will activate when a provider submits a claim to Medicare that contains provider taxonomy codes in both the 2000-A PRV and 2310-B PRV segments.							X			
5866.8.1	The indicated shared system shall ensure that this edit prevents the mapping of provider taxonomy codes in both the 2000-A PRV and 2310-B PRV segments within the 837 professional claim flat files that it transmits to the COBC for crossover purposes.							X			

III. PROVIDER EDUCATION TABLE

Number	Requirement	Responsibility (place an "X" in each applicable column)									
		A / B M A C	D M M A C	F I I E R	C A I E R	R H I S	Shared-System Maintainers				OTH ER
		F	M	V	C	I	C	M	S	W	
	None	X	X	X	X	X					

IV. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. For any recommendations and supporting information associated with listed requirements, use the box below:

"Should" denotes a recommendation.

X-Ref Requirement Number	Recommendations or other supporting information:
5866.1.1	The CWF should ensure that it can accept alpha or spaces within the field it designates for the 1-byte denial indicator within the HUBC or HUDC transactions.
5866.2	The MCS and VMS should create test cases that ensure that they only set the "D" indicator on those claims they deny entirely using CARC 140.
5866.3	All shared systems, excepting CWF, should ensure that they can successfully suppress creation of provider notification letters when they receive COBC Detailed Error Reports containing error codes 00100 and 00101.
5866.7	The MCS and VMS shall, if the functionality does not already exist, test to ensure that they only take the policy number from the incoming claim (block 9A of the CMS-1500 or loop 2330A NM109 of the 837 professional claim) and populate it within the 2010BA NM109 of the outbound 837 professional crossover claim file if the incoming claim contains a COBA ID of 55000 to 59999 in item 9D of the CMS-1500 or in 2330B NM109 of the 837 professional claim.
5866.7.1	The VMS shall, if the functionality does not already exist, test to ensure that it only takes the policy number from the incoming NCPDP claim and places it within the appropriate cardholder ID field within the outbound NCPCP crossover claim file if the incoming claim contains a COBA ID of 55000 to 59999 in field 301-CI of the T04 segment of the incoming NCPDP claim.
5866.8	The MCS and VMS shall test to ensure that their pre-pass editing activates when the provider taxonomy code is present within both the 2000A PRV and 2310B PRV segments of incoming 837 professional claims.

B. For all other recommendations and supporting information, use this space: N/A

V. CONTACTS

Pre-Implementation Contact(s): Brian Pabst (brian.pabst@cms.hhs.gov; 410-786-2487)

Post-Implementation Contact(s): Brian Pabst (brian.pabst@cms.hhs.gov; 410-786-2487)

VI. FUNDING

A. *For Fiscal Intermediaries, Carriers, and the Durable Medical Equipment Regional Carrier (DMERC):*

No additional funding will be provided by CMS; contractor activities are to be carried out within their operating budgets.

B. *For Medicare Administrative Contractors (MAC):*

The Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC) is hereby advised that this constitutes technical direction as defined in your contract. CMS does not construe this as changes to the MAC Statement of Work (SOW). The contractor is not obligated to incur costs in excess of the amounts specified in your contract unless and until specifically authorized by the contracting officer. If the contractor considers anything provided, as described above, to be outside the current scope of work, the contractor shall withhold performance on the part(s) in question and immediately notify the contracting officer, in writing or by e-mail, and request formal directions regarding continued performance requirements.

80.14 - Consolidated Claims Crossover Process

(Rev.1436, Issued: 02-05-08, Effective: 07-01-08, Implementation: 07-07-08)

A. The Mechanics of the CWF Claims Selection Process and BOI and Claim-based Reply Trailers

1. CWF Receipt and Processing of the Coordination of Benefits Agreement Insurance File (COIF)

Effective July 6, 2004, the COBC will begin to send copies of the Coordination of Benefits Agreement Insurance File (COIF) to the nine CWF host sites on a weekly basis. The COIF will contain specific information that will identify the COBA trading partner, including name, COBA ID, address, and tax identification number (TIN). It will also contain each trading partner's claims selection criteria exclusions (claim or bill types that the trading partner does not want to receive via the crossover process) along with an indicator (Y=Yes; N=No) regarding whether the trading partner wishes its name to be printed on the Medicare Summary Notice (MSN). During the COBA parallel production period, which is estimated to run from July 6, 2004, to October 1, 2004, CWF will exclusively return an "N" MSN indicator to the Medicare contractor.

The CWF shall load the initial COIF submission from COBC as well as all future weekly updates.

Upon receipt of a claim, the CWF shall take the following actions:

- a. Search for a COBA eligibility record on the BOI auxiliary record for each beneficiary and obtain the associated COBA ID(s) [NOTE: There may be multiple COBA IDs];
- b. Refer to the COIF associated with each COBA ID (NOTE: CWF shall pull the COBA ID from the BOI auxiliary record) to obtain the COBA trading partner's name and claims selection criteria;
- c. Apply the COBA trading partner's selection criteria; and
- d. Transmit a BOI reply trailer 29 to the Medicare contractor only if the claim is to be sent, via 837 COB flat file or National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) file, to the COBC to be crossed over. (See Pub.100-04, Chap. 28, §70.6 for more information about the claim file transmission process involving the Medicare contractor and the COBC.)

Effective with the October 2004 systems release, CWF shall read the COIF submission to determine whether a Test/Production Indicator "T" (test mode) or "P" (production mode) is present. CWF will then include the Test/Production Indicator on the BOI reply trailer 29 that is returned to the Medicare contractor. (See additional details below.)

2. BOI Reply Trailer 29 Processes

For purposes of eligibility file-based crossover, if CWF selects a claim for crossover, it shall return a BOI reply trailer 29 to the Medicare contractor. The returned BOI reply trailer 29 shall include, in addition to COBA ID(s), the COBA trading partner name(s), an "A" crossover indicator that specifies that the claim has been selected to be crossed over, the insurer effective and termination dates, and a 1-digit indicator ["Y"=Yes; "N"=No] that specifies whether the COBA trading partner's name should be printed on the beneficiary MSN. Effective with the October 2004 systems release, CWF shall also include a 1-digit Test/Production Indicator "T" (test mode) or "P" (production mode) on the BOI reply trailer 29 that is returned to the Medicare contractor.

B. MSN Crossover Messages

As specified above, during the COBA parallel production period (July 6, 2004, to October 1, 2004), CWF will exclusively return an "N" MSN indicator via the BOI reply trailer, in accordance with the information received via the COIF submission. If a Medicare contractor receives a "Y" MSN indicator during the parallel production period, it shall ignore it.

Beginning with the October 2004 systems release, when a contractor receives a BOI reply trailer 29 from CWF that contains a Test/Production Indicator "T" (test mode), it shall ignore the MSN Indicator provided on the trailer. Instead, the Medicare contractor shall follow its existing procedures for inclusion of trading partner names on MSNs for those trading partners with whom it has existing Trading Partner Agreements (TPAs).

Beginning with the October 2004 systems release, when a contractor receives a BOI reply trailer 29 from CWF that contains a Test/Production Indicator "P" (production mode), it shall read the MSN indicator (Y=Yes, print trading partner's name; N=Do not print trading partner's name) returned on the BOI reply trailer 29. (Refer to Pub.100-4, chapter 28, §70.6 for additional details.)

C. Electronic Remittance Advice (835)/Provider Remittance Advice Crossover Messages

Beginning with the October 2004 release, when contractors receive a BOI reply trailer (29) from CWF that contains a "T" Test/Production Indicator, they shall not print information received from the BOI reply trailer (29) in the required crossover fields on the 835 Electronic Remittance Advice or other provider remittance advice(s) that is/are in production. Contractors shall, however, populate the 835 ERA (or provider remittance advice(s) in production) with required crossover information when they have existing agreements with trading partners.

Beginning with the October 2004 release, when contractors receive a BOI reply trailer (29) from CWF that contains a "P" Test/Production Indicator, they shall use the returned BOI

trailer information to take the following actions on the provider's 835 Electronic Remittance Advice:

1. Record code 19 in CLP-02 (Claim Status Code) in Loop 2100 (Claim Payment Information) of the 835 ERA (v. 4010-A1). [NOTE: Record "20" in CLP-02 (Claim Status Code) in Loop 2100 (Claim Payment Information) when Medicare is the secondary payer.]
2. Update the 2100 Loop (Crossover Carrier Name) on the 835 ERA as follows:
 - NM101 [Entity Identifier Code]—Use "TT," as specified in the 835 Implementation Guide.
 - NM102 [Entity Type Qualifier]—Use "2," as specified in the 835 Implementation Guide.
 - NM103 [Name, Last or Organization Name]—Use the COBA trading partner's name that accompanies the first sorted COBA ID returned to you on the BOI reply trailer.
 - NM108 [Identification Code Qualifier]—Use "PI" (Payer Identification.)
 - NM109 [Identification Code]—Use the first COBA ID returned to you on the BOI reply trailer. (See line 24 of the BOI aux. file record.)

If the 835 ERA is not in production and the contractor receives a "P" Test/Production Indicator, it shall use the information provided on the BOI reply trailer (29) to populate the existing provider remittance advices that it has in production.

Effective with the implementation of the COBA Medigap claim-based crossover process, when a beneficiary's claim is associated with more than one COBA ID (i.e., the beneficiary has more than one health insurer/benefit plan that has signed a national COBA), CWF shall sort the COBA IDs and trading partner names in the following order:

- 1) Eligibility-based Medigap, 2) Supplemental, 3) TRICARE, 4) Others, 5) Claim-based Medigap, and 6) Eligibility-based Medicaid. When two or more COBA IDs fall in the same range (see item 24 in the BOI Auxiliary File table above), CWF shall sort numerically within the same range.

3. CWF Treatment of Non-assigned Medicaid Claims

When CWF receives a non-assigned Medicare claim for a beneficiary whose BOI auxiliary record contains a COBA ID with a current effective date in the Medicaid eligibility-based range (70000-77999), it shall reject the claim by returning edit 5248 to the Part B contractor's system only when the Medicaid COBA trading partner is in production mode (Test/Production Indicator=P) with the COBC. At the same time, CWF shall only return a

Medicaid reply trailer 36 to the Part B contractor that contains the trading partner's COBA ID and beneficiary's effective and termination dates under Medicaid when the Medicaid COBA trading partner is in production mode with the COBC. CWF shall determine that a Medicaid trading partner is in production mode by referring to the latest COBA Insurance File (COIF) update it has received.

If, upon receipt of CWF edit 5248 and the Medicaid reply trailer (36), the Part B contractor determines that the non-assigned claim's service dates fall during a period when the beneficiary is eligible for Medicaid, it shall convert the assignment indicator from "non-assigned" to "assigned" and retransmit the claim to CWF. After the claim has been retransmitted, the CWF will only return a BOI reply trailer to the Part B contractor if the claim is to be sent to the COBC to be crossed over.

Effective with October 1, 2007, CWF shall cease returning an edit 5248 and Medicaid reply trailer 36 to a Durable Medical Equipment Medicare Administrative Contractor (DMAC). In lieu of this procedure, CWF shall only return a BOI reply trailer (29) to the DMAC for the claim if the COBA Insurance File (COIF) for the State Medicaid Agency indicates that the entity wishes to receive non-assigned claims.

NOTE: Most Medicaid agencies will not accept such claims for crossover purposes.

If CWF determines via the corresponding COIF that the State Medicaid Agency does not wish to receive non-assigned claims, it shall exclude the claim for crossover. In addition, CWF shall mark the excluded claim with its appropriate claims crossover disposition indicator (see §80.15 of this chapter for more details) and store the claim with the information within the appropriate Health Insurance Master Record (HIMR) detailed history screen.

DMACs shall no longer modify the provider assignment indicator on incoming non-assigned supplier claims for which there is a corresponding COBA ID in the 'Medicaid' range (70000-77999).

4. Additional Information Included on the HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, HUHC, HUBC and HUDC Queries to CWF

Beneficiary Liability Indicators on Part B and DMAC CWF Claims Transactions

Effective with the January 2005 release, the Part B and DMAC systems shall be required to include an indicator 'L' (beneficiary is liable for the denied service[s]) or 'N' (beneficiary is not liable for the denied service[s]) in an available field on the HUBC and HUDC queries to CWF for claims on which all line items are denied. The liability indicators (L or N) will be at the header or claim level rather than at the line level.

Currently, the DMAC shared system is able to identify, through the use of an internal indicator, whether a submitted claim is in the National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) format. The DMAC shared system shall pass an indicator "P" to CWF

in an available field on the HUDC query when the claim is in the NCPDP format. The indicator “P” shall be included in a field on the HUDC query that is separate from the fields used to indicate whether a beneficiary is liable for all services denied on his/her claim.

The CWF shall read the new indicators passed via the HUBC or HUDC queries for purposes of excluding denied services on claims with or without beneficiary liability and NCPDP claims.

Beneficiary Liability Indicators on Part A CWF Claims Transactions

Effective with October 2007, the CWF maintainer shall create a 1-byte beneficiary liability indicator field within the header of its HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, and HUHC Part A claims transactions (valid values for the field=L or N).

As Part A contractors adjudicate claims and determine that the beneficiary has payment liability for any part of the fully denied services or service lines, they shall set an ‘L’ indicator within the newly created beneficiary liability field in the header of their HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, and HUHC claims that they transmit to CWF. In addition, as Part A contractors adjudicate claims and determine that the beneficiary has no payment liability for any of the fully denied services or service lines—that is, the provider must absorb all costs for the fully denied claims—they shall include an ‘N’ beneficiary indicator within the designated field in the header of their HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, and HUHC claims that they transmit to CWF.

Upon receipt of an HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, or HUHC claim that contains an ‘L’ or ‘N’ beneficiary liability indicator, CWF shall read the COBA Insurance File (COIF) to determine whether the COBA trading partner wishes to receive ‘original’ fully denied claims with beneficiary liability (crossover indicator ‘G’) or without beneficiary liability (crossover indicator ‘F’) or ‘adjustment’ fully denied claims with beneficiary liability (crossover indicator ‘U’) or without beneficiary liability (crossover indicator ‘T’).

CWF shall deploy the same logic for excluding Part A fully denied ‘original’ and ‘adjustment’ claims with or without beneficiary liability as it now utilizes to exclude fully denied ‘original’ and ‘adjustment’ Part B and DMAC/DME MAC claims with and without beneficiary liability, as specified elsewhere within this section.

If CWF determines that the COBA trading partner wishes to exclude the claim, as per the COIF, it shall suppress the claim from the crossover process.

CWF shall post the appropriate crossover disposition indicator in association with the adjudicated claim on the HIMR detailed history screen (see §80.15 of this chapter).

In addition, the CWF maintainer shall create and display the new 1-byte beneficiary liability indicator field within the HIMR detailed history screens (INPL, OUTL, HHAL,

and HOSL), to illustrate the indicator ('L' or 'N') that appeared on the incoming HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, or HUHC claim transaction.

CWF Editing for Incorrect Values

If a Part A contractor sends values other than 'L' or 'N' in the newly defined beneficiary liability field in the header of its HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, or HUHC claim, CWF shall reject the claim back to the Part A contractor for correction. Following receipt of the CWF rejection, the Part A contractor shall change the incorrect value placed within the newly defined beneficiary liability field and retransmit the claim to CWF.

5. Modification to the CWF Inclusion or Exclusion Logic for the COBA Crossover Process

Beginning with the October 2006 release, the CWF or its maintainer shall modify its COBA claims selection logic and processes as indicated below. The CWF shall continue to include or exclude all other claim types in accordance with the logic and processes that it had in place prior to that release.

D. New Part B Contractor Inclusion or Exclusion Logic

The CWF shall read the first two (2) positions of the Business Segment Identifier (BSI), as reported on the HUBC claim, to uniquely include or exclude claims from state-specific Part B contractors, as indicated on the COBA Insurance File (COIF).

E. Exclusion of Fully Paid Claims

The CWF shall continue to exclude Part B claims paid at 100 percent by checking for the presence of claims entry code '1' and determining that each claim's allowed amount equals the reimbursement amount and confirming that the claim contains no denied services or service lines.

The CWF shall continue to read action code '1' and determine that there are no deductible or co-insurance amounts for the purpose of excluding Part A original claims paid at 100 percent. In addition, CWF shall determine that the Part A claim contained a reimbursement amount before excluding a claim with action code '1' that contained no deductible and co-insurance amounts and that the claim contained no denied services or service lines.

F. Claims Paid at Greater than 100 Percent of the Submitted Charge

The CWF shall modify its current logic for excluding Part A original Medicare claims paid at greater than 100 percent of the submitted charges as follows:

In addition to meeting the CWF exclusion criteria for Part A claims paid at greater than 100 percent of the submitted charges, CWF shall exclude these claims only when there is no deductible or co-insurance amounts remaining on the claims.

NOTE The current CWF logic for excluding Part B original Medicare claims paid at greater than 100 percent of the submitted charges/allowed amount (specifically, type F ambulatory surgical center claims, which typically carry deductible and co-insurance amounts) shall remain unchanged.

G. Claims with Monetary or Non-Monetary Changes

The CWF shall check the reimbursement amount as well as the deductible and co-insurance amounts on each claim to determine whether a monetary adjustment change to an original Part A, B, or DMAC claim occurred.

To exclude non-monetary adjustments for Part A, B, and DMAC claims, the CWF shall check the reimbursement amount as well as the deductible and co-insurance amounts on each claim to confirm that there were no monetary changes on the adjustment claim as compared to the original claim.

Effective with April 1, 2008, the CWF shall also include total submitted/billed charges as part of the foregoing elements used to exclude adjustment claims, monetary as well as adjustment claims, non-monetary. (See sub-section N, "Overarching Adjustment Claim Exclusion Logic," for details concerning the processes that CWF shall follow when the COBA trading partner's COIF specifies exclusion of **all** adjustment claims.)

H. Excluding Adjustment Claims When the Original Claim Was Also Excluded

When the CWF processes an adjustment claim, it shall take the following action when the COIF indicates that the "production" COBA trading partner wishes to receive adjustment claims, monetary **or** adjustment claims, non-monetary:

- Return a BOI reply trailer 29 to the contractor if CWF locates the original claim that was marked with an 'A' crossover disposition indicator **or** if the original claim's crossover disposition indicator was blank/non-existent;
- Exclude the adjustment claim if CWF locates the original claim and it was marked with a crossover disposition indicator other than 'A,' meaning that the original claim was excluded from the COBA crossover process.

CWF shall **not** be required to search archived or purged claims history to determine whether an original claim had been crossed over.

The CWF maintainer shall create a new 'R' crossover disposition indicator, as referenced in a chart within §80.15 of this chapter, to address this exclusion for customer service

purposes. The CWF maintainer shall ensure that adjustment claims that were excluded because the original claim was not crossed over shall be marked with an 'R' crossover disposition indicator after they have been posted to the appropriate Health Insurance Master Record (HIMR) detailed history screen.

I. Excluding Part A, B, and DMAC Contractor Fully Paid Adjustment Claims Without Deductible and Co-Insurance Remaining

The CWF shall apply logic to exclude Part A and Part B (including DMAC) adjustment claims (identified as action code '3' for Part A claims and entry code '5' for Part B and DMAC claims) when the COIF indicates that a COBA trading partner wishes to exclude adjustment claims that are fully paid and without deductible or co-insurance amounts remaining.

Effective with October 1, 2007, the CWF shall develop logic as follows to exclude fully paid Part A adjustment claims without deductible and co-insurance remaining:

- 1) Verify that the claim contains action code '3';
- 2) Verify that there are no deductible and co-insurance amounts on the claim;
- 3) Verify that the reimbursement on the claim is greater than zero; and
- 4) Confirm that the claim contains no denied services or service lines.

Special Note: Effective with October 1, 2007, CWF shall cease by-passing the logic to exclude Part A adjustments claims fully (100 percent) paid in association with home health prospective payment system (HHPPS) types of bills 329 and 339. The CWF shall exclude such claims if the COBA Insurance File (COIF) designates that the trading partner wishes to exclude "adjustment claims fully paid without deductible or co-insurance remaining" or if these bill types are otherwise excluded on the COBA Insurance File (COIF).

The CWF shall develop logic as follows to exclude Part B or DMAC fully paid adjustment claims without deductible or co-insurance remaining:

- 1) Verify that the claim contains an entry code '5';
- 2) Verify that the allowed amount equals the reimbursement amount; and
- 3) Confirm that the claim contains no denied services or service lines.

The CWF maintainer shall create a new 'S' crossover disposition indicator for adjustment claims that are paid at 100 percent. The CWF maintainer shall ensure that excluded adjustment claims that are paid at 100 percent shall be marked with an 'S' crossover disposition indicator after they have been posted to the appropriate HIMR detailed history

screen. In addition, the CWF maintainer shall add “Adj. Claims-100 percent PD” to the COBA Insurance File Summary screen (COBS) on HIMR so that this exclusion will be appropriately displayed for customer service purposes.

J. Excluding Part A, B, and DMAC Contractor Adjustment Claims That Are Fully Denied with No Additional Liability

The CWF shall apply logic to exclude Part A and Part B (including DMAC) fully denied adjustment claims that carry no additional beneficiary liability when the COIF indicates that a COBA trading partner wishes to exclude such claims.

Effective with October 1, 2007, the CWF shall apply logic to the Part A adjustment claim (action code ‘3’) where the entire claim is denied **and** the beneficiary has no additional liability as follows:

- 1) Verify that the claim was sent as action code ‘3’; and
- 2) Check for the presence of an ‘N’ beneficiary liability indicator in the header of the fully denied claim. (See the “Beneficiary Liability Indicators on Part A CWF Claims Transactions” section above for additional information.)

The CWF shall apply logic to the Part B and DMAC adjustment claims (entry code ‘5’) where the entire claim is denied **and** the beneficiary has **no** additional liability as follows:

- 1) Verify that the claim was sent as entry code ‘5’; and
- 2) Check for the presence of an ‘N’ liability indicator on the fully denied claim.

The CWF maintainer shall create a new ‘T’ crossover disposition indicator for adjustment claims that are 100 percent denied with no additional beneficiary liability. The CWF maintainer shall ensure that excluded adjustment claims that were entirely denied and contained no beneficiary liability shall be marked with a ‘T’ crossover disposition indicator after they have been posted to the appropriate HIMR detailed history screen. In addition, the CWF maintainer shall add “Denied Adjs-No Liab” to the COBS on HIMR so that this exclusion will be appropriately displayed for customer service purposes.

K. Excluding Part A, B, and DMAC Contractor Adjustment Claims That Are Fully Denied with No Additional Liability

The CWF shall apply logic to exclude Part A and Part B (including DMAC) fully denied adjustment claims that carry additional beneficiary liability when the COIF indicates that a COBA trading partner wishes to exclude such claims.

Effective with October 1, 2007, the CWF shall apply logic to the Part A adjustment claim (action code ‘3’) where the entire claim is denied **and** the beneficiary has additional liability as follows:

- 1) Verify that the claim was sent as action code '3'; and
- 2) Check for the presence of an 'L' beneficiary liability indicator in the header of the fully denied claim. (See the "Beneficiary Liability Indicators on Part A CWF Claims Transactions" section above for additional information.)

The CWF shall apply logic to exclude Part B and DMAC adjustment claims (entry code '5') where the entire claim is denied **and** the beneficiary has additional liability as follows:

- 1) Verify that the claim was sent as entry code '5'; and
- 2) Check for the presence of an 'L' liability indicator on the fully denied claim.

The CWF maintainer shall create a new 'U' crossover disposition indicator for adjustment claims that are 100 percent denied with additional beneficiary liability. The CWF maintainer shall ensure that excluded adjustment claims that were entirely denied and contained beneficiary liability shall be marked with a 'U' crossover disposition indicator after they have been posted to the appropriate HIMR detailed history screen. In addition, the CWF maintainer shall add "Denied Adjs-Liab" to the COBS on HIMR so that this exclusion will be appropriately displayed for customer service purposes.

L. Excluding MSP Cost-Avoided Claims

The CWF shall develop logic to **exclude** MSP cost-avoided claims when the COIF indicates that a COBA trading partner wishes to exclude such claims.

The CWF shall apply the following logic to **exclude** Part A MSP cost-avoided claims:

- Verify that the claim contains one of the following MSP non-pay codes: E, F, G, H, J, K, Q, R, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z, 00, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 25, and 26.

The CWF shall apply the following logic to **exclude** Part B and DMAC MSP cost-avoided claims:

- Verify that the claim contains one of the following MSP non-pay codes: E, F, G, H, J, K, Q, R, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z, 00, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 25, and 26.

The CWF maintainer shall create a new 'V' crossover disposition indicator for the exclusion of MSP cost-avoided claims. The CWF maintainer shall ensure that excluded MSP cost-avoided claims shall be marked with a 'V' crossover disposition indicator after they have been posted to the appropriate HIMR detailed history screen. In addition, the

CWF maintainer shall add “MSP Cost-Avoids” to the COBS on HIMR so that this exclusion will be appropriately displayed for customer service purposes.

M. Excluding Sanctioned Provider Claims from the COBA Crossover Process

Effective with April 2, 2007, the CWF maintainer shall create space within the HUBC claim transaction for a newly developed ‘S’ indicator, which designates ‘sanctioned provider.’

Contractors, including Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs), that process Part B claims from physicians (e.g., practitioners and specialists) and suppliers (independent laboratories and ambulance companies) shall set an ‘S’ indicator in the header of a fully denied claim if the physician or supplier that is billing is suspended/sanctioned. NOTE: Such physicians or suppliers will have been identified by the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and will have had their Medicare billing privileges suspended. Before setting the ‘S’ indicator in the header of a claim, the Part B contractor shall first split the claim if it contains service dates during which the provider is no longer sanctioned. This will ensure that the Part B contractor properly sets the ‘S’ indicator for only those portions of the claim during which the provider is sanctioned.

Upon receipt of an HUBC claim that contains an ‘S’ indicator, the CWF shall exclude the claim from the COBA crossover process. The CWF therefore shall not return a BOI reply trailer 29 to the multi-carrier system (MCS) Part B contractor for any HUBC claim that contains an ‘S’ indicator.

N. Overarching Adjustment Claim Exclusion Logic

“Overarching adjustment claim logic” is defined as the logic that CWF will employ, independent of a specific review of claim monetary changes, when a COBA trading partner’s COBA Insurance File (COIF) specifies that it wishes to exclude all adjustment claims.

New CWF Logic

Effective with April 1, 2008, the CWF maintainer shall change its systematic logic to accept a new version of the COIF that now features a new “all adjustment claims” exclusion option.

For the COBA eligibility file-based crossover process, where CWF utilizes both the BOI auxiliary record and the COIF when determining whether it should include or exclude a claim for crossover, CWF shall apply the overarching adjustment claim logic as follows:

- Verify that the incoming claim has an action code of 3 or entry code of 5 or, if the claim has an action or entry code of 1 (original claim), confirm whether it has an “A” claim header value, which designates adjustment claim for crossover purposes; and

- Verify that the COIF contains a marked exclusion for “all adjustment claims.” If these conditions are met, CWF shall exclude the claim for crossover under the COBA eligibility file-based crossover process.

If both of these conditions are met, CWF shall exclude the claim for crossover under the COBA eligibility file-based crossover process. **IMPORTANT:** Independent of the foregoing requirements, CWF shall continue to only select an adjustment claim for COBA crossover purposes if 1) it locates the matching original claim; and 2) it determines that the original claim was selected for crossover (see “H. Excluding Adjustment Claims When the Original Claim Was Also Excluded” above for more information).

New Crossover Disposition Indicator

Upon excluding the claim, CWF shall mark the claim as it is stored on the appropriate Health Insurance Master Record (HIMR) claim detail history screen with a newly developed “AC” crossover disposition indicator, which designates that CWF excluded the claim because the COBA trading partner wished to exclude all adjustment claims. (See §80.15 of this chapter for a description of this crossover disposition indicator.)

The CWF shall display the new indicator within the “eligibility file-based crossover” segment of the HIMR detailed claim history screen.

Exception Concerning COBA IDs in the Medigap Claim-based Range

CWF shall never apply the new overarching adjustment claim exclusion logic to incoming HUBC or HUDC claims whose field 34 (“Crossover ID”) header value falls within the range of 0000055000 to 0000059999, which represents the COBA identifier of a COBA Medigap claim-based crossover recipient, and for which there is not a corresponding BOI auxiliary record that likewise contains that insurer identifier. (See §80.17 of this chapter for more information concerning the COBA Medigap claim-based crossover process.)

O. Excluding Fully Denied HICN-Beneficiary Name Mismatch Claims from the COBA Crossover Process

*Effective for July 7, 2008, the CWF maintainer shall create a new 1-byte “D” (fully denied claim; HICN-beneficiary name mismatch) value in the header of its HUBC and HUDC claim transactions. The CWF maintainer shall **only** accept the values “D” or spaces within the newly developed claim header field. Accordingly, the CWF shall create consistency edits whereby it shall return the claim to the Medicare contractor for correction if: 1) the contractor sends a value other than “D” or spaces in the newly designated field; **or** 2) the contractor sends a “D” value on an assigned claim **or** non-assigned partially denied claim.*

After Part B contractors, including MACs, and (once applicable) DME MACs deny unassigned claims (including beneficiary-submitted 1490-S claim forms) for which they have not received timely development responses with claim adjustment reason code (CARC) 140, they shall include a new “D” denied indicator of these fully denied HUBC or HUDC claims as they cable them to CWF for verification and validation.

*Upon receipt of a fully denied HUBC or HUDC claim that contains a “D” header denial indicator, CWF shall automatically exclude the claim from the Coordination of Benefits Agreement (COBA) eligibility file-based crossover process. The CWF shall therefore **not** return a Beneficiary Other Insurance (BOI) reply trailer (29), even if the COBA trading partner’s claims selection criteria, as derived from the COBA Insurance File (COIF), otherwise stipulate inclusion of fully denied claims with or without beneficiary liability.*

Upon excluding the claim, CWF shall include the “D” value in the claim’s header as displayed on the Health Insurance Master Record (HIMR) PTBH and DMEH detailed history screens.

70.6 - Consolidation of the Claims Crossover Process

(Rev.1436, Issued: 02-05-08, Effective: 07-01-08, Implementation: 07-07-08)

The CMS has decided to streamline the claims crossover process to better serve our customers. Beginning with July 6, 2004, approximately ten COBA trading partners will participate in the beta-site testing of the consolidated claims crossover or Coordination of Benefits Agreement (COBA) process. During this time, the COBA beta-site testers will participate in a parallel production crossover process (a pilot for only COBA trading partners using production/live data). During the parallel production period, the ten COBA trading partners will receive consolidated crossover claims as part of the COBA process. In addition, if the ten COBA trading partners have individual Trading Partner Agreements (TPAs) executed with Medicare contractors, they will receive crossover claims based on the terms and conditions of those TPAs. The Coordination of Benefits Contractor (COBC) will not charge the COBA beta-testers for crossed over claims during the parallel production period. Medicare contractors will, however, continue to charge these partners for claims that they continue to cross over to them during the beta-testing period.

Under the consolidated claims crossover process, trading partners will be transitioned from the current TPA process with Medicare contractors to new agreements called Coordination of Benefits Agreements (COBAs). These agreements, which will be negotiated on behalf of CMS by the COBC, will be entered into directly between CMS and the COBA trading partners. Through the COBA process, each COBA trading partner will send one national eligibility file that includes eligibility information for each Medicare beneficiary that it insures to the COBC. The COBC will transmit the beneficiary eligibility file(s) to the Common Working File (CWF) via a maintenance transaction. The transaction is known as the Beneficiary Other Insurance (BOI) auxiliary file. (See Chapter 27, §80.14, of Publication 100-4, Medicare Claims Processing Manual, for more details about the contents of the BOI auxiliary file.)

The CWF is being modified so that it will apply each COBA trading partner's claims selection criteria against processed claims with service dates that fall between the effective and termination date of one or more BOI records.

I. Contractor Actions Relating to CWF Claims Crossover Exclusion Logic

A. Determination of Beneficiary Liability for Claims with Denied Services

Effective with the January 2005 release, the Part B and Durable Medical Equipment Regional Carrier (DMERC)/DME Medicare Administrative Contractor (DME MAC) contractor shared systems will be required to include an indicator "L" (beneficiary is liable for the denied service[s]) or "N" (beneficiary is not liable for the denied service[s]) in an available field on the HUBC and HUDC queries to CWF for claims on which all line items are denied. The liability indicators (L or N) will be at the header or claim level rather than at the line level.

For purposes of applying the liability indicator L or N at the header/claim level and, in turn, including such indicators in the HUBC or HUDC query to CWF, the Part B and DMERC/DME MAC contractor shared systems shall follow these business rules:

- The L or N indicators are not applied at the header/claim level if any service on the claim is payable by Medicare;
- The “L” indicator is applied at the header/claim level if the beneficiary is liable for any of the denied services on a fully denied claim; and
- The “N” indicator is applied at the header/claim level if the beneficiary is not liable for all of the denied services on a fully denied claim.

Effective with October 2007, the CWF maintainer shall create a 1-byte beneficiary liability indicator field within the header of its HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC Part A claims transactions (valid values for the field=“L,” “N,” or space).

As Part A contractors adjudicate claims and determine that the beneficiary has payment liability for any part of the fully denied services or service lines, they shall set an “L” indicator within the newly created beneficiary liability field in the header of their HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC claims that they transmit to CWF. In addition, as Part A contractors adjudicate claims and determine that the beneficiary has no payment liability for any of the fully denied services or service lines—that is, the provider must absorb all costs for the fully denied claims—they shall include an “N” beneficiary indicator within the designated field in the header of their HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC claims that they transmit to CWF. **NOTE:** Part A contractors shall not set the “L” or “N” indicator on partially denied/partially paid claims.

Upon receipt of an HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, or HUHC claim that contains an “L” or “N” beneficiary liability indicator, CWF shall read the COBA Insurance File (COIF) to determine whether the COBA trading partner wishes to receive “original” fully denied claims with beneficiary liability (crossover indicator “G”) or without beneficiary liability (crossover indicator “F”) or “adjustment” fully denied claims with beneficiary liability (crossover indicator “U”) or without beneficiary liability (crossover indicator “T”).

If CWF determines that the COBA trading partner wishes to exclude the claim, as per the COIF, it shall suppress the claim from the crossover process.

CWF shall post the appropriate crossover disposition indicator in association with the adjudicated claim on the HIMR detailed history screen (see §80.15 of this chapter).

In addition, the CWF maintainer shall create and display the new 1-byte beneficiary liability indicator field within the HIMR detailed history screens (INPL, OUTL, HAL, and HOSL), to illustrate the indicator (“L” or “N”) that appeared on the incoming HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, or HUHC claim transaction.

CWF Editing for Incorrect Values

If a Part A contractor sends values other than “L,” “N,” or space in the newly defined beneficiary liability field in the header of its HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, or HUHC claim, CWF shall reject the claim back to the Part A contractor for correction. Following receipt of the CWF rejection, the Part A contractor shall change the incorrect value placed within the newly defined beneficiary liability field and retransmit the claim to CWF.

B. Developing a Capability to Treat Entry Code “5” and Action Code “3” Claims As Recycled “Original” Claims For Crossover Purposes

Effective with July 2007, in instances when CWF returns an error code 5600 to a contractor, thereby causing it to reset the claim’s entry code to “5” to action code to “3,” the contractor shall set a newly developed “N”(non-adjustment) claim indicator (“treat as an original claim for crossover purposes”) in the header of the HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, and HUHC claim in the newly defined field before retransmitting the claim to CWF. The contractor’s system shall then resend the claim to CWF.

Upon receipt of a claim that contains entry code “5” or action code “3” with a non-adjustment claim header value of “N,” the CWF shall treat the claim as if it were an “original” claim (i.e., as entry code “1” or action code “1”) for crossover inclusion or exclusion determinations. If CWF subsequently determines that the claim meets all other inclusion criteria, it shall mark the claim with an “A” (“claim was selected to be crossed over”) crossover disposition indicator.

Following receipt of a Beneficiary Other Insurance (BOI) reply trailer (29) for the recycled claim, the contractors’ systems shall ensure that, as part of their 837 flat file creation processes, they populate the 2300 loop CLM05-3 (Claim Frequency Type Code) segment with a value of “1” (original). In addition, the contractors’ systems shall ensure that, as part of their 837 flat file creation process, they do not create a corresponding 2330 loop REF*T4*Y segment, which typically signifies “adjustment.”

C. Developing a Capability to Treat Claims with Non-Adjustment Entry or Action Codes as Adjustment Claims For Crossover Purposes

Effective with July 2007, in instances where contractors must send adjustment claims to CWF as entry code “1” or as action code “1” (situations where CWF has rejected the claim with edit 6010), they shall set an “A” indicator in a newly defined field within the header of the HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, or HUHC claim.

If contractors send a value other than “A” or spaces within the newly designated header field within their HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, and HUHC claims, CWF shall apply an edit to reject the claim back to the contractor. Upon receipt of the CWF

rejection edit, the contractors' systems shall correct the invalid value and retransmit the claim to CWF for verification and validation.

Upon receipt of a claim that contains entry code "1" or action code "1" with a header value of "A," the CWF shall take the following actions:

- Verify that, as per the COIF, the COBA trading partner wishes to exclude **either** adjustments, monetary or adjustments, non-monetary, **or both**; and
- Suppress the claim if the COBA trading partner wishes to exclude **either** adjustments, monetary or adjustments, non-monetary, **or both**.

(NOTE: The expectation is that such claims do not represent mass adjustments tied to the MPFS or mass adjustments-other.)

If contractors receive a BOI reply trailer (29) on a claim that had an "A" indicator set in its header, the contractors' systems shall ensure that, as part of their 837 flat file creation processes, they populate the 2300 loop CLM05-3 ("Claim Frequency Type Code") segment with a value that designates "adjustment" rather than "original" to match the 2330B loop REF*T4*Y that they create to designate "adjustment claim."

If a contractor's system does not presently create a loop 2330B REF*T4*Y to designate adjustments, it shall not make a change to do so as part of this instruction.

Correcting Invalid Claim Header Values Sent to CWF

If contractors send a value other than "A," "N," or spaces within the newly designated header field within their HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUHH, and HUHC claims, CWF shall apply an edit to reject the claim back to the contractor. Upon receipt of the CWF rejection edit, the contractors' systems shall correct the invalid value and retransmit the claim to CWF for verification and validation.

D. CWF Identification of National Council for Prescription Drug Claims

Currently, the DMERC/DME MAC contractor shared system is able to identify, through the use of an internal indicator, whether a submitted claim is in the National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) format. Effective with January 2005, the DMERC/DME MAC contractor shared system shall pass an indicator "P" to CWF in an available field on the HUDC query when the claim is in the NCPDP format. The indicator "P" should be included in a field on the HUDC that is separate from the fields used to indicate whether a beneficiary is liable for all services that are completely denied on his/her claim.

The CWF shall read the new indicators passed via the HUBC or HUDC queries for purposes of excluding 100% denied claims with or without beneficiary liability and NCPDP claims. After applying the claims selection options, CWF will return a BOI reply

trailer (29) to the Medicare contractor only in those instances when the COBA trading partner expects to receive a Medicare processed claim from the COBC.

Effective with July 2007, CWF shall reject claims back to DMERCs/DME MACs if their HUDC claim contains a value other than "P" in the established field used to identify NCPDP claims.

Additional Information Regarding the COBA Process

Upon receipt of a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains (a) COBA ID (s) and other crossover information required on the HIPAA 835 Electronic Remittance Advice (ERA), Medicare contractors will send processed claims via an 837 COB flat file or National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) file to the COBC. The COBC, in turn, will cross the claims to the COBA trading partner.

The CWF is also being modified in preparation for future receipt of claim-based Medigap and/ or Medicaid COBA IDs in field 36 of the HUBC or HUDC query. For claim-based crossover, CWF will also be equipped to search the Coordination of Benefits Agreement Insurance File (COIF) to locate a matching COBA IDs; apply the Medigap claim-based trading partner's claims selection criteria; and return a claim-based reply trailer 37 to the Part B or DMERC contractor if a claim-based COBA ID has been located and the claim is to be sent to the COBC to be crossed over.

In addition, CMS shall arrange for the invoicing of COBA trading partners for crossover fees.

The effort to consolidate the claims crossover function will be implemented via a phased-in approach, beginning with a small-scale implementation on July 6, 2004, involving approximately ten COBA trading partners that will serve as beta-site testers.

CMS will not move trading partners into crossover production with the COBC any earlier than December 2004. Consequently, the COBA parallel production period will be extended until CMS, the Coordination of Benefits Contractor (COBC), and the participating beta-testing trading partners conclude the testing results demonstrate a high-level of confidence.

Contractors shall operate under the assumption that all of their existing eligibility file-based crossover trading partners will at least be in test mode with the COBC by the end of fiscal year 2005 (i.e., by September 30, 2005).

II. CWF Crossover Processes In Association with the Coordination of Benefits Contractor

A. CWF Processing of the COBA Insurance File (COIF) and Returning of BOI Reply Trailers

Effective July 6, 2004, the COBC will begin to send initial copies of the COBA Insurance File (COIF) to the nine CWF host sites. The COIF will contain specific information that will identify the COBA trading partner, including name, COBA ID, address, and tax identification number (TIN). It will also contain each trading partner's claims selection criteria along with an indicator (Y=Yes or N=No) of whether the trading partner wishes its name to be printed on the Medicare Summary Notice (MSN). Effective with the October 2004 systems release, the COIF will also contain a 1-digit Test/Production Indicator that will identify whether a COBA trading partner is in test (T) or production (P) mode. The CWF will be required to return that information as part of the BOI reply trailer (29) to Medicare contractors.

Upon receipt of a claim, CWF shall take the following actions:

- Search for a COBA eligibility record on the BOI auxiliary record for each beneficiary and obtain the associated COBA ID(s) [NOTE: There may be multiple COBA IDs associated with each beneficiary.];
- Refer to the COIF associated with each COBA ID (NOTE: The CWF shall pull the COBA ID from the BOI auxiliary record) to obtain the COBA trading partner's name and claims selection criteria;
- Apply the COBA trading partner's selection criteria; and
- Transmit a BOI reply trailer to the Medicare contractor only if the claim is to be sent, via 837 COB flat file or NCPDP file, to the COBC to be crossed over.

B. BOI Reply Trailer and Claim-based Reply Trailer Processes

1. BOI Reply Trailer Process

For eligibility file-based crossover, Medicare contractors shall send processed claims information to the COBC for crossover to a COBA trading partner in response to the receipt of a CWF BOI reply trailer (29). Medicare contractors will only receive a BOI reply trailer (29) under the consolidated crossover process for claims that CWF has selected for crossover after reading each COBA trading partner's claims selection criteria as reported on the weekly COIF submission.

When a BOI reply trailer (29) is received, the COBA assigned ID will identify the type of crossover (see the Data Elements Required for the BOI Aux File Record Table in Chapter 27, §24). Although each COBA ID will consist of a five-digit prefix that will be all zeroes, Medicare contractors are only responsible for picking up the last five digits within these ranges, which will be right justified in the COBA number field. In addition to the trading partner's COBA ID, the BOI reply trailer shall also include the COBA trading partner name (s), an "A" crossover indicator that specifies that the claim has been selected to be crossed over, and a one-digit indicator ["Y"=Yes; "N"=No] that specifies whether the

COBA trading partner's name should be printed on the beneficiary MSN. As discussed above, effective with the October 2004 systems release, CWF shall also include a 1-digit Test/Production Indicator on the BOI reply trailer (29) that is returned to the Medicare contractor.

Larger-Scale Implementation of the COBA Process

Medicare contractors should note that the larger-scale COBA process, where additional trading partners are first identified as testing participants with the COBC and then are moved to crossover production with the COBC following the successful completion of testing, may be activated at any time during the COBA smaller-scale parallel production period. Activation of the larger-scale COBA process will most likely not occur before the early months of calendar year 2005.

MSN Crossover Messages

Effective with the October 2004 systems release, the Medicare contractor will begin to receive BOI reply trailers (29) that contain an MSN indicator "Y" (Print trading partner name on MSN) or "N" (Do not print trading partner name on MSN).

Also, effective with the October 2004 systems release, when a Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a Test/Production Indicator of "T," it shall ignore the MSN indicator on the trailer. Instead, the Medicare contractor shall follow its existing procedures for inclusion of trading partner names on MSNs for those trading partners with whom it has existing TPAs.

When a COBA trading partner is in full production (Test/Production Indicator=P), the Medicare contractor shall read the MSN indicator returned on the BOI reply trailer (29). If the Medicare contractor receives an MSN indicator "N," it shall print its generic crossover message(s) on the MSN rather than including the trading partner's name. Examples of existing generic MSN messages include the following:

(For all COBA ID ranges other than Medigap)

MSN #35.1 - "This information is being sent to private insurer(s). Send any questions regarding your benefits to them."

(For the Medigap COBA ID range)

MSN#35.2- "We have sent your claim to your Medigap insurer. Send any questions regarding your Medigap benefits to them."

Beginning with the October 2004 systems release, contractors shall follow these procedures when determining whether to update its claims history to show that a beneficiary's claim was selected by CWF to be crossed over.

- If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a Test/Production Indicator "T," it shall not update its claims history to show that a beneficiary's claim was selected by CWF to be crossed over.
- If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a Test/Production Indicator "P," it shall update its claims history to show that a beneficiary's claim was selected by CWF to be crossed over.

Electronic Remittance Advice (835)/Provider Remittance Advice Crossover Messages

Beginning with the October 2004 release, when contractors receive a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a "T" Test/Production Indicator, they shall not print information received from the BOI reply trailer (29) in the required crossover fields on the 835 Electronic Remittance Advice or other provider remittance advices that are in production. Contractors shall, however, populate the 835 ERA (or provider remittance advice(s) in production) with required crossover information when they have existing agreements with trading partners.

Beginning with the October 2004 release, when contractors receive a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a "P" Test/Production Indicator, they shall use the returned BOI trailer information to take the following actions on the provider's 835 Electronic Remittance Advice:

- a. Record code 19 in CLP-02 (Claim Status Code) in Loop 2100 (Claim Payment Information) of the 835 ERA (v. 4010-A1). **[NOTE:** Record "20" in CLP-02 (Claim Status Code) in Loop 2100 (Claim Payment Information) when Medicare is the secondary payer.]
- b. Update the 2100 Loop (Crossover Carrier Name) on the 835 ERA as follows:
 - NM101 [Entity Identifier Code]—Use "TT," as specified in the 835 Implementation Guide.
 - NM102 [Entity Type Qualifier]—Use "2," as specified in the 835 Implementation Guide.
 - NM103 [Name, Last or Organization Name]—Use the COBA trading partner's name that accompanies the first sorted COBA ID returned to you on the BOI reply trailer.

- NM108 [Identification Code Qualifier]—Use “PI” (Payer Identification)
- NM109 [Identification Code]—Use the first COBA ID returned to you on the BOI reply trailer. (See line 24 of the BOI aux. file record)

If the 835 ERA is not in production and the contractor receives a “P” Test/Production Indicator, it shall use the information provided on the BOI reply trailer (29) to populate the existing provider remittance advices that it has in production.

CWF Sort Routine for Multiple COBA IDs

When a beneficiary’s claim is associated with more than one COBA ID (i.e., the beneficiary has more than one health insurer/benefit plan that pays after Medicare), CWF shall sort the COBA IDs and trading partner names in the following order on the returned BOI reply trailer (29): 1) Eligibility-based Medigap, 2) Supplemental, 3) TRICARE, 4) Others, and 5) Eligibility-based Medicaid. When two or more COBA IDs fall in the same range (see element 24 of the “Data Elements Required for the BOI Aux File Record” Table in chapter 27, §80.14 for more details), CWF shall sort numerically within the same range.

2. Medicare Summary Notice (MSN) and Electronic Remittance Advice (ERA) Crossover Messages During the Parallel Production Period

During the COBA parallel production period, which began July 6, 2004: 1) CWF will only return an “N” MSN indicator on the BOI reply trailer (29), in accordance with information received via the COIF submission; 2) If a “Y” indicator is returned, the Medicare contractor shall ignore it; and 3) the Medicare contractor shall follow its existing procedures for the printing of MSN crossover messages.

During the COBA parallel production period, Medicare contractors shall follow their current procedures for the reporting of crossover claims information in CLP-02 (Claim Status Payment) and in the NM101, NM102, NM103, NM108, and NM109 segments of Loop 2100 of the provider ERA. They shall also continue with their current procedure for inclusion of COB trading partner names on other kinds of provider remittance advices that you have in production.

3. Business Rules for Receipt of a CWF BOI Reply Trailer When Other Indicators of Crossover Are Present

COBA Parallel Production Period

During the COBA parallel production period, which began July 6, 2004, the Medicare contractor shall observe the following business rules when it receives a BOI reply trailer 29 and some other indication of crossover eligibility:

If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer 29 with COBA IDs that fall in the ranges of 00001-89999, it shall continue to cross over claims a) per its existing TPAs and b) when Medigap or Medicaid information is reported on the claim. (**NOTE:** The preceding claim-based scenario does not apply to Part A contractors.) In addition, the Medicare contractor shall send claims for which it receives BOI reply trailers to the COBC on the 837 v4010A1 flat file or National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) file. (**NOTE:** The COBA trading partner will only be charged for the claims that the Medicare contractor continues to cross to it during the parallel production period.)

During the parallel production period, the Medicare contractor shall not change its current procedures regarding suppression of Medicaid claims when a beneficiary has non-Medigap and/or Medigap insurance. The Medicare contractor's Medicaid suppression logic should remain the same as today with its existing trading partners, even when it receives a BOI reply trailer that includes a Medicaid COBA ID.

Larger-Scale Implementation of the COBA Process

Beginning with the October 2004 release, Medicare contractors shall follow these rules when they receive a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains Test/Production Indicator "T" and there is some other indication of crossover eligibility:

If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) with COBA IDs that fall in the ranges of 00001-89999 (See Attachment A, element 24), it shall cross over claims 1) per its existing TPAs or 2) when Medigap or Medicaid information is reported on the claim (if that is how the Part B or DMERC contractor currently crosses over claims to Medicaid). (**NOTE:** Claim-based crossover scenarios only apply to Part B and DMERC/ DME MAC contractors.)

In addition, the contractor shall send claims for which it receives BOI reply trailer to the COBC on the 837 v4010A1 flat file or National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) file.

When a COBA trading partner is in test mode, the contractor shall not change its current procedures regarding suppression of Medicaid claims when a beneficiary has non-Medigap and/or Medigap insurance. The contractor's Medicaid suppression logic should remain the same as with current existing trading partners, even when you receive a BOI reply trailer (29) that includes a Medicaid COBA ID.

Beginning with the October 2004 release, contractors shall follow these rules when they receive a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains Test/Production Indicator “P” and there is some other indication of crossover eligibility:

- a. If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) with a COBA ID that falls in the Medigap eligibility-based range (30000-54999), it shall not cross over claims based on an existing Medigap TPA or when Medigap information is reported on the claim. Instead, the Medicare contractor shall send the claim to the COBC (based on the BOI reply trailer 29) on the 837 v4010A1 flat file or NCPDP file for crossover by the COBC to the COBA trading partner. (NOTE: The assumption is that a beneficiary will have only one true Medigap insurer.)
- b. If the Medicare contractor receives a COBA ID via a BOI reply trailer (29) that falls in the Supplemental range (00001-29999) and it has an existing TPA with a supplemental insurer for the beneficiary, it shall transmit the claim to the COBC for crossover to the COBA trading partner and cross the claim to your existing trading partner.
- c. If the Medicare contractor receives a COBA ID via a BOI reply trailer (29) that falls in the Supplemental range (00001-29999), and it also receives Medigap crossover information on the claim, it shall cross the claim to the Medigap insurer identified on the claim and transmit the claim to the COBC for crossover to the COBA trading partner based on the Supplemental COBA ID.
- d. If the Medicare contractor receives a COBA ID via a BOI reply trailer (29) that falls in the Medicaid range (70000-77999), it shall not cross over claims based on an existing Medicaid TPA or when Medicaid information is reported on the claim (if that is how the Part B or DMERC contractor currently crosses over claims to Medicaid). Instead, the Medicare contractor shall send the claim to the COBC (based on the BOI reply trailer 29) on the 837 v4010A1 flat file or NCPDP file for crossover by the COBC to the COBA trading partner.
- e. If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a Medicaid COBA ID (70000-77999) and it has an existing TPA with a supplemental insurer or Medigap insurer, it shall suppress the Medicaid claim from inclusion on the COB 837 flat file or NCPDP file and cross the claim to the supplemental insurer.
- f. If the Medicare contractor receives a BOI reply trailer (29) that contains a Supplemental COBA ID (00001-29999) or a Medigap eligibility-based COBA ID (30000-54999) and it has an existing TPA with Medicaid, it shall suppress its crossover to Medicaid but send the claim to the COBC.

NOTE: For the scenarios above, the trading partner shall be responsible for canceling any existing TPA that it has with the Medicare contractor once it has signed a COBA with the Coordination of Benefits Contractor (COBC).

C. Transmission of the COB Flat File or NCPDP File to the COBC

Regardless of whether a COBA trading partner is in test mode (Test/Production Indicator returned via the BOI reply trailer 29=T) or production mode (Test/Production Indicator returned via the BOI reply trailer 29=P), Medicare contractors shall transmit all non-NCPDP claims received with a COBA ID via a BOI reply trailer to the COBC in an 837 v.4010A1 flat file, as described in Transmittal AB-03-060. In a separate transmission, DMERCs shall send the claims received in the NCPDP file format to the COBC. Medicare contractors shall enter the 5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer (29) in the 1000B loop of the NM1 segment in the NM109 field. In a situation where multiple COBA IDs are received for a claim, Medicare contractors shall send a separate 837 or NCPDP transaction to the COBC for each COBA ID. Medicare contractors shall perform the transmission at the end of their regular batch cycle, when claims come off the payment floor, to ensure crossover claims are not processed by the COBA trading partner prior to Medicare's final payment. Transmission should occur via Network Data Mover (NDM) over AGNS (AT&T Global Network Services).

Effective with October 4, 2005, when contractor systems transfer processed claims to the COBC as part of the COBA process, they shall include an additional 1-digit alpha character ("T"=test or "P"=production) as part of the BHT03 identifier (Beginning of the Hierarchical Transaction Reference Identification) that is included within the 837 flat file or NCPDP submissions. The contractor shared systems shall determine that a COBA trading partner is in test or production mode by referring to the BOI reply trailer (29) originally received from CWF for the processed claim. (See §70.6.1 of this chapter for further details about the BHT03 identifier.)

Effective with October 2, 2006, the contractors or their Data Centers shall transmit a combined COBA "test" and "production" 837 flat file and a combined "test" and "production" NCPDP file to the COBC. (NOTE: This requirement changes the direction previously provided in October 2005 through the issuance of Transmittal 586.)

With respect to 837 COB flat file submissions to the COBC, Part B and DMERC contractors shall observe these process rules:

The following segments shall not be passed to the COBC:

1. ISA (Interchange Control Header Segment);
2. IEA (Interchange Control Trailer Segment);
3. GS (Functional Group Header Segment); and

4. GE (Functional Group Trailer Segment).

The 1000B loop of the NM1 segment denotes the crossover partner. If multiple COBA IDs are received via the BOI reply trailer, the contractor system shall ensure that a separate 837 transaction should be submitted for each COBA ID received. As the crossover partner information will be unknown to the standard systems, the following fields should be formatted as indicated for the NM1 segment:

NM103—Use spaces; and

NM109—Include COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29).

The 2010BA loop denotes the subscriber information. If available, the subscriber name, address, and policy number should be used to complete the NM1, N3, and N4 segments. If unknown, the segments should be formatted as follows, with COBC completing any missing information:

NM1 segment—For NM103, NM104, NM105, and NM107, use spaces;

NM1 segment—For NM109, include HICN;

N3 segment—Use all spaces; and

N4 segment—Use all spaces.

The 2010BB loop denotes the payer name. Per the HIPAA Implementation Guide (IG), this loop should define the secondary payer when sending the claim to the second destination payer. Consequently, given that the payer related to the COBA ID will be unknown by the standard systems, the NM1, N3, and N4 segments should be formatted as follows, with COBC completing any missing information:

NM1 segment—For NM103, use spaces;

NM1 segment—For NM109, include the COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29);

N3 segment—Use all spaces; and

N4 segment—Use all spaces.

The 2330B loop denotes other payers for the claim. If multiple COBA IDs are returned via the BOI reply trailer, payer information for the additional COBA IDs will be unknown. As with the 2010BB loop, the NM1 segment should be formatted as follows, with COBC completing any missing information:

NM103—Use spaces; and

NM109—Include COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29).

The 2330B loop shall be repeated to allow for the inclusion of the name (NM103) and associated Trading Partner ID (NM109) for each existing trading partner.

The 2320 loop denotes other subscriber information. Within the SBR segment, the SBR03 and SBR04 segments are used to define the group/policy number and insured group name, respectively. If the information is available for these fields, those values should be propagated accordingly for both current trading partners and COBA trading partners. The COBC will inspect these values for COBA related eligibility based claims and overlay as appropriate. Spaces should only be used for COBA-related situations.

SBR01—Treat as normally do.

With respect to 837 COB flat file submissions to the COBC, Part A contractors shall observe these process rules:

As the ISA, IEA, and GS segments are included in the “100” record with other required segments, the “100” record must be passed to the COBC. However, as the values for these segments will be recalculated, spaces may be placed in all of the fields related to the ISA, IEA, and GS segments.

The 1000B loop of the NM1 segment denotes the crossover trading partner. If multiple COBA IDs are received via the BOI reply trailer, the contractor system shall ensure that a separate 837 transaction should be submitted for each COBA ID received. As the crossover trading partner information will be unknown to the standard systems, the following fields should be formatted as follows for the NM1 segment on the “100” record:

NM103—Use spaces; and

NM109—Include COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29).

The 2010BA loop denotes the subscriber information. If available, the subscriber name, address, and policy number should be used to complete the NM1, N3, and N4 segments. If unknown, the segments should be formatted as follows for the “300” record, with COBC completing any missing information:

NM1 segment – For NM103, NM104, NM105, and NM107, use spaces;

NM1 segment—For NM109, include HICN;

N3 segment—Use all spaces; and

N4 segment—Use all spaces.

The 2010BC loop denotes the payer name. Per the HIPAA IG, this loop should define the secondary payer when sending the claim to the second destination payer. Consequently, since the payer related to the COBA ID will be unknown to the standard systems, the NM1, N3, and N4 segments should be formatted as follows for the “300” record, with COBC completing any missing information:

M1 segment—For NM103, use spaces;
NM1 segment—For NM109, include COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29);
N3 segment—Use all spaces; and
N4 segment—Use all spaces.

The 2330B loop of the “575” record denotes other payers for the claim. If multiple COBA IDs are returned via the BOI reply trailer, payer information for the additional COBA IDs will be unknown. As with the 2010BC loop, the NM1 segment should be formatted as follows, with COBC completing any missing information:

NM103—Use spaces; and
NM109—Include COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID picked up from the BOI reply trailer 29).

The 2330B loop shall be repeated to allow for the inclusion of the name (NM103) and associated Trading Partner ID (NM109) for each existing trading partner.

The 2320 loop denotes other subscriber information. Within the SBR segment, the SBR03 and SBR04 segments are used to define the group/policy number and insured group name, respectively. If the information is available for these fields, those values should be propagated accordingly for both current trading partners and COBA trading partners. The COBC will inspect these values for COBA related eligibility based claims and overlay as appropriate. Spaces should only be used for COBA-related situations.

SBR01—Treat as normally do.

D. COBC Processing of COB Flat Files or NCPDP Files

When a Medicare contractor receives the reject indicator “R” via the Claims Response File, it is to retransmit the entire file to the COBC. If the Medicare contractor receives an acceptance indicator “A,” this confirms that its entire COB flat file or NCPDP file transmission was accepted. Once COB flat files or NCPDP files are accepted and translated into the appropriate outbound format(s), COBC will cross the claims to the COBA trading partner. The format of the Claims Response File that will be returned to each Medicare contractor by the COBC, following its COB 837 flat file or NCPDP file transmission, appears in the table below. (See §70.6.1 for specifications regarding the receipt and processing of the COBC Detailed Error Reports.)

Claims Response File Layout (80 bytes)

Field	Name	Size	Displacement	Description
1.	Contractor Number	5	1-5	Contractor Identification Number
2.	Transaction Set Control Number/Batch Number	9	6-14	Found within the ST02 data element from the ST segment of the X12N 837 flat file or in field 806-5C from the batch header of the NCPDP file.
3.	Number of claims	9	15-23	Number of Claims contained in the X12N 837 flat file or NCPDP file. This is a numeric field that will be right justified and zero-filled.
4.	Receipt Date	8	24-31	Receipt Date of X12N 837 flat file or NCPDP file in CCYYMMDD format
5.	Accept/Reject indicator	1	32	Indicator of either the acceptance or rejection of the X12N 837 flat file or NCPDP file. Values will either be an "A" for accepted or "R" for rejected.
6.	Filler	48	33-80	Spaces

Claims response files will be returned to contractors after receipt and initial processing of a claim file. Thus, for example, if a Medicare contractor sends a COB flat file daily, the COBC will return a claim response file to that contractor on a daily basis.

COB 837 flat files and NCPDP files that will be transmitted by the Medicare contractor to the COBC will be assigned the following file names, regardless of whether a COBA trading partner is in test or production mode:

PCOB.BA.NDM.COBA.Cxxxxx.PARTA(+1) [Used for Institutional Claims]
 PCOB.BA.NDM.COBA.Cxxxxx.PARTB(+1) [Used for Professional Claims]
 PCOB.BA.NDM.COBA.Cxxxxx.NCPDP(+1). [Used for Drug Claims]

Note that "xxxxx" denotes the Medicare contractor number.

Medicare contractors shall perform the 837 flat file and NCPDP file transmission at the end of the regular batch cycle, when claims come off the payment floor, to ensure crossover claims are not processed by the COBA trading partner prior to Medicare's final payment.

Files transmitted by the Medicare contractor to the COBC shall be stored for 51 business days from the date of transmission.

The file names for the Claims Response File returned to the Medicare contractor will be created as part of the NDM set-up process.

Outbound COB files transmitted by COBC to the COBA trading partners will be maintained for 50 business days following the date of transmission.

E. The COBA Medigap Claim-Based Process Involving CWF

Refer to §70.6.4 of this chapter for more information regarding this process.

F. COBA Claim-Based Crossover Process

Until CMS issues a final instruction concerning this process, all Part B and DMAC contractors shall not cease their existing claim-based Medigap crossover process.

G. Transition to the National COBA and Customer Service Issues

1. Maintenance of Current Crossover Processes, Including Entry into New Claims Crossover Agreements (also known as Trading Partner Agreements or TPAs)

Medicare contractors shall keep their present crossover process in place, including invoicing for claims crossed to current trading partners, as described in Pub. 100-06, Financial Management, chapter 1, §450 and §460, until each of their present trading partners has been transitioned to the COBA process. Once CMS has fully consolidated the claims crossover process under the COBC, the COBC will have exclusive responsibility for the collection of crossover claim fees for those Medigap and non-Medigap claims that are sent to the COBC to be crossed over to trading partners. The COBC will also have responsibility for distribution of the collected crossover fees to Medicare Part A contractors and Part B contractors. (See also Pub.100-06, Chapter 1, §450.)

As trading partners are signed on to national COBAs, they will be advised that it is their responsibility to simultaneously cancel current agreements with the Medicare contractors and to cease submission of eligibility files. (NOTE: During the parallel production period, the COBA trading partner will be instructed by CMS to not cancel current TPAs with you.) By current estimates, CMS expects to at least have all current eligibility file-based trading partners in test mode by end of fiscal year 2005 (September 30, 2005).

Medicare contractors shall execute new TPAs only with trading partners that will be converted to full crossover production by April 1, 2005. Therefore, CMS expects contractors to cease execution of new crossover TPAs by January 31, 2005.

Trading partners that either wish to go into live crossover production after January 31, 2005, or have current questions regarding the COBA process shall be referred to the COBC at 1-646-458-6740.

2. Workload and Crossover Financial Reporting In Light of COBA

For workload reporting purposes, Medicare contractors shall provide counts for those claims that they individually cross to current trading partners (including Medicaid), just as they currently do in CAFM II and in CROWD. Medicare contractors shall separately track claims transmitted to the COBC for crossover to the COBA trading partners for future reporting requirements by COBA ID.

Effective with October 4, 2005, contractors or their shared systems shall report the number of claims submitted to the COBC via the 837 flat files or NCPDP files to their associated contractors' financial management staff only for those BHT03 (Beginning of Hierarchical Transaction Reference Identification) indicators that include a "P" in the final position of the BHT03 (position 22).

Reports generated by the contractors or their shared systems to the contractors' financial management staff shall include like data that are submitted following receipt of the COBC Detailed Error Reports to fulfill the necessary provider notification requirements. (Note: The Detailed Error Reports shall contain the same BHT03 identifier for purposes of reporting to financial management staff as was included by the contractor shared systems on the 837 flat file and NCPDP claim file submissions sent to the COBC.) [See §70.6.1 of this chapter for more information about the COBC Detailed Error Reports]. Minimum information for each BHT03 shall include claim counts sorted by COBA ID and shall be organized into groupings that allow for separate totals by Medicaid (COBA ID range=70000-77999), Medigap (COBA ID range=30000-54999), Supplemental (COBA ID ranges=00001-29999 and 60000-69999), and Other (COBA ID range 80000-89999), as well as grand totals for all less Medicaid.

3. Customer Service

a. COBA Parallel Production or COBA Testing Process

During the parallel production period, and while a COBA trading partner is in test mode with the COBC (Test/Production Indicator="T"), the Medicare contractor shall proceed with its current claims crossover customer service process. In addition, the Medicare contractor's claims history shall not be updated with crossover information based upon the receipt of a CWF BOI reply trailer (29).

b. Updating of the HIMR Detailed History Screens By CWF and the Larger Scale Implementation of COBA

Effective with the October 2004 release, when a COBA trading partner is in production mode (Test/Production Indicator=P), CWF shall annotate each processed claim on detailed history within the Health Insurance Master Record (HIMR) with an indicator that will inform all users of the claim's crossover status. (See Pub.100-04, Chapter 27, §80.15 for more information.). CWF shall allow for repeating of the application of crossover disposition indicators for up to ten (10) COBA IDs.

In addition, CWF shall annotate each processed claim with a 10-position COBA ID (5-digit COBA ID preceded by 5 zeroes) to identify the entity to which the claim was crossed or not crossed, in accordance with the COBA.

CWF shall not annotate processed claims on the detailed history screens in HIMR when a COBA trading partner is in test mode (Test/Production Indicator=T).

Effective with the October 2004 systems release, when a COBA trading partner is in production mode, the Medicare contractor's customer service personnel shall answer provider/supplier and beneficiary questions about a claim's crossover status by referring to your internal claims history. In addition, the Medicare contractor's customer service staff shall access information regarding why a claim did not cross by referring to the detailed history screens on HIMR (e.g., INPH, OUTH, HOSH, PTBH, DMEH, and HHAH). [See chapter 27, §80.15 of the Medicare Claims Processing Manual for a listing of all claims crossover disposition indicators.] These screens will also display indicator "A" when a claim was selected by CWF to be crossed over to the COBA ID shown. The BOI auxiliary file will identify the name associated with the COBA ID. Such information may also be available to contractor customer service staff via the Next Generation Desktop (NGD) application.

The CWF maintainer issued instructions on the use of the new HIMR screens as part of the October 2004 release.

- c. Medicare Contractors shall use the COBC and CMS COBA Problem Inquiry Request Form to identify and send COBA related problems and issues to the COB contractor for research.

In order to track trading partner requests for research of 837 X12 issues, CMS requires contractors to submit a COBA Problem Inquiry Request Form to the COBC or CMS. This process is being implemented to reduce the number of duplicate issues being researched and to ensure your requests are processed timely. The standard form enables CMS and COBC to track issues through completion and manage the process of addressing post-COBA production issues. Upon receipt the submitter shall receive a response from the COBC with the assigned contact information.

CMS is also requiring Medicare contractors to use the COBA Problem Inquiry Request Form when requesting a COBC representative to research a COBA issue. The COBC and CMS COBA Problem Inquiry Request Form appears below.

MEDICARE CONTRACTOR: COBA PROBLEM INQUIRY REQUEST FORM

(Completed by Submitter – control number if applicable)

Write in this column only

Contractor ID# <i>(Enter the Contractor ID # assigned by CMS)</i>		
Contractor Reference ID (If applicable - BHT03)		
Reported By <i>(Enter submitter's last name, first name)</i>		
Date Submitted <i>(Enter current date – MM/DD/YR)</i>		
Contact # (Enter submitter's phone #)		
E-mail Address (Enter submitter's e-mail address)		
COBA ID #		

Description of Problem (Check applicable category)

<input type="checkbox"/>	HIPAA Error Code						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 45%; padding: 5px;">ICN Date (Date file was transmitted to the COBC)</td> <td style="width: 55%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">HIPAA Error Code(s)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Part A/Part B/NCPDP Claim</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	ICN Date (Date file was transmitted to the COBC)		HIPAA Error Code(s)		Part A/Part B/NCPDP Claim	
ICN Date (Date file was transmitted to the COBC)							
HIPAA Error Code(s)							
Part A/Part B/NCPDP Claim							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Technical Issue (Claims file transmission failures)						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 45%; padding: 5px;">File Name</td> <td style="width: 55%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Transmission Date</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	File Name		Transmission Date			
File Name							
Transmission Date							

Summary of Issue- Provide detail of problem and note if back-up information will be faxed, e.g., Sample Claims to be Faxed on MM/DD/YR. Indicate whether you would like your issue on the next HIPAA issues log – **do not include any PHI information on this form if sent via email.** All PHI information must be submitted via fax to the COBC contractor to the attention of your COBC representative at 646-458-6761. **Do not include PHI information on the fax cover sheet.** Claim examples of issues to be addressed must include the beneficiary HICN and the claim ICN/DCN.

COBC USE ONLY. Date:

Ticket #:

III. Identification of Mass Adjustments for COBA Crossover Purposes

All contractors and their systems shall develop a method for differentiating “mass adjustments tied to the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule (MPFS) updates” and “all other mass adjustments” from all other kinds of adjustments and non-adjustment claims.

(NOTE: For appropriate classification, all adjustments that do not represent “mass adjustments-MPFS” or “mass adjustments-other” shall be regarded as “other adjustments.”) DMERCs/DME MACs and their shared system shall only be required to identify mass adjustments-other, which represents a current functionality available within VMS. This is because DMERCs/DME MACs do not use pricing from the MPFS when processing their claims.)

Working Definition of “Mass Adjustment”

For COBA crossover purposes, a “mass adjustment” refers to an action that a contractor undertakes using special software (e.g., Super-Op Events or Express Adjustments) to pull claims with the anticipated purpose of making monetary changes to a high number of those claims. If, however, contractors do not have special software to perform high volume adjustments (i.e., typically adjustments to 100 or more claims), but instead must perform their high volume adjustments manually, this action also fulfills the definition of a “mass adjustment.”

Inputting a One-Byte Header Value on Claim Transactions to Designate Mass Adjustment and Associated Processes

Before contractors cable their claims to CWF for verification and validation, they shall populate a 1-byte “mass adjustment” indicator in the header of their HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, or HUHHC entry code “5” or action code “3” claim transactions. The CWF maintainer shall create a new 1-byte field within the header of its HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, or HUHHC claims transactions for this purpose.

Contractors shall determine whether the “M” or “O” indicator applies in relation to a given claim at the point that they initiate a mass adjustment action on that claim using a manual process or an automated adjustment process; e.g., Super Op Events or Express Adjustments. Upon making this determination, the contractors and their shared systems shall populate one (1) of the following mass adjustment claim indicators, specific to the particular claim situation, within the header of the contractors’ processed claims that they will cable to CWF for verification and validation:

“M”—if mass adjustment claim tied to an MPFS update; ***or***

“O”—if mass adjustment claim-other.

If contractors send values other than “M” or “O” within the newly designated field within the header of their HUBC, HUDC, HUIP, HUOP, HUUH, or HUHHC entry code “5” or

action code “3” claims, CWF shall apply an edit to reject the claims back to the contractor. Upon receipt of the CWF rejection edit, the contractors’ systems shall correct the invalid value and retransmit the claims to CWF for verification and validation.

IV. Excluding Fully Denied Health Insurance Claim Number (HICN)-Beneficiary Name Mismatch Claims from the COBA Crossover Process

*Effective July 7, 2008, when Medicare Part B contractors, including MACs, deny unassigned claims (including beneficiary-submitted 1490-S claim forms) with claim adjustment reason code (CARC) 140 due to a HICN-beneficiary name mismatch, they shall include a new 1-byte “D” value in the newly designated field within the header of the HUBC claims that they cable to CWF for verification and validation. (NOTE: All DME MACs shall **not** begin to send the new “D” value in the header of their fully denied HUDC claims until CMS instructs them to do so via a future instruction. However, upon the future issuance of that instruction, the foregoing requirements will apply to DME MACs and to the sending of the “D” value in the header of their HUDC claims at that time.)*

Upon receipt of a fully denied HUBC or HUDC claim that contains a “D” value (fully denied claim; HICN-beneficiary name mismatch), CWF shall automatically exclude the claim from the COBA eligibility file-based crossover process. The CWF shall therefore not return a BOI reply trailer (29) to the Medicare contractor, even if the COBA trading partner’s claims selection criteria, as derived from the COBA Insurance File (COIF), otherwise stipulate inclusion of fully denied claims with or without beneficiary liability. Upon excluding the claim, CWF shall include the “D” value within the claim’s header as displayed on the HIMR PTBH and DMEH history screens.

CWF Consistency Editing and Accompanying Contractor Actions

*The CWF shall create consistency edits that will activate when Part B contractors or DME MACs attempt to send a value other than “D” **or** spaces in the newly designated field **or** when they attempt to send this value on assigned claims **or** non-assigned partially denied claims.*

*Upon receipt of this CWF consistency edit, the affected Medicare contractors shall correct the value transmitted in the denial indicator field and retransmit the claim to CWF. If CWF rejected the claim with a consistency edit because the Part B contractor or DME MAC set the “D” value (fully denied claim; HICN-beneficiary name mismatch) on an assigned claim **or** non-assigned partially denied claim, the contractors shall work with their shared systems to effectuate a resolution that will allow the claim to be retransmitted to CWF appropriately.*

70.6.1 - Coordination of Benefits Agreement (COBA) Detailed Error Report Notification Process

(Rev.1436, Issued: 02-05-08, Effective: 07-01-08, Implementation: 07-07-08)

Effective with the July 2005 release, CMS will implement an automated process to notify physicians, suppliers, and providers that specific claims that were previously tagged by the Common Working File (CWF) for crossover will not be crossed over due to claim data errors. Claims transmitted via 837 flat file by the Medicare contractor systems to the COBC may be rejected at the flat file level, at an HIPAA ANSI pre-edit validation level, or by trading partners as part of a financial dispute arising from an invoice received. By contrast, claims transmitted via NCPDP file will be rejected only at the flat file and trading partner dispute levels. Effective with the April 2005 release, the contractor systems will have begun to populate the BHT 03 (Beginning of Hierarchical Reference Identification) portion of their 837 COB flat file submissions to the COBC with a unique 22-digit identifier. This unique identifier will enable the COBC to successfully tie a claim that is rejected by the COBC at the flat file or HIPAA ANSI pre-edit validation levels as well as claims disputed by trading partners back to the original 837 flat file submissions.

Effective with October 4, 2005, contractors or their shared systems will receive notification via the COBC Detailed Error Reports, whose file layout structures appear below, that a COBA trading partner is in test or production mode via the BHT 03 identifier that is returned from the COBC.

A. Inclusion of the Unique 22-Digit Identifier on the 837 Flat File and NCPDP File

1. Populating the BHT 03 Portion of the 837 Flat File

The contractor shared systems shall populate the BHT 03 (Beginning of Hierarchical Transaction Reference Identification; **field length=30 bytes**) portion of their 837 flat files that are sent to the COBC for crossover with a 22-digit Contractor Reference Identifier (CRI). The identifier shall be formatted as follows:

- a. Contractor number (9-bytes; until the 9-digit contractor number is used, report the 5-digit contractor number, left-justified, with spaces for the remaining 4 positions);
- b. Julian date as YYDDD (5 bytes);
- c. Sequence number (5 bytes; this number begins with "00001," so the sequence number should increment for each ST-SE envelope, which is specific to a trading partner, on a given Julian date);

- d. Data Center ID (2 bytes; a two-digit numeric value assigned by CMS; see Table below for specific value for each contractor Data Center); *and*
- e. COBA Test/Production Indicator (1-byte alpha indicator; acceptable values = “T” [test] and “P” [production]) or “R” if the claims were recovered for a “production” COBA trading partner (see §70.6.3 of this chapter for more details).

The 22-digit CRI shall be left-justified in the BHT 03 segment of the 837 flat file, with spaces used for the remaining 8 positions. (**NOTE:** The CRI is unique inasmuch as no two files should ever contain the same combination of numbers.) Special Note: In advance of October 2007, as directed by CMS, *DME MACs* shall begin to utilize the additional Data Center identification number *17 only in association with their NCPDP claim files transmissions* to the COBC for crossover purposes.

Data Center Name	Data Center Identification Number for BHT 03 Field
AdminaStar Federal	01
Alabama (Cahaba)	02
Arkansas BCBS	03
CIGNA	04
EDS/MCDC2 (Plano)	05
EDS/MCDC2 (Sacramento)	06
Empire Medicare Services	07
Florida BCBS	08
Highmark	09
IBM/MCDC1 (Southbury, CT)	10
Info Crossing	11
Medicare Northwest/Regence of Oregon	12
Mutual of Omaha	13
South Carolina BCBS (Palmetto GBA)	14
TrailBlazer Health Enterprises	15
Veritus Medicare Services	16
<i>Enterprise Data Center (EDC)-EDS</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>(Used only by DME MACs when sending NCPDP claim transactions to the COBC)</i>	

2. NCPDP 22-Digit Unique Identifier

The DMERC/DME Medicare Administrative Contractor (DME MAC) contractor system shall also adopt the unique 23-digit format, referenced directly above under “Populating the BHT 03 Portion of the 837 Flat File.” However, the system shall populate the unique 22-digit identifier in field 504-F4 (Message) within the

NCPDP file (field length=35 bytes). The DMERC/DME MAC contractor system shall populate the new identifier, left justified, in the field. Spaces shall be used for the remaining bytes in the field.

B. COBC Institutional, Professional, and NCPDP Detailed Error Reports

The contractor systems shall accept the COBC Institutional, Professional, and NCPDP Detailed Error Reports received from the COBC. The formats for each of the Detailed Error Reports appear below.

Beginning with July 2007, all contractor systems shall no longer interpret the percentage values received for 837 institutional and professional claim “222” and “333” errors via the COBC Detailed Error Reports as if the values contained a 1-position implied decimal (e.g., “038”=3.8 percent). DMERCs/DME MACs shall also no longer interpret the percentage values received for NCPDP claims for “333” errors via the COBC Detailed Error Report for such claims as if the values should contain a 1-position implied decimal.

In addition, contractors and their systems shall now base their decision making calculus for initiation of a claims repair of “111” (flat file) errors upon the number of errors received rather than upon an established percent parameter, as otherwise described within this section.

The Institutional Error File Layout, including summary portion, will be used for Part A claim files.

COBC Detailed Error Report

Institutional Error File Layout (Detail Record)

1. Date	8	1-8
2. Control Number	9	9-17
3. COBA-ID	10	18-27
4. Subscriber ID/HICN	12	28-39
5. Claim DCN/ICN	14	40-53
6. Record Number	9	54-62
7. Record/Loop Identifier	6	63-68
8. Segment	3	69-71
9. Element	2	72-73
10. Error Source Code	3	74-76 ('111,' '222,' or '333')
11. Error/Trading Partner		
Dispute Code	6	77-82
12. Error Description	100	83-182
13. Field Contents	50	183-232

14. BHT 03 Identifier	30	233-262
15. Claim DCN/ICN	23	263-285
16. Filler	18	286-303

Institutional Error File Layout – (Summary Record)

1. Date	8	1-8
2. Total Number of Claims		
For Processing Date	10	9-18
3. Number of ‘111’ Errors	10	19-28
4. Number of ‘222’ Errors	10	29-38
5. Percentage of ‘222’ Errors	3	39-41
6. Number of ‘333’ Errors	10	42-51
7. Percentage of ‘333’ Errors	3	52-54
8. Filler	19	55-73
9. Summary Record Id		
(Error Source Code)	3	74-76 (‘999’)
10. Filler	227	77-303

The Professional Error File Layout, including summary portion, will be used for Part B and DMERC claim files.

COBC Detailed Error Report

Professional Error File Layout (Detail Record)

1. Date	8	1-8
2. Control Number	9	9-17
3. COBA-ID	10	18-27
4. Subscriber ID/HICN	12	28-39
5. Claim DCN/ICN	14	40-53
6. Record Number	9	54-62
7. Record/Loop Identifier	6	63-68
8. Segment	3	69-71
9. Element	2	72-73
10. Error Source Code	3	74-76 ('111,' 222,' or' 333')
11. Error/Trading Partner		
Dispute Code	6	77-82
12. Error Description	100	83-182
13. Field Contents	50	183-232
14. BHT 03 Identifier	30	233-262
15. Claim DCN/ICN	23	263-285
16. Filler	18	286-303

Professional Error File Layout – (Summary Record)

1. Date	8	1-8
2. Total Number of Claims		
For Processing Date	10	9-18
3. Number of '111' Errors	10	19-28
4. Number of '222' Errors	10	29-38
5. Percentage of '222' Errors	3	39-41
6. Number of '333' Errors	10	42-51
7. Percentage of '333' Errors	3	52-54
8. Filler	19	55-73
9. Summary Record Id		
(Error Source Code)	3	74-76 ('999')
10. Filler	227	77-303

The NCPDP Error File Layout, including summary portion, will be used for by DMERCs/DME MACs for Prescription Drug Claims

COBC Detailed Error Report

NCPDP Error File Layout (Detail Record)

1. Date	8	1-8
2. Batch Number	7	9-15
3. COBA-ID	5	16-20
4. HICN	12	21-32
5. CCN	14	33-46
6. Record Number	9	47-55
7. Batch Record Type	2	56-57
8. Segment ID	2	58-59
9. Error Source Code	3	60-62 ('111' or '333')
10. Error/Trading Partner		
Dispute Code	6	63-68
11. Error Description	100	69-168
12. Field Contents	50	169-218
13. Unique File Identifier	30	219-248
14. CCN	23	249-271
15. Filler	18	272-289

NCPDP Error File Layout – (Summary Record)

1. Date	8	1-8
2. Total Number of Claims		
For Processing Date	10	9-18
3. Number of '111' Errors	10	19-28
4. Number of '333' Errors	10	29-38
5. Percentage of '333' Errors	3	39-41
6. Filler	18	42-59
7. Summary Record Id		
(Error Source Code)	3	60-62 ('999')
8. Filler	227	63-289

If the COB Contractor has rejected back to the contractor system for 2 or more COBA Identification Numbers (IDs), the contractor system shall receive a separate error record for each COBA ID. Also, if a file submission from a contractor system to the COBC contains multiple provider, subscriber, or patient level errors for one COBA ID, the

system will receive a separate error record for each provider, subscriber, or patient portion of the file on which errors were found.

C. Further Requirements of the COBA Detailed Error Report Notification Process

1. Error Source Code

Contractors, or their shared systems, shall use all information supplied in the COBC Detailed Error Report (particularly error source codes provided in Field 10 of Attachment B) to (1) identify shared system changes necessary to prevent future errors in test mode or production mode (Test/Production Indicator= T or P) and (2) to notify physicians, suppliers, and providers that claims with the error source codes “111,” “222,” and “333” will not be crossed over to the COBA trading partner.

The DMERC contractors, or their shared system, will only receive error source codes for a flat file error (“111”) and for a trading partner dispute (“333”). Both error types shall be used to identify shared system changes necessary to prevent future errors and notify physicians, suppliers, and providers that claims with error source codes of “111” and “333” will not be crossed over to the COBA trading partner.

2. Time frames for Notification of Contractor Financial Management Staff and Providers

Contractors, or their shared systems, shall provide notification to contractor financial management staff for purposes of maintaining an effective reconciliation of crossover fee/ complementary credit accruals within five (5) business days of receipt of the COBC Detailed Error Report.

Effective with the October 2005 release, contractors and their shared systems shall receive COBC Detailed Error Reports that contain BHT03 identifiers that indicate “T” (test) or “P” (production) status for purposes of fulfilling the provider notification requirements. (Note: The “T” or the P” portion of the BHT03 indicator will be identical to the Test/Production indicator originally returned from CWF on the processed claim.)

a. Special Automated Provider Correspondence

Contractors, or their shared systems, shall also take the following actions indicated below only when they determine via the Beneficiary Other Insurance (BOI) reply trailer (29) that a COBA trading partner is in crossover production mode with the COBC (Test/Production Indicator=P). After a contractor, or its shared system, has received a COBC Detailed Error Report that contains claims with error source codes of “111” (flat file error)

“222” (HIPAA ANSI error), or “333” (trading partner dispute), it shall take the following *two specified* actions within five (5) business days:

- (1) Notify the physician, supplier, or provider via automated letter from your internal correspondence system that the claim did not cross over. The letter shall include specific claim information, not limited to, Internal Control Number (ICN)/Document Control Number (DCN), Health Insurance Claim (HIC) number, Medical Record Number (for Part A only), Patient Control Number (only if it is contained in the claim), beneficiary name, date of service, and the date claim was processed.

Effective with July 2007, contractors and their systems shall ensure that, in addition to the standard letter language (the claim(s) was/were not crossed over due to claim data errors and was/were rejected by the supplemental insurer), their contractors’ special provider letters/reports, which are generated for ‘222’ and ‘333’ error rejections in accordance with CR 4277, now include the following additional elements, as derived from the COBC Detailed Error Report: 1) Claredi HIPAA rejection code or other rejection code, and 2) the rejection code’s accompanying description.

NOTE: Contractors, or their shared systems, are not required to reference the COBA trading partner’s name on the above described automated letter, since the original remittance advice (RA)/electronic remittance advice (ERA) would have listed that information, if appropriate.

- (2) Update its claims history to reflect that the claim(s) did not cross over as a result of the generation of the automated letter.

Effective with October 1, 2007, all contractors shall modify their special provider notification letters that are generated for “111,” “222,” and “333” error situations to include the following standard language within the opening paragraph of their letters: “This claim(s) was/were not crossed over due to claim data errors or was/were rejected by the supplemental insurer.”

Contractors shall reformat their provider notification letters to ensure that, in addition to the new standard letter language, they continue to include the rejection code and accompanying description, as derived from the COBC Detailed Error Report, for “222” or “333” errors in association with each errored claim.

b. Special Exemption from Generating Provider Notification Letters

*Effective July 7, 2008, upon their receipt of COBC Detailed Error Reports that contain “222” error codes 000100 (“Claim is contained within a BHT envelope previously crossed; claim rejected”) and 00010 (“Duplicate claim; duplicate ST-SE detected”), all contractor systems shall automatically suppress generation of the special provider notification letters that they would normally generate for their associated contractors in accordance with the requirements of this section as well as §70.6.3 of this chapter. In addition, upon receipt of COBC Detailed Error Reports that contain “333” (trading partner dispute) error code 100 (duplicate claim) **or** 110 (duplicate ISA-IEA) **or** 120 (duplicate ST-SE), all contractor systems shall automatically suppress generation of the special provider notification letters, as would normally be required in accordance with this section as well as §70.6.3 of this chapter. (**NOTE:** When suppressing their provider notification letters for the foregoing qualified situations, the contractors shall also **not** update their claims histories to reflect the non-crossing over of the associated claims.)*