



Eligible Hospital and Critical Access Hospital Meaningful Use Menu Set Measures

Measure 4

Stage 1 (2013 Definition)
Last Updated: April 2013

Patient Lists	
Objective	Generate lists of patients by specific conditions to use for quality improvement, reduction of disparities, research, or outreach.
Measure	Generate at least one report listing patients of the eligible hospital or CAH with a specific condition.
Exclusion	No exclusion.

Table of Contents

- Definition of Terms
- Attestation Requirements
- Additional Information
- Certification and Standards
- Related Certification FAQs

Definition of Terms

Specific Conditions — Those conditions listed in the active patient problem list.

Attestation Requirements

YES / NO

Eligible hospitals or CAHs must attest YES to having generated at least one report listing patients of the eligible hospital or CAH with a specific condition to meet this measure.

Additional Information

- This objective does not dictate the report(s) which must be generated. An eligible hospital or CAH is best positioned to determine which reports are most useful to their care efforts.
- The report generated is required to include only patients whose records are maintained using certified EHR technology.
- The report generated could cover every patient whose records are maintained using certified EHR technology or a CAH.

Certification and Standards Criteria

Below is the corresponding certification and standards criteria for electronic health record technology that supports achieving the meaningful use of this objective.

Certification Criteria	
§170.302(i) Generate patient lists	Enable a user to electronically select, sort, retrieve, and generate lists of patients according to, at a minimum, the data elements included in: (1) Problem list; (2) Medication list; (3) Demographics; and (4) Laboratory test results.

Standards Criteria	
N/A	

Related Certification FAQs

Click on the green numbers to view the answer to the FAQ.

- Is an eligible hospital limited to demonstrating meaningful use in the exact way that EHR technology was tested and certified? Similarly, if the EHR technology was tested and certified with certain clinical decision support rules, are those the only clinical decision support rules an eligible health care provider is permitted to use when demonstrating meaningful use? [3-11-024-1](#)
- I'm in the process of implementing EHR technology developer XYZ's certified Complete EHR [or certified EHR Module] "E-HealthSystem2010."
Scenario 1: Can I reconfigure E-HealthSystem2010 without compromising the certified status of my implementation of E-HealthSystem2010?
Scenario 2: EHR technology developer XYZ communicated to my organization that they relied upon a 3rd party software program "PatientInfoTracker 2.0" for the purposes of demonstrating compliance with the "generate patient lists" certification criterion specified at 45 CFR 170.302(i) in achieving E-HealthSystem2010's certification. I have already implemented, use, and would like to continue using "SuperListGenerator 7.0." I have determined that I can reconfigure SuperListGenerator 7.0 to work with E-HealthSystem2010. Can I use SuperListGenerator 7.0 in lieu of PatientInfoTracker 2.0 without compromising the certified status of my implementation of E-HealthSystem2010? [9-10-016-1](#)