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1. Introduction

The *CMS ESRD Measures Manual* (*Manual*) Version 8.0 represents an effort to respond to strong stakeholder interest in the detailed specifications that underwrite reporting and clinical performance measures in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) quality programs during the Calendar Year (CY) 2023 Performance Period. CMS, along with its external partners, recognizes that seemingly minor and esoteric aspects of the measure specifications may have a substantial impact on measure scores. Accordingly, the *Manual* provides a transparent and detailed description of how CMS ESRD measures are calculated, offering the public a comprehensive understanding of how CMS evaluates the quality of care provided by dialysis facilities.

CMS has designed the *Manual* to serve as a resource for improving the reliability and validity of CMS ESRD measures. CMS envisions the *Manual* will enhance dialysis facilities’ quality improvement efforts. The *Manual* should enable dialysis facilities to more accurately track and predict their performance in CMS ESRD quality programs, such as the ESRD Quality Incentive Program (QIP) and Dialysis Facility Measures on [https://data.cms.gov](https://data.cms.gov) (Dialysis Facility Measures). CMS believes that providing facilities with the information needed to anticipate their scores on CMS ESRD measures will enable them to improve their performance in CMS quality improvement programs and will ultimately lead to better care for patients with ESRD.

With this context in mind, the *Manual* is divided into a series of sections. Sections pertaining to individual CMS ESRD measures are further broken down into standardized subsections covering clinical evidence that support measure concepts, numerator and denominator calculations and definitions, and high-level lists of facility- and patient-level exclusions. Subsequent sections describe the processes used to determine exclusion criteria and calculate intermediary variables, methods for mapping facilities and interpreting changes in ownership, as well as methods used to assess dialysis facilities’ overall quality care in the various CMS ESRD quality programs. In sum, the *Manual* provides an end-to-end, detailed description of how CMS evaluates the quality of dialysis care, recognizing that additional details will need to be documented in future versions of the *Manual*.

The *Manual* represents CMS’s best attempt to articulate calculations that underwrite measure scores. Nevertheless, it is subregulatory guidance, and does not carry the same force as regulations and statutes.

Previous versions of the *Manual* will remain posted on the appropriate CMS webpage for review. Please note this version of the *Manual* replaces all references to CROWNWeb and REMIS with EQRS (End Stage Renal Disease Quality Reporting System). On November 9, 2020, CMS incorporated all functionality of the legacy CROWNWeb and REMIS systems into the EQRS system.
2. Measurement Information

2.1 Vascular Access Type Clinical Measure: Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Long-term Catheter Rate (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)

2.1.1 Measure Name
Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Long-term Catheter Rate

2.1.2 Measure Description
Percentage of adult hemodialysis (HD) patient-months using a catheter continuously for three months or longer for vascular access. (National Quality Foundation [NQF] #2978)

2.1.3 Measure Rationale
Based upon data from the CMS Fistula First/Catheter Last initiative, a gradual trend towards lower catheter use has been observed among prevalent maintenance HD patients in the United States (US), declining from approximately 28% in 2006 to approximately 17.4% by March 2017. Furthermore, the percentage of maintenance HD patients using a catheter for at least three months has declined as well over this time period from nearly 12% to 10.6%. Continued monitoring of chronic catheter use is needed to sustain this trend.

This measure is intended to be jointly reported with the Hemodialysis Vascular Access-Standardized Fistula Rate. These two vascular access quality measures, when used together, consider arteriovenous (AV) fistula use as a positive outcome and prolonged use of a tunneled catheter as a negative outcome. With the growing recognition that some patients have exhausted options for an arteriovenous fistula (AVF) or have comorbidities that may limit the success of AVF creation, joint reporting of the measures accounts for all three vascular access options. The fistula measure adjusts for patient factors where fistula placement may be either more difficult or not appropriate and acknowledges that in certain circumstances an AV graft may be the best access option. This paired incentive structure that relies on both measures reflects consensus best practice and supports maintenance of the gains in vascular access success achieved via the Fistula First/Catheter Last project over the last decade.

2.1.4 Measure Type
Intermediate Clinical Outcome

2.1.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A lower rate indicates better quality.

2.1.6 Numerator Statement
The number of adult patient-months in the denominator who were on maintenance HD using a catheter continuously for three months or longer as of the last HD session of the reporting month.
2.1.7 Facility Exclusions

- Facilities that treat fewer than 11 eligible patients during the calendar year
- Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted Extraordinary Circumstances Exception (ECE) (see Section 3.4).

2.1.8 Denominator Statement

All patient-months where the patient is at least 18 years old (see Section 3.1.3) as of the first day of the reporting month who are determined to be maintenance HDs patients (in-center HD and home HD) for the complete reporting month at the same facility.

2.1.9 Denominator Exclusions

- Pediatric patients (<18 years old)
- Patient-months not on HD
- Patient-months with in-center or home HD for less than a complete reporting month at the same facility
- Patients not on ESRD treatment
- Patient-months in which a patient with limited life expectancy has a catheter in place. Limited life-expectancy is defined as:
  - Patients under hospice care in the current reporting month
  - Patients with metastatic cancer in the past 12 months
  - Patients with end stage liver disease in the past 12 months
  - Patients with coma or anoxic brain injury in the past 12 months

**ESRD QIP only:**

For new facilities only, the month in which the CMS Certification Number (CCN) becomes effective and the following three months (see Section 3.5).

2.1.10 Denominator Details

Determination of patient assignment to the facility is derived from a combination of Medicare claims, the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and data from the ESRD Quality Reporting System (EQRS). Determination of patient modality is derived from a combination of Medicare-paid dialysis claims, the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and data from EQRS (Dialysis Facility Measures only). See Section 3.1.1 for modality determination used in ESRD QIP calculations.

The patient’s age is determined by subtracting the patient’s date of birth from the first day of the reporting month. Patients that are <18 years old as of the first day of the reporting month are excluded from the reporting month. Months with vascular access type changes (e.g., fistula or graft to catheter) are not excluded from the denominator as long as patients are on HD and in the assigned facility for the entire month. In other words, if the patient was on HD and assigned to the facility the entire month, the patient-month would be included in the denominator regardless of their vascular access type during the month. In the month a patient changes modality or transfers, the patient-month is excluded from the denominator.
For the exclusion of catheter patients with limited life expectancy, catheter use in the reporting month is defined as the EQRS “Access Type ID” having any of the following values: (16,18,19,20,21,”·”), where Access_Type_ID “16” represents AV Fistula combined with a Catheter, “18” represents AV Graft combined with a Catheter, “19” represents Catheter only, “20” represents Port access only, “21” represents other/unknown, and “·” represents missing.

Hospice information comes from CMS institutional Medicare Claims files that contain final action claims submitted by hospice providers (CLM_TYPE_CD=50). Once a beneficiary elects hospice, all hospice-related claims will be found in this file, regardless of whether the beneficiary is in Medicare fee-for-service or in a Medicare managed care plan.

Patients are identified as receiving hospice care if they have any final action claims submitted to Medicare by hospice providers in the current month.

Diagnoses of metastatic cancer, end stage liver disease, or coma in the past 12 months were determined from Medicare claims. Medicare claim types include inpatient admissions, outpatient claims (including dialysis claims), and physician services. Claims from providers, such as laboratories that report diagnosis codes when testing for the presence of a condition are excluded. Use the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) information related to this edition of the Manual, which can be found on the Measuring Quality page on the ESRD QIP section of CMS.gov for a detailed list of ICD-9/ICD-10 diagnostic codes used to identify these comorbidities.

2.1.11 Mapping Patients to Facilities

For each patient, we identify the dialysis provider in each month using a combination of Medicare claims, the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and data from EQRS. Patients are required to have been treated by the same facility for the complete month in order to be assigned to that facility for the reporting month.

To be included in the denominator for a particular reporting month, the patient must be receiving home or in-center HD for the complete reporting month at the facility and be at least 18 years old as of the first day of the reporting month.

The monthly patient count at a facility includes all eligible prevalent and incident patients. The number of patient-months is determined by summing the number of months each patient is eligible for the measure during the reporting year. An individual patient may contribute up to 12 patient-months per year.

2.1.12 Calculating Numerators

The number of patient-months with a long-term catheter in use. Long-term catheter use is defined as using a catheter, at the same facility, for at least three consecutive complete months as of the last hemodialysis session of the of the reporting month.

For a given month, if any of the following “Access Type IDs” in EQRS are reported as 16,18,19,20,21, or “·” (missing), a catheter is considered in use. If a catheter has been recorded for three consecutive months (i.e., in the reporting month and the immediate two preceding months) at the same facility, the reporting month is counted in the numerator. Access Type ID “16” represents AV Fistula combined with a Catheter, “18” represents AV Graft combined with
a Catheter, “19” represents Catheter only, “20” represents Port access only, “21” represents other/unknown, and “.” represents missing. Therefore, a catheter combined with any other access type, missing, unknown, or port access are treated as catheter if reported in current and prior two months. If multiple vascular access types for a patient were reported during a reporting month, the last vascular access type reported by the assigned facility is used in the calculation. If the vascular access type is missing from the assigned facility, we will substitute with the last vascular access type reported by other facilities.

If a patient changes dialysis facilities, the counting of the three consecutive complete months restarts at the new facility. Patients have to be treated with HD using a catheter for at least three complete months at the same facility to be included in the numerator. If a patient’s first and second months fall into the reporting period, it is possible that these two months are included in the denominator (if eligible) but not in the numerator.

### 2.1.13 Data Elements and Data Source

EQRS, Medicare Claims and the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728) are used as the data sources for establishing the denominator. EQRS is the data source for establishing the numerator. Medicare claims are used for the comorbidity conditions exclusion criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Primary Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility CCN</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting year and month</td>
<td>EQRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular access type</td>
<td>EQRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of first ESRD service</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at the first day of reporting month</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)</td>
<td>EQRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice status in the current month</td>
<td>Medicare Claims CMS Hospice file²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic cancer reported on Medicare Claims in past 12 months</td>
<td>Medicare Claims³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-Stage Liver Disease reported on Medicare Claims in past 12 months</td>
<td>Medicare Claims³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coma or anoxic brain damage reported on Medicare Claims in past 12 months</td>
<td>Medicare Claims³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Data Elements and Sources for the Vascular Access Type Clinical Measure: Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Long-term Catheter Rate (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)
1. This may include information from: EQRS, Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB), Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728), Medicare Claims, and Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Database (OPTN – Dialysis Facility Measures only).

2. Hospice information comes from CMS institutional Medicare Claims files that contain final action claims submitted by hospice providers (CLM_TYPE_CD=50). Once a beneficiary elects hospice, all hospice related claims will be found in this file, regardless of whether the beneficiary is in Medicare fee-for-service or in a Medicare managed care plan.

3. Medicare claims include Part A claims such as inpatient admissions and Part B claims such as outpatient claims (including dialysis claims), and physician services. Claims from providers, such as laboratories, that report diagnosis codes when testing for the presence of a condition are excluded.

4. Exclusion factors: A detailed list of ICD-9/ICD-10 diagnostic codes used to identify exclusion comorbidities is included in this file (use the ICD information related to this edition of the Manual, which can be found on the Measuring Quality page on the ESRD QIP section of CMS.gov)

2.1.14 Flowchart

Figure 1 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Vascular Access Type (VAT) Clinical Measure: Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Long-term Catheter Rate.
Figure 1: Vascular Access Type Clinical Measure: Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Long-term Catheter Rate Flowchart (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)
Multiple data sources included in EQRS are the CMS Annual Facility Survey (CMS-2744), Medicare dialysis and hospital payment records, and the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728). Other sources include transplant data from the Organ Procurement and Transplant Network (OPTN) (Dialysis Facility Measures only), the Death Notification Form (CMS-2746), the Dialysis Facility Compare (Dialysis Facility) and the Social Security Death Master File (Dialysis Facility Measures only).

Access_Type_ID “16” represents AV Fistula combined with a Catheter, “18” represents AV Graft combined with a Catheter, “19” represents Catheter only, “20” represents Port access only, “21” represents other/unknown, and “∙” represents missing.

2.1.15 Selected References


2.2 Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Standardized Fistula Rate (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)

2.2.1 Measure Name
Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Standardized Fistula Rate (SFR)

2.2.2 Measure Description
Adjusted percentage of adult HD patient-months using an autogenous AVF as the sole means of vascular access.

2.2.3 Measure Rationale
The National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (NKF KDOQI) guidelines state the following: 1) AV fistulas have the lowest rate of thrombosis and require the fewest interventions, 2) cost of AV fistula use and maintenance is the lowest, 3) fistulas have the lowest rates of infection, and 4) fistulas are associated with the highest survival and lowest hospitalization rates. Indeed, a number of epidemiologic studies consistently demonstrate the reduced morbidity and mortality associated with greater use of AV fistulas for vascular access in maintenance HD.

As the accompanying literature review indicates, there are a growing number of studies reporting that creating AVF in some patients is less likely to be successful in the presence of certain comorbidities. In addition, certain patient groups may have less incremental benefit from an AV fistula relative to an AV graft. By adjusting the fistula rate for patient characteristics and comorbidities associated with low AV fistula success rates, this measure accounts for patients where a graft or even a catheter may be a more appropriate option.

This measure is intended to be jointly reported with Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Long-term Catheter Rate. These two vascular access quality measures, when used together, consider AVF use as a positive outcome and prolonged use of a tunneled catheter as a negative outcome. With the growing recognition that some patients have exhausted options for an AVF or have comorbidities that may limit the success of AVF creation, joint reporting of the measures accounts for all three vascular access options. The fistula measure adjusts for patient factors where fistula placement may be either more difficult or not appropriate and acknowledges that in certain circumstances an AV graft may be the best access option. This paired incentive structure that relies on both measures (SFR, long-term catheter rate) reflects consensus best practice, and supports maintenance of the gains in vascular access success achieved via the Fistula First/Catheter Last project over the last decade.

2.2.4 Measure Type
Intermediate Clinical Outcome

2.2.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A higher rate indicates better quality.
2.2.6 **Risk Adjustment**
Statistical risk model.

2.2.7 **Numerator Statement**
The numerator is the adjusted count of adult patient-months using an AVF as the sole means of vascular access as of the last HD treatment session of the month.

2.2.8 **Facility Exclusions**
- Facilities that treat fewer than 11 eligible patients during the calendar year
- Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4).

2.2.9 **Denominator Statement**
All patient-months where the patient at least 18 years old (see Section 3.1.3) as of the first day of the reporting month who are determined to be maintenance HD patients (in-center and home HD) for the entire reporting month at the same facility.

2.2.10 **Denominator Exclusions**
- Pediatric patients (<18 years old)
- Patient-months not on HD
- Patient-months with in-center or home HD for less than a complete reporting month at the same facility
- Patients not on ESRD treatment
- Patient-months in which a patient with limited life expectancy has a catheter in place. Limited life-expectancy is defined as:
  - Patients under hospice care in the current reporting month
  - Patients with metastatic cancer in the past 12 months
  - Patients with end stage liver disease in the past 12 months
  - Patients with coma or anoxic brain injury in the past 12 months

**ESRD QIP only:**
For new facilities only, the month in which the CCN becomes effective and the following three months (see Section 3.5).

2.2.11 **Denominator Details**
Determination of patient assignment to the facility is derived from a combination of Medicare claims, the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and data from EQRS. Determination of patient modality is derived from a combination of Medicare-paid dialysis claims, the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and data from. The patient’s age is determined by subtracting the patient’s date of birth from the first day of the reporting month. Patients that are <18 years old as of the first day of the reporting month are excluded.
For the exclusion of catheter patients with limited life expectancy, catheter use in the reporting month is defined as the EQRS “Access Type ID” having any of the following values: (16,18,19,20,21,“”), where Access_Type_ID “16” represents AV Fistula combined with a Catheter, “18” represents AV Graft combined with a Catheter, “19” represents Catheter only, “20” represents Port access only, “21” represents other/unknown, and “•” represents missing.

Hospice information comes from CMS institutional Medicare Claims files that contain final action claims submitted by hospice providers (CLM_TYPE_CD=50). Once a beneficiary elects hospice, all hospice-related claims will be found in this file, regardless of whether the beneficiary is in Medicare fee-for-service or in a Medicare managed care plan.

Patients are identified as receiving hospice care if they have any final action claims submitted to Medicare by hospice providers in the current month.

Diagnoses of metastatic cancer, end stage liver disease, or coma in the past 12 months were determined from Medicare claims. Medicare claim types include inpatient admissions, outpatient claims (including dialysis claims), and physician services. Claims from providers, such as laboratories that report diagnosis codes when testing for the presence of a condition are excluded. Use the ICD information related to this edition of the Manual, which can be found on the Measuring Quality page on the ESRD QIP section of CMS.gov for a detailed list of ICD-9/ICD-10 diagnostic codes used to identify these comorbidities.

### 2.2.12 Mapping Patients to Facilities

For each patient, we identify the dialysis provider at each month using a combination of Medicare claims, the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and data from EQRS. Patients are required to have been treated by the same facility for the complete month in order to be assigned to that facility for the reporting month.

To be included in the denominator for a particular reporting month, the patient must be receiving home or in-center HD for the complete reporting month at the facility and be at least 18 years old as of the first day of the month.

The monthly patient count at a facility includes all eligible prevalent and incident patients. The number of patient-months is determined by summing the number of months each patient is eligible for the measure during the reporting year. An individual patient may contribute up to 12 patient-months per year.

### 2.2.13 Calculating Numerators

The numerator is determined by number of patient-months using an AVF as the sole means of vascular access at a given facility, adjusted for patient-mix. An AVF is considered in use if the EQRS “Access Type IDs” of 14 or 22 has been recorded for a given month, where “14” represents AVF only (with 2 needles) and “22” represents AVF only with an approved single needle device. If multiple vascular access types for a patient were reported during a reporting month, the last vascular access type reported by the assigned facility is used in the calculation. If the vascular access type is missing from the assigned facility, we will substitute with the last vascular access type reported by other facilities.
2.2.14 Statistical Risk Model and Variables

The SFR measure is a directly standardized percentage, in that each facility’s percentage of AVF use is adjusted to the national distribution of covariates (risk factors) (with ‘national’ here referring to all facilities combined). The SFR for a facility is an estimate of what the facility’s percentage of AVF would equal if the facility’s patient mix was equal to that of the nation as a whole. The measure is adjusted for patient demographic and clinical characteristics based on a logistic regression model. This model is limited to ESRD facilities treating at least 11 eligible patients during the performance period and includes the facility indicators and assumes that the regression coefficients of risk factors are the same across all facilities. The common risk effects are assumed in order to improve computational stability in estimating facility-specific effects.

The patient characteristics included in the logistic regression model as covariates are:

- Age categories: 18-24, 25-59, 60-74, and 75+
- Body mass index (BMI) at incidence, calculated based on the height and weight provided on patient’s Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728). BMI is divided into the following categories: <18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25-29.9, >=30. Missing and out-of-range BMIs are categorized into the mode group (i.e., >=30).
- Nursing home status in prior 12 months
  - No nursing home care: 0 days
  - Short term nursing home: 1-89 days
  - Long term nursing home: >90 days
- Nephrologist’s care prior to ESRD incidence reported on the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)
- Duration of ESRD categories: 0-1 year, >1-5 years, >5-9 years, and >9 years
- Inability to ambulate/transfer at ESRD incidence as reported on the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728) and combined into one indicator variable
- Diabetes as primary cause of ESRD as reported on the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)
- Comorbidities either at ESRD incidence as reported on the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728) or the Medicare eligible months (below) together with prevalent comorbidities based on Medicare claims filed in prior 12 months. Use the ICD information related to this edition of the Manual, which can be found on the Measuring Quality page on the ESRD QIP section of CMS.gov for list of codes used to identify these conditions.
  - Diabetes (NOT as primary cause of ESRD)
  - Heart diseases (i.e., coronary artery disease and congestive heart failure)
  - Peripheral vascular disease
  - Cerebrovascular disease
  - Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
  - Anemia (unrelated to ESRD/chronic kidney disease [CKD])
  - Non-Vascular Access-Related Infections
  - Drug dependence
- Indicator for having at least one of the comorbid conditions listed above
- Indicator for missing a CMS-2728 form
- Indicator for Medicare coverage for at least 6 months or Medicare Advantage coverage at least 1 month during the past 12 months*

*Medicare and Medicare Advantage coverages defined as follows:
- The patient had $1,200+ of Medicare-paid dialysis claims or at least one Medicare inpatient claim (hospital or SNF) during that month or one of the two prior months.
- The Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB) indicates the patient was enrolled in Medicare Advantage during the month.

Let \( n_i \) be the number of patients treated at the \( i^{th} \) facility (for \( i = 1, \ldots, F \)), \( x_{ijm} \) be a vector of the patient characteristics, and \( p_{ijm} \) be the probability of dialyzing with an AVF for the \( j^{th} \) patient in the \( i^{th} \) facility (for \( j = 1, \ldots, n_i \)) in the \( m^{th} \) month. To estimate facility effects, we use the following logistic regression model

\[
\text{logit}(p_{ijm}) = \alpha_i + \beta' x_{ijm},
\]

and denote the resulting estimates of facility effects \((\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_F)\) by \((a_1, \ldots, a_F)\) and the estimates of the risk effects \(\beta\) by \(b\).

The model is fitted using Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE; Liang and Zeger, 1986) in order to account for the correlation within-patient across months. With over 7,000 facilities, it is difficult to estimate all parameters (i.e., including the facility indicators) simultaneously. Therefore, we break the fitting process into two stages. At the first stage, we estimate the \(\beta\) vector by averaging 10 random subgroups of approximately 700 facilities each. At the second stage, we then estimate the \(\alpha_i\) (\(i=1,\ldots, 7,000\)) by fitting facility-specific intercept-only GEE models, with the linear predictor from the first stage, \(\beta' x_{ijm}\), serving as an offset. Per well-established GEE results (e.g., Liang and Zeger, 1986), the estimator of \(\alpha_i\) is consistent for its target value and follows a normal distribution with standard error given by the robust ‘sandwich’ estimator computed via GEE. We can then compute \(SFR_i\) for each facility \(i\) as follows:

\[
SFR_i = \frac{\sum \sum_m \{1 + exp(a_i+b'x_{ijm})\} / n,}
\]

where \(n = \text{total number of patient-months included in the overall population.}\)

### 2.2.15 Data Elements and Data Sources

EQRS, Medicare Claims, and the CMS Medical Evidence form 2728 are used as the data sources for establishing the denominator (Table 2). EQRS is the data source for establishing the numerator. Medicare claims and the CMS Medical Evidence form 2728 are data sources for the risk adjustment factors. Medicare claims and EQRS are used for the exclusion criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Primary Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility CCN</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting year and month</td>
<td>EQRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular access type</td>
<td>EQRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Primary Data Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>CMS data sources^1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of first ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728) EQRS Patient Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicare Claims^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Database (OPTN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at the first day of reporting month</td>
<td>CMS data sources^1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI at incidence</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home status (in the previous calendar year)</td>
<td>CMS Minimum Data Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)</td>
<td>EQRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrologist's care prior to ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes - Primary cause of ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes –Not as primary cause of ESRD</td>
<td>Medicare Claims^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>Medicare Claims^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other heart diseases</td>
<td>Medicare Claims^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>Medicare Claims^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>Medicare Claims^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>Medicare Claims^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Primary Data Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug dependence(^5)</td>
<td>Medicare Claims(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to ambulate/transfer</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia (unrelated to ESRD/CKD)(^5)</td>
<td>Medicare Claims(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vascular access-related infections: pneumonias/ hepatitis/HIV/AIDS/tuberculosis(^5)</td>
<td>Medicare Claims(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not having at least 6-month Medicare eligible in past 12 months</td>
<td>Medicare Claims(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice status in the current month(^4)</td>
<td>CMS Hospice file(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic cancer reported on Medicare Claims in past 12 months(^4)</td>
<td>Medicare Claims(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-stage liver disease reported on Medicare Claims in past 12 months(^4)</td>
<td>Medicare Claims(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coma or anoxic brain damage reported on Medicare Claims in past 12 months(^4)</td>
<td>Medicare Claims(^3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Data Elements and Sources for Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Standardized Fistula Rate (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)**

1. This may include information from: EQRS, Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB), Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728), Medicare Claims, and Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Database (OPTN - Dialysis Facility Measures only). For Dialysis Facility Measures only, unique patients are identified by using a combination of social security number (SSN), first name, surname, sex, Medicare Beneficiary ID, Patient Health Insurance Claim Number and birth date. Dialysis Facility Measures runs a matching process to ensure that minor typos and misspellings do not cause a patient record to fall out of their history. The matching process is able to successfully match 99.5% of patients. The remaining patients have incomplete or incorrect data that does not allow them to be matched. See Section 3.2.2 for details on how unique patients are identified for ESRD QIP.

2. Hospice information comes from CMS institutional Medicare Claims files that contain final action claims submitted by hospice providers (CLM_TYPE_CD=50). Once a beneficiary elects hospice, all hospice related claims will be found in this file, regardless of whether the beneficiary is in Medicare fee-for-service or in a Medicare managed care plan.

3. Medicare claims include Part A claims such as inpatient admissions and Part B claims such as outpatient claims (including dialysis claims) and physician services. Claims from providers, such as laboratories, that report diagnosis codes when testing for the presence of a condition are excluded.


5. Comorbidities were identified by combining prevalent comorbidities reported on all Medicare Claims in the past 12 months and incident comorbidities reported on the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728). A detailed list of ICD-9/ICD-10 diagnostic codes and HCPCS CPT codes used to identify comorbidities from Medicare Claims related to this edition of the Manual, can be found on the Measuring Quality page on the ESRD QIP section of CMS.gov.
2.2.16 Flowchart

Figure 2 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Standardized Fistula Rate.

Figure 2: Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Standardized Fistula Rate (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)
Multiple data sources include CMS EQRS (the CMS Annual Facility Survey (CMS-2744), Medicare dialysis and hospital payment records, the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), transplant data from the Organ Procurement and Transplant Network (OPTN) (Dialysis Facility Measures only), the Death Notification Form (CMS-2746), the Dialysis Facility Compare (Dialysis Facility Measures) and the Social Security Death Master File (Dialysis Facility Measures only).

Access_Type_ID “16” represents AV Fistula combined with a Catheter, “18” represents AV Graft combined with a Catheter, “19” represents Catheter only, “20” represents Port access only, “21” represents other/unknown, and “∙” represents missing.

2.2.17 Selected References

2.3 Adult Hemodialysis Adequacy Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

2.3.1 Measure Name
Delivered Dose of Hemodialysis Above Minimum – NQF# 0249

2.3.2 Measure Description
Percentage of all adult (≥ 18 years old) patient-months in the sample for analysis who were on ESRD treatment for 91 days or more and dialyzed greater than 2 and less than 4 times weekly whose delivered dose of HD (calculated from the last measurements of the month using the Urea Kinetic Modeling (UKM) or Daugirdas II formula) was a single pool (sp)Kt/V > 1.2 during the study period.

2.3.3 Measure Rationale
The dose of dialysis is used to estimate the ability of HD to clear the blood of accumulated toxins. In the adult population, outcome studies, referenced below, have shown an association between dose of HD in terms of small solute removal and clinical outcomes. In addition, at least one prior study demonstrates that a change in dialysis dose is associated with a change in patient outcomes. Furthermore, the studies referenced below demonstrate an association between dialysis adequacy as measured by Kt/V and outcomes. Also, although higher dialysis dose is associated with improvement in clinical outcomes, analysis of EQRS data from January 2010 indicates that only 66% of facilities had 70% or more of their patients receiving a dialysis dose of spKt/V of 1.2.

2.3.4 Measure Type
Intermediate outcome

2.3.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A higher rate indicates better quality.

2.3.6 Risk Adjustment
None.

2.3.7 Numerator Statement
Number of patient-months in denominator whose delivered dose of HD (calculated from the last measurements of the month using the UKM or Daugirdas II formula) was a spKt/V ≥ 1.2 and also in range (spKt/V ≤ 5.0).

2.3.8 Facility Exclusions
Facilities that treat fewer than 11 eligible patients during the performance period are excluded from the measure.
2.3.9 Denominator Statement

All patient-months for adult (≥ 18 years old, see Section 3.1.3) patients in the sample for analysis who have had ESRD for 91 days or more and dialyzing greater than 2 and less than 4 times weekly the entire month.

2.3.10 Denominator Exclusions

- Patient-months where the patient is not assigned to the facility for the entire month
- Patients younger than 18 years old as of the first day of the month (or as of the claim-from date if claims data are used)
- Patient-months where the patient is not on HD the entire month
- Patient-months for patients who were on ESRD treatment (see Section 3.1.2) for less than 91 days as of the first of the month
- Patients-months where patients are not dialyzing greater than 2 and less than 4 times weekly (see Section 3.1.4)

2.3.11 Mapping Patients to Facilities

A patient may only be assigned to one dialysis facility each month. For each patient, the dialysis provider at each point in time are identified primarily using data from EQRS, the Medical Evidence Form CMS-2728, and Medicare dialysis claims. Patient assignment to provider and modality (either HD or peritoneal dialysis [PD]) are both determined according to the information reported in the above-mentioned data sources.

For each reporting month, patients are required to have been indicated as treated by the facility for the complete month in order to be included in the denominator. If a patient transfers in or out of the facility, discontinues dialysis, recovers renal function, or dies anytime during the month, the entire patient-month is excluded. The number of sessions is not considered and the patient may not have received treatment at the facility for the entire month to be included. For example, if a patient is hospitalized or travels during the month, the patient may still be included in the facility’s measure if they are indicated as the facility’s patient that month according to the data as described above. Additionally, patients for whom the only evidence of dialysis treatment is the existence of Medicare claims are considered lost to follow-up and removed from a facility’s analysis one year following the last claim, if there is no earlier evidence of transfer, recovery, or death. In other words, if a period of one year passes with neither Medicare dialysis claims nor EQRS information to indicate that a patient is receiving dialysis treatment, we consider the patient lost to follow-up, and do not include the patient in the calculations.

2.3.12 Calculating Numerators

Number of patient-months in denominator whose delivered dose of HD (calculated from the last measurements of the month using the UKM or Daugirdas II formula) was a spKt/V ≥ 1.2 and Kt/V ≤ 5.0.

- If a patient has multiple Kt/V values in EQRS during a month, then the last non-missing reported value is selected.
• If an in-range value was not found in EQRS for the patient during the month then the last reported non-missing value reported on the last eligible Medicare claim for the patient during the month is selected (when available).
  o A claim is considered eligible if it is from a HD patient who has ESRD for at least 91 days and is at least 18 years old (as of the claim-from date), and the claim is neither a “frequent” dialysis claim nor an “infrequent” dialysis claim as described in Section 3.1.4.
  o When there are multiple claims in a month, the Kt/V value from the last eligible claim with an in-range (less than or equal to 5.0) and not expired Kt/V value is selected. For in-center HD patients, a Kt/V with an occurrence date from a previous month is defined as expired. For home HD patients, a Kt/V with an occurrence date more than four months prior to the claim through date is defined as expired.

2.3.13 Assigning Patient-Months to Numerators and Denominators

Once a Kt/V value for the patient-month has been selected, the following decision rules are used when considering whether to assign the patient-month to the numerator, denominator, or both:

  • If selected Kt/V value is missing or not in the valid range (>5.0), include patient-month in the denominator but not the numerator.
  • If selected Kt/V value is in the valid range (≤ 5.0) and meets the Kt/V value threshold (≥ 1.2), then include patient month in denominator and numerator.

2.3.14 Data Elements and Data Sources

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the data elements can be found at the ESRD section of QualityNet.org.

**EQRS Data Elements:**

• Unique Patient Identifier (UPI)
• Facility CCN
• Patient date of birth
• Patient date of death
• Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)
• Number of dialysis sessions per week
• Medicare certified services offered
• Additional services offered (non-Medicare)
• Kt/V method
• Kt/V value
• Modality to determine frequent dialysis
• First date of ESRD (see Section 3.1.2)
Claims Based Data Elements:

*Note: Only Type of Bill (TOB) 72x claims are considered in the measure calculation.*

- Patient health insurance claim number
- Patient date of birth
- Patient date of death
- Claim related condition code
- Claim control number
- Claim-from date
- Claim through date
- Claim National Claims History database (NCH) daily process date
- Claim link number
- Claim occurrence date
- Claim occurrence code
- Claim CCN
- Claim value code D5
- Claim value amount
- Claim value sequence number
- Claim line institutional revenue center codes
- Claim line institutional revenue center date

2.3.15 Selected References

2.4 Adult Peritoneal Dialysis Adequacy Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

2.4.1 Measure Name
Delivered Dose of Peritoneal Dialysis (PD) Above Minimum – NQF #0318

2.4.2 Measure Description
Percent of PD patient-months with Kt/V greater than or equal to 1.7 (dialytic + residual) during the four-month study period.

2.4.3 Measure Rationale
Evaluation of PD adequacy every four months for adults is critical to ensure timely dose adjustment as needed, and adequate dialysis doses (Kt/V urea > 1.7 for adult patients and Kt/V urea > 1.8 for pediatric patients) have been linked to improved patient outcomes. Therefore, continued implementation of this measure is needed to ensure frequent adequacy measurement and adequate dialysis dosing. The studies referenced below have shown a Kt/V of 1.8/week or greater in adult PD patients was associated with better serum albumin levels and improved survival. The Adequacy of Peritoneal Dialysis in Mexico (ADEMEX) study did not show clinical benefit within weekly Kt/V doses exceeding 1.7/week in adult continuous ambulatory PD (CAPD) patients.

2.4.4 Measure Type
Intermediate Outcome

2.4.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A higher rate indicates better quality.

2.4.6 Risk Adjustment
None.

2.4.7 Numerator Statement
Patient-months in the denominator for patients whose delivered dose of PD was equal to or greater than 1.7 Kt/V (dialytic+ residual, measured in the last 4 months) and must also be in range (Kt/V ≤ 8.5).

2.4.8 Facility Exclusions
Facilities with fewer than 11 patients who meet the measure’s specifications during the performance period for which the rate is being calculated.
2.4.9 Denominator Statement

All patient-months for adult (> 18 years old) patients in the sample for analysis who have had ESRD for 91 days and receiving PD the entire month.

2.4.10 Denominator Exclusions

- Patients-months where the patient is not assigned to the facility for the entire month
- Patients younger than age 18 years old as of the first day of the month (Section 3.1.3)
- Patients-months where the patient is not on PD the entire month
- Patients-months where the patient was on ESRD treatment (see Section 3.1.2) for less than 91 days as of the first of the month

2.4.11 Mapping Patients to Facilities

See Section 2.3.11.

2.4.12 Calculating Numerators

Number of patients in denominator whose delivered dose of PD (dialytic + residual, calculated from the last measurements of the four-month study period) was a Kt/V ≥ 1.7 and Kt/V ≤ 8.5.

- If a patient has multiple Kt/V values in EQRS during a month, then the last reported value is selected.
- If an in-range value was not found in EQRS for the patient during the month then the last reported non-missing value reported on the last eligible Medicare claim for the patient during the month is selected (when available).
  - A claim is considered eligible if it was from a PD patient who has ESRD for at least 91 days and is at least 18 years old (as of the claim-from date).
  - The last eligible claim with an in-range (less than or equal to 8.5) and not expired (Kt/V occurrence date more than four months prior to the claim through date) Kt/V value reported is selected when there were multiple claims reported in a month.

2.4.13 Assigning Patient-Months to Numerators and Denominators

Once a Kt/V value for the patient-month has been selected, the following decision rules are used when considering whether to assign the patient-month to the numerator, denominator, or both:

- If the selected Kt/V value is missing or not in the valid range (> 8.5), include patient-month in the denominator but not the numerator.
- If selected Kt/V value is in valid range (≤ 8.5) and meets the Kt/V value threshold (≥ 1.7), then include the patient-month in denominator and the numerator.
2.4.14 Data Elements and Data Sources

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the data elements can be found at the ESRD section of QualityNet.org.

**EQRS Data Elements:**
- UPI
- Facility CCN
- Patient date of birth
- Patient date of death
- Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)
- Medicare certified services offered as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Additional services offered (Non-Medicare) as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Kt/V
- First date of ESRD (see Section 3.1.2)

**Claims Based Data Elements:**

*Note: Only Type of Bill (TOB) 72x claims are considered in the measure calculation.*

- Claim related condition code
- Claim control number
- Claim-from date
- Claim through date
- Claim NCH daily process date
- Claim link number
- Claim occurrence code
- Claim occurrence date
- Claim CCN
- Claim value code D5
- Claim value amount
- Claim value sequence number
- Claim line institutional revenue center codes
- Claim line institutional revenue center date
- Patient health insurance claim number
- Patient date of death
- Patient date of birth
2.4.15 Selected References


2.5 Pediatric Hemodialysis Adequacy Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

2.5.1 Measure Name
Minimum spKt/V for Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients – NQF #1423

2.5.2 Measure Description
Percentage of all pediatric (<18 years old) patient-months in the sample for analysis who were on ESRD treatment for 91 days or more and dialyzing greater than 2 and less than 4 times weekly whose delivered dose of HD (calculated from the last measurements of the month using the UKM or Daugirdas II formula) was a spKt/V > 1.2 during the study period.

2.5.3 Measure Rationale
In considering target spKt/V, the pediatric HD population should receive at least a spKt/V of 1.2, which is the minimum requirement for the adult population in order to allow for the increased nutritional needs of children. Analysis of clinical process measure data further support this cutoff since adolescents with spKt/V below 1.2 were found to have significantly increased risk of hospitalization as compared to those with spKt/V of 1.2-1.4.

2.5.4 Measure Type
Intermediate Outcome

2.5.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A higher rate indicates better quality.

2.5.6 Risk Adjustment
None.

2.5.7 Numerator Statement
Number of patient-months in denominator whose delivered dose of HD (calculated from the last measurements of the month using the UKM or Daugirdas II formula) was a spKt/V ≥ 1.2. Kt/V must also be in range (spKt/V ≤ 5.0).

2.5.8 Facility Exclusions
Facilities that treat fewer than 11 eligible patients during the performance period are excluded from the measure.

2.5.9 Denominator Statement
All pediatric (<18 years old) patient-months in the sample for analysis who have had ESRD for 91 days or more and dialyzing greater than 2 and less than 4 times weekly the entire month.
2.5.10 Denominator Exclusions

- Patient-months for patients not assigned to the facility for the entire month
- Patients 18 years and older as of the first day of the month (see Section 3.1.3)
- Patient-months for patients not on in-center HD the entire month
- Patient-months for patients who are on ESRD treatment (see Section 3.1.2) for less than 91 days as of the first of the month
- Patient-months for patients not dialyzing greater than 2 and less than 4 times weekly (see Section 3.1.4)

2.5.11 Mapping Patients to Facilities

See Section 2.3.11.

2.5.12 Calculating Numerators

Number of patient-months in denominator whose delivered dose of HD (calculated from the last measurements of the month using the UKM or Daugirdas II formula) was a $spKt/V \geq 1.2$ and $spKt/V \leq 5.0$.

- If a patient has multiple $Kt/V$ values in EQRS during a month, then the last reported value is selected.
- If an in-range value was not found in EQRS for the patient during the month then the last reported non-missing value reported on the last eligible Medicare claim for the patient during the month is selected (when available).
  - A claim is considered eligible if it was from an HD (in-center) patient who has ESRD for at least 91 days and is under 18 years old (as of the claim-from date), and the claim is neither a “frequent” dialysis claim nor an “infrequent” dialysis claim as described in Section 3.1.4.
  - When there were multiple claims in a month, the $Kt/V$ value from the last eligible claim with an in-range (less than or equal to 5.0) and not expired $Kt/V$ value is selected. A $Kt/V$ with an occurrence date from a previous month is defined as expired.

2.5.13 Assigning Patient-Months to Numerators and Denominators

Once a $Kt/V$ value for the patient-month has been selected, the following decision rules are used when considering whether to assign the patient-month to the numerator, denominator, or both:

- If selected $Kt/V$ value is missing or not in the valid range ($>5.0$), include patient-month in the denominator but not the numerator.
- If selected $Kt/V$ value is in the valid range ($\leq 5.0$) and meets the $Kt/V$ value threshold ($\geq 1.2$), then include patient month in denominator and numerator.
2.5.14 Data Elements and Data Sources

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the data elements can be found at the ESRD section of QualityNet.org.

**EQRS Data Elements:**
- UPI
- Facility CCN
- Patient Date of birth
- Patient date of death
- Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)
- Number of dialysis sessions per week
- Medicare certified services offered as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Additional services offered (Non-Medicare) as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Kt/V
- Kt/V method
- Modality to determine frequent dialysis
- First date of ESRD (see Section 3.1.2)

**Claims Based Data Elements:**

*Note: Only Type of Bill (TOB) 72x claims are considered in the measure calculation.*

- Claim related condition code
- Claim control number
- Claim-from date
- Claim through date
- Claim NCH daily process date
- Claim link number
- Claim occurrence date
- Claim occurrence code
- Claim CCN
- Claim value code D5
- Claim value amount
- Claim value sequence number
- Claim line institutional revenue center codes
- Claim line institutional revenue center date
- Patient health insurance claim number
• Patient date of death
• Patient date of birth

2.5.15 Selected References


2.6 Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Adequacy Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

2.6.1 Measure Name
Delivered Dose of Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Above Minimum

2.6.2 Measure Description
Percent of pediatric PD patient-months with Kt/V greater than or equal to 1.8 Kt/V (dialytic + residual) during the six-month study period.

2.6.3 Measure Rationale
Dialysis dose is an intermediate clinical outcome. The dose of dialysis is used to estimate the ability of PD to clear the blood of accumulated toxins. In the adult population, clinical practice guidelines have established an association between dose of PD in terms of small solute removal and clinical outcomes. These studies have shown a Kt/V of 1.8/week or greater in adult PD patients was associated with better serum albumin levels and improved survival.

Pediatric PD adequacy targets should be no lower than existing adult PD adequacy targets since generally, pediatric patients’ greater metabolic demands require higher adequacy targets in terms of small solute clearance. No equivalent large scale clinical trials have been conducted in the pediatric PD population, but smaller scale observational studies support the association between delivered PD dose and patient outcomes including the potential for improved growth.

2.6.4 Measure Type
Intermediate outcome

2.6.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A higher rate indicates better quality.

2.6.6 Risk Adjustment
None.

2.6.7 Numerator Statement
Patient-months in the denominator for patients whose delivered dose of PD was equal to or greater than 1.8 Kt/V (dialytic+ residual, measured in the last 6 months). Kt/V must also be in range (Kt/V ≤ 8.5).

2.6.8 Facility Exclusions
Facilities with fewer than 11 patients who meet the measure’s specifications during the performance period for which the rate is being calculated.
2.6.9 Denominator Statement

All pediatric (< 18 years old) patient-months in the sample for analysis who have had ESRD for 91 days and receiving PD the entire month.

2.6.10 Denominator Exclusions

- Patient-months for patients not assigned to the facility for the entire month
- Patients aged 18 years and older as of the first day of the month (see Section 3.1.3)
- Patient-months for patients not on PD the entire month
- Patient-months for patients who were on ESRD treatment (see Section 3.1.2) for less than 91 days as of the first of the month

2.6.11 Mapping Patients to Facilities

See Section 2.3.11.

2.6.12 Calculating Numerators

Number of patients in denominator whose delivered dose of PD (dialytic + residual, calculated from the last measurements of the six-month study period) was a Kt/V ≥ 1.8 and Kt/V ≤ 8.5.

- If a patient has multiple Kt/V values in EQRS during a month, then the last reported value is selected.
- If an in-range value was not found in EQRS for the patient during the month then the last reported non-missing value reported on the last eligible Medicare claim for the patient during the month is selected (when available).
  - A claim is considered eligible if it was from a PD patient who had ESRD for at least 91 days and was under 18 years old (as of the claim-from date).
  - The last eligible claim with an in-range (less than or equal to 8.5) and not expired (Kt/V occurrence date more than six months prior to the claim through date) Kt/V value reported is selected when there were multiple claims reported in a month

2.6.13 Assigning Patient-Months to Numerators and Denominators

Once a Kt/V value for the patient-month has been selected, the following decision rules are used when considering whether to assign the patient-month to the numerator, denominator, or both:

- If the selected Kt/V value is missing or not in the valid range (> 8.5), include patient-month in the denominator but not the numerator.
- If selected Kt/V value is in valid range (≤ 8.5) and meets the Kt/V value threshold (≥ 1.8), then include the patient-month in denominator and the numerator.

2.6.14 Data Elements and Data Sources

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the data elements can be found at the ESRD section of QualityNet.org.
EQRS Data Elements:

- UPI
- Facility CCN
- Patient date of birth
- Patient date of death
- Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)
- Medicare certified services offered as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Additional services offered (Non-Medicare) as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Kt/V
- First date of ESRD (see Section 3.1.2)

Claims Based Data Elements:

*Note: Only Type of Bill (TOB) 72x claims are considered in the measure calculation.*

- Claim related condition code
- Claim control number
- Claim from date
- Claim through date
- Claim NCH daily process date
- Claim link number
- Claim occurrence date
- Claim occurrence code
- Claim CCN
- Claim value code D5
- Claim value amount
- Claim value sequence number
- Claim line institutional revenue center codes
- Claim line institutional revenue center date
- Patient health insurance claim number
- Patient date of death
- Patient date of birth

### 2.6.15 Selected References

2.7 Kt/V Dialysis Adequacy Comprehensive Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP Only)

2.7.1 Measure Name
Kt/V Dialysis Adequacy Comprehensive Clinical Measure

2.7.2 Measure Description
Percentage of all patient months for patients whose delivered dose of dialysis (either HD or PD) met the specified threshold during the reporting period.

2.7.3 Measure Rationale
See above for the clinical rationale associated with each of the four components of the comprehensive Kt/V clinical measure.

The primary rationale for the combined measure is to make more facilities eligible for public reporting of these metrics by meeting the ≥ 11 eligible patients requirement. For public reporting, a facility has to treat at least 11 qualifying patients for each measure in order to receive a score on that measure. The 11-patient requirement is anchored in Health and Human Services (HHS) policy related to small cell sizes to protect identification of patients and release of protected health information. An additional reason is the need for sufficient data to achieve reliability of a measure calculation, and less than 11 patients is not a statistically reliable sample size. We recognize there is no published evidence describing use of the combined subpopulation and modality measures. However, each component measure has strong evidence support from literature and each also reflects consensus guideline recommendations. Combining these established consensus measures to counter an unintended consequence of the application of federal protected health information regulations should not require additional scientific justification beyond what already exists.

In the case of dialysis adequacy, CMS found that a significant number of facilities that have less than 11 PD patients or less than 11 pediatric patients would be included in the new combined measure but excluded from the individual measures, leading to the systematic exclusion of these facilities from assessment on these measures because of the reporting requirements.

2.7.4 Measure Type
Intermediate outcome

2.7.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A higher rate indicates better quality.

2.7.6 Risk Adjustment
None.
2.7.7 Numerator Statement

Number of patient months in the denominator for patients whose delivered dose of dialysis met the specified thresholds. The thresholds are as follows:

- HD (all ages): spKt/V ≥ 1.2 (calculated from the last measurement of the month using UKM or Daugirdas II)
- PD (pediatric < 18 years old, see Section 3.1.3): Kt/V ≥ 1.8 (dialytic + residual, measured within the past 6 months)
- PD (adult ≥ 18 years old): Kt/V ≥ 1.7 (dialytic + residual, measured within the past 4 months)

2.7.8 Facility Exclusions

- Facilities that treat fewer than 11 eligible patients during the calendar year
- Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4).
- Calculations will exclude lab values reported by new facilities during the month in which the CCN becomes effective and the following three months.

2.7.9 Denominator Statement

- All adult HD patients who received dialysis greater than two and less than four times a week (adults, ≥ 18 years old), and all pediatric in-center HD patients who received dialysis greater than two and less than four times a week (pediatric, < 18 years old), and the claim or EQRS did not indicate frequent dialysis.
- All patients (both HD and PD) who are assigned to the facility for the entire month and have had ESRD for more than 90 days (see Section 3.1.5).
- Note, patient age is determined as of the first of the month when Kt/V is reported in EQRS, and as of the claim-from date when Kt/V is obtained from claims.

2.7.10 Denominator Exclusions

- For new facilities only, the month in which the CCN becomes effective and the following three months (see Section 3.5).
- Adult HD patients and pediatric in-center HD patients receiving dialysis less than or equal to 2 times weekly or greater than or equal to 4 times weekly (see Section 3.1.4).
- Pediatric home HD patients. When Kt/V is reported in EQRS, pediatric patients are defined as patients < 18 years old as of the first day of the reporting month. If Kt/V is obtained from claims, pediatric patients < 18 years old as of the claim-from date are excluded.
- Patient-months on ESRD treatment for fewer than 91 days at the beginning of the reporting month when using EQRS as the Kt/V data source. If claims are used as the data source, the 90 days on ESRD treatment is determined based on the claim-from date, representing the start of when care was provided.
• Patients who changed dialysis modality during the month. Note: For adult HD patients, a change from in-center to home HD (or vice versa) is not considered a modality change. Modality determination is described in Section 3.1.1.

• Patients who were not assigned to the facility for the entire month due to death or discharge for one of the following reasons: discontinued, involuntary discharge, transplant, or other reasons for leaving dialysis (see Section 3.1.5).

• Patients who were not assigned to the facility for the entire month due to transfer to a different facility.

• Criteria for selecting claims and their Kt/V values:
  o A HD claim is considered eligible if it is for an in-center HD (adult or pediatric) or adult home HD patient and meets all three of the following conditions:
    - The patient has had ESRD for at least 90 days as of the claim-from date;
    - The home HD patient is at least 18 years old as of the claim-from date; and
    - The claim is neither a “frequent” dialysis claim nor an “infrequent” dialysis claim, as described in Section 3.1.4.
  o A PD claim is considered eligible if it is from a PD patient who had ESRD for at least 90 days.
  o If there are multiple claims for a patient during a month, the last valid claim is the eligible claim with the latest claim-from date.
  o For a HD patient, if multiple Kt/V values are reported on the last eligible claim, then the following decision rules are used to select the Kt/V value:
    - First, select the highest numeric Kt/V value that is not 8.88 or 9.99
    - Second, select 8.88 if reported and no other valid value is reported
    - Third, select 9.99 if reported and no other value is reported.
  o For HD patients, the reported spKt/V should not include residual renal function.
  o For a PD patient, the last eligible claim with a Kt/V value that is not expired (i.e. the Kt/V occurrence date is less than or equal to four months prior to the end of the claim for an adult, six months prior to the end of the claim for pediatric) is selected when there are multiple claims reported in a month.
  o If multiple eligible claims are submitted for a patient in the same month and there is at least one Kt/V=9.99 and at least one Kt/V not equal to 9.99 then the claims with Kt/V 9.99 are considered ineligible.
  o Claims reported during ECE months will not be used in calculations.

2.7.11 Mapping Patients to Facilities

See Section 2.3.11.
2.7.12 Calculating Numerators

2.7.12.1 Adult HD Kt/V:
Number of patient-months in denominator whose delivered dose of HD (calculated from the last measurements of the month using the UKM or Daugirdas II formula) was a spKt/V ≥ 1.2. Out of range values (spKt/V > 5.0) are excluded from the numerator (i.e., set to missing). Patient age is determined as of the first of the month when Kt/V is reported in EQRS, and as of the claim-from date when Kt/V is obtained from claims.

- If a patient has multiple Kt/V values in EQRS during a month, then the last reported value is selected.
- If a Kt/V value is not found in EQRS for the patient during the reporting month, the following logic applies to selecting a Kt/V value from claims, if possible.
  - For in-center HD patients, the system will select the appropriate non-missing Kt/V value reported on the last eligible Medicare claim from the assigned facility (when available) for the patient during the month.
  - For home HD patients, the system will select the appropriate non-missing Kt/V value reported on the last eligible Medicare claim from the assigned facility (when available) for the patient during the month where the Kt/V reading date is within the four months of the claim through date.
- Kt/V lab values reported by facilities during ECE months will not be used in calculations.

2.7.12.2 Adult PD Kt/V:
Number of patient-months in denominator whose delivered dose of PD (dialytic + residual, calculated from the last measurements of the four-month study period) was a Kt/V ≥ 1.7. Out of range values (Kt/V > 8.5) are excluded from the numerator (i.e., set to missing). Patient age is determined as of the first of the month when Kt/V is reported in EQRS, and as of the claim-from date when Kt/V is obtained from claims.

- If a patient has multiple Kt/V values in EQRS during the month under consideration or in the 3 months prior, then the last reported value is selected.
- If a value is not found in EQRS for the patient during the four-month study period, then the last reported non-missing value reported on the last eligible Medicare claim from the assigned facility (when available) for the patient during the four-month study period is selected (when available).
- Kt/V lab values reported by facilities during granted ECE months will not be used in calculations.
- Kt/V lab values reported by facilities in the month in which the CCN becomes effective and the following three months will not be used in calculations.
- The length of the study period is based on patient age determination.
2.7.12.3 Pediatric HD Kt/V:

Number of patient-months in denominator whose delivered dose of HD (calculated from the last measurements of the month using the UKM or Daugirdas II formula) was a spKt/V ≥ 1.2. Out of range values (spKt/V>5.0) are excluded from the numerator (i.e., set to missing). Patient age is determined as of the first of the month when Kt/V is reported in EQRS, and as of the claim-from date when Kt/V is obtained from claims.

- If a patient has multiple Kt/V values in EQRS during a month, then the last reported value is selected.
- If a Kt/V value is not found in EQRS for the patient during the reporting month, the following logic applies to selecting a Kt/V value from claims, if possible.
  - For in-center HD pediatric patients, the system will select the appropriate non-missing Kt/V value reported on the last eligible Medicare claim from the assigned facility (when available) for the patient during the month.
- Kt/V lab values reported by facilities during granted ECE months will not be used in calculations.

2.7.12.4 Pediatric PD Kt/V:

Number of patient-months in denominator whose delivered dose of PD (dialytic + residual, calculated from the last measurements of the six-month study period) was a Kt/V ≥ 1.8. Out of range values (Kt/V>8.5) are excluded from the numerator (i.e., set to missing). Patient age is determined as of the first of the month for EQRS, and as of the claim-from date for claims.

- If a patient has multiple Kt/V values in EQRS during the month under consideration or in the 5 months prior, then the last reported value is selected.
- If a Kt/V value is not found in EQRS for the patient during the six-month study period, then the last reported non-missing value reported on the last eligible Medicare claim from the assigned facility (when available) for the patient during the six-month study period (reporting month and five prior months) is selected (when available).
- Kt/V lab values reported by facilities during ECE months will not be used in calculations.
- Kt/V lab values reported by facilities in the month in which the CCN becomes effective and the following three months will not be used in calculations.
- The length of the study period is based on patient age determination.

2.7.13 Assigning Patient-Months to Numerators and Denominators

Once a Kt/V value for the patient-month has been selected, the following criteria are used when considering whether to assign the patient-month to the numerator, denominator, or both:

- If selected Kt/V value is missing or 9.99 (i.e., when using claims) or expired, include patient-month in the denominator, but not in the numerator.
- If selected Kt/V value meets the Kt/V value threshold (> 1.2 for HD, ≥ 1.7 for adult PD, or ≥ 1.8 for pediatric PD) and is not expired, then include patient month in denominator and numerator.
2.7.14 Data Elements and Data Sources

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the data elements can be found at the ESRD section of QualityNet.org.

**EQRS Data Elements:**
- Facility CCN
- Patient date of birth
- Patient date of death
- UPI
- Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)
- Number of prescribed dialysis sessions per week
- Medicare certified services offered as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Additional services offered (Non-Medicare) as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Kt/V method
- Kt/V value
- Reporting/clinical month
- Modality (to determine look-back period and assess if modality changed during the month)

**Claims Based Data Elements:**

*Note: Only Type of Bill (TOB) 72x claims are considered in the measure calculation.*
- Patient health insurance claim number
- Patient date of birth
- Patient date of death
- Claim related condition code
- Claim control number
- Claim-from date
- Claim through date
- Claim NCH daily process date
- Claim link number
- Claim occurrence date
- Claim occurrence code
- Claim CCN
- Claim value code D5
- Claim value amount
- Claim value sequence number
Draft

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

- Claim line institutional revenue center codes
- Claim line institutional revenue center dates
- Calculated start of ESRD date (see Section 3.1.2)

2.7.15 Flowchart

Figure 3 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Kt/V Dialysis Adequacy Comprehensive Clinical Measure Rate.
Figure 3: Kt/V Dialysis Adequacy Comprehensive Clinical Measure Rate Flowchart (ESRD QIP Only)
2.8  nPCR for Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

2.8.1  Measure Name
Measurement of normalized protein catabolic rate (nPCR) for Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients.

2.8.2  Measure Description
Percentage of patient months of pediatric (less than 18 years old) in-center HD patients (irrespective of frequency of dialysis) with documented monthly nPCR measurements.

2.8.3  Measure Rationale
nPCR provides an estimate of dietary protein intake and has been shown to provide additional information to spKt/V. Studies have shown that in adolescent patients who achieved target spKt/V levels, nPCR was associated with nutritional status. Furthermore, there is evidence that nPCR < 1 gram/kg/day is predictive of malnutrition and sustained weight loss among adolescent patients.

2.8.4  Measure Type
Process

2.8.5  Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A higher number indicates better quality.

2.8.6  Risk Adjustment
None.

2.8.7  Numerator Statement
Number of patient months in the denominator with monthly nPCR measurements.

2.8.8  Facility Exclusions
Facilities that treat fewer than 11 eligible patients during the performance period are excluded from the measure.

2.8.9  Denominator Statement
Number of all patient months for pediatric (less than 18 years old) in-center HD patients (irrespective of frequency of dialysis).

2.8.10 Denominator Exclusions
Exclusions that are implicit in the denominator definition include:

- Pediatric patients (>= 18 years old) (see Section 3.1.3)
• Patients not assigned to the facility for the entire month
• Home HD patients

2.8.11 Mapping Patients to Facilities

See Section 2.3.11

2.8.12 Calculating Numerators

The number of patients in the study month where (1) nPCR value and the date the nPCR was collected were known or (2) the components that make up nPCR (blood urea nitrogen [BUN] pre-dialysis, BUN post-dialysis, pre-dialysis weight, pre-dialysis weight unit of measure, post-dialysis weight, post-dialysis weight unit of measure, and delivered minutes of BUN HD session) and the date of collection are all known.

2.8.13 Data Elements and Data Sources

EQRS Data Elements:

• UPI
• Facility CCN
• Patient date of birth
• Patient date of death
• Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)
• Number of dialysis sessions per week
• Medicare certified services offered as of 12/31 of the performance period
• Additional services offered (Non-Medicare) as of 12/31 of the performance period
• BUN pre-dialysis
• BUN post-dialysis
• Pre-dialysis weight
• Pre-dialysis weight unit of measure
• Post-dialysis weight
• Post-dialysis weight unit of measure
• Delivered minutes of BUN HD session
• First date of ESRD (see Section 3.1.2)

Claims Based Data Elements:

Note: Only Type of Bill (TOB) 72x claims are considered in the measure calculation.

• Claim related condition code
• Claim control number
• Claim-from date
- Claim through date
- Claim NCH daily process date
- Claim link number
- Claim occurrence code
- Claim occurrence date
- Claim CCN
- Claim value code D5
- Claim value amount
- Claim value sequence number
- Claim line institutional revenue center codes
- Claim line institutional revenue center fate
- Patient health insurance claim number
- Patient date of death
- Patient date of birth

2.8.14 Flowchart

Figure 4 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the nPCR for Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients Rate.
Figure 4: nPCR for Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients Flowchart (Dialysis Facility Measures only)

* Multiple data sources from EQRS include the CMS Annual Facility Survey (Form CMS-2744), Medicare dialysis and hospital payment records, the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728). Other data sources include transplant data from the Organ Procurement and Transplant Network (OPTN) the Death Notification Form (CMS-2746), the Dialysis Facility Compare (Dialysis Facility Measures) and the Social Security Death Master File.

** When there are multiple values for a patient during the month, the last is selected.

2.8.15 Selected References

2.9 Hypercalcemia Clinical (Dialysis Facility Measure Only) and Reporting (ESRD QIP Only) Measures

2.9.1 Measure Name
Proportion of Patients with Hypercalcemia – NQF #1454

2.9.2 Measure Description

Dialysis Facilities Measure only:
Proportion of all adult patient-months (Medicare and non-Medicare patients) with 3-month rolling average of total uncorrected serum or plasma calcium greater than 10.2 mg/dL or missing.

ESRD QIP only:
Percentage of all adult patient-months where total uncorrected serum or plasma calcium lab values were reported in EQRS during the performance period.

2.9.3 Measure Rationale
The Hypercalcemia Clinical Measure was developed in 2010 based on the recommendations of a clinical technical expert panel’s (TEP) consideration of the multiple large, risk-adjusted observational studies (referenced below) demonstrating a consistent relationship between presence of hypercalcemia and patient mortality. TEP members felt that while small, the population of patients with hypercalcemia was at increased risk of cardiovascular events and therefore the condition needed to be identified and appropriately treated. The TEP agreed that therapy should be focused on preventing the development of a sustained serum calcium greater than 10.2 mg/dL. The measure was re-evaluated by a second clinical TEP in 2013. The 2013 TEP identified additional observational studies (referenced below) supporting the measure and affirmed their agreement with the measure’s focus as a safety measure, emphasizing avoidance of hypercalcemia to prevent adverse clinical consequences.

Given both the 2010 TEP and 2013 TEP recommendations, and the additional evidence cited in the current NQF submission, the measure remains an important intermediate outcome and patient safety measure, even in light of the lack of interventional trials supporting a specific threshold. Nevertheless, the number of large, risk-adjusted observational studies (referenced below) with consistent direction of association between hypercalcemia and mortality cannot be ignored.

Given this, several NQF standing committee reviewers agreed with the prior TEPs’ opinions that the measure represented an appropriate safety-net. As an additional concern, the Protecting Access to Medicare Act of 2014 mandated the implementation of conditions treated through oral-only medications in the ESRD QIP as a safety measure against over-use of oral-only medications following changes to the ESRD prospective payment system (PPS) bundle payment. Congress likely recognized the need for more safety measures in the ESRD program, particularly in the area of drug overuse, following similar concerns for the use of erythropoiesis stimulating agents (ESAs) in treating anemia in the same population.
2.9.4 Measure Type

Dialysis Facilities Measure only:
Intermediate Outcome

ESRD QIP only:

2.9.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate

Dialysis Facilities Measure only:
A lower rate indicates better quality.

2.9.6 Risk Adjustment
None.

2.9.7 Numerator Statement

Dialysis Facilities Measure only:
Number of patient-months in the denominator with 3-month rolling average of total uncorrected (indicates that albumin is not considered in the calculation) serum or plasma calcium greater than 10.2 mg/dL or missing. Patient-months with missing values in the reporting month and the two months prior are included in the numerator to minimize any incentive favoring non-measurement of serum calcium during the preceding three months.

ESRD QIP only:
Number of patient-months in the denominator with total uncorrected serum or plasma calcium lab value reported in EQRS.

2.9.8 Facility Exclusions
Facilities with fewer than eleven patients (< 11) who meet the measure’s specifications during the period for which the rate is being calculated.

ESRD QIP only:
• Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4).
• For new facilities only, the month in which the CCN becomes effective and the following three months (see Section 3.5).
2.9.9 Denominator Statement

Number of patient-months at the facility during the measurement period. Includes all patients, both Medicare and non-Medicare patients. Patient-months with missing values in the reporting month and the two months prior are included in the denominator to minimize any incentive favoring non-measurement of serum calcium in the preceding three months.

2.9.10 Denominator Exclusions

- Patients on ESRD treatment for fewer than 90 days as of the first day of the reporting month.
- Patients who died prior to the last day of the reporting month.

Dialysis Facility Measures only:

- Patients not assigned to the facility for the entire reporting month.
- Patient younger than age 18 years old two months prior to the reporting month (see Section 3.1.3)

ESRD QIP only:

- Patient was discharged from the facility prior to the last day of the reporting month.
- Patient was not on ESRD treatment during the reporting month.
- Patient younger than age 18 years old as of the first day of the reporting month (see Section 3.1.3).
- Patients with other treatment modality.
- Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4).

2.9.11 Mapping Patients to Facilities

- ESRD QIP:
  - A patient is assigned to a facility based on admit and discharge dates from EQRS.
  - Patients can be attributed to multiple facilities within the same month.

Dialysis Facility Measures:

- Patients can be attributed to only one facility per month.
- For each patient, the dialysis provider at each point in time was identified primarily using data from EQRS, the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728) and Medicare dialysis claims. Both patient assignment to the provider and modality (either HD or PD) were determined according to the information reported in the above-mentioned data sources. For each reporting month, patients were required to have been indicated as treated by the facility for the complete month in order to be included in the denominator. If a patient transferred in or out of the facility, discontinued dialysis, recovered renal function, or died anytime during the month, the entire patient-month is excluded. Please note that the number of sessions is not considered and the patient may not have received treatment at the facility for the entire month to be included. For example, if a patient is hospitalized or travels during the month, the patient may still be included in the facility’s measure if they are
indicated as the facility’s patient that month according to the data as described above. Additionally, patients for whom the only evidence of dialysis treatment is the existence of Medicare claims were considered lost to follow-up and removed from a facility’s analysis one year following the last claim, if there was no earlier evidence of transfer, recovery, or death. In other words, if a period of one year passed with neither Medicare dialysis claims nor EQRS information to indicate that a patient was receiving dialysis treatment, we considered the patient lost to follow-up, and did not use him or her in the analysis.

2.9.12 Calculating Numerators

**Dialysis Facilities Measures only:**

A patient-month is included in the numerator if the average calcium level is greater than 10.2 mg/dL or missing. Any value reported during the two months prior to the reporting month will only be used to calculate the 3-month rolling average if applicable.

- The last calcium value reported in the month is used for calculation.
- The calcium value reported by the facility is used. The facility may obtain this value from an external source.
- No interpolation between calcium values for PD patients.
- “Uncorrected” indicates albumin is not considered in the calculation.
- A one-, two-, or three-month average can be calculated as long as there is a calcium value reported during the three-month window.
- Patient-months with missing values in the reporting month and the two months prior are included in the denominator and the numerator to minimize any incentive favoring non-measurement of serum or plasma calcium in the preceding three months.
- Out of range uncorrected serum calcium or plasma value (values < 0.1 and value > 20) are considered as missing.

**ESRD QIP only:**

A patient-month is included in the numerator if a non-missing uncorrected serum calcium lab value is reported.

- The calcium value reported by the facility is used. The facility may obtain this value from an external source.
- “Uncorrected” indicates albumin is not considered in the calculation.
  - For new facilities only, calcium values reported during the first three months (based on initial certification date) will not be used (see Section 3.5).

2.9.13 Calculation of ESRD QIP Hypercalcemia Score

The Hypercalcemia measure will be scored as a reporting measure. An eligible facility’s score is calculated according to the following equation:
2.9.14 Data Elements and Data Sources

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the data elements can be found at the ESRD section of QualityNet.org.

**EQRS Data Elements:**
- Facility CCN
- Initial certification date
- Patient date of birth
- Patient date of death
- UPI
- Admit date
- Discharge date
- Date of month/year associated with clinical record
- Uncorrected serum or plasma calcium reading amount
- Date of last uncorrected serum calcium reading
- First date of ESRD (see Section 3.1.2)

**Claims Based Data Elements:**

*Note: Only Type of Bill (TOB) 72x claims are considered in the measure calculation.*
- Claim control number
- Claim-from date
- Claim through date
- Patient health insurance claim number
- Patient date of birth
- Patient date of death
- Claim CCN

2.9.15 Flowchart for ESRD QIP

Figure 5 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Hypercalcemia Reporting Measure Rate for ESRD QIP.
Figure 5: Hypercalcemia Reporting Measure Rate Flowchart (ESRD QIP Only)
2.9.16 Flowchart for Dialysis Facility Measures

Figure 6 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Hypercalcemia Clinical Measure Rate for Dialysis Facility Measures.

Hypercalcemia (DFC): Percentage of adult dialysis patients with a 3-month rolling average of total uncorrected calcium (Serum or plasma) greater than 10.2 mg/dl (hypercalcemia).

Figure 6: Hypercalcemia Clinical Measure Rate Flowchart (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)
2.9.17 Selected References


2.10 Clinical Depression Screening and Follow-Up Reporting Measure (ESRD QIP Only)

2.10.1 Measure Name
Screening for Clinical Depression and Follow-Up Reporting Measure – NQF #0418

2.10.2 Measure Description
Facility reports in EQRS one of the six conditions below for each qualifying patient once before the close of the December clinical month. Note: the bold terms are defined below.

1. Screening for clinical depression is documented as being positive and a follow-up plan is documented.
2. Screening for clinical depression documented as positive, a follow-up plan is not documented, and the facility possesses documentation that the patient is not eligible.
3. Screening for clinical depression documented as positive, the facility possesses no documentation of a follow-up plan, and no reason is given.
4. Screening for clinical depression documented as negative and no follow-up plan required.
5. Screening for clinical depression not documented, but the facility possesses documentation stating the patient is not eligible.
6. Clinical depression screening not documented, and no reason is given.

Note: the following terms in bold above are defined as follows:

- Screening for clinical depression – Completion of a clinical or diagnostic standardized tool used to identify people at risk of developing or having a certain disease or condition, even in the absence of symptoms. A standardized tool is an assessment tool that has been appropriately normalized and validated for the population in which it is used. Facilities are not required to use a particular tool but should choose one that is appropriate for their patient population. The name of the standardized assessment tool used must be documented in the medical record.

- Positive – Based on the scoring and interpretation of the specific standardized tool used, and through discussion during the patient visit, the provider should determine if the patient is deemed positive for signs of depression. Justification for or against a positive screening should be documented in the medical record.

- Follow-up plan – A documented outline of care for a positive depression screening.

- Not eligible (condition 2) – A patient may not be eligible for follow-up plan, or it may not be appropriate for a patient to undergo treatment or therapy for depression because such treatments are medically contraindicated. Justification for a patient’s ineligibility for follow-up treatment should be documented in the patients’ medical record.

- Not eligible (condition 5) – A patient is not eligible for Depression Screening if one or more of the following reasons are documented in the patient’s medical record:
• Patient refuses to participate
• Patient is in an urgent or emergent situation where time is of the essence and to delay treatment would jeopardize the patient’s health status
• Situations where the patient’s motivation to improve may impact the accuracy of results of nationally recognized standardized depression assessment tools. For example: certain court appointed cases
• Patient was referred with a diagnosis of depression
• Patient has been participating in on-going treatment with screening of clinical depression in a preceding reporting period
• Severe mental and/or physical incapacity where the person is unable to express himself/herself in a manner understood by others. For example: cases such as delirium or severe cognitive impairment, where depression cannot be accurately assessed through use of nationally recognized standardized depression assessment tools

2.10.3 Measure Type
Process

2.10.4 Facility Exclusions
• Facilities with fewer than 11 eligible patients during the performance period (see Section 2.10.5 below).
• Facilities with a CCN certification date on or after April 1 of the performance period.
• Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE.

2.10.5 Patient Exclusions
• Patients who are younger than 12 years old (see Section 3.1.3) as of October 31 of the performance period.
• Patients who are treated at the facility for fewer than 90 days (days do not have to be consecutive) between during the performance period (see Section 3.1.5).
• Patients not on ESRD treatment as defined by a completed 2728 form, an EQRS record, or a sufficient amount of dialysis reported on dialysis facility claims.
• Patients with other treatment modality.

2.10.6 Determining Successful Reporting for a Patient
If a patient is eligible at more than one facility, then each facility must report for the patient in order to receive credit on the measure. Facilities are not required to report for patients if one or more of the following are documented during the encounter of the measurement period:

1. Patient has an active diagnosis of depression prior to any encounter during the measurement period.
2. Patient has a diagnosed bipolar disorder prior to any encounter during the measurement period

A facility is considered to have successfully reported for a patient if it reports one of the following six conditions in EQRS for the patient once before the close of the December clinical month (See Section 2.10.2 for definitions of bold terms):

1. Screening for clinical depression is documented as being positive and a follow-up plan is documented.
2. Screening for clinical depression documented as positive, a follow-up plan is not documented, and the facility possesses documentation that the patient is not eligible.
3. Screening for clinical depression documented as positive, the facility possesses no documentation of a follow-up plan, and no reason is given.
4. Screening for clinical depression documented as negative and no follow-up plan required.
5. Screening for clinical depression not documented, but the facility possesses documentation stating the patient is not eligible.
6. Clinical depression screening not documented, and no reason is given.

**2.10.7 Calculating a Facility’s Score on the Depression Screening and Follow-Up Reporting Measure**

An eligible facility’s score on the Depression Screening and Follow-Up Reporting Measure is calculated according to the following equation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of Eligible Patients for Whom a Facility Successfully Reports One of Six Conditions During the Performance Period}}{\text{Total number of Eligible Patients During the Performance Period}} \times 10
\]

The result of the division portion of the formula will be rounded to 8 decimals, then multiplied by 10. This will then be rounded to the nearest whole number (with half rounded up) to generate a measure score between 0-10. Negative scores will be rounded to zero.

**2.10.8 Data Elements and Data Sources**

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the data elements can be found at the ESRD section of QualityNet.org.

**EQRS Data Elements:**

- Facility CCN
- Initial certification date
- Patient date of birth
2.10.9 Flowchart

Figure 7 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Screening for Clinical Depression and Follow-Up Reporting Measure Rate.
Figure 7: Screening for Clinical Depression and Follow-Up Reporting Measure Flowchart (ESRD QIP Only)
2.11 Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) Clinical Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

2.11.1 Measure Name
Standardized Readmission Ratio for Dialysis Facilities

2.11.2 Measure Description
The Standardized Readmission Ratio (SRR) is defined to be the ratio of the number of index discharges from acute care hospitals that resulted in an unplanned readmission to an acute care hospital within 4–30 days of discharge for Medicare-covered dialysis patients treated at a particular dialysis facility, to the number of readmissions that would be expected given the discharging hospitals and the characteristics of the patients, as well as the national norm for dialysis facilities. Note that in this measure, “hospital” always refers to acute care hospital.

2.11.3 Measure Rationale
Unplanned readmission rates are an important indicator of patient morbidity and quality of life. On average, dialysis patients are admitted to the hospital nearly twice a year and hospitalizations account for approximately 38 percent of total Medicare expenditures for dialysis patients (U.S. Renal Data System, 2012). In 2010, more than 30% of dialysis patient discharges from an all-cause hospitalization were followed by an unplanned readmission within 30 days (U.S. Renal Data System, 2012). Measures of the frequency of unplanned readmissions, such as SRR, help efforts to control escalating medical costs, play an important role in providing cost-effective health care, and support coordination of care across inpatient and outpatient settings: discharge planning, transition, and follow-up care.

2.11.4 Measure Type
Outcome

2.11.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A lower ratio indicates better quality.

2.11.6 Numerator Statement
The observed number of index hospital discharges that are followed by an unplanned hospital readmission within 4–30 days of discharge.

2.11.7 Facility Exclusions
The standardized readmission ratio is only calculated for facilities with at least 11 index hospital discharges in a performance year.

Index discharges occurring from February – June 2020 are excluded, accounting for either index discharges or readmissions occurring during the ECE March – June 2020 period.
2.11.8 Denominator Statement

The expected number of index discharges followed by an unplanned readmission within 4-30 days in each facility, which is derived from a model that accounts for patient characteristics, the dialysis facility to which the patient is discharged, and the discharging acute care or critical access hospitals involved.

Index Discharge Exclusions

Index hospital discharges exclude discharges that:

- Occurred at a non-acute care hospital
- End in death
- Are against medical advice
- Include a primary diagnosis for certain types of cancer, mental health or rehab prosthesis. Use the ICD diagnosis code information related to this edition of the Manual, which can be found on the Measuring Quality page on the ESRD QIP section of CMS.gov.
- Includes a revenue center code indicating rehabilitation
- Occur after a patient’s 5th admission in the calendar year
- Are from a PPS-exempt cancer hospital
- Where the patient was not on dialysis and under care of a dialysis facility at discharge
- It is followed within 3 days by any hospitalization (at acute care, long-term care, rehabilitation, or psychiatric hospital or unit), death, transplant, loss to follow up, withdrawal from dialysis, or recovery of renal function
- Are associated with an inpatient stay of 365 days or longer

2.11.9 Mapping Patients to Facilities

Index discharges are attributed to the dialysis provider to which the patient is discharged at the end of the hospital stay. In other words, the facility to which the patient is discharged is held responsible for any unplanned readmissions occurring within 4-30 days of the index discharge, regardless of whether the patient is still being treated at the facility associated with the index discharge at the time of readmission.

2.11.10 Defining Readmissions

Index discharges are restricted to Medicare-covered hospitalizations for inpatient care at short-term acute care hospitals and critical access hospitals. Discharges from skilled nursing facilities (SNFs), long-term care hospitals (LTCHs), rehabilitation hospitals and PPS-exempt cancer hospitals - as well as those from separate dedicated units for hospice, rehabilitation and psychiatric care - are excluded. Potential readmissions are:

- Medicare-covered hospitalizations for inpatient care at short-term acute care hospitals and critical access hospitals.
- Classified as either a planned or unplanned admission according to planned readmission algorithm (see below for further discussion).

Note that hospitalizations where the patient dies on the date of discharge are included for consideration as potential readmissions.
The numerator for a given facility is the total number of index hospital discharges that are followed by unplanned readmissions within 4-30 days of discharge and that are not preceded by a “planned” readmission or other competing event that also occurred within 4-30 days of discharge (competing events include admissions to rehabilitation or psychiatric hospitals, death, transplant, loss to follow up, withdrawal from dialysis, and recovery of renal function). If the first event during days 4-30 after discharge is an unplanned hospitalization, then the index discharge is classified as having a readmission. If the first event during days 4-30 is a planned hospitalization or other competing event, then the index discharge is classified as not having a readmission. A readmission is considered “planned” under three scenarios:

i) The patient undergoes a procedure that is always considered planned (e.g., kidney transplant) or has a primary diagnosis that always indicates the hospitalization is planned (e.g., maintenance chemotherapy).

ii) The patient undergoes a procedure that MAY be considered planned if it is not accompanied by an acute diagnosis. For example, a hospitalization involving a heart valve procedure accompanied by a primary diagnosis of diabetes would be considered planned, whereas a hospitalization involving a heart valve procedure accompanied by a primary diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) would be considered unplanned.

iii) The readmission was to a rehabilitation, long term, or psychiatric hospital.

This definition follows from the algorithm developed by Yale New Haven Health Services Corporation/Center for Outcomes Research & Evaluation (YNHSC/CORE) for The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services 2018 All-Cause Hospital Wide Measure Updates and Specifications Report Hospital Level 30-Day Risk-Standardized Readmission Measure – Version 7.0.

https://www.qualitynet.org/files/5d0d375a764be766b010141f?filename=2018_Rdmsn_Updates%26Specs_Rpts.zip

### 2.11.11 Risk Adjustment

The risk adjustment approach used in the model for the SRR was adapted from CMS’ Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (SHR) and CMS’ Hospital-Wide Readmission (HWR) measure. The regression model used to compute a facility’s “expected” number of readmissions for the SRR measure contains many factors thought to be associated with readmission event rates. Specifically, the model adjusts for age, sex, diabetes, duration of ESRD, BMI at start of dialysis, past-year comorbidities, length of the index discharge hospital stay, nursing home status in previous 365 days, Medicare Advantage status at time of index discharge, and the presence of a high-risk diagnosis (defined below) at index discharge. The model will also include at least one adjustment for diagnosis of COVID-19 determined from Medicare claims and EQRS data sources. In addition to adjusting for facility indicators as fixed effects, the model also adjusts for the effects of discharging hospitals as random effects, accounting for the variation in readmission outcome across different hospitals.

Below are details on the SRR’s risk adjustors:

- **Sex**: Determine each patient’s sex from multiple sources.
• **Age at Index Discharge**: Determined from the birth date provided in EQRS, Medicare Claims, and the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728). Three age spine variables centered at 60 were defined (0-13, 14-59, and 60+).

• **Years on ESRD**: Determined using the first service date from patient’s Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), claims history (all claim types with evidence of dialysis), EQRS, and Dialysis Facility Measures the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) database.

• **Diabetes as cause of ESRD**: Primary cause of ESRD determined from patient’s Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728) and EQRS. When primary cause of ESRD is missing, we assume diabetes is not the cause of ESRD.

• **Interaction terms**: Two interaction terms between diabetes as cause of ESRD and Age (split 14-59 and 60+).

• **BMI at incidence**: Calculated based on the height and weight provided on patient’s Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728) and group patients into the following categories: BMI < 18.5, 18.5 ≤ BMI < 25, 25 ≤ BMI < 30, or BMI ≥ 30. BMI is imputed to the BMI ≥ 30 category when either missing, or outside the range of 10 to 70 for adults or 5 to 70 for children.

• **Days hospitalized during index hospitalization**: Each hospitalization’s length is determined by taking the difference between the date of admission and the date of discharge available on the inpatient claim. For patients who are transferred between one acute care hospital and another, the measure considers these multiple contiguous hospitalizations as a single acute episode of care, and the length is calculated by taking the difference between the date of admission for the first hospitalization and the date of discharge from the last hospitalization included. Time in the hospital is included as a categorical variable based on quartiles (1 variable for each quartile).

• **Nursing home status**: Uses multiple sources* including the CMS Nursing Home Minimum Data Set (MDS). Determine each patient’s nursing home status in previous 365 days and categorize as none (0 days), short term nursing home (0-89 days), or long term nursing home (>=90 days) at time of index hospitalization discharge.

• **Medicare Advantage**: Using the Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB), determine whether the patient was enrolled as a Medicare Advantage (MA) patient at the time of the index hospitalization discharge.

• **Past-year comorbidities (risk variables)**: Determined by identifying unique ICD-10 diagnosis codes for each patient reported on Medicare inpatient claims in the 365 days preceding (and inclusive of) the index discharge date. Diagnosis codes are grouped using 53 comorbidity groups defined by the 2019.1 version of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Clinical Classifications Software (AHRQ CCS). See Section 2.11.15 for a list of AHRQ categories included.

• **Discharged with high-risk condition**: A high-risk diagnosis is any diagnosis area (grouped by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Clinical Classification Software (CCS)) that was rare in the population but had a 30-day readmission rate of at least 40%. Note that high-risk diagnosis groups related to cancer or mental health are excluded from index discharges. The CCS areas identified as high-risk are:
  - **CCS 5**: HIV infection
In summary, the SRR indicates whether a facility experienced higher or lower readmission rates than the national average after accounting for differences that could be attributed to the patient characteristics listed above, as well as the discharging hospital.

2.11.12 Calculation of SRR

To estimate the probability of 30-day unplanned readmission, we use a three-stage model, the first of which is a fixed-effects logistic regression model. In this step, facility-hospital combinations are included as fixed effects, adjusting for a set of patient-level characteristics. The results of this step are estimates of the regression coefficients of patient-level characteristics in the logistic regression model. These estimates avoid issues of bias that arise through estimation of regression coefficients in a model with random effects. In particular, these estimates are unbiased regardless of correlations between hospital effects or facility effects and patient-case mix. These estimated regression coefficients are then used as an offset variable in the second stage model.

The next stage is a double random-effects logistic regression model. In this stage of the model, both dialysis facilities and hospitals are represented as random effects, and the sum of regression adjustments multiplied by estimated parameters obtained in the first stage is included as the offset variable. From this model, we obtain the estimated standard deviation of the random effects of hospitals (Diggle et al. 2002).

The third stage of the model is a mixed-effects logistic regression model, in which dialysis facilities are modeled as fixed effects and hospitals are modeled as random effects, with the standard deviation specified as equal to its estimate from the second-stage model and the estimated parameters obtained in the first stage providing an offset. The expected number of readmissions for each facility is estimated as the sum of the probabilities of readmission of all index discharges in this facility and assuming the national norm (i.e., the median) for the facility effect. This model accounts for a given facility’s case mix using the same set of patient-level characteristics as those in the first model.

The model and methods are described in some additional detail below:
To estimate the probability of 30-day unplanned readmission following an index discharge, we use a three-stage approach. The main model, which produces the estimates used to calculate SRR, takes the form:

$$\log \frac{p_{ijk}}{1-p_{ijk}} = \gamma_i + \alpha_j + \beta^T Z_{ijk},$$

(1)

where $p_{ijk}$ represents the probability of an unplanned readmission for the $k^{th}$ discharge among patients who are discharged from $j^{th}$ hospital to the $i^{th}$ facility, and $Z_{ijk}$ represents the set of patient-level characteristics. Here, $\gamma_i$ is the fixed effect for facility and $\alpha_j$ is the random effect for hospital $j$. It is assumed that the $\alpha_j$s arise as independent normal variables (i.e., $\alpha_j \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$).

We then use the estimates from this model to calculate each facility’s SRR:

$$SRR_i = \frac{O_i}{E_i} = \frac{O_i}{\sum_{j \in H(i)} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} \tilde{p}_{ijk}},$$

(2)

where, for the $i^{th}$ facility, $O_i$ is the number of observed unplanned readmissions, $E_i$ is the expected number of unplanned readmissions for discharges, $H(i)$ is the collection of indices of hospitals from which patients are discharged, and $\tilde{p}_{ijk}$ is the predicted probability of unplanned readmission under the national norm for each discharge. Specifically, $\tilde{p}_{ijk}$ takes the form

$$\tilde{p}_{ijk} = \frac{\exp(\gamma_M + \tilde{\alpha}_j + \tilde{\beta}^T Z_{ijk})}{1 + \exp(\gamma_M + \tilde{\alpha}_j + \tilde{\beta}^T Z_{ijk})},$$

(3)

which estimates the probability that a discharge from hospital $j$ of an individual in facility $i$ with characteristics $Z_{ijk}$ would result in an unplanned readmission if the facility effect corresponded to the median of national facility effects, denoted by $\gamma_M$. Here, $\tilde{\alpha}_j$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are estimates from model (1). The sum of these probabilities is the expected number of unplanned readmissions $E_i$ at facility $i$; e.g., the number of readmissions that would have been expected in facility $i$ had they progressed to the readmissions at the same rate as the national population of dialysis patients.

### 2.11.13 Calculation of SRR P-Values and Confidence Intervals for Dialysis Facility Measures

Measuring or assessing significance of a large SRR (i.e., an SRR greater than 1) is based on the p-value. To calculate the p-value, we use an exact method that assesses the probability that the facility would experience a number of readmissions as extreme as that observed if the null hypothesis were true; this calculation accounts for each facility’s patient mix. For instance, to test the hypothesis that a facility’s true SRR is 1.0, we calculate the positive one-tailed p-value or significance level (SL+) for each facility as the probability that the number of readmissions in
that facility would be at least as large as that observed under the assumption that this facility has readmission rates corresponding to the median facility, and given the patient characteristics or covariates. The negative one-tailed p-value (SL-) is defined correspondingly (e.g., as small as). The two-tailed p-value is then defined as \( p = 2 \times \min (SL+, SL-) \). We use a “mid-p” value to avoid two-tailed p-values greater than 1. Approaches for flagging are based on converting the p-values to z-statistics and using methods based on the empirical null hypothesis, which accounts for over dispersion in the data (Efron, 2004; Kalbfleisch and Wolfe, 2013). In effect, this method takes into account the natural variation observed between facilities and that cannot be accounted for by the model. To implement the empirical null methods, we stratify facilities into four groups based on the number of eligible patient-years at risk within each facility. We then plot the histograms of Z-scores for each strata along with normal curves fitted to the center of the histograms using a robust M-estimation method. We use these empirical null distributions to assess outlier facilities. This empirical null method makes appropriate adjustment in each of the strata and yields fairly consistent flagging rates across all strata.

To calculate the 95% interval, estimate for SRR, we use an exact method that assesses the range of facility effects, such that the probability the facility would experience a number of readmissions more extreme than that observed under the assumed facility effect is non-significant (e.g., \( p > 0.05 \)). To account for natural facility variation not explained by the model, evaluation of significance is based on the empirical null distribution, instead of the standard normal density.

### 2.11.14 Flagging Rules for Dialysis Facility Measures

As currently implemented for Dialysis Facility Measures, for reporting purposes we identify outlier facilities from amongst those with at least 11 index discharges during the time period. If the 95% interval lies entirely above the value of 1.00 (i.e., both endpoints exceed 1.00), the facility is said to have outcomes that are “worse than expected.” However, if the 95% interval lies entirely below the value 1.00, the facility is said to be “better than expected”. If the interval contains the value 1.00, the facility is said to have outcomes that are “as expected”.

### 2.11.15 Data Elements and Data Sources

Data are derived from an extensive national ESRD patient database based on data from the CMS and EQRS system, Medicare dialysis and hospital payment records, the OPTN, and the Social Security Death Master File (CMS-2744), the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and the Death Notification Form (CMS-2746) come from EQRS (Table 3). The database is comprehensive for Medicare-covered ESRD patients. Information on hospitalizations is obtained from Medicare Inpatient Claims Standard Analysis Files (SAFs) and past-year comorbidity is obtained from inpatient Medicare Claims SAFs. The data are comprehensive for Medicare patients including non-traditional Medicare patients through the use of Medicare Part A shadow records for Medicare Advantage patients.

AHRQ CCS categories are used in the risk adjustment model to identify past year comorbidities and high-risk conditions. See [https://www.hc-up-us.ahrq.gov/toolsoftware/ccsr/ccsr_archive.jsp#ccsr](https://www.hc-up-us.ahrq.gov/toolsoftware/ccsr/ccsr_archive.jsp#ccsr).
### Variable | Primary Data Source
---|---
Facility CCN | Multiple data sources<sup>1</sup>
Date of birth | Multiple data sources<sup>1</sup>
Sex | Multiple data sources<sup>1</sup>
Date of first ESRD service | Multiple data sources<sup>1</sup>
Date of death | Multiple data sources<sup>1</sup>
Date of transplant(s) | Multiple data sources<sup>1</sup>
BMI at incidence | Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)
Nursing Home Status | CMS Nursing Home Minimum Data Set
Medicare Advantage Status | Medicare Enrollment Database
Diabetes - Primary cause of ESRD | Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)
High-risk diagnoses | EQRS
Planned readmissions | Inpatient Medicare claims
Hospital admissions | Inpatient Medicare claims
Discharge status | Inpatient Medicare claims

**Table 3: Data Elements and Sources for Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) Clinical Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)**

1. This may include information from (EQRS, Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB), Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728), Medicare Claims, and Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Database (OPTN). Unique patients are identified by using a combination of SSN, first name, surname, sex, Medicare Beneficiary ID, patient Health Insurance Claim Number and birth date. Dialysis Facility Measures patient-matching process is performed to ensure that minor typos and misspellings do not cause a patient record to fall out of their history. The matching process is able to successfully match 99.5% of patients. The remaining patients have incomplete or incorrect data that does not allow them to be matched.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCS Category</th>
<th>Detailed Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS Category</td>
<td>Detailed Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Immunizations and screening for infectious disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Secondary malignancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus with complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Other endocrine disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Nutritional deficiencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Fluid and electrolyte disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Deficiency and other anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Other hematologic conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Other nervous system disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Heart valve disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Peri-; endo-; and myocarditis; cardiomyopathy (except that caused by tuberculosis or sexually transmitted disease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Acute myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Coronary atherosclerosis and other heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Nonspecific chest pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Cardiac dysrhythmias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Cardiac arrest and ventricular fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure; nonhypertensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Other circulatory disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Phlebitis; thrombophlebitis and thromboembolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Hemorrhoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS Category</td>
<td>Detailed Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Other diseases of veins and lymphatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Pneumonia (except that caused by tuberculosis or sexually transmitted disease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and bronchiectasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Pleurisy; pneumothorax; pulmonary collapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Respiratory failure; insufficiency; arrest (adult)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Other lower respiratory disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Other upper respiratory disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Intestinal infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Esophageal disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Gastritis and duodenitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Other disorders of stomach and duodenum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Other liver diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>Pancreatic disorders (not diabetes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Noninfectious gastroenteritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Other gastroenteritis disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>Urinary tract infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>Skin and subcutaneous tissue infections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4. Past Year Comorbidities, Grouped by AHRQ CCS Categories for Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) Clinical Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

The list of 53 past-year comorbidity variables was selected from 233 AHRQ CCS diagnosis categories with prevalence greater than 0.1% using a score-test based sample splitting forward selection approach. In particular, the data sample is randomly split into two halves. The first half is used for fitting a first-stage fixed effects logistic regression model to select a set of comorbidity variables via a forward selection scheme using single variable score tests with 0.01 p-value cutoff and adjusting for patient-level characteristics such as age splines, sex, BMI, etc. The second half is then used to fit another first-stage model adjusting for patient-level risk factors as well as those selected variables using the first-half data sample. Single variable score tests are performed after model fitting to obtain p-values for selected variables. A common p-value of 1 is assigned to unselected variables using the first-half data sample. The steps above are repeated 50 times to generate 50 sets of p-values for all 233 variables. The 50 p-values of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCS Category</th>
<th>Detailed Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>Other inflammatory condition of skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>Chronic ulcer of skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Infective arthritis and osteomyelitis (except that caused by tuberculosis or sexually transmitted disease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>Complication of device; implant or graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244</td>
<td>Other injuries and conditions due to external causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>Allergic reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>Administrative/social admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259</td>
<td>Residual codes; unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651</td>
<td>Anxiety disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>659</td>
<td>Schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660</td>
<td>Alcohol-related disorders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
each variable are aggregated following Bühlmann and van de Geer and the 53 prevalent comorbidities with aggregated p-values less than 0.01 are selected.
2.11.16 Flowchart

Figure 8 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) for Dialysis Facility Measures.

**Standardized Readmission Ratio:** The ratio of observed to expected hospital readmissions

**Numerator Statement:** Number of hospital readmissions observed

**Denominator Statement:** Number of hospital readmissions expected based on the national rate for patients with similar characteristics

---

**Excluded Discharge Criteria Met?**
- Patient discharged from non-acute hospital
- Patient not under care of a dialysis facility at hospital discharge
- Patient died during hospitalization
- Patient discharged from PPS-exempt cancer hospital
- Patient discharged against medical advice
- Patient died, received a transplant, changed status, or was admitted to a hospital within three days of his/her discharge
- Patient discharged with a certain diagnosis* or a revenue center code indicating rehabilitation.
- Patient discharged during his/her 13th hospitalization in the year
- Length of inpatient stay was 365 days or greater

* Adjusted for age, sex, duration of ESRD, BMI at incidence, past-year comorbidity status, diabetes as cause of ESRD, length of index hospitalization, presence of a high-risk diagnosis at discharge, Medicare Advantage status, past-year nursing home status, discharging hospital and facility providing dialysis at hospital discharge.

**Figures 8: Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) Flowchart (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)**
From Figure 8:

* A patient changed status if they recovered, discontinued dialysis, or were lost to follow-up

** Certain cancers, mental health conditions, or rehabilitation for prosthesis.

2.11.17 Selected References


• Yale New Haven Health Services Corporation/Center for Outcomes Research & Evaluation (YNHHSC/CORE) for the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). 2018 All-Cause Hospital Wide Measure Updates and Specifications Report Hospital-Level 30-Day Risk-Standardized Readmission Measure – Version 7.0. [https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient-Assessment-Instruments/HospitalQualityInits/Downloads/Hospital-Wide-All-Cause-Readmission-Updates.zip](https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient-Assessment-Instruments/HospitalQualityInits/Downloads/Hospital-Wide-All-Cause-Readmission-Updates.zip) [ZIP download].
2.12 Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP Only)

2.12.1 Measure Name
Standardized Readmission Ratio for Dialysis Facilities (NQF #2496)

2.12.2 Measure Description
The Standardized Readmission Ratio (SRR) is defined to be the ratio of the number of index discharges from acute care hospitals that resulted in an unplanned readmission to an acute care hospital within 4–30 days of discharge for Medicare-covered dialysis patients treated at a particular dialysis facility, to the number of readmissions that would be expected given the discharging hospitals and the characteristics of the patients, as well as the national norm for dialysis facilities. Note that in this measure, “hospital” always refers to acute care hospital. SRR can be expressed as a risk-standardized rate, which is the product of the facility SRR and the national average readmission rate.

2.12.3 Measure Rationale
Unplanned readmission rates are an important indicator of patient morbidity and quality of life. On average, dialysis patients are admitted to the hospital nearly twice a year and hospitalizations account for approximately 38 percent of total Medicare expenditures for dialysis patients (U.S. Renal Data System, 2012). In 2010, more than 30% of dialysis patient discharges from an all-cause hospitalization were followed by an unplanned readmission within 30 days (U.S. Renal Data System, 2012). Measures of the frequency of unplanned readmissions, such as SRR, help efforts to control escalating medical costs, play an important role in providing cost-effective health care, and support coordination of care across inpatient and outpatient settings: discharge planning, transition, and follow-up care.

2.12.4 Measure Type
Outcome

2.12.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A lower ratio indicates better quality.

2.12.6 Numerator Statement
The observed number of index hospital discharges that are followed by an unplanned hospital readmission within 4–30 days of discharge.

2.12.7 Facility Exclusions
The standardized readmission ratio is only calculated for facilities with at least 11 index hospital discharges in a performance year.
ESRD QIP only:

- Calculations of index discharges will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4).

2.12.8 Denominator Statement

The expected number of index discharges followed by an unplanned readmission within 4-30 days in each facility, which is derived from a model that accounts for patient characteristics, the dialysis facility to which the patient is discharged, and the discharging acute care or critical access hospitals involved.

Index Discharge Exclusions

Index hospital discharges exclude discharges that:

- End in death
- Result in a patient dying within 30 days with no readmission
- Are against medical advice
- Include a primary diagnosis for certain types of cancer, mental health or rehab prosthesis. Use the ICD diagnosis code information related to this edition of the Manual, which can be found on the Measuring Quality page on the ESRD QIP section of CMS.gov.
- Occur after a patient’s 12th admission in the calendar year
- Are from a PPS-exempt cancer hospital
- Result in a transfer to another acute care or critical access hospital on the same day, or the day after the discharge date
- Result in an unplanned readmission occurring within the first three days following discharge from the acute care hospital
- Where the patient was not on dialysis at discharge

2.12.9 Patient Exclusions

- Patient with a functioning transplant on the date of the index discharge. Patient is determined to have a functioning transplant on the discharge date when the discharge date occurs on or between the transplant start and end dates.

2.12.10 Mapping Patients to Facilities

Index discharges are attributed to the dialysis provider to which the patient is discharged at the end of the hospital stay. In other words, the facility to which the patient is discharged is held responsible for any unplanned readmissions occurring within 4-30 days of the index discharge, regardless of whether the patient is still being treated at the facility associated with the index discharge at the time of readmission. ESRD QIP assigns to the CCN the facility used as of date of discharge.

2.12.11 Defining Readmissions

Index discharges are restricted to Medicare-covered hospitalizations for inpatient care at short-term acute care hospitals and critical access hospitals. Discharges from skilled nursing facilities
(SNFs), long-term care hospitals (LTCHs), rehabilitation hospitals and PPS-exempt cancer hospitals - as well as those from separate dedicated units for hospice, rehabilitation and psychiatric care - are excluded. To be counted as an index discharge, the patient must be receiving dialysis treatment for ESRD at the time of discharge.

See denominator exclusions section for further exclusion criteria applied to index discharges.

Potential readmissions are restricted to:

- Medicare-covered hospitalizations for inpatient care at short-term acute care hospitals and critical access hospitals. Discharges from SNFs, LTCHs, and rehabilitation hospitals are excluded.
- Each potential readmission can be classified as a planned or unplanned admission according to planned readmission algorithm (see Section 2.12.17 for sources for further detail).
- Note that unlike index discharges, a patient does not need to be alive and receiving dialysis treatment for ESRD at the time of discharge from the hospitalization to be considered as a potential readmission.
- Hospitalizations where the patient dies before the date of discharge are excluded from all SRR calculations. Hospitalizations where the patient dies on the date of discharge are included for consideration as potential readmissions.

From this pool of potential readmissions, we identify for each index discharge the first admission within 30 days of the discharge for the patient. This information is then used to classify the index discharge by whether or not it was followed by an unplanned readmission* within 4-30 days as follows:

- If the first admission is unplanned and occurs during days 4-30 after discharge, then the index discharge is classified as having a readmission. (If the first admission is unplanned and occurs during days 1-3 after discharge, the index discharge is excluded).
- If the first admission during days 1-30 is planned* then the index discharge is classified as not having a readmission.
- If there is no admission during days 1-30 and the patient did not die within 30 days of the index discharge, then the index discharge is also classified as not having a readmission. (If there is no admission and the patient died within 30 days of the index discharge then the index discharge is excluded).

2.12.12 Calculation of National Average
The national average readmission rate is determined by calculating the total number of unplanned readmissions to an acute care hospital within 4-30 days of discharge divided by the total number of discharges from an acute care hospital in the performance period. This national average rate is multiplied by each facility’s SRR, resulting in a risk-standardized readmission rate.

2.12.13 Risk Adjustment
The risk adjustment approach used in the model for the SRR was adapted from CMS’ Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (SHR) and CMS’ Hospital-Wide Readmission (HWR) measure. The regression model used to compute a facility’s “expected” number of readmissions for the SRR measure contains many factors thought to be associated with readmission event rates. Specifically, the model adjusts for age, sex, diabetes, duration of ESRD, BMI at start of dialysis, past-year comorbidities, length of the index discharge hospital stay, and the presence of a high-risk diagnosis (defined below) at index discharge. The model will also include at least one adjustment for diagnosis of COVID-19 determined from Medicare claims and EQRS data sources. In addition, the model adjusts for the effect of the discharging hospital (via random effects).

Below are details on the SRR’s risk adjustors:

- **Sex**: Determined from EQRS.
- **Age at Index Discharge**: Determined from the birth date provided in EQRS, Medicare Claims, and the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728).
- **Years on ESRD**: Determined using the first service date from patient’s Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), claims history (all claim types with evidence of dialysis), and EQRS.
- **Diabetes as cause of ESRD**: Primary cause of ESRD determined from patient’s Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728) and EQRS. When primary cause of ESRD is missing, we assume diabetes is not the cause of ESRD.
- **BMI at incidence**: Calculated based on the height and weight provided on patient’s Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728). BMI is imputed when either missing, or outside the range of 10 to 70 for adults or 5 to 70 for children. We match patients with missing BMI to patients with non-missing BMI based on the patients’ age, race, sex, and diabetes, and then assign the average BMI of the patient subgroup to those patients with missing BMI. However, not all patient subgroups will have a BMI calculated after this process is completed. For these cases, we match the patients based on age and race, and then assign the average BMI in the corresponding age and race category to these remaining patients with missing BMI.
- **Days hospitalized during index hospitalization**: Each hospitalization’s length is determined by taking the difference between the date of admission and the date of discharge available on the inpatient claim. For patients who are transferred between one acute care hospital and another, the measure considers these multiple contiguous hospitalizations as a single acute episode of care, and the length is calculated by taking the difference between the date of admission for the first hospitalization and the date of discharge.
discharge from the last hospitalization included. Time in the hospital is included as a categorical variable based on quartiles (1 variable for each quartile).

- **Past-year comorbidities (risk variables):** Determined by identifying unique ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 diagnosis codes for each patient reported on Medicare claims in the 365 days preceding (and inclusive of) the index discharge date. Five claim types are examined: inpatient, outpatient, SNF, hospice, and home health claims. Diagnosis codes are grouped using CMS’ Condition Categories (CCs; see https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Health-Plans/MedicareAdvtgSpecRateStats/downloads/evaluation_risk_adj_model_2011.pdf). The HWR measure has determined that a subset of these diagnosis areas is appropriate to use in accounting for case mix; see Section 2.12.15 for a list of the CCs included in these areas.

- **Discharged with high-risk condition:** A high-risk diagnosis is any diagnosis area (grouped by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Clinical Classification Software (CCS)) that was rare in the population but had a 30-day readmission rate of at least 40%. Note that high-risk diagnosis groups related to cancer or mental health are excluded from index discharges. The CCS areas identified as high-risk are:
  - CCS 5: HIV infection
  - CCS 6: Hepatitis
  - CCS 56: Cystic fibrosis
  - CCS 57: Immunity disorders
  - CCS 61: Sickle cell anemia
  - CCS 190: Fetal distress and abnormal forces of labor
  - CCS 151: Other liver diseases
  - CCS 182: Hemorrhage during pregnancy; abruptio placenta; placenta previa
  - CCS 186: Diabetes or abnormal glucose tolerance complicating pregnancy; childbirth; or the puerperium
  - CCS 210: Systemic lupus erythematosus and connective tissue disorders
  - CCS 243: Poisoning by nonmedicinal substances

In summary, the SRR indicates whether a facility experienced higher or lower readmission rates than the national average after accounting for differences that could be attributed to the patient characteristics listed above, as well as the discharging hospital.

### 2.12.14 Calculation of SRR

The expected number of readmissions in the denominator of the SRR is calculated based on a statistical model for the probability that a given hospital discharge will give rise to an unplanned readmission within the next 4–30 days. This model is technically termed a hierarchical logistic model and takes into account the patient characteristics or covariates discussed above. In addition, our model includes a random effect term for hospital of discharge, and so, makes an adjustment in patient outcomes for the potential effect of the care received at the hospital. This adjustment acknowledges the fact that there is a shared responsibility between the dialysis facility and the discharging hospital for patient care. At the same time, the model retains an incentive for facilities and hospitals to coordinate care in order to improve outcomes with respect to readmissions. Facility effects are also estimated in the model, and the number of readmissions in each facility is compared to the number that would be expected at a facility under the national
norm (i.e., with median facility effect) given the patient characteristics. There are a number of technical details associated with this computation that are not dealt with in this summary. The interested reader is referred to He et al. (2013).

In general, we aim to adjust for patient characteristics that affect the endpoint of interest. These include such factors as age, BMI and comorbidities as measured at the time origin or baseline. For SRR, the relevant time origin is the index discharge, and so we adjust for most of the patient’s characteristics around the time of that discharge.

In assessing the effects of patient covariates or characteristics, we estimate the within facility differences in outcomes that can be attributed to that covariate. To do this, we estimate the regression coefficients for the covariate while adjusting for potential facility effects through inclusion of facilities in the model as fixed effects. It is important in estimating covariate effects to take this approach since otherwise there is a potential confounding between the effects of facilities and patient characteristics. For example, suppose that older patients are associated with poorer outcomes and tended to attend facilities that provided better care and that, as a result, tended to have better outcomes. If the effect of the covariates were estimated without adjusting for facilities, either by ignoring possible facility effects or including facilities as random effects, the age effect would be incorrectly estimated. In effect, we would underestimate the negative effect of older age on the outcome.

From a technical perspective, fixed effects provide more precise estimation of the true effects for those facilities with extreme outcomes, as opposed to random effects, which result in shrinkage estimators (where the estimate for each facility is shifted toward the overall mean). The shrinkage becomes substantial for smaller facilities, making identification of poor performance in smaller facilities even more difficult. Issues associated with this choice are described in some detail in Kalbfleisch and Wolfe (2013) and He et al. (2013).

The equations used in the measure calculation are as follows:

The main model, which produces the estimates used to calculate SRR, takes the form:

\[
\log \frac{p_{ijk}}{1 - p_{ijk}} = \gamma_i + \alpha_j + \beta^T z_{ijk}
\]

Where \( p_{ijk} \) represents the probability of an unplanned readmission for the \( k^{th} \) discharge among patients from the \( i^{th} \) facility who are discharged from \( j^{th} \) hospital, and \( z_{ijk} \) represents the set of patient-level characteristics. Here, \( \gamma_i \) is the fixed effect for facility and \( \alpha_j \) is the random effect for hospital \( j \). It is assumed that the \( \alpha_j \)'s arise as independent normal variables (i.e., \( \alpha_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \)).

We use the estimates from this model to calculate the \( i^{th} \) facility’s SRR:

\[
(2)
\]
where, for the $i^{th}$ facility, $O_i$ is the number of observed unplanned readmissions, $E_i$ is the expected number of unplanned readmissions, $H(i)$ is the collection of indices of hospitals from which patients are discharged to the $i^{th}$ facility, $n_{ij}$ is the number of discharges from hospital $j$ and facility $i$, and $\hat{p}_{ijk}$ is the estimated probability of an unplanned readmission under the assumption that the corresponding discharge belongs to a facility with national norm.

More specifically,

\begin{equation}
\hat{p}_{ijk} = \frac{\exp(\gamma_M + \alpha_j + \beta^T Z_{ijk})}{1 + \exp(\gamma_M + \alpha_j + \beta^T Z_{ijk})}
\end{equation}

estimates the probability that a discharge from hospital $j$ to facility $i$ of a patient with characteristics $Z_{ijk}$ would result in an unplanned readmission; this probability is being estimated assuming that the facility’s effect corresponds to the median of national facility effects, denoted by $\gamma^M$. Here, $\alpha_j$ and $\beta^T$ are estimates from model (1). The sum of these probabilities is the expected number of unplanned readmissions $E_i$ at facility $i$, adjusting for patient mix and under the national norm.

## 2.12.15 Data Elements and Data Sources

Data are derived from an extensive national ESRD patient database based on data from the CMS and EQRS system, Medicare dialysis and hospital payment records, the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and the Death Notification Form (CMS-2746) (Table 5). The database is comprehensive for Medicare-covered ESRD patients. Information on hospitalizations is obtained from Medicare Inpatient Claims Standard Analysis Files (SAFs) and past-year comorbidity is obtained from multiple types (inpatient, outpatient institutional, physician/supplier, home health, hospice, SNF claims) of Medicare Claims SAFs.

The data are comprehensive for Medicare patients. Non-Medicare patients are included in all sources except for the Medicare claims, which do include non-traditional Medicare such as the Part A shadow records for Medicare Advantage patients. EQRS provides tracking by dialysis provider and treatment modality for non-Medicare patients. Information on hospitalizations is obtained from Part A Medicare Inpatient Claims, and information on past-year comorbidities is obtained from multiple Part A claim types (inpatient, outpatient, home health, hospice, SNF claims) and Part B outpatient institutional Medicare Claims.

Two grouping systems are used in the risk adjustment model to identify comorbidities and high-risk conditions. For past year comorbidity adjustment, the measure groups diagnosis codes by diagnosis area using HHS’ Hierarchical Condition Categories (CCs); (Table 6) see [https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Research/HealthCareFinancingReview/downloads/04summerpg119.pdf](https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Research/HealthCareFinancingReview/downloads/04summerpg119.pdf). To identify
high-risk conditions, the measure groups diagnosis codes using the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Clinical Classification Software (CCs); see https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/ccs/ccs.jsp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Primary Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility CCN</td>
<td>Multiple data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>Multiple data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Multiple data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of first ESRD service</td>
<td>Multiple data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of death</td>
<td>Multiple data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of transplant(s)</td>
<td>Multiple data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI at incidence</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes - Primary cause of ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EQRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-risk diagnoses</td>
<td>Medicare Claims²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned readmissions</td>
<td>Medicare Claims²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital admissions</td>
<td>Inpatient Medicare claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge status</td>
<td>Inpatient Medicare claims</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Data Elements and Sources for Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP Only)

1. This may include information from (EQRS, Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB), Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728), and Medicare Claims. Unique patients are identified by using a combination of SSN, first name, surname, sex, Medicare Beneficiary ID, patient Health Insurance Claim Number and birth date.

2. Medicare claims include Part A claims such as inpatient admissions and Part B claims such as outpatient claims (including dialysis claims) and physician services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>Detailed Description (if applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe infection</td>
<td>1, 3–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Detailed Description (if applicable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central nervous system infection</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunistic infections</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other infectious disease &amp; pneumonias</td>
<td>6, 111–113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other infectious disease</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration and specified bacterial pneumonias</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumococcal pneumonia, emphysema, lung abscess</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral and unspecified pneumonia, pleurisy</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic cancer/acute leukemia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe cancer</td>
<td>8–9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung, upper digestive tract, and other severe cancers</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other major cancers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other major cancers</td>
<td>10–12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast, prostate, colorectal and other cancers and tumors</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other respiratory and heart neoplasms</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other digestive and urinary neoplasms</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-stage liver disease</td>
<td>25–26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-stage liver disease</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis of liver</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other hematological disorders</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug and alcohol disorders</td>
<td>51–52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Detailed Description (if applicable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug/alcohol psychosis</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug/alcohol dependence</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric comorbidity</td>
<td>54–56,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58, 60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Major depressive, bipolar, and paranoid disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Reactive and unspecified psychosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Other psychiatric disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiplegia, paraplegia, paralysis</td>
<td>67–69,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100–101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Quadriplegia, other extensive paralysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Paraplegia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Spinal cord disorders/injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Hemiplegia/hemiparesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Diplegia (upper), monoplegia, and other paralytic syndromes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amputation</td>
<td>177–178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177</td>
<td>Amputation status, lower limb/amputation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>178</td>
<td>Amputation status, upper limb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure disorders and convulsions</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis of lung or other chronic lung disorders</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcers</td>
<td>148–149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Past Year Comorbidities, Grouped by CMS’ Condition Categories for Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP only)

This grouping of CCs is based on the Hospital-wide Readmission Measure (HWR) measure; we removed or modified the following risk variable areas:

**Removed**
- Diabetes: Already adjusted for in model
- Protein calorie malnutrition: Present in many ESRD patients, potentially modifiable
- CHF: Present in many ESRD patients, potentially modifiable
- CAD/CVD: Present in many ESRD patients
- Arrhythmia: Present in many ESRD patients
- Dialysis status: Inappropriate to adjust for in dialysis population
- Fluid/electrolyte disorders: Inappropriate to adjust for in dialysis population; most patients have it and thus essentially an indicator of ESRD
- Iron deficiency: Inappropriate to adjust for in dialysis population; most patients have it and thus essentially an indicator of ESRD
- Acute renal failure: Inappropriate to adjust for in dialysis population
**Modified**

- Removed CC 102 (Speech, language, cognitive, perceptual) from HWR’s original functional status adjustment: This comorbidity was found to have a much smaller effect than CCs 177 and 178, and was deemed clinically unrelated.
- Removed CCS 128 (Kidney transplant status) from HWR’s original “Major organ transplant” adjustment: All patients in our population are currently on dialysis.
2.12.16 Flowchart

Figure 9 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) for ESRD QIP.

---

**Figure 9: Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) Flowchart (ESRD QIP Only)**
From Figure 9:* = Multiple data sources include EQRS - including the CMS Annual Facility Survey (Form CMS-2744), the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and the Death Notification Form (Form CMS-2746), and Medicare dialysis and hospital payment records.
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2.13 Standardized Transfusion Ratio (STrR) Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)

2.13.1 Measure Name
Standardized Transfusion Ratio for Dialysis Facilities (Based on NQF #2979)

2.13.2 Measure Description
The risk adjusted facility level transfusion ratio “STrR” is specified for all adult Medicare dialysis patients. It is a ratio of the number of eligible red blood cell transfusion events observed in patients dialyzing at a facility, to the number of eligible transfusion events that would be expected under a national norm, after accounting for the patient characteristics within each facility. Eligible transfusions are those that do not have any claims pertaining to the comorbidities identified for exclusion in the one year look back period prior to each observation window. STrR can be expressed as a risk-standardized rate, which is the product of the facility STrR and the national average transfusion rate.

2.13.3 Measure Rationale
Several changes in the ESRD system are likely to impact anemia management. These include identification of safety concerns associated with aggressive erythropoiesis-stimulating agent (ESA) use, expansion of the ESRD PPS bundled payment, and the development of the ESRD QIP. There are concerns that these changes could result in underutilization of ESAs, with lower achieved hemoglobin values that may increase the frequency of red blood cell transfusion in the US chronic dialysis population.

Blood transfusion may be an indicator for underutilization of treatments to increase endogenous red blood cell production (e.g., ESA, iron). In addition, dialysis patients who are eligible for kidney transplant and are transfused risk the development of becoming sensitized to the donor pool thereby making transplant more difficult to accomplish. Blood transfusions carry a small risk of transmitting blood borne infections, development of a transfusion reaction, and using infusion centers or hospitals to transfuse patients is expensive, inconvenient, and could compromise future vascular access.

Monitoring the risk-adjusted transfusion rate at the dialysis facility level, relative to a national standard, allows for detection of treatment patterns in dialysis-related anemia management. This is of particular importance due to FDA guidance regarding minimizing the use of ESAs, and economic incentives to minimize ESA use introduced by Medicare’s bundling of payment for ESAs. As providers use less ESAs in an effort to minimize the risks associated with aggressive anemia treatment it becomes more important to monitor for an overreliance on transfusions.

2.13.4 Measure Type
Outcome

2.13.5 Outcome Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A lower ratio indicates better quality.
2.13.6 Numerator Statement

Number of eligible observed red blood cell transfusion events: An event is defined as the transfer of one or more units of blood or blood products into a recipient’s blood stream (code set is provided in the numerator details) among patients dialyzing at the facility during the inclusion episodes of the reporting period. Inclusion episodes are those that do not have any claims pertaining to the comorbidities identified for exclusion, in the one year look back period prior to each observation window.

2.13.7 Facility Exclusions

The standardized transfusion ratio is only calculated for facilities with at least 10 patient-years at risk.

ESRD QIP only:

Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4).

2.13.8 Denominator Statement

Number of eligible red blood cell transfusion events (as defined in the numerator statement) that would be expected among patients at a facility during the reporting period, given the patient mix at the facility. Inclusion episodes are those that do not have any claims pertaining to the comorbidities identified for exclusion, in the one year look back period prior to each observation window.

2.13.9 Denominator Exclusions

For all patients, time at risk begins at the start of the facility treatment period and continues until the earliest occurrence of the following: three days prior to a transplant; date of death; end of facility treatment; or December 31 of the year. This convention is used with other Dialysis Facility Measures developed and previously endorsed by NQF (like SHR NQF #1463 http://www.qualityforum.org/QPS/1463). Patient time at risk is excluded for:

- Patients less than 18 years old (see Section 3.1.3)
- Patients on ESRD treatment for fewer than 90 days
- Patients on dialysis at the facility for fewer than 60 days
- Time during which patient has a functioning kidney transplant (exclusion begins 3 days prior to the date of transplant). Patients who have not been treated by any facility for a year or longer
- Time during which patient is enrolled in Medicare Advantage according to the Medicare Enrollment Database.
- Patients with a Medicare claim (Part A inpatient, home health, hospice, and SNF claims; Part B outpatient and physician supplier) for one of the following conditions in one-year look back period:
  - Hemolytic and aplastic anemia
  - Solid organ cancer (breast, prostate, lung, digestive tract and others)
  - Lymphoma
The 2012 Anemia TEP felt that development of a risk-adjustment strategy encompassing these specific comorbidity categories for use in the facility-level transfusion metric was critically important. These prevalent comorbidities define a sub-population of patients who are at increased risk of blood transfusions, and in addition, are less likely to respond to recommended doses of exogenous ESAs. Furthermore, they are likely at increased risk for ESA-related complications. Lastly, the TEP members agreed that the aforementioned comorbidities were outside the sphere of influence of the dialysis facilities. The TEP considered additional comorbidities but recommended against their use in the risk-adjustment paradigm if the comorbidity could potentially be the result of care provided by the dialysis facility. Use the ICD information related to this edition of the Manual, which can be found on the Measuring Quality page on the ESRD QIP section of CMS.gov.

Since these comorbidities are associated with higher risk of transfusion and require different anemia management practices that this measure is not intended to address, every patient’s risk window is modified to have at least one year free of claims that contain diagnoses on the exclusion list. We assessed the predictive power of comorbidities on future transfusions, as a function of the time interval between development of the comorbidity and the occurrence of the transfusion, by performing multivariate logistic regression with transfusion count as the dependent variable. Results showed that one-year look back period for each of the above-mentioned comorbidities was the most predictive of one or more red blood cell transfusions.

Figure 10 describes the inclusion and exclusion period of a hypothetical patient.
In the figure, a hypothetical patient has patient years at risk at a facility from 1/1/2008 to 12/31/2011. Review of Medicare claims identified presence of one or more exclusion comorbidities in 2007 (Claim1), 2008 (Claim2) and 2010 (Claim3). Each claim is followed by a one-year exclusion period. The revised inclusion periods are defined as risk windows with at least 1 year of claim-free period (Inclusion1 and Inclusion2 in figure). The patient has two transfusion events, marked as T1 and T2 in late 2008 and late 2011 respectively. However, since T1 falls in the exclusion period, it will not be counted towards the facility’s transfusion count as presence of exclusion comorbidity claims within a year might have increased the risk of transfusion unrelated to dialysis facility anemia management practice. However, T2, which occurs in late 2011 and in Inclusion2 period, will be counted since there is at least a year gap between this transfusion event and the last claim observed.

2.13.10 Mapping Patients to Facilities

Starting with day 90 after onset of ESRD, a patient is attributed to a facility according to the following rules. A patient is attributed to a facility once the patient has been treated there for 60 days. When a patient transfers from one facility to another, the patient continues to be attributed to the original facility for 60 days and then is attributed to the destination facility. In particular, a patient is attributed to their current facility on day 90 of ESRD if that facility had treated him or her for at least 60 days. If on day 90, the facility had treated a patient for fewer than 60 days, we wait until the patient reaches day 60 of treatment at that facility before attributing the patient to that facility. When a patient is not treated in a single facility for a span of 60 days (for instance, if there were two switches within 60 days of each other), we do not attribute that patient to any facility. Patients are removed from facilities three days prior to transplant in order to exclude the
transplant hospitalization. Patients who withdrew from dialysis or recovered renal function remain assigned to their treatment facility for 60 days after withdrawal or recovery.

If a period of one year passes with neither paid dialysis claims nor EQRS information to indicate that a patient was receiving dialysis treatment, we consider the patient lost to follow-up and do not include that patient in the analysis. If dialysis claims or other evidence of dialysis reappears, the patient is entered into analysis after 60 days of continuous therapy at a single facility.

2.13.11 Calculating Numerators

The method for counting transfusion events relies on a conservative counting algorithm and, because of the way transfusion information is reported in Medicare claims, uses different rules for counting transfusion events, depending on whether or not the event occurs in the inpatient setting, or an outpatient setting. The most common way that events are reported on claims is by reporting a revenue center, procedure, or value code (inpatient claims), or for outpatient claims, reporting Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes with at least one revenue center codes.

One “transfusion event” is counted per inpatient claim if one or more transfusion-related procedure, revenue center, or value codes are present. A single transfusion event for an inpatient claim is counted regardless of the number of transfusion revenue center, procedure, and value codes reported so that the number of discrete events counted is the same whether the claim indicates one unit of blood or multiple units of blood. This results in a very conservative estimate of blood transfusions from inpatient claims.

Transfusion events are not common in outpatient settings, but similar rules apply. One or more transfusion-related HCPCS codes with at least one transfusion-related revenue center codes, or one or more transfusion-related value codes listed on an outpatient claim are counted as a single transfusion event regardless of the number of units of blood recorded. In other words, three units of blood would be counted as a single transfusion event.

If there is more than one event on a given day for a patient, this is counted as a single transfusion event.

Because we identify transfusions only if they appear in Medicare inpatient and outpatient claims, we only want to include patients during time periods in which all of the patient’s transfusions are included in Medicare billing records. To achieve this goal, we require that patients either reach a certain level of Medicare-paid dialysis bills or have Medicare-paid inpatient claims during the period. Specifically, patient-months within a given dialysis patient-period are used for STrR calculation when they meet the criterion of being within two months after a month with either: (a) $1200+ of Medicare-paid dialysis claims OR (b) at least one Medicare inpatient (hospital and SNF) claim. The intention of this criterion is to assure completeness of information on transfusions for all patients included in the analysis.

The detailed procedures to determine unique transfusion events at the claim level are presented in a flow chart later in this section.
2.13.12 Days at Risk for Medicare Dialysis Patients

After patient treatment histories are defined as described in the Denominator Exclusions Section, periods of follow-up in time since ESRD onset are created for each patient. In order to adjust for duration of ESRD appropriately, we define six-time intervals with cut points at six months, one year, two years, three years and five years. A new time period begins each time the patient is determined to be at a different facility, or at the start of each calendar year or when crossing any of the above cut points.

The number of days at risk in each of these patient-ESRD-year-facility time periods is used to calculate the expected number of transfusions for the patient during that period. The STrR for a facility is the ratio of the total number of observed transfusions to the total number of expected transfusions during all time periods at the facility.

2.13.13 Risk Adjustment

The regression model used to compute a facility’s “expected” number of transfusions for the STrR measure contains many factors that are associated with hospitalization frequency and transfusion event rates. Specifically, the model adjusts for patient age, diabetes as cause of ESRD, duration of ESRD, nursing home status, BMI at incidence, comorbidities at incidence, and calendar year. This model allows the baseline transfusion rates to vary between strata (facilities) but assumes that the regression coefficients are the same across all strata; this approach is robust to possible differences between facilities in the patient mix being treated.

The patient characteristics included in the stage 1 model as covariates may include COVID-19 diagnosis determined from Medicare claims or EQRS data sources, as well as the following:

- **Age:** Determine each patient’s age for the birth date provided in the EQRS database, Medicare Claims, and the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728). Patients are grouped into the following categories: 18-24 years old, 25-44 years old, 45-59 years old, 60-74 years old, or 75+ years old.
- **Diabetes as cause of ESRD:** Determine each patient’s primary cause of ESRD from his/her CMS-2728, and EQRS.
- **Duration of ESRD:** Determine each patient’s length of time since start of ESRD treatment using patient’s CMS-2728, claims history (all claim types), the EQRS patient events file, and OPTN (Dialysis Facility Measures only). Duration is categorized as 90 days- < 6 months, 6 months- < 1 year, 1- < 2 years, 2- < 3 years, 3- < 5 years, or 5+ years as of the period start date.
- **Nursing home status:** Uses multiple sources* including the Nursing Home MDS. Determine each patient’s nursing home status in previous 365 days and categorize as none (0 days), short term nursing home (0-89 days), or long term nursing home (>=90 days) as of the period start date.
- **BMI at incidence:** Calculate each patient’s BMI based on the height and weight provided on his/her CMS 2728 and group patients into the following categories: BMI < 18.5, 18.5 ≤ BMI < 25, 25 ≤ BMI < 30, or BMI ≥ 30. BMI is imputed when either missing, or outside the range of 10 to 70 for adults or 5 to 70 for children. Missing and out-of-range BMIs are categorized into the mode group (i.e., >=30).
• Comorbidities at incidence are determined using a selection of comorbidities reported on the CMS-2728 namely, alcohol dependence, atherosclerotic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart failure, diabetes (includes currently on insulin, on oral medications, without medications, and diabetic retinopathy), drug dependence, inability to ambulate, inability to transfer, malignant neoplasm, cancer, other cardiac disease, peripheral vascular disease, and tobacco use (current smoker). Each comorbidity is included as a separate covariate in the model.

• Calendar year

* This may include information from: EQRS (including the Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728) and Medicare Claims)

Categorical indicator variables are included as covariates in the stage 1 model to account for records with missing values for cause of ESRD and comorbidities at incidence (missing Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)). These variables have a value of 1 if the patient is missing the corresponding variable and a value of 0 otherwise. Another categorical indicator variable is included as a covariate in the stage 1 model to flag records where the patient has at least one of the incident comorbidities listed earlier. This variable has a value of 1 if the patient has at least one of the comorbidities and a value of 0 otherwise.

Besides main effects, two-way interaction terms between age and duration and diabetes as cause of ESRD are also included:

• Diabetes as cause of ESRD and Duration of ESRD
• Diabetes as cause of ESRD and Age.

### 2.13.14 Calculating Expected Number of Transfusions

The denominator of the STrR stems from a proportional rates model (Lawless and Nadeau, 1995; Lin et al., 2000; Kalbfleisch and Prentice, 2002). This is the recurrent event analog of the well-known proportional hazards or Cox model (Cox, 1972; Kalbfleisch and Prentice, 2002). To accommodate large-scale data, we adopt a model with piecewise constant baseline rates (e.g., Cook and Lawless, 2007) and the computational methodology developed in Liu, Schaubel and Kalbfleisch (2012).

The modeling process has two stages. At **stage 1**, a stratified model is fitted to the national data with piecewise-constant baseline rates and stratification by facility. Specifically, the model is of the following form

\[
Pr(\text{transfusion on day } t \text{ given covariates } X) = r_{\text{ok}}(t) \exp(\beta'X_{ik})
\]

where \(X_{ik}\) is the vector of covariates for the \((i,k)\)th patient and \(\beta\) is the vector of regression coefficients. The baseline rate function \(r_{\text{ok}}(t)\) is assumed specific to the \(k\)th facility, which is assumed to be a step function with break points at 6 months, 1 year, 2 years, 3 years, and 5 years since the onset of dialysis. This model allows the baseline transfusion rates to vary between strata (facilities) but assumes that the regression coefficients are the same across all strata; this approach is robust to possible differences between facilities in the patient mix being treated. The stratification on facilities is important in this phase to avoid bias due to possible confounding between covariates and facility effects.
The patient characteristics $X_{ik}$ included in the stage 1 model are listed above (under risk adjustment).

At stage 2, the relative risk estimates from the first stage are used to create offsets and an unstratified model is fitted to obtain estimates of an overall baseline rate function. That is, we estimate a common baseline rate of transfusions, $r_0(t)$, across all facilities by considering the model

$$Pr(\text{transfusion on day } t \text{ given covariates } X) = r_0(t) R_{ik},$$

where $R_{ik} = \exp(\beta' X_{ik})$ is the estimated relative risk for patient $i$ in facility $k$ estimated from the stage 1. In our computation, we assume the baseline to be a step function with 6 unknown parameters, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_6$, to estimate. These estimates are used to compute the expected number of transfusions given a patient’s characteristics.

Specifically, let $t_{iks}$ represent the number of days that patient $i$ from facility $k$ is under observation in the $s$th time interval with estimated rate $\alpha_s$. The corresponding expected number of transfusions in the $s$th interval for this patient is calculated as

$$E_{iks} = \alpha_s t_{iks} R_{ik}.$$

It should be noted that $t_{iks}$ and hence $E_{iks}$ can be 0 if patient $i$ from facility $k$ is never at risk during the $s$th time interval. Summing the $E_{iks}$ over all 6 intervals and all $N_k$ patients in a given facility, $k$, gives:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \sum_{s=1}^{6} E_{iks} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \sum_{s=1}^{6} \alpha_s t_{iks} R_{ik},$$

which is the expected number of transfusions during follow-up at that facility.

Let $O$ be the observed total number of transfusions at this facility. The STrR for transfusions is the ratio of the observed total transfusions to this expected value, or

$$\text{STrR} = O/E$$

### 2.13.15 Calculation of National Average

The national average transfusion rate is determined by calculating the total number of transfusions divided by the total number of patient-years at risk in the performance period. This national average rate is then multiplied by each facility’s STrR, resulting in a risk-standardized transfusion rate.

### 2.13.16 Calculation of STrR P-values and Confidence Intervals

To overcome the possible over-dispersion of the data, we compute the p-value for our estimates using the empirical null distribution, an approach that possesses more robustness (Efron, 2004; Kalbfleisch and Wolfe, 2013). Our algorithm consists of the following concrete steps. First, we
fit an over-dispersed Poisson model (e.g., SAS PROC GENMOD with link=log, dist=poisson and scale=dscale) for the number of transfusions

$$\log(E[n_{ik}]) = \log(E_{ik}) + \theta_k,$$

where \( n_{ik} \) is the observed number of events for patient \( i \) in facility \( k \), \( E_{ik} \) is the expected number of events for patient \( i \) in facility \( k \) and \( \theta_k \) is the facility-specific intercept. Here, \( i \) ranges over the number of patients \( n_{ik} \) who are treated in the \( k \)th facility. The natural log of the STrR for the \( k \)th facility is then given by the corresponding estimate of \( \theta_k \). The standard error of \( \theta_k \) is obtained from the robust estimate of variance arising from the overdispersed Poisson model.

Second, we obtain a z-score for each facility by dividing the natural log of its STrR by the standard error from the general linear model described above. These z-scores are then grouped into quartiles based on the number of patient years at risk for Medicare patients in each facility. Finally, using robust estimates of location and scale based on the normal curve fitted to the center of the z-scores for the STrR, we derive the mean and variance of a normal empirical null distribution for each quartile. This empirical null distribution is then used to calculate the p-value for a facility’s STrR.

The uncertainty or confidence intervals are obtained by applying the following steps:

- From the general linear model, we obtain the natural log of the STrR (ln STrR) as well as its standard error, (SE). From the empirical null, we obtain a mean (\( \mu \)) and a standard deviation (\( \sigma \)). The 95% uncertainty interval for the ‘true’ log standardized transfusion ratio for this facility is

$$\ln \text{STrR} - \mu \times SE \pm 1.96 \times \sigma \times SE.$$  

Note that 1.96 is the critical point from the standard normal distribution for a 95% interval.

- Exponentiating the endpoints of this interval gives the uncertainty interval for the true STrR.

### 2.13.17 Data Elements and Data Sources

Table 7 shows the CMS data sources\(^1\) are used as the data sources for establishing the denominator. Medicare claims is the data source for establishing the numerator. CMS Medical Evidence form 2728 is data sources for the risk adjustment factors. Medicare claims are used for the exclusion criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Primary Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility CCN</td>
<td>CMS data sources(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>CMS data sources(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of first ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EQRS Patient Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPTN Data (Dialysis Facility Measures only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 7: Data Elements and Sources for the Standardized Transfusion Ratio (STrR) Clinical Measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Primary Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI at incidence</td>
<td>Medicare Claims(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home status (in the previous calendar year)</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes - Primary cause of ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728) (EQRS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident comorbidities as the risk adjustment factors(^3)</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion events(^4)</td>
<td>Medicare Claims(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent comorbidities used for exclusion(^5)</td>
<td>Medicare Claims(^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. This may include information from: EQRS Medicare Claims, Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB), Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728), and Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Database (OPTN) (Dialysis Facility Measures only). For Dialysis Facility Measures, unique patients are identified by using a combination of SSN, first name, surname, sex, Medicare Beneficiary ID, Patient Health Insurance Claim Number and birth date. The Dialysis Facility Measures patient-matching process is performed to ensure that minor typos and misspellings do not cause a patient record to fall out of their history. The matching process is able to successfully match 99.5% of patients. The remaining patients have incomplete or incorrect data that does not allow them to be matched (see Section 3.2). See Section 3.2.2 for patient matching details used in ESRD QIP.

2. Medicare claims include Part A claims such as inpatient admissions and Part B claims such as outpatient claims (including dialysis claims) and physician services. Claims from providers, such as laboratories, that report diagnosis codes when testing for the presence of a condition are excluded.

3. Incident comorbidities as the risk adjustment factors: Comorbidities at incidence are determined using a selection of comorbidities reported on the Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728) namely, alcohol dependence, atherosclerotic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart failure, diabetes (includes currently on insulin, on oral medications, without medications, and diabetic retinopathy), drug dependence, inability to ambulate, inability to transfer, malignant neoplasm, cancer, other cardiac disease, peripheral vascular disease, and tobacco use (current smoker). Each comorbidity is included as a separate covariate in the model.

4. Details in Determining Transfusion Events Flow Chart (Figure 12)

5. Prevalent comorbidities used for exclusion: Patient time at risk is excluded if there is a Medicare claim (Part A inpatient, home health, hospice, and skilled and nursing facility claims; Part B outpatient and physician supplier) for hemolytic and aplastic anemia, solid organ cancer (breast, prostate, lung, digestive tract and others), lymphoma, carcinoma in situ, coagulation disorders, multiple myeloma, myelodysplastic syndrome and myelofibrosis, leukemia, head and neck cancer, other cancers (connective tissue, skin, and others), metastatic cancer, or sickle cell anemia within one year of their patient at risk time.

#### 2.13.18 Flowchart

Figure 11 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Standardized Transfusion Ratio (STrR) for Dialysis Facility Measures.
Figure 11: Standardized Transfusion Ratio Measure Flowchart (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)

* Multiple data sources include CMS EQRS, the CMS Annual Facility Survey (Form CMS-2744), Medicare dialysis and hospital payment claims, the CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728), transplant data from the Organ Procurement and
Transplant Network (OPTN – Dialysis Facility Measures only), the Death Notification Form (Form CMS-2746), the Dialysis Facility Compare (Dialysis Facility Measures) and the Social Security Death Master File. Also see Section 3.1.6.

**Exclusionary comorbidity conditions:** hemolytic and aplastic anemia, solid organ cancer (breast, prostate, lung, digestive tract and others), lymphoma, carcinoma in situ, coagulation disorders, multiple myeloma, myelodysplastic syndrome and myelofibrosis, leukemia, head and neck cancer, other cancers (connective tissue, skin, and others), metastatic cancer, sickle cell anemia.
2.13.19 Determining Transfusion Events Flow Chart

Figure 12 shows the method of determining transfusion events.

---

Figure 12: Method of Determining Transfusion Events Flowchart (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)
Table 8 below gives the description of Relevant Revenue Center Codes, Procedure Codes, Value Codes, and HCPCS Codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD Version</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0380</td>
<td>Blood - General Classification</td>
<td>Revenue Center Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0381</td>
<td>Blood - Packed Red Cells</td>
<td>Revenue Center Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0382</td>
<td>Blood - Whole Blood</td>
<td>Revenue Center Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0389</td>
<td>Blood - Other Blood</td>
<td>Revenue Center Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0390</td>
<td>Blood Storage and Processing - General Classification</td>
<td>Revenue Center Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0391</td>
<td>Blood Storage and Processing - Administration</td>
<td>Revenue Center Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0392</td>
<td>Blood Storage and Processing - Blood Processing and Storage</td>
<td>Revenue Center Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0399</td>
<td>Blood Storage and Processing - Other Storage &amp; Processing</td>
<td>Revenue Center Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30230H1 Transfusion of Nonautologous Whole Blood into Peripheral Vein, Open Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD Version</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30253H1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Whole Blood into Peripheral Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30260H1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Whole Blood into Central Artery, Open Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30263H1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Whole Blood into Central Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30230N1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Red Blood Cells into Peripheral Vein, Open Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30230P1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Frozen Red Cells into Peripheral Vein, Open Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30233N1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Red Blood Cells into Peripheral Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30240N1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Red Blood Cells into Central Vein, Open Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30240P1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Frozen Red Cells into Central Vein, Open Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30243N1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Red Blood Cells into Central Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30243P1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Frozen Red Cells into Central Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30250N1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Red Blood Cells into Peripheral Artery, Open Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30250P1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Frozen Red Cells into Peripheral Artery, Open Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30253N1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Red Blood Cells into Peripheral Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30253P1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Frozen Red Cells into Peripheral Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD Version</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30260N1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Red Blood Cells into Central Artery, Open Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30260P1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Frozen Red Cells into Central Artery, Open Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30263N1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Red Blood Cells into Central Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30263P1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Frozen Red Cells into Central Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
<td>Procedure Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pints of blood furnished</td>
<td>Value Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9010</td>
<td>Whole blood for transfusion</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9011</td>
<td>Blood split unit</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9016</td>
<td>RBC leukocytes reduced</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9021</td>
<td>Red blood cells unit</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9022</td>
<td>Washed red blood cells unit</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9038</td>
<td>RBC irradiated</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9039</td>
<td>RBC deglycerolized</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9040</td>
<td>RBC leukoreduced irradiated</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9051</td>
<td>Blood, l/r, cmv-neg</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9054</td>
<td>Blood, l/r, froz/degly/wash</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9056</td>
<td>Blood, l/r, irradiated</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9057</td>
<td>Red blood cells, frozen/deglycerolized/washed, leukocytes reduced, irradiated, each unit</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P9058</td>
<td>RBC, l/r, cmv-neg, irradi</td>
<td>HCPCS Codes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ICD Code | Description | Field
---|---|---
36430 | Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code (transfusion, blood or blood components) | HCPCS Codes

Table 8: Description of Relevant Revenue Center Codes, Procedure Codes, Value Codes, and HCPCS Codes

2.13.20 Selected References

2.14 Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (SHR) Measure (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)

2.14.1 Measure Name
Standardized Hospitalization Ratio for Dialysis Facilities

2.14.2 Measure Description
Risk-adjusted standardized hospitalization ratio of the number of observed hospitalizations to the number of expected hospitalizations for dialysis facility patients. This measure is calculated as a ratio but can also be expressed as a rate (NQF# 1463). SHR can be expressed as a risk-standardized rate, which is the product of the facility SHR and the national average hospitalization rate.

2.14.3 Measure Rationale
Hospitalization rates are an important indicator of patient morbidity and quality of life. On average, dialysis patients are admitted to the hospital nearly twice a year and spend an average of 11.2 days in the hospital per year. Hospitalizations account for approximately 40 percent of total Medicare expenditures for ESRD patients. Measures of the frequency of hospitalization have the potential to help efforts to control escalating medical costs and to play an important role in identifying potential problems and helping facilities provide cost-effective health care.

2.14.4 Measure Type
Outcome

2.14.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A lower ratio indicates better quality.

2.14.6 Numerator Statement
Number of inpatient hospital admissions among eligible patients at the facility during the reporting period.

2.14.7 Facility Exclusions
The standardized hospitalization ratio is only calculated for facilities with at least 5 patient years at risk (see Section 2.14.10.1 for details on patient years at risk).

ESRD QIP only:
Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4).

2.14.8 Denominator Statement
Number of hospital admissions that would be expected among eligible patients at the facility during the reporting period, given the patient mix at the facility.
2.14.9 Denominator Exclusions

Patient Time at Risk Exclusions:

- First 89 days of ESRD treatment
- Time during which patients were treated at the facility for fewer than 60 days
- Time during which patient has a functioning kidney transplant (exclusion begins 3 days prior to the date of transplant)
- Time at risk once a patient has not been treated by any facility for a year or longer.
- Months which do not fulfill at least one of these criteria:
  - Month is within or in the two months following a month in which the patient has $1,200 of Medicare-paid dialysis claims
  - Month is within or in the two months following a month in which the patient has at least one Medicare inpatient (hospital or SNF) claim submitted during the month
  - Patient is enrolled in Medicare Advantage during the month according to the Medicare Enrollment Database.

2.14.10 Mapping Patients to Facilities

EQRS is the primary basis for placing patients at dialysis facilities, and dialysis claims are used as an additional source. Information regarding first ESRD service date, death, and transplant is obtained from additional sources including the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), transplant data from the OPTN (Dialysis Facility Measures only), the Death Notification Form (CMS-2746) and the Social Security Death Master File (Dialysis Facility Measures only). Also see Section 3.1.6. Additionally, for Dialysis Facility Measures, a new treatment history record is created for each patient each time he/she changes facility or treatment modality. Each record represents a time period associated with a specific modality and dialysis facility.

As patients can receive dialysis treatment at more than one facility in a given year, each patient day is assigned to a facility (or no facility, in some cases) based on a set of conventions described below.

A patient’s follow-up is included after that patient has received chronic dialysis for at least 90 days. Thus, hospitalizations, mortality and survival during the first 89 days of ESRD do not enter into the calculations. This minimum 90-day period also assures that most patients are eligible for Medicare, either as their primary or secondary insurer. It also excludes from analysis patients who die or recover during the first 89 days of ESRD.

In order to exclude patients who only received temporary dialysis therapy, we assigned patients to a facility only after they had been on dialysis there for at least 60 days. This 60-day period is used any time a patient begins therapy at a new facility whether the patient transferred from another facility, started ESRD for the first time, or returned to dialysis after a transplant. That is, hospitalizations during the first 60 days of dialysis at a facility do not affect the SHR of that facility.

For each patient, we identify the dialysis provider at each point in time. Starting with day 90 after onset of ESRD, patients are attributed to facilities according to the following rules:
• A patient is attributed to a facility once the patient has been treated there for the past 60 days. When a patient transfers from one facility to another, the patient continues to be attributed to the original facility for 60 days and then is attributed to the destination facility.
• In particular, a patient is attributed to his or her current facility on day 90 of ESRD if that facility had treated him or her for the past 60 days. If on day 90, the facility had not treated a patient for the past 60 days, we wait until the patient reaches day 60 of continuous treatment at that facility before attributing the patient to that facility.
• When a patient is not treated in a single facility for a span of 60 days (for instance, if there were two switches within 60 days of each other), we do not attribute that patient to any facility.
• Patients are no longer attributed to facilities three days prior to transplant in order to exclude the transplant hospitalization.
• Patients who withdrew from dialysis or recovered renal function remain assigned to their treatment facility for 60 days after withdrawal or recovery.

If a period of one year passes with neither paid dialysis claims nor EQRS information to indicate that a patient was receiving dialysis treatment, the patient is designated lost to follow-up and is not included in the analysis. If dialysis claims or other evidence of dialysis reappears, the patient is re-entered into analysis after 60 days of continuous therapy at a single facility.

2.14.10.1 Days at Risk for Medicare Dialysis Patients

After patient treatment histories are defined as described above, periods of follow-up in time since ESRD onset are created for each patient. In order to adjust for duration of ESRD appropriately, we define 6-time intervals with cut points at 6 months, 1 year, 2 years, 3 years and 5 years. A new time period begins each time the patient is determined to be at a different facility or crosses any of the above cut points, and at the start of each calendar year.

Because we can only identify hospitalizations if they appear in Medicare inpatient claims, we only include patients during time periods in which we are reasonably sure that all of the patient’s hospitalizations would be included in Medicare billing records. Therefore, we require that patients reach a certain level of Medicare-paid dialysis bills, have Medicare inpatient claims, or are enrolled in Medicare Advantage during the period. Specifically, a patient-month within a given dialysis patient-period is included in the SHR calculation when at least one of the following is true:

1. The patient had $1,200+ of Medicare-paid dialysis claims or at least one Medicare inpatient claim (hospital or SNF) during that month or one of the two prior months.
2. The Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB) indicates the patient was enrolled in Medicare Advantage during the month.

The intention of these criteria is to assure completeness of information on hospitalizations for all patients included in the analysis.

The number of days at risk in each of these patient-ESRD facility-year time periods is used to calculate the expected number of hospital admissions for the patient during that period. The SHR for a facility is the ratio of the total number of observed hospitalizations to the total number of expected hospitalizations during all time periods at the facility. Based on a risk adjustment model...
for the overall national hospitalization rates, we compute the expected number of hospitalizations that would occur for each month that each patient is attributed to a given facility. The sum of all such expectations for patients and months yields the overall number of hospital admissions that would be expected given the specific patient mix and this forms the denominator of the measure.

The denominator of the SHR stems from a proportional rates model (Lawless and Nadeau, 1995; Lin et al., 2000; Kalbfleisch and Prentice, 2002). This is the recurrent event analog of the well-known proportional hazards or Cox model (Cox, 1972; Kalbfleisch and Prentice, 2002). To accommodate large-scale data, we adopt a model with piecewise constant baseline rates (e.g., Cook and Lawless, 2007) and the computational methodology developed in Liu, Schaubel and Kalbfleisch (2012).

### 2.14.11 Calculating Numerators

The numerator is calculated through use of Medicare claims. When a claim is submitted for an inpatient hospitalization, the patient is attributed to a dialysis facility following rules discussed above. The numerator is the count of all such hospitalizations over the reporting period.

### 2.14.12 Calculating National Average

The national average rate of hospitalization is determined by dividing the total number of hospitalizations by the total number of patient-years at risk. This national average rate is multiplied by each facility’s SHR, resulting in a risk-standardized hospitalization rate.

### 2.14.13 Risk Adjustment

The regression model used to compute a facility’s “expected” number of hospitalizations for the SHR measure contains many factors thought to be associated with hospitalization rates. Specifically, the model adjusts for patient age, sex, diabetes as cause of ESRD, duration of ESRD, Medicare Advantage coverage, nursing home status, BMI at incidence, comorbidities at incidence, prevalent comorbidities, and calendar year. The stage 1 model allows the baseline hospitalization rates to vary between strata, which are defined by facilities, but assumes that the regression coefficients are the same across all strata; this approach is robust to possible differences between facilities in the patient mix being treated. In essence, it avoids a possible confounding between facility effects and patient covariates as can arise, for example, if patients with favorable values of the covariate tend to be treated at facilities with better treatment policies and outcomes. Thus, for example, if patients with diabetes as a cause of ESRD tended to be treated at better facilities, one would underestimate the effect of diabetes unless the model is adjusted for facility. In this model, facility adjustment is done by stratification.

The patient characteristics included in the stage 1 model as covariates are:

- **Age**: Determine each patient’s age as of the period start date for the birth date provided by multiple data sources*. Age is included both as a linear (continuous) and a quadratic term.
- **Sex**: Determine each patient’s sex from multiple sources*.
- **Diabetes as cause of ESRD**: Determine each patient’s primary cause of ESRD from Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and EQRS.
• Duration of ESRD: Determine each patient’s length of time on dialysis using the first service date from multiple data sources* and categorize as 90 days- < 6 months, 6 months- < 1 year, 1- < 2 years, 2- < 3 years, 3- < 5 years, or 5+ years as of the period start date.

• Nursing home status: Uses multiple sources* including the Nursing Home MDS. Determine each patient’s nursing home status in previous 365 days and categorize as none (0 days), short term nursing home (0-89 days), or long term nursing home (>=90 days) as of the period start date.

• BMI at incidence: Calculate each patient’s BMI based on the height and weight provided on his/her CMS 2728 and group patients into the following categories: BMI < 18.5, 18.5 ≤ BMI < 25, 25 ≤ BMI < 30, or BMI ≥ 30. BMI is imputed when either missing, or outside the range of 10 to 70 for adults or 5 to 70 for children. Missing and out-of-range BMIs are categorized into the mode group (i.e. >=30). Comorbidities at incidence are determined using a selection of comorbidities reported on Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728) namely, alcohol dependence, atherosclerotic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart failure, diabetes (includes currently on insulin, on oral medications, without medications, and diabetic retinopathy), drug dependence, inability to ambulate, inability to transfer, malignant neoplasm, cancer, other cardiac disease, peripheral vascular disease, and tobacco use (current smoker). Each comorbidity is included as a separate covariate in the model.

• Medicare Advantage coverage: Calculate the proportion of time during the treatment period that the patient is enrolled in Medicare Advantage from Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB).

• Prevalent comorbidities: Identify a patient’s prevalent comorbidities based on inpatient claims from the previous calendar year. The specific list of ICD codes used for adjustment related to this edition of the Manual can be found on the Measuring Quality page on the ESRD QIP section of CMS.gov.

• COVID-19 diagnosis from Medicare claims

• Calendar year

* This may include information from: EQRS, Medicare Claims, and the Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728).

Categorical indicator variables are included as covariates in the stage 1 model to account for records with missing values for cause of ESRD, and comorbidities at incidence (missing CMS-2728) These variables have a value of 1 if the patient is missing the corresponding variable and a value of 0 otherwise. If a patient has less than 6 months of Medicare covered months in prior calendar year, prevalent comorbidities are set to a value of 0 and an indicator for missing prevalent comorbidities is included. This variable has a value of 1 if the patient is missing the corresponding comorbidity and a value of 0 otherwise. Another categorical indicator variable is included as a covariate in the stage 1 model to flag records where the patient has at least one of the incident comorbidities listed earlier. This variable has a value of 1 if the patient has at least one of the comorbidities and a value of 0 otherwise.

Beside main effects, two-way interaction terms between the following pairs of variables are included:
• Diabetes as cause of ESRD and Sex
• Diabetes as cause of ESRD and Age
• Age and Sex

2.14.14 Calculating Expected Hospital Admissions

The modeling process has two stages. At stage 1, a stratified model is fitted to the national data with piecewise-constant baseline rates and stratification by facility. Specifically, the model is of the following form

\[ Pr(\text{hospital admission on day } t \text{ given covariates } X) = r_{0k}(t)\exp(\beta'X_{ik}) \]

where \( X_{ik} \) is the vector of covariates for the \( i^{th} \) patient in the \( k^{th} \) facility and \( \beta \) is the vector of regression coefficients. Time \( t \) is measured from the start of ESRD. The baseline rate function \( r_{0k}(t) \) is specific to the \( k^{th} \) facility and is assumed to be a step function with break points at 6 months, 1 year, 2 years, 3 years, and 5 years since the onset of dialysis. This model allows the baseline hospitalization rates to vary between strata (facilities) but assumes that the regression coefficients are the same across all strata; this approach is robust to possible differences between facilities in the patient mix being treated. The stratification on facilities is important in this phase to avoid bias due to possible confounding between covariates and facility effects.

At stage 2, the relative risk estimates from the first stage are used to create offsets and an unstratified model is fitted to obtain estimates of an overall baseline rate function. That is, we estimate a common baseline rate of admissions, \( r_{0}(t) \), across all facilities by considering the model

\[ Pr(\text{hospital admission on day } t \text{ given covariates } X) = r_{0}(t) R_{ik} \]

where \( R_{ik} = \exp(\beta'X_{ik}) \) is the estimated relative risk for patient \( i \) in facility \( k \) obtained from the stage 1. In our computation, we assume the baseline to be a step function with 6 unknown parameters, \( \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_6 \), to estimate. These estimates are used to compute the expected number of admissions given a patient’s characteristics.

Specifically, let \( t_{iks} \) represent the number of days that patient \( i \) from facility \( k \) is under observation in the \( s^{th} \) time interval with estimated rate \( \alpha_s \). The corresponding expected number of hospital admissions in the \( s^{th} \) interval for this patient is calculated as

\[ E_{iks} = \alpha_s t_{iks} R_{ik} \]

It should be noted that \( t_{iks} \) and hence \( E_{iks} \) can be 0 if patient \( i \) from facility \( k \) is never at risk during the \( s^{th} \) time interval. Summing the \( E_{iks} \) over all 6 intervals and all \( N_k \) patients in facility \( k \) gives

\[ \text{Exp} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \sum_{s=1}^{6} E_{iks} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \sum_{s=1}^{6} \alpha_s t_{iks} R_{ik} \]

which is the expected number of hospital admissions during follow-up at that facility.

Let \( Obs \) be the observed total number of hospital admissions at this facility. The SHR for hospital admissions is the ratio of the observed total admissions to this expected value, or

\[ \text{SHR} = \frac{Obs}{\text{Exp}} \]
2.14.15 Calculation of SHR P-Values and Confidence Intervals (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

To adjust for over-dispersion of the data, we compute the p-value for our estimates using the empirical null distribution, a robust approach that takes account of the natural random variation among facilities that is not accounted for in the model (Efron, 2004; Kalbfleisch and Wolfe, 2013). Our algorithm consists of the following concrete steps. First, we fit an over-dispersed Poisson model (e.g., SAS PROC GENMOD with link=log, dist=poisson and scale=dscale) for the number of hospital admissions

\[
\log(\text{E}[n_{ik}]) = \log(\text{E}_{ik}) + \theta_k,
\]

where \(n_{ik}\) is the observed number of events for patient \(i\) in facility \(k\), \(E_{ik}\) is the expected number of events for patient \(i\) in facility \(k\) and \(\theta_k\) is the facility-specific intercept. Here, \(i\) ranges over the number of patients \(N_k\) who are treated in the \(k^{th}\) facility. The natural log of the SHR for the \(k^{th}\) facility is then given by the corresponding estimate of \(\theta_k\). The standard error of \(\theta_k\) is obtained from the robust estimate of variance arising from the over dispersed Poisson model.

Second, we obtain a z-score for each facility by dividing the natural log of its SHR by the standard error from the general linear model described above. These z-scores are then grouped into quartiles based on the number of patient years at risk for Medicare patients in each facility. Finally, using robust estimates of location and scale based on the normal curve fitted to the center of the z-scores for the SHR, we derive the mean and variance of a normal empirical null distribution for each quartile. This empirical null distribution is then used to calculate the p-value for a facility’s SHR.

The uncertainty or confidence intervals are obtained by applying the following steps:

- From the general linear model, we obtain the natural log of the SHR (ln SHR) as well as its standard error, (SE). From the empirical null, we obtain a mean (\(\mu\)) and a standard deviation (\(\sigma\)). The 95% uncertainty interval for the ‘true’ log standardized hospitalization ratio for this facility is

\[
\text{ln SHR} - \mu \pm 1.96 \times \sigma.
\]

- Exponentiating the endpoints of this interval gives the uncertainty interval for the true SHR.

2.14.16 Flagging Rules for Dialysis Facility Measures (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

As currently implemented for Dialysis Facility Measures, for reporting purposes we identify outlier facilities from amongst those with at least 5 patient-years at risk during the time period. If the 95% interval lies entirely above the value of 1.00 (i.e., both endpoints exceed 1.00), the facility is said to have outcomes that are “worse than expected”. On the other hand, if the 95% interval lies entirely below the value 1.00, the facility is said to be better than expected. If the interval contains the value 1.00, the facility is said to have outcomes that are “as expected”.
## 2.14.17 Data Elements and Data Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Primary Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility CCN</td>
<td>Multiple data sources(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>Multiple data sources(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Multiple data sources(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of first ESRD</td>
<td>Multiple data sources(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of death</td>
<td>Multiple data sources(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dates of transplant</td>
<td>Multiple data sources(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Advantage months</td>
<td>Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI at incidence</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home status</td>
<td>Nursing Home Minimum Data Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(in the previous 365 days)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes - Primary cause of ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident comorbidities</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent comorbidities</td>
<td>Inpatient Medicare Claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital admissions</td>
<td>Inpatient Medicare claims</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Data Elements and Sources for the Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)

1. This may include information from: EQRS, Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB), Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728), Medicare Claims, and Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Database (OPTN) (Dialysis Facility Measures only). Also see Section 3.1.6. Unique patients are identified by using a combination of SSN, first name, surname, sex, Medicare Beneficiary ID, Patient Health Insurance Claim Number and birth date. Dialysis Facility Measures runs a matching process is performed to ensure that minor typos and misspellings do not cause a patient record to fall out of their history. The matching process is able to successfully match 99.5% of patients. The remaining patients have incomplete or incorrect data that does not allow them to be matched. Also see Section 3.2. See Section 3.2.2 for patient matching details used in ESRD QIP.
2.14.18 Flowchart

Figure 13 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (SHR).

Figure 13: Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (SHR) Flowchart (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)
* Multiple data sources include CMS EQRS, the CMS Annual Facility Survey (Form CMS-2744), Medicare dialysis and hospital payment records, the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), transplant data from the Organ Procurement and Transplant Network (OPTN)/Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR), the Death Notification Form (Form CMS-2746), the Dialysis Facility Compare (Dialysis Facility Measures), the Nursing Home Minimum Data Set (MDS), Quality Improvement Evaluation System (QIES), and the Social Security Death Master File.

2.14.19 Selected References

2.15 Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR) Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

2.15.1 Measure Name
Standardized Mortality Ratio for Dialysis Facilities

2.15.2 Measure Description
Standardized mortality ratio for dialysis facility patients. This measure is calculated as a ratio but can also be expressed as a rate.

2.15.3 Measure Rationale
US chronic dialysis patients are much more likely to die than age-matched individuals without ESRD. The excess mortality associated with ESRD patients on dialysis is influenced by dialysis facility practices and is one of several important health outcomes used by providers, health consumers, and insurers to evaluate the quality of care provided in dialysis facilities.

2.15.4 Measure Type
Outcome.

2.15.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A lower ratio indicates better quality.

2.15.6 Numerator Statement
Number of deaths among eligible patients at the facility during the time period.

2.15.7 Facility Exclusions
The SMR is only calculated for a facility if there are at least 3 expected deaths for the time period.

2.15.8 Denominator Statement
Number of deaths that would be expected among eligible dialysis patients at the facility during the time period, given the national average mortality rate and the patient mix at the facility.

2.15.9 Denominator Exclusions
N/A
2.15.10 Mapping Patients to Facilities

2.15.10.1 Assignment of Patients to Facilities

The treatment history file provides a complete history of the status, location, and dialysis treatment modality of an ESRD patient from the date of the first ESRD service until the patient dies or the data collection cutoff date is reached. For each patient, a new record is created each time he/she changes facility or treatment modality. Each record represents a time period associated with a specific modality and dialysis facility. EQRS is the primary basis for placing patients at dialysis facilities and dialysis claims are used as an additional source. Information regarding first ESRD service date, death and transplant is obtained from additional sources including the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), transplant data from the OPTN, the Death Notification Form (CMS-2746).

The denominator for SMR for a facility is the total number of expected deaths identified using all patient-records at the facility meeting inclusion criteria. The number of days at risk in each of these patient-records is used to calculate the expected number of deaths for that patient-record.

The denominator is based on expected mortality calculated from a Cox model (Cox, 1972; SAS Institute Inc., 2004; Kalbfleisch and Prentice, 2002; Collett, 1994). The model used is fit in two stages. The stage 1 model is a Cox model stratified by facility and adjusted for patient age, race, ethnicity, sex, diabetes, duration of ESRD, nursing home status, patient comorbidities, calendar year, and BMI at incidence. This model allows the baseline survival probabilities to vary between strata (facilities) and assumes that the regression coefficients are the same across all strata. Stratification by facility at this stage avoids biases in estimating regression coefficients that can occur if the covariate distributions vary substantially across centers. The results of this analysis are estimates of the regression coefficients in the Cox model and these provide an estimate of the relative risk for each patient. This is based on a linear predictor that arises from the Cox model and is then used as an offset in the stage 2 model, which is unstratified and includes an adjustment for the race-specific age-adjusted state population death rates.

2.15.10.2 General Inclusion Criteria for Dialysis Patients

We only entered a patient’s follow-up into the tabulations after that patient had ESRD for more than 90 days. This minimum 90-day period assures that most patients are eligible for Medicare insurance either as their primary or secondary insurer. It also excludes from analysis patients who died during the first 90 days of ESRD.

In order to exclude patients who only received temporary dialysis therapy, we assign patients to a facility only after they have been on dialysis there for the past 60 days. This 60-day period is used both for patients who started ESRD for the first time and for those who returned to dialysis after a transplant. That is, deaths and survival during the first 60 days of dialysis at a facility do not affect the SMR of that facility.
2.15.10.3 Identifying Facility Treatment Histories for Each Patient

For each patient, we identified the dialysis provider at each point in time using a combination of Medicare dialysis claims, the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and data from EQRS. Starting with day 91 of ESRD, we determined facility treatment histories for each patient, and then listed each patient with a facility only once the patient had been treated there for 60 days. When a patient transferred from a facility, the patient remained assigned to it in the database for 60 days. This continued tabulation of the time at risk for 60 days after transfer from a facility attributes to a facility the sequelae of treatment there, even when a patient was transferred to another facility (such as a hospital-based facility) after his or her condition worsened.

In particular, we placed patients in their initial facility on day 91 of ESRD once that facility had treated them for at least 60 days. If on day 91 a facility had treated a patient for fewer than 60 days, we waited until the patient reached day 60 of treatment at that facility before placing him or her there.

Using EQRS data and dialysis claims to determine whether a patient has transferred to another facility, we attributed patient outcomes to the patient's original facility for 60 days after transfer out. On day 61 after transfer from a facility, we placed the patient in the new facility once the patient had been treated at the new facility for 60 days. When a patient was not treated in a single facility for a span of 60 days (for instance, if there were two switches within 60 days of each other), we did not attribute that patient to any facility.

Patients were removed from facilities upon receiving transplants. Patients who withdrew from dialysis or recovered renal function remained assigned to their treatment facility for 60 days after withdrawal or recovery. Additionally, patients for whom the only evidence of dialysis treatment is the existence of Medicare claims were considered lost to follow-up and removed from a facility’s analysis one year following the last claim, if there was no earlier evidence of transfer, recovery, or death. In other words, if a period of one year passed with neither Medicare dialysis claims nor EQRS information to indicate that a patient was receiving dialysis treatment, the patient is designated lost to follow-up, and not included in the analysis. If evidence of dialysis reappeared, the patient was re-entered into analysis after 60 days of continuous therapy at a single facility.

Finally, all EQRS records noting continuing dialysis were extended until the appearance of any evidence of recovery, transfer, or death. Periods lost to follow-up were not created in these cases.

2.15.10.4 Days at Risk for Each Patient-Record

After patient treatment histories are defined as described above, periods of follow-up time (or patient-records) are created for each patient. A patient-record begins each time the patient is determined to be at a different facility or at the start of each calendar year. The number of days at risk starts over at zero for each patient record so that the number of days at risk for any patient-record is always a number between 0 and 365 (or 366 for leap years). Therefore, a patient who is in one facility for all four years gives rise to four patient-records and is analyzed the same way as would be four separate patients in that facility for one year each.

This measure is limited to Medicare dialysis patients. We require that patients reach a certain level of Medicare-paid dialysis bills to be included in the mortality statistics, or that patients have
Medicare-paid inpatient claims during the period, or that patients have record of Medicare Advantage coverage. Specifically, months within a given dialysis patient-period are used for SMR calculation when they meet the criterion of being within two months after a month with either: (a) $1200+ of Medicare-paid dialysis claims OR (b) at least one Medicare inpatient claim OR (c) if the patient is covered by Medicare Advantage Coverage during this month according to the Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB).

Then we use the number of days at risk in each of these patient-records to calculate the expected number of deaths for that patient-record and sum the total number of expected deaths during all patient-records at the facility as the expected number of deaths for that facility.

### 2.15.11 Calculating Numerators/Outcome Definition

Information on death is obtained from several sources which include the CMS ESRD Program Medical Management Information System, the Death Notification Form (CMS Form 2746), and the Social Security Death Master File. The number of deaths that occurred among eligible dialysis patients during the time period is calculated. This count includes only Medicare patients, as detailed above. It does not include deaths from street drugs or accidents unrelated to treatment: Deaths from these causes varied by facility, with certain facilities (in particular, urban facilities that treated large numbers of male and young patients) reporting large numbers of deaths from these causes and others reporting extremely low numbers (Turenne, 1996). Since these deaths are unlikely to have been due to treatment facility characteristics, they are excluded from the calculations.

### 2.15.12 Risk Adjustment

The SMR is based on expected mortality calculated from a Cox model (Cox, 1972; SAS Institute Inc., 2004; Kalbfleisch and Prentice, 2002; Collett, 1994). The model used is fit in two stages. The stage 1 model is a Cox model stratified by facility and adjusted for patient age, race, ethnicity, sex, diabetes as cause of ESRD, duration of ESRD, nursing home status from previous year, patient comorbidities at incidence, prevalent comorbidities, calendar year and BMI at incidence. This model allows the baseline survival probabilities to vary between strata (facilities) and assumes that the regression coefficients are the same across all strata. Stratification by facility at this stage avoids biases in estimating regression coefficients that can occur if the covariate distributions vary substantially across centers.

The patient characteristics included in the stage 1 model as covariates are:

- **Age:** Determine each patient’s age for the birth date provided in the EQRS, Medicare Claims, and the Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728). Age is included as a piecewise continuous variable with different coefficients based on whether the patient is 0-13 years old, 14-60 years old, or 61+ years old.
- **Sex:** Determine each patient’s sex from EQRS.
- **Race (White, Black, Asian/PI, Native American or other):** We determine race from EQRS, Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), and the CMS Medicare Enrollment Database file.
- **Ethnicity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic or unknown):** Determine ethnicity from EQRS, patient’s CMS-2728, and the CMS Medicare Enrollment Database File.
• **Diabetes as cause of ESRD:** Determine each patient’s primary cause of ESRD from patient’s CMS-2728, and EQRS.

• **Duration of ESRD:** We determine each patient’s length of time on dialysis using the first service date from patient’s CMS-2728, EQRS, Medicare claims history (all claim types), OPTN data (Dialysis Facility Measures only). The data is categorized as less than one year, 1-2 years, 2-3 years, or 3+ years as of the period start date.

• **Nursing home status:** Uses multiple sources including the CMS Nursing Home Minimum Data Set (MDS). Determine each patient’s nursing home status in previous 365 days and categorize as none (0 days), short term nursing home (0-89 days), or long term nursing home (>=90 days)

• **BMI at incidence:** Calculate each patient’s BMI as the height and weight provided on patient’s CMS-2728. BMI is categorized as less than 18.5%, 18.5-24.9%, 25-29.9%, and greater than 30%. Patient BMI is grouped as greater than 30% when either missing, or outside the range of 10 to 70 for adults or 5 to 70 for children.

• **Comorbidities at incidence:** Determine each patient’s comorbidities at incidence from patient’s CMS-2728 namely, alcohol dependence, atherosclerotic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart failure, diabetes (includes currently on insulin, on oral medications, without medications, and diabetic retinopathy), drug dependence, inability to ambulate, inability to transfer, malignant neoplasm, cancer, other cardiac disease, peripheral vascular disease, and tobacco use (current smoker). Each comorbidity is included as a separate indicator in the model, having a value of 1 if the patient has that comorbidity, and a value of 0 otherwise. Another categorical indicator variable is included as a covariate in the stage 1 model to flag records where patients have at least one comorbidity. This variable has a value of 1 if the patient has at least one comorbidity and a value of 0 otherwise.

• **Prevalent comorbidities:** We identify a patient’s prevalent comorbidities based on claims from the previous calendar year. The specific list of ICD codes used for adjustment related to this edition of the *Manual,* can be found on the [Measuring Quality page](https://www.cms.gov) on the ESRD QIP section of CMS.gov. ICD codes are further combined into comorbidity groupers.

• **Calendar year**

Categorical indicator variables are included as covariates in the stage 1 model to account for records with missing values for cause of ESRD, comorbidity at incidence (missing Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)), prevalent comorbidities, and BMI. These variables have a value of 1 if the patient is missing the corresponding variable and a value of 0 otherwise.

Beside main effects, two-way interaction terms between age, race, ethnicity, sex, duration of ESRD, and diabetes as cause of ESRD are also included:

- Age and Race: Black
- Ethnicity and Race: Non-White
- Diabetes as cause of ESRD and Race
- Diabetes as cause of ESRD and Vintage
- Duration of ESRD: less than or equal to 1 year and Race
- Sex and Race: Black
2.15.13 Calculation of Expected Deaths at a Facility

Using the estimates of the regression coefficients from stage 1, we estimate the relative risk for each patient-record. The predicted value for the patient-record from stage 1 is then used as an offset in the stage 2 model, which is unstratified and includes an adjustment for the race-specific age-adjusted state population death rates.

Age-adjusted population death rates (per 100,000) by state and race are obtained from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control National Center for Health Statistics. The 2018 October Release of Dialysis Facility Measures used age-adjusted death rates for 2013-2015 from Table 16 of the publication Health, United States, 2016, available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hus/hus16.pdf.

Each patient typically gives rise to several patient-records. Specifically, a new patient record is defined for each calendar year and each time a patient changes facilities. The \( i \)th patient record is associated with a risk period \( t_i \), which specifies the number of days that the patient is at risk during that record.

The Cox model is applied in two stages. Stage 1 yields estimates of the coefficients (\( \beta_j \)) for the 147 covariates that are measured on individual patients (or patient-records). The coefficients measure the within-facility effects for individual risk factors or comorbidities. Using these coefficients, a relative risk or predicted risk is calculated for each patient-record. Stage 2 adjusts for the differences in mortality rate at the state level. The model of this stage uses only one covariate, the log of the population death rate for that patient’s race within the state where the patient is being treated. The predicted value for the patient-record from stage 1 is used as an offset in the stage 2 model and the stage 2 analysis is not stratified. The combined predicted values from stages 1 and 2, and the baseline survival curve from stage 2 of the Cox model are then used to calculate the expected number of deaths for a specific patient-record.

Let \( p \) denote the number of patient characteristics in the model and \( x_{ij} \) be the specific value of the \( j \)th characteristic for the \( i \)th patient-record. In stage 1, for patient-record \( i \), we denote the measured characteristics or covariates in a vector form as

\[
X_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ip})
\]

and use this to define the regression portion of a Cox model in which facilities define the strata. Note that for a categorical characteristic, the \( x_{ij} \) value is 1 if the patient falls into the category and 0 otherwise. The output of this model is a set of regression coefficients, \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_p \) and the corresponding predicted value for the \( i \)th patient-record is given by

\[
X_i \beta = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \ldots + \beta_p x_{ip}.
\]

In stage 2, the only covariate is \( x_{i0} \), which specifies the logarithm of the state age-adjusted population death rate corresponding to the race of the patient giving rise to patient-record \( i \). The stage 2 model is not stratified, so there is a single baseline survival function assumed. The stage 1 \( X_i \beta \) from equation (1) is used as an offset in the analysis. The Stage 2 Cox model gives rise to an estimate of the regression coefficient \( \beta_0 \) and of the baseline survival function, \( S_0(t) \). After stage 2, the linear prediction is

\[
A_i = \beta_0 x_{i0} + X_i \beta = \beta_0 x_{i0} + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \ldots + \beta_p x_{ip}.
\]
Suppose that $t_i$ is the end of follow-up time for patient-record $i$, so that $S_0(t_i)$ is the baseline survival probability at time $t_i$. The survival probability for this patient-record $i$ at time $t_i$ is:

$$S_i(t_i) = \left( S_0(t_i) \right)^{\exp(A_i)}.$$ 

The expected number of deaths for this patient-record during follow-up time $t_i$ arises from considerations in the Cox model and can be written as:

$$-\ln(S_i(t_i)) = -\exp(A_i) \ln[S_0(t_i)].$$

The expected number of deaths at a given facility can now be computed simply by summing these expected values over the totality of patient-records in that facility. Specifically, the expected value is the sum over the $N$ patient-records at the facility giving:

$$\text{Exp} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} -\ln[S_i(t_i)] = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp(A_i) \ln[S_0(t_i)].$$

Let $\text{Obs}$ be the total number of deaths observed at the facility during the total four years follow up period. As stated above, the SMR is the ratio of the total number of deaths observed to the expected number so that

$$\text{SMR} = \frac{\text{Obs}}{\text{Exp}}.$$

### 2.15.14 Creating Interval Estimates

To adjust for over-dispersion of the data, we compute the p-value for our estimates using the empirical null distribution, a robust approach that takes account of the natural random variation among facilities that is not accounted for in the model (Efron, 2004; Kalbfleisch and Wolfe, 2013).

Our algorithm consists of the following concrete steps. First, we take the probability values between the facility observed and expected deaths based on the Poisson distribution. The normal inverse cumulative distribution function is then used to transform these probability values into a z-score based on the normal distribution.

These z-scores are then grouped into quartiles based on the number of patient years at risk for Medicare patients in each facility. Finally, using robust estimates of location and scale based on the normal curve fitted to the center of the z-scores for the SMR, we derive the mean and variance of a normal empirical null distribution for each quartile. This empirical null distribution is then used to calculate the p-value for a facility’s SMR.

The uncertainty or confidence intervals are obtained by numerically calculating the upper and lower values of deaths that would coincide to a p-value of 0.025 when tested against observed deaths using the empirical null. These upper and lower values are then divided by the expected deaths from the SMR model to achieve the boundary points for the confidence interval for SMR.

### 2.15.15 Flagging Rules for Dialysis Facility Compare (Dialysis Facility Measures)

As currently implemented for Dialysis Facility Measures, for reporting purposes we identify outlier facilities from amongst those with at least 3 expected deaths during the time period. If the 95% interval lies entirely above the value of 1.00 (i.e., both endpoints exceed 1.00), the facility is
said to have outcomes that are “worse than expected”. On the other hand, if the 95% interval lies entirely below the value 1.00, the facility is said to be better than expected. If the interval contains the value 1.00, the facility is said to have outcomes that are “as expected.”

### 2.15.16 Data Elements and Data Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Primary Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility CCN</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of first ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EQRS Patient Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPTN Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicare Claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI at incidence</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Advantage coverage</td>
<td>Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home status (in the previous calendar year)</td>
<td>CMS Minimum Data Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes - Primary cause of ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race-Specific age adjusted State Death Rate</td>
<td>Health, United States, 2016²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicare Claims³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes –Not as primary cause of ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident comorbidities</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalent comorbidities</td>
<td>Medicare Claims³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not having at least 6-month Medicare eligible in past 12 months</td>
<td>Medicare Claims³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: Data Elements and Sources for the Standardized Mortality Ratio Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)
1. This may include information from: CMS EQRS, Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB), Medical Evidence Form (CMS 2728), Medicare Claims, and Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Database (OPTN) (Dialysis Facility Measures only). Unique patients are identified by using a combination of SSN, first name, surname, sex, Medicare Beneficiary ID, Patient Health Insurance Claim Number and birth date. Dialysis Facility Measures runs a matching process to ensure that minor typos and misspellings do not cause a patient record to fall out of their history. The matching process is able to successfully match 99.5% of patients. The remaining patients have incomplete or incorrect data that does not allow them to be matched.


3. Medicare claims include Part A claims such as inpatient admissions and Part B claims such as outpatient claims (including dialysis claims) and physician services. Claims from providers, such as laboratories, that report diagnosis codes when testing for the presence of a condition are excluded.
2.15.17 Flowchart

Figure 14 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the Standardized Mortality Ratio.

Figure 14: Standardized Mortality Ratio Flowchart (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)
Multiple data sources include CMS EQRS, the CMS Annual Facility Survey (Form CMS-2744), Medicare dialysis and hospital payment records, the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), transplant data from the Organ Procurement and Transplant Network (OPTN)/Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR), the Death Notification Form (Form CMS-2746), the Dialysis Facility Compare (Dialysis Facility Measures), the Nursing Home Minimum Data Set (MDS), QIES, and the Social Security Death Master File.

2.15.18 Selected References

2.16 Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio for Incident Dialysis Patients (SWR) Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

2.16.1 Measure Name
Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio for Incident Dialysis Patients (SWR)

2.16.2 Measure Description
The SWR measure tracks the number of incident patients at the dialysis facility under the age of 75 listed on the kidney or kidney-pancreas transplant waitlist or who received a living donor transplant within the first year of initiating dialysis. For each facility, we calculated the Standardized Waitlist Ratio (SWR) to compare the observed waitlisting rate in the facility to the waitlisting rate that was expected. The SWR uses expected waitlisting calculated from a Cox model (SAS Institute Inc., 2004; Andersen, 1993; Collett, 1994), adjusting for age and patient comorbidities at incidence.

2.16.3 Measure Rationale
A measure focusing on the waitlisting process is appropriate for improving access to kidney transplantation for several reasons. First, waitlisting is a necessary step prior to potential receipt of a deceased donor kidney (receipt of a living donor kidney is also accounted for in the measure). Second, dialysis facilities exert substantial control over the process of waitlisting. This includes proper education of dialysis patients on the option for transplant, referral of appropriate patients to a transplant center for evaluation, assisting patients with completion of the transplant evaluation process, and optimizing the health and functional status of patients in order to increase their candidacy for transplant wait listing. These types of activities are included as part of the conditions for coverage for Medicare certification of ESRD dialysis facilities. Finally, wide regional variations in wait listing rates highlight substantial room for improvement for this process measure (Ashby 2007, Satayathum 2005, Patzer 2014).

This measure additionally focuses specifically on the population of patients incident to dialysis, examining for waitlist or living donor transplant events occurring within a year of dialysis initiation. This will evaluate and encourage rapid attention from dialysis facilities to wait listing of patients to ensure early access to transplantation, which has been demonstrated to be particularly beneficial (Meier-Kriesche 2002, Meier-Kriesche 2000). This measure contrasts with the other wait listing measure, the Percentage of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted (PPPW), which focuses on a prevalent population of dialysis patients and is primarily designed to additionally capture listing that occurs beyond the first year of dialysis initiation, as well as also maintenance of patients on the waitlist.

2.16.4 Measure Type
Process

2.16.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A higher number indicates better quality.
2.16.6 Numerator Statement
Number of patients at the dialysis facility listed on the kidney or kidney-pancreas transplant waitlist or who received living donor transplants within the first year following initiation of dialysis.

2.16.7 Facility Exclusions
The SWR is only reported for facilities with less than 11 patients or less than 2 expected events for the reporting period.

2.16.8 Denominator Statement
The denominator for the SWR is the expected number of waitlisting or living donor transplant events at the facility according to each patient’s treatment history for patients within the first year following initiation of dialysis, adjusted for age and its functional forms, as well as incident comorbidities, among patients under 75 years of age who were not already waitlisted and did not have first transplantation prior to the initiation of ESRD dialysis.

2.16.9 Denominator Exclusions
Exclusions that are implicit in the denominator definition include:

-Patients who were 75 years of age or older at the initiation of dialysis
-Preeemptive patients: patients at the facility who had the first transplantation prior to the start of ESRD treatment; or were listed on the kidney or kidney-pancreas transplant waitlist prior to the start of dialysis
-Patients who were admitted to a hospice at the time of initiation of dialysis
-Patients who were admitted to an SNF at incidence or previously according to Form CMS-2728

The CMS Medical Evidence Form and the CMS Long Term Care Minimum Data Set (MDS) were the data sources used for determining SNF patients. Patients who were identified in Questions 16u and 21 on the CMS Medical Evidence Form as institutionalized and SNF/Long Term Care Facility, respectively, or who had evidence of admission to an SNF based on the MDS before their first service date and were not discharged prior to initiation of dialysis were identified as SNF patients. For hospice patients, a separate CMS file that contains final action claims submitted by hospice providers was used to determine the hospice status.

2.16.10 Mapping Patients to Facilities
EQRS is the primary basis for placing patients at dialysis facilities and dialysis claims are used as an additional source. Information regarding first ESRD service date, death, age, and incident comorbidities adjustments, and transplant is obtained from EQRS (including the CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728) and the Death Notification Form (Form CMS-2746)) and Medicare claims, as well as the OPTN and the Social Security Death Master File.
2.16.11 Calculating Numerators/Outcome Definition

The numerator for the SWR for a given facility is the observed number of patients on the waitlist (i.e., waitlisting or receipt of a living-donor transplant) within the first year following initiation of dialysis. To be included in the numerator for a particular facility, the patient must meet one of the two criteria:

- The patient is on the kidney or kidney-pancreas transplant waitlist or
- The patient has received a living donor transplant

2.16.12 Risk Adjustment

The denominator represents a facility’s expected number of events (waitlistings or living-donor transplants) and is calculated based on a two-stage Cox model (Cox, 1972; SAS Institute Inc., 2004; Kalbfleisch and Prentice, 2002; Collett, 1994). The SWR is adjusted for incident comorbidities and age, using a linear spline with knots at 12, 18, and 64. Knot placements were determined empirically based on a preliminary model that categorized age. In addition, incident comorbidities were selected for adjustment into the SWR model based on demonstration of a higher associated mortality (hazard ratio above 1.0) and statistical significance (p-value <0.01) in first year mortality model.

2.16.13 Calculation of SWR

The event was defined as waitlisting or living-donor transplantation. Time zero was defined as the first initiation of dialysis. Patients were followed until waitlisting, living donor transplantation, death, or one-year anniversary since first dialysis (i.e., the earliest thereof). A two-stage Cox model was fitted to calculate the expected number of events. At the first stage, a Cox model stratified on facility was fitted in order to obtain an estimate of the age and comorbidities effects (unconfounded by facility) to be used as an offset. At the second stage, a national average baseline hazard was estimated. The national average baseline (from the second stage), age and comorbidities adjustments (from the first stage) were then used to compute the probability of an event for each patient, followed by the total expected number of events at each facility.

Let p denote the number of patient characteristics in the model and \( x_{ij} \) be the specific value of the \( j^{th} \) characteristic for the \( i^{th} \) patient-record. At the first stage, for patient-record \( i \), we denote the measured characteristics or covariates as

\[ X_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ip}), \]

and use this to define the regression portion of a Cox model in which facilities define the strata. Note that for a categorical characteristic, the \( x_{ij} \) value is 1 if the patient falls into the category and 0 otherwise. The output of the first stage is a set of regression coefficients, \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_p \) and the corresponding predicted value for the \( i^{th} \) patient-record is given by

\[ X_i^\beta = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \ldots + \beta_p x_{ip}. \]  

(1)

At the second stage, the relative risk estimates from the first stage were used as an offset, without stratification. After the second stage, the linear prediction is
\[ A_i = \beta_0 x_{i0} + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + ... + \beta_p x_{ip} \quad (2) \]

Suppose that \( t_i \) is the end of follow-up time for patient-record \( i \), so that \( S_0(t_i) \) is the baseline survival probability at time \( t_i \). The survival probability for this patient-record \( i \) at time \( t_i \) is:
\[ S_i(t_i) = [S_0(t_i)]^{exp(A_i)} \quad (3) \]

The expected number of waitlisting for this patient-record during follow-up time \( t_i \) arises from considerations in the Cox model and can be written as
\[ -\ln(S_i(t_i)) = -e^{A_i} \ln [S_0(t_i)]. \quad (4) \]

The expected number of waitlisting at a given facility can now be computed simply by summing these expected values over the totality of patient-records in that facility. Specifically, the expected value is the sum over the \( N \) patient-records at the facility giving
\[ E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} -\ln[S_i(t_i)] = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{A_i} \ln[S_0(t_i)]. \quad (5) \]

Let \( O \) be the total number of waitlisting observed at the facility during the total four years follow-up period. As stated above, the SWR is the ratio of the total number of observed waitlisting to the expected number
\[ \text{SWR} = \frac{O}{E}. \quad (6) \]

### 2.16.14 Creating Interval Estimates

Similar to the Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR), the 95% confidence interval gives a range of plausible values for the true ratio of facility-to-national waitlist event rates, in light of the calculated SWR. The upper and lower confidence limits enclose the true ratio approximately 95% of the time if this procedure were to be repeated on multiple samples. Statistically significant confidence intervals do not contain the ratio value 1.00, which denotes that the observed event rate was equal to the expected event rate.

The p-value measures the statistical significance (or evidence) of the hypothesis that the true transplant waitlist rate for a given facility is different from what would be predicted from the overall national rate. The p-value is the probability that the calculated SWR would deviate from 1.00 as much as it does, under the null hypothesis that this ratio is truly equal to 1.00. A smaller p-value tends to occur when the ratio differs greatly from 1.00 and/or when one uses more patient data to calculate the SWR value. A p-value less than 0.05 suggests that the ratio between the observed and expected waitlist event rates differs significantly from 1.00. The smaller the p-value, the lower the probability that a facility’s waitlist event rate is equal to the national waitlist event rate. A small p-value helps rule out the possibility that an SWR’s deviance from 1.00 could have arisen by chance. However, a small p-value does not indicate the degree of importance of the difference between the facility waitlist event rate and the national rate.

### 2.16.15 Flagging Rules for Dialysis Facility Compare (Dialysis Facility Measures)

When a facility’s SWR is greater than 1.00 and statistically significant (p-value < 0.05), it is classified as “Better than expected”. When a facility’s SWR is less than 1.00 and statistically
significant (p-value < 0.05), it is classified as “Worse than expected”. When a facility’s SWR is not significantly different from 1.00, it is classified as “As expected”. Please note that the classification of SWR is reported as “Not available” on Dialysis Facility Measures for facilities with less than 11 patients or less than 2 expected events for the relative reporting year.

### 2.16.16 Data Elements and Data Sources

EQRS (including CMS Medical Evidence Form [Form CMS-2728]) is the primary data source used for placing patients at dialysis facilities, age and incident comorbidities adjustments and exclusion of patients >= 75-year-old (see information provided under “denominator details”). OPTN is the data source for waitlist or living donor transplant events. The Nursing Home Minimum Dataset and the CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728) are used to identify SNF patients. A separate CMS file that contains final action claims submitted by hospice providers was used to determine the hospice status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Primary Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility CCN #</td>
<td>CMS data sources*1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting year</td>
<td>EQRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitlist status</td>
<td>OPTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>CMS data sources*1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of first ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to ambulate</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to transfer</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant neoplasm, cancer</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease, CVA, TIA</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol dependence</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug dependence</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amputation</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Primary Data Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs assistance with daily activities</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home status <em>1</em>2</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728) Question 16u and 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home status on the first service date <em>1</em>2</td>
<td>CMS Long Term Care Minimum Data Set (MDS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice status on the first service date <em>1</em>2</td>
<td>CMS Hospice file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Data Elements and Sources for the Standardized Waitlist Ratio (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

*1. EQRS (including CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728)) is the primary data source used for placing patients at dialysis facilities, age and incident comorbidities adjustments and exclusion of patients ≥75-year-old. Organ Procurement and Transplant Network (OPTN) is the data source for waitlist or living donor transplant events. The Nursing Home Minimum Dataset and the CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728) are used to identify SNF patients. A separate CMS file that contains final action claims submitted by Hospice providers was used to determine the hospice status.

Unique patients are identified by using a combination of SSN, first name, surname, gender, Medicare Beneficiary ID, Medicare claim number and birth date. A matching process is performed to ensure that minor typos and misspellings do not cause a patient record to fall out of their history. The matching process is able to successfully match 99.5% of patients. The remaining patients have incomplete or incorrect data that does not allow them to be matched.

*2. Exclusion factors
2.16.17 Flowchart

Figure 15 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio for Incident Dialysis Patients (SWR).

[Flowchart diagram]

- Determine eligible ESRD dialysis patients incident during the reporting year.
- Apply model adjustment: Adjusted for age with knots at 12, 18, and 64 years old; and incident comorbidities from the CMS Medical Evidence Form (2728).
- Total number of observed waitlists or living donor transplant events for each facility.
- Total number of expected waitlists for each facility.
- Facility SWR = Observed/Expected.
Figure 15: Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio for Incident Dialysis Patients (SWR) Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

* EQRS (including CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728)) is the primary data source used for placing patients at dialysis facilities, age and incident comorbidities adjustments and exclusion of patients ≥75-year-old. Organ Procurement and Transplant Network (OPTN) is the data source for waitlist or living donor transplant events. The Nursing Home Minimum Dataset and the CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728) are used to identify SNF patients. A separate CMS file that contains final action claims submitted by hospice providers was used to determine the hospice status.

2.16.18 Selected References

2.17 Percentage of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted (PPPW) Measure (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)

2.17.1 Measure Name

Percentage of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted (PPPW)

2.17.2 Measure Description

The PPPW measure tracks the percentage of patients at each dialysis facility who were on the kidney or kidney-pancreas transplant waiting list. Results are averaged across patients prevalent on the last day of each month during the reporting year, adjusted for age.

2.17.3 Measure Rationale

A measure focusing on the waitlisting process is appropriate for improving access to kidney transplantation for several reasons. First, waitlisting is a necessary step prior to potential receipt of a deceased donor kidney. Second, dialysis facilities exert substantial control over the process of waitlisting. This includes proper education of dialysis patients on the option for transplant, referral of appropriate patients to a transplant center for evaluation, assisting patients with completion of the transplant evaluation process, and optimizing the health and functional status of patients in order to increase their candidacy for transplant waitlisting. These types of activities are included as part of the conditions for coverage for Medicare certification of ESRD dialysis facilities. In addition, dialysis facilities can also help maintain patients on the waitlist through assistance with ongoing evaluation activities and by optimizing health and functional status. Finally, wide regional variations in waitlisting rates highlight substantial room for improvement for this process measure (Ashby 2007, Satayathum 2005, Patzer 2014).

This measure focuses specifically on the prevalent dialysis population, examining waitlisting status monthly for each patient. This allows evaluation and encouragement of ongoing waitlisting of patients beyond the first year of dialysis initiation who have not yet been listed. Patients may not be ready, either psychologically or due to their health status, to consider transplantation early after initiation of dialysis and many choose to undergo evaluation for transplantation only after years on dialysis. In addition, as this measure assesses monthly waitlisting status of patients, it also evaluates and encourages maintenance of patients on the waitlist. Maintenance of active status on the waitlist is important for increasing likelihood of transplantation (Grams 2013) and thus by extension, is waitlisting overall. This is an important area to which dialysis facilities can contribute through ensuring patients remain healthy and complete any ongoing testing activities required to remain on the waitlist. In contrast to this measure, another waitlisting measure, the Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio for Incident Dialysis Patients (SWR), focuses solely on new listing or living kidney donor transplantation within the first year after initiation of dialysis with the rationale of encouraging early access to transplantation or the waitlist.

2.17.4 Measure Type

Process
2.17.5 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A higher number indicates better quality.

2.17.6 Numerator Statement
To be included in the numerator for a particular month, the patient must be on the kidney or kidney-pancreas transplant waitlist as of the last day of the month during the reporting year.

2.17.7 Facility Exclusions
The PPPW calculation is restricted to facilities with 11 or more eligible patients during the reporting time period.

ESRD QIP only:
Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4).

2.17.8 Denominator Statement
The denominator for the PPPW is the sum of all patient-months for patients who are under the age of 75 in the reporting month and who are assigned to the dialysis facility according to each patient’s treatment history as of the last day of each month during the reporting year.
Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4).

2.17.9 Denominator Exclusions
Exclusions that are implicit in the denominator definition include:

- Patients who were at age 75 or older on the last day of each month.
- Patients who were admitted to an SNF or a hospice during the month of evaluation were excluded from that month; patients who were admitted to an SNF at incidence or previously according to Form CMS-2728 were also excluded.

The Nursing Home Minimum Dataset and the Questions 16u and 21 on the CMS Medical Evidence Form are used to identify patients in SNFs. For hospice patients, a separate CMS file that contains final action claims submitted by hospice providers was used to determine the hospice status.

2.17.10 Mapping Patients to Facilities
EQRS is the primary basis for placing patients at dialysis facilities, and dialysis claims are used as an additional source. Information regarding first ESRD service date, death, and transplant is obtained from several sources including the CMS Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728), transplant data from the OPTN (Dialysis Facility Measures only), the Death Notification Form (CMS-2746) and the Social Security Death Master File (Dialysis Facility Measures only). Also see Section 3.1.6. Additionally, for Dialysis Facility Measures, a new treatment history record is created for each patient each time he/she changes facility or treatment modality. Each record represents a time period associated with a specific modality and dialysis facility.
2.17.11 Calculating Numerators/Outcome definition

To be included in the numerator for a particular month, the patient must be on the kidney or kidney-pancreas transplant waitlist as of the last day of the month during the reporting period.

2.17.12 Risk Adjustment

Age adjustment was deemed necessary on clinical grounds. Although age alone is not a contraindication to transplantation, older patients are likely to have more comorbidities and be generally more frail thus making them potentially less suitable candidates for transplantation and therefore some may be appropriately excluded from waitlisting for transplantation. This may affect waitlisting rates for facilities with a substantially older age composition than the average.

A linear spline was used to model the effect of (continuous) age. The spline’s knots at 15, 55, and 70 were determined empirically using standard techniques.

2.17.13 Calculation of PPPW

We assume a logistic regression model for the probability that a prevalent patient is waitlisted. Consider patient \(i\) at facility \(j\) during calendar month \(k\); we set the response variate to \(Y_{ijk} = 1\) if the patient is on the waitlist and \(Y_{ijk} = 0\) if not. The model is adjusted for age, 

\[
\text{logit}(p_{ijk}) = \alpha_j + \beta A_{ij},
\]

coded as a linear spline with empirically determined knots at ages 15, 55, and 70. As such, the only factors in the logistic model are age and \(i\) and the facility indicators. The model is fitted using Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE; Liang and Zeger, 1986) in order to account for the correlation within-patient across months.

With over 7,000 facilities, it is difficult to estimate all parameters (i.e., including the facility indicators) simultaneously. Therefore, we break the fitting process into stages. At the first stage, we estimate the \(\beta\) vector by averaging 10 subgroups of approximately 700 facilities each. At the second stage, we then estimate the \(\alpha\) \((j=1, ..., 7000)\) by fitting facility-specific intercept-only GEE models, with the linear predictor from the first stage, \(\beta A_{ij}\), serving as an offset. Per well-established GEE results (e.g., Liang and Zeger, 1986), the estimator of \(\alpha\) is consistent for its target value and follows a Normal distribution with standard error given by the robust ‘sandwich’ estimator computed via GEE. We can then compute \(\text{PPPW}_j\) for each facility \(j\) as follows:

\[
\text{PPPW}_j = \frac{\sum \sum \sum_k \exp(a_j + \beta A_{ij})}{\sum \sum \sum_k \{1 + \exp(a_j + \beta A_{ij})\}} / n,
\]

where \(n = \text{total number of patient-months included in the overall study sample}\). The standard error of \(\text{PPPW}_j\) is estimated through the Delta method; i.e., \(SE(\text{PPPW}_j) = d_j \times SE(a_j)\), where \(d_j = \sum \sum \sum_k \exp(a_j + \beta A_{ij}) / \{1 + \exp(a_j + \beta A_{ij})\}^2 / n\).

We then carry out a two-sided Wald test (0.05 significance level) that \(\text{PPPW}_j=\text{PPPW}\), where \(\text{PPPW}\) equals the national average percentage waitlisted. Note that the Wald test is based on the logit of \(\text{PPPW}_j\), which is much more likely to follow a Normal distribution than \(\text{PPPW}_j\) itself, due to the symmetry and lack of range restrictions of the transformed version.
2.17.14 Creating Interval Estimates (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

The 95% confidence interval gives a range of plausible values for the true waitlist percentage. The upper and lower limits of the confidence interval enclose the true percentage approximately 95% of the time if this procedure were to be repeated on multiple samples.

A two-sided Wald test (0.05 significance level) is used to measure the statistical significance of (or evidence against) the hypothesis that the PPPW for a facility is the same as (neither higher nor lower than) that from the national average percentage waitlisted. A p-value of less than 0.05 is usually taken as evidence that the facility PPPW differs from the national PPPW.

2.17.15 Flagging Rules for Dialysis Facility Compare (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)

Facilities were classified as “Better than expected”, “As expected”, or “Worse than expected” based on their Z score of the logit of PPPW. The Z score value is much more likely to follow a normal distribution than PPPW itself, due to the symmetry and lack of range restrictions of the transformed version.

2.17.16 Data Elements and Data Sources

EQRS (including CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728)) is the primary data source used for placing patients at dialysis facilities, age and incident comorbidities adjustments and exclusion of patients => 75-year-old (see information provided under “denominator details”). United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) and OPTN are data sources for waitlist or living donor transplant events for ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures, respectively. The Nursing Home Minimum Dataset and the CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728) are used to identify SNF patients. A separate CMS file that contains final action claims submitted by hospice providers was used to determine the hospice status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Primary Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility CCN #</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting year and month</td>
<td>EQRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitlist status</td>
<td>UNOS/OPTN²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>CMS data sources¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of first ESRD</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home status on the Medical Evidence Form³</td>
<td>Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728) Question 16u and 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Primary Data Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home status in the current month³</td>
<td>CMS Long Term Care Minimum Data Set (MDS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice status in the current month³</td>
<td>CMS Hospice file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Data Elements and Sources for the Percentage of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted

1. EQRS (including CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728)) is the primary data source used for placing patients at dialysis facilities, age, and incident comorbidities adjustments, and exclusion of patients ≥75-years-old.

2. United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS)/OPTN are the data sources for waitlist or living donor transplant events.

3. The Nursing Home Minimum Dataset and the CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728) are used to identify SNF patients. A separate CMS file that contains final action claims submitted by hospice providers was used to determine the hospice status.
2.17.17 Flowchart

Figure 16 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate Percentage of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted Measure (PPPW).

Figure 16: Flowchart for the Percentage of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted Measure
*EQRS is the primary basis for placing patients at dialysis facilities and dialysis claims are used as an additional source. Information regarding first ESRD service date, death, waitlist status and transplant is obtained from EQRS (including the CMS Medical Evidence Form (Form CMS-2728) and the Death Notification Form (Form CMS-2746)) and Medicare claims, as well as the Organ Procurement and Transplant Network (OPTN) and the Social Security Death Master File (Dialysis Facility Measures only). For denominator exclusions, the Nursing Home Minimum Dataset and the Questions 16u and 22 on CMS Medical Evidence Form are used to identify patients in SNFs. Additionally, a separate CMS file that contains final action claims submitted by hospice providers was used to determine the hospice status.

2.17.18 Selected References

2.18 ICH CAHPS Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP Only)

2.18.1 Measure Name

In-Center Hemodialysis Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (ICH CAHPS) - NQF #0258

2.18.2 Measure Description

Percentage of patient responses to multiple survey measures to assess their dialysis providers, the quality of dialysis care they receive, and information sharing about their disease. (Survey is administered twice a year).

Three Composite Measure Scores: The proportion of respondents answering each response option by item, created from six or more questions from the survey that are reported as one measure score. Composites include: Nephrologists’ Communication and Caring, Quality of Dialysis Center Care and Operations, and Providing Information to Patients.

Three Global Items: A scale of 0 to 10 to measure the respondent’s assessment of the following: Rating of the Nephrologist, Rating of Dialysis Center Staff, and Rating of the Dialysis Facility.

2.18.3 Measure Type

Outcome – Patient Reported Outcome (PRO).

2.18.4 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate

A higher rate indicates better quality.

2.18.5 Numerator Statement

Each measure encompasses the responses for all questions included in the particular measure. Missing data for individual survey questions are not included in the calculations. Only data from a completed survey is used in the calculations. The measures score averages the proportion of those responding to each answer choice in all questions. Each global rating will be scored based on the number of respondents in the distribution of top responses, e.g., the percentage of patients rating the facility a “9” or “10” on a 0 to 10 scale (with 10 being the best).

2.18.6 Facility Exclusions

- Facilities that attest in EQRS that they treated fewer than 30 eligible in-center hemodialysis adult patients during the eligibility period, which is defined as the year prior to the performance period
- Facilities that treat 30 or more eligible in-center hemodialysis adult patients during the eligibility period, but are unable to obtain at least 30 completed surveys during the performance period
- Facilities with a CCN certification date on or after October 1 of the year prior to the performance year
• Facilities not offering in-center HD as of December 31 of the performance period. Note: Adult and pediatric facilities that treat fewer than 30 eligible patients during the eligibility period must attest to this in EQRS in order to not receive a score on the measure; facilities that do not attest that they are ineligible will be considered eligible and will receive a score on the measure if they obtain at least 30 completed surveys, or if they are non-compliant (see Section 2.18.9).

2.18.7 Denominator Statement
Patients with ESRD receiving in-center HD at sampled facility for the past 3 months or longer are included in the sample frame. The denominator for each question is the sample patients that responded to the particular question.

2.18.8 Denominator Exclusions
The following patients are excluded in the count of 30 eligible patients:
• Patients less than 18 years old (see Section 3.1.3) on the last day of the sampling window (see https://ichcahps.org for dates) for the semiannual survey
• Patients receiving HD from their current facility for less than 90 days
• Patients receiving hospice care
• Patients currently residing in an institution, such as a residential nursing home or other long-term care facility, or a jail or prison

2.18.9 Additional Information
• Facilities are required to register on the https://ichcahps.org website in order to authorize a CMS-approved vendor to administer the survey and submit data on their behalf.
• Facilities are required to administer the survey twice during the performance period, using a CMS-approved vendor.
• Facilities are required to ensure that vendors submit survey data to CMS by the date specified at https://ichcahps.org.
• Adult and pediatric facilities that treat fewer than 30 eligible patients during the eligibility period must attest to this in EQRS in order to not receive a score on the measure; facilities that do not attest that they are ineligible will be considered eligible and will receive a score on the measure.
• Facilities that do not administer two surveys during the performance period will receive a score of 0 on the measure.
• Facilities that administer two surveys during the performance period, but less than 30 completed surveys will be excluded from the measure.
• Additional specifications may be found at https://ichcahps.org.
2.18.10 **Data Elements and Data Sources**

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the EQRS data elements can be found at the [ESRD section of QualityNet.org](https://www.qualitynet.org).

**EQRS Data Elements:**

- ICH CAHPS Attestation Indicator
- Initial Facility Certification Date
- Medicare Certified Services Offered as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Additional Services Offered (Non-Medicare) as of 12/31 of the measurement period

**ICH CAHPS Data Elements:**

- Reporting Compliance Indicator
- Number of Completed Surveys
- Nephrologists’ Communication and Caring Composite Measure Score
- Quality of Dialysis Center Care and Operations
- Composite Measure Score
- Providing Information to Patients Composite Measure Score
- Overall Rating of Nephrologists Global Rating
- Overall Rating of the Dialysis Center Staff Global Ratings
- Overall Rating of the Dialysis Facility Global Ratings

2.18.11 **Flowchart**

Figure 17 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the ICH CAHPS Clinical Measure.
ICH CAHPS Survey
Percentage of patient responses to multiple testing tools. Under this measure, facilities will be scored based on patient responses to questions in the ICH CAHPS survey.

Figure 17: ICH CAHPS Survey Flowchart (ESRD QIP Only)
2.18.12 Selected References

- [https://ichcahps.org/Home.aspx](https://ichcahps.org/Home.aspx)
2.19 NHSN Bloodstream Infection in Hemodialysis Patients Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP Only)

2.19.1 Measure Name
The National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Standardized Infection Ratio (SIR) of Bloodstream Infections (BSI) in Hemodialysis Outpatients – NQF #1460

2.19.2 Measure Description
The SIR of BSI will be calculated among patients receiving HD at outpatient HD centers.

2.19.3 Measure Type
Outcome

2.19.4 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A lower ratio indicates better quality.

2.19.5 Numerator Statement
The number of new positive blood culture events based on blood cultures drawn as an outpatient or within one calendar day after a hospital admission. A positive blood culture is considered a new event and counted only if it occurred 21 days or more after a previous positive blood culture in the same patient.

2.19.6 Facility Exclusions
- Facilities that do not offer in-center HD as of December 31 of the performance period
- Facilities with a CCN certification date on or after October 1 of the year prior to the performance year
- Facilities that treat fewer than 11 in-center HD patients during the performance period, where in-center HD patients are defined as patients whose primary treatment modality is in-center HD and (1) have a Medicare claim submitted by the facility during the performance year; or (2) have a treatment at an outpatient dialysis facility or a long-term care facility
- Facilities with an approved ECE

2.19.7 Denominator Statement
Number of maintenance HD patients treated in the outpatient HD center on the first two working days of the month.

2.19.8 Patient Exclusions
- Patients receiving inpatient HD
- Patients receiving only home HD or PD
• Patients not on ESRD treatment as defined by a completed 2728 form, an EQRS record, or a sufficient amount of dialysis reported on dialysis facility claims

2.19.9 Additional Information

Facilities must submit 12 months of accurately reported dialysis event data in order to receive an SIR. Eligible facilities that do not submit 12 months of accurately reported data according to the requirements receive zero points for the measure.

Facilities are required to follow the NHSN Dialysis Event Protocol and submit data to NHSN by the quarterly deadlines specified by the CDC’s NHSN and ESRD QIP website: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/faqs/dialysis/faq-esrd-qip.html. Once the quarterly reporting deadline has passed, a frozen data file is created for calculating final ESRD QIP scores. Although the NHSN Dialysis Event Protocol includes an expectation that users report any additional information retrospectively in order to ensure NHSN data are complete and accurate, only data reported prior to the ESRD QIP quarterly reporting deadline will be used to calculate ESRD QIP scores.


Additional details on the specifications for the NHSN BSI measure can be found at the following website: http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/dialysis/understanding-the-de-bsi-sir.pdf

2.19.10 Data Elements and Data Sources

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the EQRS and Claims data elements can be found at the ESRD section of QualityNet.org.

**CDC Data Elements:**

- Quarterly reporting compliance indicator (from CDC)
- Standardized Infection Ratio (SIR) for BSI (from as calculated by CDC)

**EQRS Data Elements:** (used to determine facility eligibility and eligible patient count)

- Certification Date
- UPI
- Provider ID
- Admit Date
- Discharge Date
- Primary Type of Treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)
- Primary Dialysis Setting
- Medicare Certified Services Offered as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Additional Services Offered (Non-Medicare) as of 12/31 of the measurement period
Claims Based Data Elements: Note: Only Type of Bill (TOB) 72x claims are considered in the measure calculation.

- Claim CCN
- Patient Health Insurance Claim Number
- Claim Related Condition Code
- Claim Control Number
- Claim-From Date
- Claim Through Date
- Claim NCH Daily Process Date
- Claim Link Number
- Claim Line Institutional Revenue Center Codes
- Claim Line Institutional Revenue Center Dates
- Calculated start of ESRD date (see Section 3.1.2)

2.19.11 Flowchart

Figure 18 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the NHSN BSI in HD outpatient’s measure in the ESRD QIP.
Figure 18: NHSN Bloodstream Infection in Hemodialysis Outpatients Flowchart (ESRD QIP Only)
2.20 NHSN Dialysis Event Reporting Measure (ESRD QIP Only)

2.20.1 Measure Name
The National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Dialysis Event Reporting

2.20.2 Measure Description
Number of months for which facility reports NHSN Dialysis Event data to the CDC. There are three types of dialysis events reported by users: IV antimicrobial start; positive blood culture; and pus, redness, or increased swelling at the vascular access site.

Dialysis Event data are due quarterly; please refer to the following CDC NHSN website link for further details: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/dialysis/event/index.html.

2.20.3 Measure Type
Process

2.20.4 Improvement Noted as Higher or Lower Rate
A higher number indicates better quality.

2.20.5 Facility Exclusions
- Facilities that do not offer in-center HD as of December 31 of the performance period
- Facilities with a CCN certification date on or after September 1 of the performance year
- Facilities that treat fewer than 11 in-center HD patients during the performance period, where in-center HD patients are defined as patients whose primary treatment modality is in-center HD and (1) have a Medicare claim submitted by the facility during the performance year; or (2) have a treatment at an outpatient dialysis facility or a long-term care facility

2.20.6 Additional Information
- Granted ECE months are not counted as eligible months.
- New facilities are required to report NHSN dialysis event on the first day of the month that is 4 months after the month in which the facility is certified to participate in Medicare.
- The NHSN Dialysis Event Reporting measure is scored as follows:
  - 10 points for reporting 100% of eligible months
  - 2 points for reporting less than 100% but no less than 50% of eligible months
  - 0 points for reporting less than 50% of eligible months.
- Facilities are required to follow the NHSN Dialysis Event Protocol and submit data to NHSN by the quarterly deadlines specified by the CDC’s NHSN and ESRD QIP website: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/faqs/dialysis/faq-esrd-qip.html. Once the quarterly reporting deadline has passed, a frozen data file is created for calculating
final QIP scores. Although the NHSN Dialysis Event Protocol includes an expectation that users report any additional information retrospectively in order to ensure NHSN data are complete and accurate, only data reported prior to the ESRD QIP quarterly reporting deadline will be used to calculate ESRD QIP scores.

Additional details on the specifications for the NHSN Dialysis Event Reporting measure can be found at the following website: http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/Training/dialysis/index.html

2.20.7 Data Elements and Data Sources

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the EQRS data elements can be found at the ESRD section of QualityNet.org.

**EQRS Data Elements:** (used to determine facility eligibility and eligible patient count)

- Certification date
- UPI
- Provider ID
- Admit date
- Discharge date
- Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)
- Primary dialysis setting
- Medicare certified services offered as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Additional services offered (Non-Medicare) as of 12/31 of the measurement period

**Claims Based Data Elements:** *Note: Only Type of Bill (TOB) 72x claims are considered in the measure calculation.*

- Claim CCN
- Patient Health Insurance Claim Number
- Claim Related Condition Code
- Claim Control Number
- Claim-From Date
- Claim Through Date
- Claim NCH Daily Process Date
- Claim Link Number
- Claim Line Institutional Revenue Center Codes
- Claim Line Institutional Revenue Center Dates
- Calculated start of ESRD date (see Section 3.1.2)
2.20.8 Flowchart

Figure 19 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the NHSN Dialysis Event Reporting measure for the ESRD QIP.

Figure 19: NHSN Dialysis Event Reporting Measure Flowchart (ESRD QIP Only)
2.21 Ultrafiltration Rate Reporting Measure (ESRD QIP Only)

2.21.1 Measure Name
Ultrafiltration Rate Reporting Measure

2.21.2 Measure Description
Number of months for which a facility reports all required data elements for ultrafiltration rate (UFR) in EQRS for all HD sessions during the week of the monthly Kt/V draw submitted for that clinical month for each eligible patient (both Medicare and non-Medicare dialysis patients), (based on NQF# 2701).

2.21.3 Measure Rationale
This measure is intended to guard against risks associated with high ultrafiltration (i.e., rapid fluid removal) rates for adult dialysis patient undergoing HD. Despite the majority of dialysis patients achieving targets for urea removal, the mortality rate among HD patients has remained unacceptably high. Published literature suggests that higher UFR is an independent predictor of mortality. Faster UFR (depending on the magnitude of interdialytic fluid loss and the duration of dialysis session) may lead to higher frequency of intradialytic hypotension (IDH), which currently occurs at high frequency and has been associated with higher mortality. Phenomena, such as repetitive ‘myocardial stunning’, recurrent central nervous system, bowel, and other organ-perfusion related damage could result if large volumes of fluid are removed rapidly during each dialysis session, with deleterious consequences for the patient both in the short and longer term.

2.21.4 Measure Type
Process

2.21.5 Numerator Statement
Number of months for which a facility reports all required data elements for UFR in EQRS for all HD sessions during the week of the monthly Kt/V draw submitted for that clinical month for each eligible patient.

See Section 2.21.9 for further detail on required data.

2.21.6 Facility Exclusions
- Facilities with a CCN open date on or after April 1, of the performance period (see Glossary at end of Manual)
- Facilities treating fewer than 11 eligible patients during the performance period
- Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4)

2.21.7 Denominator Statement
The number of eligible patient-months assigned to the facility in the performance period.
2.21.8 Patient Exclusions

- Patients less than 18 years of age (see Section 3.1.3) at the beginning of the reporting month
- Patients not assigned to the facility for the entire reporting month
- Patients not on in-center HD during the reporting month
- Patients on ESRD treatment (as defined by a completed 2728 form or an EQRS record) for less than 90 days at the beginning of the reporting month

2.21.9 Determining Successful Reporting for a Patient

A facility is considered to have successfully reported for a patient-month if the facility reported the following required data in EQRS for all HD sessions during the week of the monthly Kt/V draw submitted for that clinical month for each eligible patient:

(Note: Not all UFR values need necessarily be from the same clinical month)

- In-Center Hemodialysis (ICHD) Kt/V Date
- Post-Dialysis Weight
- Pre-Dialysis Weight
- Delivered Minutes of BUN HD
- Number of sessions of dialysis delivered by the dialysis unit to the patient in the reporting month

2.21.10 Calculating a Facility’s Score on the Ultrafiltration Reporting Measure

An eligible facility’s score is calculated according to the following equation:

\[
\frac{\text{# patient-months facility reported required UFR data elements in EQRS}}{\text{# eligible patient-months assigned to the facility in the performance period}} \times 12 - 2
\]

2.21.11 Flowchart

Figure 20 provides a flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the UFR Reporting Measure.
Figure 20: Ultrafiltration Rate Reporting Measure Flowchart (ESRD QIP Only)
2.21.12 Data Elements and Data Sources

The data elements used for this measure are listed below. A complete description of the data elements can be found at the ESRD section of QualityNet.org.

**EQRS Data Elements:**

- Initial certification date
- UPI
- Facility CCN
- Admit date
- Date of Month/Year associated with EQRS Clinical Record
- Patient date of birth
- Patient date of death
- Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)
- Number of prescribed dialysis sessions during the clinical month
- Medicare certified services offered as of 12/31 of the performance period
- Additional services offered (Non-Medicare) as of 12/31 of the measurement period
- Kt/V reading date
- Pre-Dialysis weight (entered for Kt/V and UF session)
- Pre-Dialysis weight unit of measure (entered for Kt/V and UF session)
- Post-Dialysis weight (entered for Kt/V and UF session)
- Post-Dialysis weight unit of measure (entered for Kt/V and UF session)
- Delivered minutes (entered for Kt/V and UF session)
- Number of sessions of dialysis delivered by the dialysis unit to the patient in the reporting month
2.22 Medication Reconciliation Reporting Measure (MedRec) (ESRD QIP Only)

2.22.1 Measure Name
Medication Reconciliation Reporting Measure (MedRec)

2.22.2 Measure Description
The percentage of patient-months for which medication reconciliation was performed and documented by an eligible professional (based on NQF #2988).

2.22.3 Measure Rationale
Medication management is a critical safety issue for all patients, but especially so for patients with ESRD, who often require 10 or more medications and take an average of 17-25 doses per day, have numerous comorbid conditions, have multiple healthcare providers and prescribers, and undergo frequent medication regimen changes (Hakim 2014; Cardone 2010; Shoemaker 2011; esrdnetworks.org). Medication-related problems (MRPs) contribute significantly to the approximately $40 billion in public and private funds spent annually on ESRD care in the United States, and it is believed that medication management practices focusing on medication documentation, review, and reconciliation could systematically identify and resolve MRPs, improve ESRD patient outcomes, and reduce total costs of care (Parker. As most HD patients are seen at least thrice weekly and PD patients monthly, the dialysis facility has been suggested as a reasonable locale for medication therapy management (Pai 2013).

2.22.4 Measure Type
Process

2.22.5 Numerator Statement
Number of patient-months in the denominator for which the facility reported the following required data in EQRS:

- Date of the medication reconciliation.
- Type of eligible professional who completed the medication reconciliation:
  - physician
  - nurse
  - ARNP
  - PA
  - pharmacist
  - pharmacy technician personnel
- Name of eligible professional
2.22.6 Denominator Statement
Total number of eligible patient-months for all patients assigned to a dialysis facility during the performance period.

2.22.7 Patient Exclusions
- In-center patients who receive < 7 HD treatments in the facility during the reporting month.
- Patients who are not assigned to the facility for the entire reporting month.
- Patients not on ESRD treatment as defined by a completed 2728 form, an EQRS record, or a sufficient amount of dialysis reported on dialysis facility claims.

2.22.8 Facility Exclusions
- Facilities with a CCN certification date on or after October 1 of the year prior to the performance period.
- Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE (see Section 3.4).
- Facilities treating fewer than 11 eligible patients during the performance period.

2.22.9 Calculating a Facility’s Score on the Medication Reconciliation Reporting Measure
An eligible facility’s score is calculated according to the following equation:

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\# \text{ patient-months facility reported required MedRec data elements in EQRS}}{\# \text{ eligible patient-months assigned to the facility in the performance period} \times 12} \right\rfloor - 2$$

2.22.10 Flowchart
Figure 21 presents the flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the MedRec Reporting Measure.
Figure 21: Medication Reconciliation Reporting Measure Flowchart (ESRD QIP Only)
2.22.11 Data Elements and Data Sources

Facility medical records, EQRS, and other CMS administrative data will be used.

EQRS Data Elements include:

- Initial certification date
- UPI
- Facility CCN
- MedRec date
- MedRec clinician type
- MedRec clinician name
- Primary type of treatment ID (EQRS dialysis type)
- Number of sessions of dialysis delivered by the dialysis unit to the patient in the reporting month

2.22.12 Selected References

2.23 COVID-19 Healthcare Personnel (HCP) Vaccination Reporting (ESRD QIP Only)

2.23.1 Measure Name
COVID-19 Vaccination Coverage among Healthcare Personnel

2.23.2 Measure Description
Percentage of months for which the facility successfully reports National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) COVID-19 vaccination data for eligible healthcare personnel in the CDC’s NHSN system.

2.23.3 Measure Rationale
CDC currently collects data that are voluntarily reported on COVID-19 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2) vaccination coverage among healthcare personnel through the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN). The NHSN Healthcare Personnel COVID-19 Vaccination Cumulative Summary Module was developed to help guide the public health emergency response to the COVID-19 pandemic. As the pandemic phase of the COVID-19 epidemic wanes and public health vaccination priorities shift from emergency response to measurement for ensuring the safety of patients and healthcare workers, CDC intends to align NHSN COVID-19 vaccination coverage surveillance with the National Quality Forum (NQF)-endorsed quality measure for annual influenza vaccination coverage among Healthcare Personnel (NQF #0431), which is collected through the NHSN Healthcare Personnel Influenza Vaccination Module.

2.23.4 Measure Type
Process

2.23.5 Numerator Statement
Number of eligible months in the performance period for which a facility successfully reports the required COVID-19 vaccination data for all HCP eligible to work in the healthcare facility for at least one day during the reporting period, excluding persons with contraindications to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination.

2.23.6 Denominator Statement
Number of months the facility is eligible in the performance period

2.23.7 Patient Exclusions
The denominator for this measure excludes HCP with documented contraindications to COVID-19 vaccine. As of March 2021, CDC considers contraindications to vaccination with COVID-19 vaccines to be:
• Severe allergic reaction (e.g., anaphylaxis) after a previous dose or to a component of the COVID-19 vaccine.
• Immediate allergic reaction of any severity to a previous dose or known (diagnosed) allergy to a component of the vaccine.

2.23.8 Facility Exclusions

1. Facilities with a CCN open date on or after September 1 of the performance year.
2. Calculations will exclude the months covered by a granted ECE.

2.23.9 Additional Information

1. Facilities should submit COVID-19 vaccination data via the Weekly COVID-19 Vaccination Module for at least one week per month to fulfill CMS reporting requirements. For facilities that report more than one week per month, the last week of the reporting month will be shared with CMS. NHSN guidance and definitions for reporting weekly HCP COVID-19 vaccination data can be found in the NHSN HCP COVID-19 Vaccination Protocol: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/hps/weekly-covid-vac/#protocol.

2. Facilities are required to report COVID-19 vaccination data for three required categories of HCP including:
   a. Employees (required): This includes all persons receiving a direct paycheck from the reporting facility (i.e., on the facility’s payroll), regardless of clinical responsibility or patient contact.
   b. Licensed independent practitioners (LIPs) (required): This includes physicians (MD, DO), advanced practice nurses, and physician assistants who are affiliated with the reporting facility, but are not directly employed by it (i.e., they do not receive a paycheck from the facility), regardless of clinical responsibility or patient contact. Post-residency fellows are also included in this category if they are not on the facility’s payroll.
   c. Adult students/trainees and volunteers (required): This includes medical, nursing, or other health professional students, interns, medical residents, or volunteers aged 18 or older who are affiliated with the healthcare facility, but are not directly employed by it (i.e., they do not receive a paycheck from the facility), regardless of clinical responsibility or patient contact.

3. Facilities are not required to report for HCP who:
   a. Were determined to have a medical contraindication or condition specified by Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling or authorization, CDC or Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommendations.
   b. Can provide verbal statements for medical contraindications to (and declination of) the vaccine.
4. As of March 2021, CDC considers contraindications to vaccination with COVID-19 vaccines to be:
   a. Severe allergic reaction (e.g., anaphylaxis) after a previous dose or to a component of the COVID-19 vaccine
   b. Immediate allergic reaction of any severity to a previous dose or known (diagnosed) allergy to a component of the vaccine.

2.23.10 Calculating a Facility’s Score

The measure will be scored according to the following formula:

\[
\left[ \frac{\# \text{ Months successfully reporting data}}{\# \text{ Eligible months}} \times 12 \right] - 2
\]

2.23.11 Flowchart

Figure 22 presents the flowchart that represents the processes used to calculate the COVID-19 Healthcare Personnel (HCP) Vaccination Reporting Measure.
Figure 22: COVID-19 Healthcare Personnel Vaccination Reporting Measure Flowchart (ERD QIP Only)

2.23.12 Data Elements and Data Sources

1. CDC’s NHSN system.
2. EQRS
3. **Cross-Measure Determinations**

The following subsections describe calculations that are used in multiple measure calculations. Note, some ESRD QIP functionality will be replaced by reading key patient attributes from the EQRS Patient Repository, which is expected to serve as the source of ESRD QIP patient information in CY 2022.

3.1 **Determining Patient-Level Exclusions**

The subsections below explain how Dialysis Facility Measures and ESRD QIP assign modalities to patients.

3.1.1 **Modality Determination**

**Dialysis Facility Measures Only:**

- A patient is defined as an HD patient if their modality reported in Medicare claims is any of the following: ‘Hemodialysis’, ‘Center self hemo’, ‘Home hemo’ or ‘Hemo Training’
- A patient is defined as a peritoneal patient if their modality reported in claims is any of the following: ‘CAPD’, ‘CAPD Training’, ‘CCPD’, ‘CCPD Training’, ‘Other PD’ where CAPD is continuous ambulatory PD and CCPD is continuous cycling PD.

**ESRD QIP Only:**

For EQRS-based measures including hypercalcemia, UFR, MedRec, vascular access LTC, and SFR, patient modality is determined solely on EQRS treatment records. Patient modality is derived from EQRS as follows:

- In-Center HD:
  - Dialysis Type = HD
  - Dialysis Setting = Dialysis Center
- Home HD:
  - Dialysis Type = HD
  - Dialysis Setting = SNF/LTC or Home
- PD:
  - Dialysis Type = CAPD or CCPD
- Other:
  - Dialysis Type = Other

For the Kt/V Comprehensive measure only, modality is determined using either EQRS treatment records or claims, with preference given to EQRS. The system tracks if a patient changed modality during the month, with the exception that switching between in-center HD and home HD is not considered a modality change for adults aged over 18 years. When treatment records submitted by different facilities cover the same dates, preference is given to the treatment records submitted by the assigned facility. Additionally, patients’ prescribed number of treatment sessions is obtained from the treatment record used to assign modality.
When patient modality is not available in EQRS, patient modality for Kt/V Comprehensive is derived from claims by considering revenue center codes for in-center HD, with condition codes used to identify home HD, and PD. Claims with revenue center codes indicating a mix of modalities are flagged as Multiple Modality.

For measures in which Medicare claims are used to determine modality, patient modality is derived for a given month as follows:

- In cases where a dialysis patient receives treatment with more than one dialysis treatment modality in a month, for some measures the system must determine the patient’s primary treatment modality for that month. The system will use the logic described in this section to determine patient’s primary treatment modality for single or a multiple-claim patient-month for each facility submitting claims for the patient in the month. For measures requiring modality determination at the level of detail corresponding to the individual claim, the portions of this process related to a single claim are followed.

Step 1. For each claim, determine the presence of dialysis-related revenue center codes:

a. Determine if any of the following dialysis-related *composite* revenue center codes (also known as primary codes) are on the claim:

   - Composite revenue center codes (shown in the second column of Table 13):
     - Hemodialysis - 0821, 0881
     - Other Peritoneal Dialysis - 0831
     - Peritoneal - CAPD (0841) or CCPD (0851)

b. If only the following dialysis-related *non-composite* revenue center codes are present, skip to Step 5 (Section 3.1.1).

   - Non-composite revenue center codes are shown in the third column of Table 13 (below).

c. When there are revenue center codes with the same line item date, use Table 13 (below) to determine modality type for each revenue center code.

   - If the modality types are the same, only count once when determining modality and number of sessions.
   - If the modality types are different, do not count either when determining modality and number of sessions.
   - If there are both composite and non-composite revenue center codes, only the composite codes will be counted when determining modality and number of sessions.

   d. If no dialysis-related revenue center codes are present, set the Primary Modality to Undetermined.
Modality Type | Revenue Center Codes Composite | Revenue Center Codes Non-Composite
---|---|---
In-Center Hemodialysis | 0821, 0881 | 0801, 0820, 0824, 0825, 0829
HHD – Home Hemodialysis | | 0822, 0823, 0882
Peritoneal Dialysis | 0841, 0851 | 0803, 0804, 0840, 0842, 0843, 0844, 0845, 0849, 0850, 0852, 0853, 0854, 0855, 0859
OPD – Other Peritoneal Dialysis | 0831 | 0802, 0830, 0832, 0833, 0834, 0835, 0839
Undetermined | | 0800, 0809, 0880, 0889

Table 13: Modality Types for Revenue Center Codes

Step 2. For months where the facility has submitted multiple claims for the patient:

a. Determine the presence of dialysis-related revenue center codes across all claims and combine into one list.

b. Determine if any of the following dialysis-related composite revenue center codes (also known as primary codes) are on any of the claims:
   - Composite revenue center codes (shown in the second column of Table 13):
     ▪ Hemodialysis - 0821, 0881
     ▪ Other Peritoneal Dialysis - 0831
     ▪ Peritoneal - CAPD (0841) or CCPD (0851)

c. If only dialysis-related non-composite revenue center codes are present, skip to Step 5 (Section 3.1.1).
   - Non-composite revenue center codes are shown in the third column of Table 13 (above).

d. When there are revenue center codes with the same line item date, use Table 13 (above) to determine modality type for each revenue center code.
   - If the modality types are the same, only count once when determining modality and number of sessions
   - If the modality types are different, do not count either when determining modality and number of sessions
   - If there are both composite and non-composite revenue center codes, only the composite codes will be counted when determining modality and number of sessions
e. If no dialysis-related revenue center codes are present, set the Primary Modality to **Undetermined**

Step 3. For claims with any of the five dialysis-related composite revenue center codes present, calculate the number of hemo-equivalent dialysis sessions using only composite revenue center codes and ignoring any non-composite revenue center codes that may be present:

a. Count sessions per modality type using revenue center codes as follows:
   - HD sessions = count incidences of revenue center codes 0821 and 0881
   - Other PD sessions = count incidences of revenue center code 0831
   - CAPD sessions = count incidences of revenue center code 0841
   - CCPD sessions = count incidences of revenue center code 0851

b. Sum HD sessions

c. Sum Other PD, CAPD, and CCPD sessions and convert to PD hemo-equivalent sessions. PD (hemo-equivalent) sessions = (OPD+CAPD+CCPD)*3/7

Step 4. Compare HD and PD (hemo-equivalent) dialysis sessions, determine the primary modality.

a. If there are more HD sessions set primary modality to **In-center Hemodialysis** and continue to step 6

b. If there are more PD sessions,
   - Sum Other PD sessions
   - Sum CAPD and CCPD sessions
   - If there are more Other Peritoneal sessions, set primary modality to **OPD**
   - If there are more CAPD and CCPD sessions, set primary modality to **Peritoneal Dialysis**

c. If there is a tie between the highest counts of two or more of different modality types, set primary modality to **Undetermined**

Step 5. If the only dialysis-related codes on the claim are non-composite revenue center codes (shown in the third column of Table 13), set the primary modality according to which modality type code set occurs most frequently:

a. Count the non-composite codes of each type and set the Primary Modality according to which code occurs most frequently as shown in Table 13 (above)

b. For months where the facility has submitted multiple claims for the patient, and there are only non-composite revenue center codes, and there are non-composite revenue center codes with the same date, use Table 13 (above) to determine modality type:
   - If the modality types are the same, only count once when determining modality and number of sessions
   - If the modality types are different, do not count either when determining modality and number of sessions

c. Determine primary modality:
   - Sum HD code counts (one code=one session)
   - Sum PD and Other PD code counts (sessions) and convert to PD hemo-equivalent sessions. PD (hemo-equivalent) sessions = (PD+OPD)*3/7
   - Compare HD and PD (hemo-equivalent) dialysis sessions, determine the primary modality:
Step 6. Determine if the patient was receiving Home Hemodialysis:

a. For patient months that have a single claim:

   o If the patient’s primary modality is set to **In-Center Hemodialysis**, change to **Home Hemodialysis** if the Claim Related Condition Code is 74 or 75 (which correspond to ‘Home - Billing is for a patient who received dialysis services at home’ and ‘Home 100% reimbursement - [not to be used for services after 4/15/90]. The billing is for home dialysis patient using a dialysis machine that was purchased under the 100% program’).

b. For months where the facility has submitted multiple claims for the patient:

   o If the patient’s primary modality is set to **In-Center Hemodialysis**, and any one of the multiple claims have Claim Related Condition Code of 74 or 75:
     - Set the claim with the highest number hemodialysis revenue center codes (shown in Table 13 with Modality Type In-center Hemodialysis) as the **Primary Single Claim**.
       - Note: Count all dialysis-related codes for this purpose, including those occurring on the same date and both composite and non-composite codes if both are present.
     - If the **Primary Single Claim** has a claim-related condition code of 74 or 75 then switch the primary modality to **Home Hemodialysis**.
     - If the **Primary Single Claim** does not have a claim-related condition code of 74 or 75 then the modality remains **In-center Hemodialysis**.
     - If no Primary Single Claim can be determined (because there is a tie between two or more claims containing the highest number of hemodialysis revenue center codes), then:
       - If all claims with the highest number of hemodialysis revenue center codes also have a Claim Related Condition Code of 74 or 75, then switch the primary modality to **Home Hemodialysis**.
       - If any of the claims with the highest number of hemodialysis revenue center codes does not have a Claim Related Condition Code of 74 or 75, then the modality remains **In-center Hemodialysis**.

Step 7. If the primary modality is **In-center Hemodialysis** or **Home Hemodialysis**, save the count of revenue center codes (determined in Steps 2 or 5 of Section 3.1.1) as the number of sessions in the patient month.
3.1.2 Time on ESRD Treatment

If the patient is not undergoing ESRD treatment during the month, then the patient-month is excluded from the measure calculations.

Program Specific Calculation:

Dialysis Facility Measures:

- The first ESRD service date for each patient is obtained from the following data sources: CMS 2728 Medical Evidence form, the transplant standard analysis file (constructed from multiple sources), the EQRS events file, and CMS Institutional Claims. Patients often have data concerning their ESRD service from more than one of these sources. The earliest reported source is taken as the official first service date (FSD). If multiple data sources occur on the FSD, they are sorted as follows: (1) EQRS, (2) medical evidence, (3) claims, and (4) transplant.
- If the first ESRD service date was selected from a dialysis claim and there is a 2728 AND an EQRS event that occur within 30 days of each other that are > 90 days AFTER the dialysis claim date with NO transplants in between, then the first ESRD service date is moved to the next closest date, either the 2728 or the EQRS event, whichever was earlier.
- If first ESRD service date has been set to the 2728 date but there is an EQRS event of "new patient" more than 1 year later, and that date is earlier than any other EQRS event, transplant, or claim, then the first ESRD service date is changed to the EQRS event date.
- If the ESRD first service date is not before the claim “from” date, then the claim is excluded from the measure calculations.

ESRD QIP:

A patient’s initiation of ESRD date is the earliest among the four dates listed below. If multiple data sources have the earliest ESRD date, the source is identified by the following priority: (1) Medical Evidence 2728 form, (2) EQRS, (3) claims, and (4) transplant. Time on ESRD treatment is defined as the length of time from the initiation of ESRD date and the claim start date, as reported on the claim used for the patient-month.

- The date regular chronic dialysis began from the earliest completed Medical Evidence (CMS 2728) form. If this date is missing, the earliest date of these four other dates on the form is used to represent this date: physician’s signature date, date of return to regular dialysis after transplant failure, date dialysis training began, and transplant date. If unable to assign a date from the earliest Medical Evidence form, the date regular chronic dialysis began as entered in EQRS by the treating facility is substituted.
- Earliest admit date reported in EQRS for any dialysis facility, excluding admissions with discharge reason of Acute.
- Earliest evidence of chronic dialysis from Medicare claims. This calculation uses any claim with evidence of dialysis (includes a dialysis-related revenue center code) or an outpatient dialysis facility claim (type of bill 72). The date used is the claim’s start date from the earliest claim (inpatient or outpatient) where the average number of dialysis-
related revenue center codes per day across all claims for the patient for the next 60 days is > 0.2.

- Earliest transplant date. If the first ESRD service date was selected from a dialysis claim and there is a Medical Evidence 2728 form and a dialysis facility EQRSadmit that occur within 30 days of each other that are over 90 days after the dialysis claim date, with no transplants in between, then the first ESRD service date is moved to the next closest date, either the Medical Evidence 2728 form or the dialysis facility EQRSadmit, whichever was earlier.

If first ESRD service date has been set to the Medical Evidence 2728 form date but there is a dialysis facility EQRSadmit with admit reason of "new patient" more than one year later, and that date is earlier than any other dialysis facility EQRSadmit, transplant, or claim, then the first ESRD service date is changed to the dialysis facility EQRSadmit date.

### 3.1.3 Patient Age

For claims, patient age is calculated as the length of time between the patient’s date of birth and the claim “from” date (the start date for when care was provided. For EQRS, patient age is calculated as the days between date of birth and the first day of the reporting month. Age calculations accommodate leap years. Note, for ESRD QIP the source for patient date of birth will be the EQRS Patient Repository.

### 3.1.4 Determination of Weekly Dialysis and “Frequent Dialysis”

For the Kt/V measures, a patient is defined as not dialyzing greater than 2 and less than 4 times weekly if the prescribed number of sessions reported in EQRS for the patient by the facility assigned responsibility for the patient (see measure sections on mapping patients to facilities) is not greater than 2 and less than 4 times weekly and/or the patient is not identified in EQRS as undergoing “frequent” dialysis anytime during the reporting month. If information regarding the frequency of dialysis is not available for the reporting month in EQRS by the patient’s facility (assigned by the facility mapping process), then session information in EQRS submitted by other dialysis facilities where the patient received treatment during the month is considered.

If the session information is not reported in EQRS for the patient at all for the reporting month, then eligible HD Medicare claims are considered. A claim is considered eligible if it indicated the patient was an adult (≥18 years old) HD patient (or pediatric in-center HD for pediatric HD measure). The patient must also be on ESRD treatment for at least 90 days as of the start date of the claim. If an eligible claim submitted during the reporting month (from any facility) reports 8.88, then the patient month is excluded. If not, then sessions per week is calculated for the subset of eligible claims submitted during the reporting month by the patient’s facility (assigned by the mapping process). If the calculation for any of these claims (from the patient’s facility) indicates frequent or infrequent dialysis, the patient month is excluded (more details provided below.

If the prescribed dialysis information is not available for the patient during the reporting month in either data source (EQRS or Medicare claims), the patient-month is excluded from the Kt/V denominator.

**Calculating “frequent” and” infrequent” dialysis in Medicare dialysis claims**
This calculation is limited to outpatient dialysis facility claims submitted by the patient’s facility as assigned during the mapping process. The number of days the claim covers is calculated by:

\[
\text{days} = (\text{ClaimThroughDate} - (\text{ClaimFromDate} - 1))
\]

For claims covering more than 7 days, the number of dialysis sessions per week is calculated as an average rate: \(7* (# \text{ of HD revenue center codes} / # \text{ of days})\). For claims covering 7 or fewer days, no dialysis sessions per week rate is calculated.

Frequent dialysis is defined as follows if any eligible claim for the patient starting during the month meets any of the following criteria:

- HD claim with Kt/V value of 8.88
- HD claim with average rate of 4 or more dialysis-related revenue center codes per week
- Short HD claim (7 days or fewer) with 4 or more total dialysis-related revenue center codes

An HD claim is defined as indicating infrequent dialysis if it covers more than 7 days and has an average rate of 2 or fewer dialysis-related revenue center codes per week.

*Note: No rounding is used when determining dialysis frequency.*

### 3.1.5 Length of Treatment at a Facility

This section (Table 14) summarizes the approaches to length of treatment. The following table indicates where treatment time by a facility is discussed, by measure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Measure Subsection</th>
<th>Method Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vascular Access Type Clinical Measure: Long-term Catheter Rate</td>
<td>2.1.11</td>
<td>For each month of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular Access Type Clinical Measure: Standardized Fistula Rate</td>
<td>2.2.12</td>
<td>For each month of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Hemodialysis Adequacy Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)</td>
<td>2.3.11</td>
<td>For each month of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Peritoneal Dialysis Adequacy Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)</td>
<td>2.4.11</td>
<td>For each month of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric Hemodialysis Adequacy Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)</td>
<td>2.5.11</td>
<td>For each month of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Measure Subsection</td>
<td>Method Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Adequacy Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)</td>
<td>2.6.11</td>
<td>For each month of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V Dialysis Adequacy Comprehensive Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP Only)</td>
<td>2.7.11</td>
<td>For each month of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nPCR for Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)</td>
<td>2.8.11</td>
<td>For each month of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercalcemia Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)</td>
<td>2.9.11</td>
<td>Review dialysis facility calcium values during a three-month window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Depression Screening and Follow-Up Reporting Measure (ESRD QIP Only)</td>
<td>2.10.5</td>
<td>Comparison of admit and discharge dates in EQRS. For patients with a death date, when calculating length of treatment at the facility, the system will use the death date as the end of treatment when the discharge date in EQRS is later than date of death or is blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized Readmissions Ratio (SRR) Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)</td>
<td>2.11.9</td>
<td>For each day of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized Transfusion Ratio (STrR) Clinical Measure (ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures)</td>
<td>2.13.10</td>
<td>For each day of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (SHR) Measure</td>
<td>2.14.10</td>
<td>For each day of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR) Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)</td>
<td>2.15.10</td>
<td>For each day of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio for Incident Dialysis Patients Measure (Dialysis Facility Measures Only)</td>
<td>2.16.10</td>
<td>For each day of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 14: Summary of Treatment Time Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Measure Subsection</th>
<th>Method Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted Measure</td>
<td>2.17.10</td>
<td>For each day of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrafiltration Rate Reporting Measure (ESRD QIP Only)</td>
<td>2.21.7</td>
<td>For each month of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Reconciliation Reporting Measure (ESRD QIP Only)</td>
<td>2.21.7</td>
<td>For each month of treatment, dialysis provider is determined using admissions in EQRS, form CMS-2728 and claims.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.1.6 Deriving Patient Date of Death

Because multiple sources report death information for the same patient, one patient may have several reported dates. Patient date of death is derived from multiple sources for Dialysis Facility Measures using a prioritized hierarchy.

For Dialysis Facility Measures, the death date is based on the hierarchy order below, with lower numbers having a higher priority:

1. CMS 2746 Death Notification form
2. CMS Medicare Enrollment Database
3. Patient Events reported in EQRS
4. SRTR Transplant data
5. CMS 2728 Medical Evidence form (only available on 2728 forms prior to 2005)
6. EQRS and SRTR Patient Lists
7. CMS Institutional Claims
8. Social Security Death Master File

ESRD QIP source for patient derived date of death will be the EQRS Patient Repository. ESRD QIP uses derived date of death to exclude all data for patients dated after derived date of death from the calculations, and to appropriately adjust patient attribution periods and terminate dialysis facility admissions and treatments in EQRS.

#### 3.2 Linking Patient Data

##### 3.2.1 Dialysis Facility Compare

Determining a unique identifier:

Quality measures regularly combine information on the same patient from different data sources. Patients are matched across data sources using the identifying information available in each of those sources. There can be inconsistencies, so several logical tests are applied to determine if a
patient matches across data sources. A unique, internal identifier is assigned to each patient as the result of this matching process.

Dialysis Facility Measures combines data for a given patient from multiple sources. The primary match includes two components and utilizes a number of patient-identifying variables.

Match method components:

1. Candidate search and selection (candidates consist of known ESRD patients)
2. Match quality evaluation

Patient variables used to match:

1. Medicare Beneficiary ID (MBI)
2. Medicare claim number
3. Social security number
4. Last name
5. First name
6. Birthdate
7. Sex

When linked on these variables, these “match candidates” are then compared, with the quality of the comparison evaluated. Tests include equality in:

- MBI and/or Claim Number
- SSN and first 9 characters of current Claim Number
- SSN
- First name and last name
- First 9 characters of MBI, Claim Number or SSN with first 9 characters of previous MBI or Claim Number
- Last name and first initial
- Last name and first initial compared with first name and first character of last name
- Birth date

Next, the comparison algorithm examines each item and considers a number of factors which might cause a good match to be discounted including partial matches, shifted or transposed characters or numbers, and differences between dates when some parts of it do match. The algorithm assigns letter values to the comparisons in descending order of match quality, based on our experience and observation of the kind of matches that are produced. Matches are categorized into the following groups:

- Exact match
- Very confident match
- Somewhat less confident match
- Questionable match
- Non-match
- No match candidates found

Matches that are “Questionable” or “Non-match” are rejected.
If no match candidates are found, the patient is considered “new” and is added to the database and assigned a new unique internal identifier.

Linking a patient to other data sources:

- Claims: Claims are linked with patients by extracting from the IDR based on finder files consisting of either the traditional Medicare Claim Number, or the new MBI.
- The Enrollment Database (EDB): Patients are linked to their EDB data thru their SSN
- EQRS patient data (Medical Evidence, Death Notification, patient events, clinical data): Linkages are done using the EQRS ID
- Transplants: Patients are matched to our database with the match methods described above
- Nursing Home Minimum Dataset: Patients are matched using a finder file consisting of claim number/MBI, SSN, surname, first name, birth date, gender, death date. The exact sequence of match algorithms is unclear, this process is managed by the QIES data team.

### 3.2.2 ESRD QIP

For ESRD QIP calculations, the patient linkage across data sources will be performed by the EQRS Patient Repository. For ESRD QIP scoring and feedback purposes, the source data identifier is stored from each data source where a patient has data from the EQRS Patient Repository. Then the native patient identifiers are used to import data from each of these sources: EQRS, Medicare claims, transplants, and the Nursing Home Minimum Dataset.

### 3.3 Facility Mapping and Impacts of Change of Ownership

#### 3.3.1 Dialysis Facility Measures Specific

The next section provides an overview of the facility mapping that is used for creating a master facility list for the Quarterly Dialysis Facility Measures Compare Preview Reports. Facility mapping refers to the process by which provider numbers, in this case CMS Certification Numbers, are grouped together to define a single facility for quality measurement purposes.

#### 3.3.2 Overview of Provider Numbers

The Dialysis Facility Measures use the CMS Certification Number (CCN) as a primary provider identifier for quality measurement purposes. A valid CCN must be exactly 6 characters long. All but the first digit and last digit must be a number. The 6th digit can be an ‘F’, which indicates special purpose facilities. The middle 2 digits of the provider number indicate the type of the facility. Invalid provider numbers are deleted.

A hospital-based facility or satellite facility has two provider numbers associated with it. Besides its own provider number, it also has a hospital number that has ‘00’ – ‘08’ (Short Stay Hospitals), ‘13’ (Critical Access Hospitals), ‘20’ – ‘22’ (Long Term Hospital) or ‘33’ (Children’s Hospitals) as the middle 2 digits.

A dialysis service provider falls into one of the three main categories:
3.3.3 Overview of Main Considerations Associated with Creating a Facility List

Issue 1: Various Data Sources Use Different Provider Numbers for the Same Facility

Provider numbers are used in various data files such as the medical evidence form, patient events file, the annual facility survey, facility cost reports, facility directory file, CMS survey and certification files, and Medicare claims. A major problem observed in these data sources is that hospital-based facilities (and hospital-satellite facilities) often utilize different provider numbers (ESRD or hospital) for different purposes. For example, a patient’s medical evidence form may be filed under the hospital provider number, ‘210056’, while Medicare dialysis claims were submitted under the ESRD provider number ‘212306’. The list below briefly describes many of the data sources that store one or more provider number fields.

The End Stage Renal Disease Quality Reporting System (EQRS): There are two fields, PROVNUM and ALTPROVNUM. For hospital-based dialysis facilities, either the ESRD provider number or the hospital provider number may be found in PROVNUM. Also, the ALTPROVNUM may be missing for hospital-based provider types. The following data are collected through EQRS and will have the same PROVNUM that is used in EQRS.

- Annual Facility Survey (AFS) (CMS-2744)
- Medical Evidence Form (CMS-2728)
- Death Notification Form (CMS-2746)
- Facility Directory File
- Certification and Survey Provider Enhanced Report (CASPER) System: ESRD provider numbers are stored in OSC_PROV_NUM. Any related or old provider numbers (ESRD or hospital) are stored in OSC_Related_PROV_NUM.

Medicare Claims: For hospital-based dialysis facilities, either the ESRD provider number or the hospital provider number may be used. CMS has instructed dialysis facilities to submit claims under their ESRD provider number (rather than hospital provider number).

Solution: Find all provider numbers that are associated with a given dialysis facility and create a lookup file that links all provider numbers (i.e., Medicare CCN numbers) that may be reported in the various data sources described above by a facility. This look up file is largely based on the EQRS facility directory file and CASPER provider of services files (See Section 3.3.6).

Issue 2: Change of Ownership (CHOW)
A facility may change provider numbers due to an ownership change or other reasons. With a CHOW, the facility either retains the former provider number or is issued a new provider number.

**Solution (CHOW rule):** If a facility changes ownership and obtains a new Medicare provider number, the new provider number is treated as a new facility and is not manually linked to the old provider number(s). Instead, the new CCN is treated as a new facility and a Quarterly Dialysis Facility Measures Compare Preview Report is created for the new provider number only. If the provider number is retained (a new CCN is not issued), all information reported under this provider number, under the prior ownership, are also retained.

In some cases, errors are identified by facilities during the comment period, at which time they would request that the old provider number(s) be linked to the new provider number(s).

For more issues and rules associated with creating the facility list, please refer to Section 3.3.4.

### 3.3.4 Overview of the Facility List Creation Process

Two primary data sources are used to create the facility list: the EQRS facility directory file and CASPER provider of services (POS) files. The Dialysis Facility Measures Compare file, which is also extracted from EQRS, is also used to obtain newly certified facilities that will receive a Quarterly Dialysis Facility Measures Compare Preview report. These files are described in more detail in Section 3.3.6.

All facilities active as of the most recent data available will receive a Quarterly Dialysis Facility Measures Compare Preview Report.

The provider number reported on Dialysis Facility Measures is used as the main provider number for the Quarterly Dialysis Facility Measures Compare reports. For hospital-based or satellite facilities, this is either the ESRD or hospital provider number.

**Step 1:** Create provider number usage file.

**Summary:** This file summarizes the number of instances a provider number is reported in various CMS data files, such as the number of Medicare dialysis claims, medical evidence forms, the number of patients reported on the annual facility survey, and number of patient events (i.e., new ESRD patient, transfer in, transfer out, deaths), each year. The provider number usage file is used to help with the data cleaning process. In particular, this file is useful in determining which facility is utilizing the hospital CCN when a hospital number is associated with multiple ESRD facilities, or when a facility closed and/or changes ownership.

**Step 2:** Process the Dialysis Facility Measures file.

**Summary:** Process the Dialysis Facility Measures file received from CMS and append the current Dialysis Facility Measures data to the cumulative Dialysis Facility Measures file.

**Step 3:** Process the facility directory and services files.

**Summary:** Clean the provider number fields (PROVNUM & ALTPROVNUM) stored in the facility directory file as needed.

1. Eliminate invalid values for both PROVNUM and ALTPROVNUM.
   a. A valid value must be exactly 6 characters long.
b. All but the 1\textsuperscript{st} and 6\textsuperscript{th} digits must be a number; the 6\textsuperscript{th} digit can be ‘F’, which represents Veterans Affairs (VA) facilities. Note: We do not create reports for VA facilities.

2. Identify ESRD and HOSPITAL provider numbers for hospital-based facilities.

3. Select records for active facilities.

The Facility Directory File is not restricted to dialysis facilities. It includes all types of outside organizations that are under the Networks. To select dialysis facilities that are active, the following variables may be used: Facilityid, provtype, factype, dateclosed, certdate(facility_code). We create variables current_record and current_idprov to select the records for active facilities. Records with provider type (provtype) reported as “MEDICARE”, “OTHER”, “PENDING CERT” or missing; facility type (factype)=”Dialysis” and missing a closed date (dateclosed) are selected. In addition, the middle 2 digits of the CCN must be one of the values shown in Section 3.3.2. Variable facility_code indicates the type of facility certification and is retained for possible use in the future. Facilities missing provtype or certification date (but not both) are contacted by the ESRD helpdesk for this information in order to be included in the facility list.

There are cases of multiple records in EQRS for a single facility and we employ different ways of handling different scenarios. One such scenario is when a facility’s Medicare provider number changed for any reason. A provider number could be changed at any point in time hence, a facility may have used more than one provider number resulting in two reports. A particular example of this is a change of ownership and issuance of a new provider number; the old and new provider numbers will be treated as separated entities and a report will be generated for the active facility only using its corresponding reported data. However, when there is a change of ownership, but the same provider number is retained, only one report will be created using all the data reported under that provider number.

Another scenario is when a provider number is associated with different EQRS facility ID. This has occurred when 1) a facility is shared by adult and pediatric units, or 2) by HD and PD units, or 3) a transplant facility and a dialysis facility, or 4) a permanent and temporary facility. The duplicate records with the same ESRD provider numbers are deleted and only one report is created.

In this step, data are output that identifies the active facilities. Transplant facilities and other facilities do not receive a Quarterly Dialysis Facility Measures Compare report and are output to other data files for data checking purposes only.

**Step 4:** Process and merge CASPER POS files (active and terminated) into one file to serve as a lookup file for the ESRD and hospital provider numbers of hospital-based dialysis facilities with missing ESRD or hospital provider numbers in the Facility Directory File.

**Summary:** Create a file that contains all active provider numbers. Note, there may be provider numbers listed in CASPER but not EQRS. Some variables are cleaned and corrected during the data creation processes.

**Step 5:** Create facility list and provider number lookup file.
Summary: Make a clean working copy of the EQRS facility directory file restricted to facilities receiving a Quarterly Dialysis Facility Measures Compare report. Then, for the hospital-based providers that are missing their hospital number or ESRD number, search for the missing CCN in the CASPER POS. These missing numbers may be reported in CASPER only (and not in EQRS).

1. For hospital-based facilities with missing hospital CCN, search for the ESRD CCN in the CASPER POS file.
2. For hospital-based facilities with missing ESRD CCN, search for the hospital CCN in the CASPER POS file. Also, from the CASPER POS file, obtain dialysis numbers that are not kept in the EQRS facility directory file (i.e., CASPER only provider numbers). Since more than one ESRD number could be associated with the same hospital, we also review the facility information (address, facility name, etc.) in order to determine which CCN is affiliated with the hospital. If there is an exact match on all the facility characteristics, the ESRD and hospital provider numbers are automatically linked, otherwise, we output the records for manual review. Records are grouped by Facility ID, address, name, and hospital number.

3. Create a unique provider variable used for Quarterly Dialysis Facility Measures Compare reporting and update the usage variables, variable labels, and formats.
4. Create the lookup file used to link all alternate/related provider numbers to the Dialysis Facility Measures provider number.
5. Manually link provider numbers previously requested by facilities that were approved by CMS.

Step 6: Create the Facility Information file.

Summary: This file includes the facility provider number(s), provider name, address, network, region, Dialysis Organization, certification date, open date, and services provided from the Dialysis Facility Measures file (created in Step 2) or facility services file (i.e., closed facilities that are not in the Dialysis Facility Measures file) received quarterly along with the EQRS facility directory file. All related provider numbers from these files (created in Step 5 above) are aggregated to a single record.

3.3.5 Additional Rules for Linking Provider Numbers

In Step 5 described above, a file is output for review from which the following scenarios are observed. In any of the cases described below, no two numbers will be linked together if both are reported on Dialysis Facility Measures. We consider there to be evidence of change of ownership (CHOW) when multiple records match on facility characteristics (name, address, etc.) and also have one of the following reported for one of the records: (1) a closed date, (2) new certification date, or (3) a name change indicating strong evidence of CHOW (i.e., different Dialysis Organization inserted in name).

Issue 1: Two records match on facility characteristics or on facility ID in EQRS.

Solution(s): If there is evidence of CHOW, two reports are created. Otherwise, the two numbers are combined into a single report.
Issue 2: A record in EQRS matches on facility characteristics to a record reported in CASPER and all claims were submitted under the CASPER CCN.

Solution(s): If there is evidence of CHOW, two reports are created. Otherwise, the two numbers are combined into a single report.

Issue 3: Extra provider numbers.

As described above in Step 3, if a second provider number of the same type (or any additional number for a freestanding facility) was reported as an alternate provider number in EQRS, it was stored as an ‘extra’ provider number.

Case 1: The alternate/extra provider number is not associated with any other facilities or reported on a separate record in EQRS.

Solution: Keep the alternate and main provider numbers linked in the report.

Case 2: The alternate/extra provider number is reported on a separate record in EQRS.

Solution: If there is evidence of CHOW, do not link the alternate and main provider number. Otherwise, keep the alternate and main provider numbers linked in the report.

Case 3: The alternate provider number reported in EQRS for a freestanding provider is a hospital number. (i.e., PROVNUM = Freestanding & ALTPROVNUM= Hospital Number).

Solution(s):

a. If the hospital number was reported on Dialysis Facility Measures, a report is created for both the freestanding facility and hospital.

b. If a hospital-based or hospital-satellite ESRD CCN is found associated with the hospital CCN, then the alternate number is not linked to the freestanding provider number.

c. If no other ESRD numbers are found associated with the hospital CCN then the alternate provider number remains linked to the main number. If there were a separate record for the hospital CCN only and it is not reported on Dialysis Facility Measures then we would ignore the record (i.e., no separate report for hospital number).

Issue 4: Multiple ESRD provider numbers may be associated with the same hospital provider number.

Solution: Search all data sources for all associated ESRD provider numbers and generate a report that includes the ESRD number usage, open and closed dates, certification dates, facility names, notes, etc. Generally, a hospital-based facility will be linked to the hospital number by definition (Case 1). However, if there are multiple hospital satellite facilities associated with the same hospital, the usage file is helpful. For example, if one hospital satellite facility has no usage under their ESRD number and the other hospital satellite facility does, we would link the hospital number to the first facility (Case 2).

Case 1: Both hospital-based and hospital satellite and/or freestanding facilities are associated with the same hospital number.

Solution: Link to the hospital-based facility by definition.
Case 2: Multiple hospital-based provider numbers are associated with the same hospital number.

Solution: Link to the facility with the least ESRD provider number usage.

Case 3: Multiple hospital-satellite facilities (‘35’) (and no hospital-based facilities) are associated with the same hospital number in EQRS.

Solution: Link to the hospital satellite facility with the least ESRD provider number usage.

3.3.6 Descriptions of the Data Files Used to Create the Facility List

3.3.6.1 Facility Directory File

The facility directory file is extracted from EQRS. The facility directory files include information such as the facility name, address, and telephone number, etc. Dialysis providers can be categorized into the following groups based on different criteria included in this file. Here are the most common:

- Active (open) or Closed Facilities
- Dialysis Facility or Transplant-only Facility
- Medicare Certified or Non-Medicare Certified Facility
- VA or Non-VA Facility
- Adult Facility or Pediatric Facility
- Permanent Facility or Temporary Facility

3.3.6.2 Facility Service File

This file is received quarterly along with the facility directory file; also extracted from EQRS. The original facility service file only has two columns which are used, facilityid and service. The variable facilityid is the link between the facility directory file and the facility service file. The service information will be merged to the facility directory file for Dialysis Facility Measures during data processing.

3.3.6.3 Provider of Service File (POS)

The POS file is downloaded from the QIES Workbench, which includes data from the Certification and Survey Provider Enhanced Report System (CASPER) is used by the State Surveyors for recording results of surveys for certification or subsequent inspection of dialysis facilities. CASPER POS file is more “official” than EQRS facility directory file in the sense that it is tied to the certification process, but new facilities or changes to existing facilities may show up in EQRS before they show up in CASPER. These files are downloaded monthly.

The CASPER POS files include information for both active and terminated facilities.

3.3.6.4 Dialysis Facility Measures File

The Dialysis Facility Measures project covers all open facilities at a given time. The Dialysis Facility Measures facility list is extracted quarterly from EQRS. This file only included the CMS certification number prior to June 2015, so fields such as facility names and addresses were used
to determine the linkage of provider number. However, beginning in June 2015, the EQRS facility ID was added to the file and used to determine the linkages in addition to facility characteristic variables.

3.3.7 ESRD QIP Facility List and Changes of Ownership

When a facility submits data to EQRS, claims, the In-Center Hemodialysis Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (ICH-CAHPS) survey, or CDC's National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) using multiple CCNs, all data are combined for the facility to avoid penalizing the facility for using multiple CCNs. For scoring and feedback purposes, results are reported under the “primary” CCN for the dialysis facility and include data for an “alternate” CCN that may have been used in other data sources. Changes of ownership have the further consideration of ensuring patient data associated with a prior facility owner do not impact the facility’s QIP score under the current owner.

- EQRS assigns a facility ID to each physical building and sub-unit providing dialysis. When data are extracted, the system automatically supplies the current CCN for each facility ID. This needs to be converted to CCN in effect as of the date the care was provided for ESRD QIP measures relying on data prior to an ownership change.
- Historical facility ownership changes are documented and used to assign patients to an appropriate facility CCN for measures requiring attribution of patient care to facilities.
- All facility records in EQRS are evaluated to determine which are eligible to receive ESRD QIP reports and which may be used in the statistical modeling to support the standardized ratio measures (but not receive an ESRD QIP report).
- EQRS is the primary data source for facility information; however, potential issues are identified by comparison with the Dialysis Facility Measures facility list and data availability in other CMS data sources. Research of those issues is supported through Provider of Services (POS), QIES, annual facility survey (CMS form 2744) and medical evidence (CMS form 2728), contact with Networks, prior facility list versions, and other supporting information, such as newspaper articles and press releases regarding changes to facilities. Evidence that is considered when deciding if different CCNs represent a single facility or different facilities includes:
  - Primary CCN and alternate CCN in prior years of QIP
  - Shared physical address in EQRS and POS
  - Comparison of dates CCN was certified and was closed/terminated from EQRS, POS, and QIES
  - CCN assignment convention using middle two digits (positions 3 and 4 of the 6 character CCN) (described in Section 3.3.2 above) to identify the dialysis facility as “primary” CCN
  - EQRS database facility information audit logs that indicate date, time, and user altering a facility’s CCN
  - EQRS admits and discharges for each facility for each month covered by the QIP data window
  - Medicare claims for each CCN for each month covered by the QIP data window
### 3.3.8 ESRD QIP EQRS Facility Record Consolidation

EQRS assigns different facility IDs to units that share a CCN. This happens most frequently when there are adult and pediatric units, or HD and PD units. For these cases, data for these multiple facility IDs are consolidated under a single CCN for ESRD QIP calculations. Then one of the “merged” facilities becomes the primary source and is used for the basis for ESRD QIP reports as well as attributes such as name and address.

### 3.3.9 ESRD QIP EQRS Data Clean-up

- EQRS data entry errors, or other inaccuracies, need to be corrected for ESRD QIP scoring and feedback reports until the facility or network updates the information in EQRS. An example might be errors in dates. The date a facility was certified or the date it was closed could have digits transposed, wrong month, etc.
- ESRD QIP scoring and feedback reports use the dialysis facility CCN as primary when associated with an alternate CCN. If this is not what is observed in EQRS, this order is forced through a data quality update process for ESRD QIP reports.
- EQRS has duplicate CCNs which do not cause problems internally to EQRS but can cause duplication and distortion of ESRD QIP measure calculations. Therefore, for scoring and feedback purposes, duplicated CCNs are removed from the ESRD QIP facility list.

### 3.3.10 ESRD QIP Eligibility

All outpatient dialysis facilities open on the last day in the performance year are eligible for ESRD QIP scores and reports. EQRS, claims and CDC’s NHSN include other facilities, such as hospitals or transplant centers, which are used to provide data supporting the measures but are not eligible for scoring or reports. The eligibility criteria are:

- Facility CCN is not missing or null
- Facility is not closed on the last day of the performance year
- Facility certification date is on or before the last day of the performance year
- Facility CCN has six digits with no alpha characters in the 2nd through 5th digit
- Facility provider type in EQRS is “Medicare”
- Facility program type in EQRS is “Dialysis”

### 3.3.11 CCN History for ESRD QIP

Facility ownership changes that result in a facility receiving a new CCN are treated as if the facility closed under the prior CCN then re-opened under the new CCN. This has the effect of severing the past performance under the prior CCN from current data submitted with the new CCN. CMS intends that when a CCN changes, care provided under the prior management does not influence the new management’s ESRD QIP scores, preventing the prior management impacting the new management’s payment reduction (if any). For the standardized ratio measures, patient events (hospitalizations for SRR and SHR) are assigned to the facility
responsible for their care at the time of the hospitalization. If that care was provided under the prior management, the new management will not be held responsible for that care.

### 3.4 Extraordinary Circumstances Exception

CMS offers a process for dialysis facilities to request, and for CMS to grant, exemptions when extraordinary circumstances occur beyond the control of the facility that prevent timely submission of data supporting ESRD QIP. In this way, CMS ensures that facility performance during the extraordinary circumstance does not factor into ESRD QIP scores.

In the event of such circumstances, dialysis facilities must submit an ECE Request Form. The facility may request consideration for an exemption from the ESRD QIP for that payment year. The form must be signed by the dialysis facility’s chief executive officer or designee and submitted via email to the ESRD QIP Mailbox at ESRDQIP@cms.hhs.gov. This form must be submitted within 90 days as of the date of the event of the ECE for the ESRD QIP.

For QIP, dialysis facilities granted an ECE will be exempt from all reporting requirements of the ESRD QIP clinical and reporting measures for the months covered by the ECE. Details regarding how the ECE applies to each measure are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>How ECE applies to the measure calculations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Kt/V                           | • All months covered by a granted ECE excluded from the measure calculations.  
• Kt/V lab values reported by facilities during granted ECE months are not used in calculations; specifically, if PD Kt/V values reported during the ECE months are during the four-month study period for adult or six-month study period for pediatric, they would not be used in calculations.  
• Claims reported during ECE months would not be used in calculations.                                                                                                                                          |
| ICH CAHPS                      | • Facilities with granted ECE would be excluded from the measure calculations. One or both sampling periods may be excluded, depending on circumstances of ECE.                                                                                          |
| Standardized Fistula Rate      | • All months covered by a granted ECE would be excluded from the measure calculations.                                                                                                                                                        |
| Long-term Catheter Rate        | • All months covered by a granted ECE would be excluded from the measure calculations.  
• Vascular access type data reported by facilities during granted ECE months would not be used in calculations. Additionally, vascular access type data reported during ECE months will not be used to determine the patient’s time with catheter in use. |
| Standardized Readmission Ratio | • Index discharges occurring during granted ECE months would be excluded from the measure calculations.  
• Readmissions occurring during granted ECE months would be used in calculations if associated with an index discharge occurring in a non-ECE month.                                                                                       |
**Table 15: Application of ECE by Measure**

More information on the ECE program is available on the QualityNet website at:
[https://www.qualitynet.org/dcs/ContentServer?c=Page&pagename=QnetPublic%2FPage%2FQnetTier3&cid=1228776130457](https://www.qualitynet.org/dcs/ContentServer?c=Page&pagename=QnetPublic%2FPage%2FQnetTier3&cid=1228776130457)

For Dialysis Facility Measures, there is no ECE. Facilities can request suppression during the preview period, and those requests are evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
3.5 Start Dates for Reporting Measures Data by New Facilities

New facilities are required to collect and report EQRS or NHSN data for purposes of the ESRD QIP beginning with services furnished on the first day of the month that is 4 months after the month in which the CCN becomes effective. For example, if a facility is certified in January of the performance period, the facility is not required to report data until May 1 of the performance period.
4. Methodologies for Deriving ESRD QIP Scores

The services for which quality is measured under the ESRD QIP are renal dialysis services defined in section 1881(b)(14)(B) of the Social Security Act (SSA). Prior to January 1, 2017, these services could only be covered and reimbursed under Medicare if they were furnished to individuals with ESRD, but with the passage of the Trade Preferences Extension Act of 2015, these services are now also covered and reimbursed if they are furnished by renal dialysis facilities or providers of services paid under section 1881(b)(14) of the SSA to individuals with Acute Kidney Injury (AKI) (see section 1861(s)(2)(F) and 1834(r) of the SSA). In response to stakeholder concerns regarding the impact that AKI patients may have on ESRD QIP measure scores, and because CMS would like to learn more about this population and ensure AKI patients are included only as clinically appropriate, CMS has decided to exclude data from AKI patients from all of its measure score calculations for the ESRD QIP and Dialysis Facility Measures, pending future consideration of their inclusion on a measure-by-measure basis.

4.1 Calculating an ESRD QIP Score from a Facility’s Performance Rate on a Clinical Measure

A measure rate of “No Rate” is assigned for measures from which a facility has been excluded from rate calculations, as defined by each measure’s specifications. Scoring methodologies for reporting measures in ESRD QIP are described in the sections of the Manual that cover those measures. Facilities receiving a performance rate on a clinical measure in the ESRD QIP will receive a small facility adjustment to the Performance Period rate (if applicable), and then the achievement and improvement scoring methodology is employed.

4.1.1 Small Facility Adjustment

Facilities with a low patient census or nominal amounts of certain clinical events may be eligible to receive a favorable adjustment to their achievement score. This adjustment, known as the Small Facility Adjuster, is applied to account for one patient or event skewing a facility’s measure score. A small facility adjustment may be applied to all clinical measures except ICH CAHPS.

The value of a facility’s small facility adjustment for a measure depends on that facility’s number of measure units for the measure, as well as that facility’s unadjusted measure rate. The adjustment will be added to measure rates for which a higher rate indicates better performance and subtracted from those for which a lower rate indicates better performance. That is, the adjustment will always be applied to improve the facility’s performance rate.

- The small facility adjustment will be applied to each clinical measure rate, for each eligible facility, for the performance period. This adjusted rate will then be used to calculate both the facility’s achievement and improvement scores for the measure. Please note that there is no adjustment made to the ICH CAHPS clinical measure.
- A facility having between the lower and upper threshold (inclusive) of eligible patients (or other appropriate unit) —and thus being eligible for the small facility adjustment— will be determined independently for each measure. See Table 16 below.
• The system will store and report both the unadjusted and adjusted measure rates, for each facility for each measure to which the adjustment was applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Lower Threshold (L)</th>
<th>Upper Threshold (C)</th>
<th>Preferred Measure Rate Directionality</th>
<th>Measure Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Standardized Readmission Rate</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Lower Rate indicates better performance</td>
<td>Index Discharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Standardized Hospitalization Rate</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lower Rate indicates better performance</td>
<td>Patient-years at Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT: Long Term Catheter Rate</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Lower Rate indicates better performance</td>
<td>Eligible Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT: Standardized Fistula Rate</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Higher Rate indicates better performance</td>
<td>Eligible Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis Adequacy: Kt/V Comprehensive</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Higher Rate indicates better performance</td>
<td>Eligible Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-Standardized Transfusion Rate</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Lower Rate indicates better performance</td>
<td>Patient-years at Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSN Bloodstream Infection in Hemodialysis Outpatients</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Lower Rate indicates better performance</td>
<td>Eligible Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPPW</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Higher Rate indicates better performance</td>
<td>Eligible Patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16: PY 2025 Clinical Measures and the Defined Lower Threshold, Upper Threshold, Preferred Measures Rate Directionality, and the Measure Unit for Each Measure

The following describes the steps for calculating a small facility adjustment for a facility’s clinical measure rate:

1) Apply measure exclusions to determine the number of measure units (MUs) at the facility during the performance period.
2) Calculate the Benchmark (B), which is set to 90th percentile for each clinical measure using the applicable performance period data.
3) Calculate the facility’s unadjusted measure rate (UMR) for the measurement period.
4) Determine the number of unique, eligible MUs at the facility during the performance period (n). If the facility’s number of MUs is greater than or equal to the lower threshold (L) AND less than or equal to the upper threshold (C), the small facility adjustment process begins:
a) Calculate the weighted coefficient for a given clinical measure (w) by dividing the number of MUs during the performance period (n) by the defined upper threshold plus one for the given measure (C+1).

b) Determine the preferred measure rate directionality for the given clinical measure:

- For measures where the higher rates are better (for example, the Vascular Access Type (VAT): Fistula clinical measure and the Comprehensive Dialysis Adequacy clinical measures), a small facility’s adjusted performance rates (t) will be calculated as follows:
  - If the unadjusted measure rate for the facility (p) is less than the Benchmark (B), then the system will use the following calculation to determine the small facility’s adjusted measure rate (t):
    - Step 1: Subtract the weighted coefficient (w) from one (1).
    - Step 2: Multiply the result from Step 1 by the Benchmark (B).
    - Step 3: Multiply the weighted coefficient (w) by the performance rate (p).
    - Step 4: Add the results from Step 2 and Step 3 to get the small facility’s adjusted measure rate (t) using the following equation:
      \[
      t = [w \times p] + [(1-w) \times B]
      \]

If the unadjusted measure rate for the facility (p) is greater than or equal to the Benchmark (B), the facility will not receive an adjustment.

For measures where lower rates are better (for example, VAT: Catheter, NHSN BSI and SRR), a small facility’s adjusted measure rates (t) will be calculated as follows:

- If the unadjusted measure rate for the facility (p) is greater than the Benchmark (B), then use the following calculation to determine the small facility’s adjusted performance rate (t):
  - Step 1: Subtract the weighted coefficient (w) from one (1).
  - Step 2: Multiply the result from Step 1 by the Benchmark (B).
  - Step 3: Multiply the weighted coefficient (w) by the performance rate (p).
  - Step 4: Subtract the results from Step 2 and Step 3 to get the small facility’s adjusted measure rate (t) using the following equation:
    \[
    t = [w \times p] + [(1-w) \times B]
    \]

If the unadjusted measure rate for the facility (p) is less than or equal to the Benchmark (B), the facility will not receive an adjustment.
4.1.2 Achievement and Improvement Scoring

Key Achievement and Improvement Definitions for Clinical Measure Scoring for Payment Year (PY) 2025

Table 17 defines key achievement and improvement scoring terms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achievement threshold</td>
<td>The 15th percentile of performance rates nationally during 2021*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td>The 90th percentile of performance rates nationally during 2021*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement threshold</td>
<td>Your facility’s performance rate during 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance period</td>
<td>All of CY 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance standard</td>
<td>The 50th percentile of performance rates nationally during 2021*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility performance rate</td>
<td>The percentage of a facility’s patients either meeting or falling short of a measure’s requirements during the performance period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The achievement threshold, benchmark, and performance standard for suppressed measures [list measures] are based on 2019 performance rates

NOTES:

A higher measure rate does not necessarily indicate a better score. See the respective measure chapters for details on preferred directionality of each measure.

A facility's score for each clinical measure is calculated using the achievement and improvement scoring methodology. The score is based on the facility's performance rate during the performance period compared to two ranges.

The achievement range is the scale running from the achievement threshold to the benchmark (15th Percentile – 90th percentile of performance rates nationally during 2019).

Each facility can earn 0–10 points for achievement.

The improvement range is the scale running from the improvement threshold to the benchmark (Facility performance rate during 2022 – 90th percentile of performance rates nationally during 2019).

Each facility can earn 0–9 points for improvement.

A facility’s scores for achievement and improvement are based on where a facility’s performance rate falls on the achievement and improvement ranges, respectively.

The score for each measure is based on the higher of the achievement or improvement score for that measure.
4.1.2.1 Calculating an Achievement Score

If a facility's performance meets or exceeds the achievement benchmark, the facility receives 10 points for achievement and no achievement score is calculated.

*Note: for measures with a lower desired directionality, “meet or exceeds” indicates a rate that is less than or equal to the achievement benchmark.*

If facility’s performance rate is below the achievement threshold, a facility receives 0 points for achievement and no achievement score is calculated.

*Note: for measures with a lower desired directionality, facility will receive a zero if their performance rate is greater than the achievement threshold.*

If a facility's performance rate falls within the achievement range (i.e., between the achievement threshold and the benchmark), then the facility score is calculated using the following equation:

\[
9 \times \left( \frac{\text{Facility’s Performance Period Rate} - \text{Achievement Threshold}}{\text{Benchmark} - \text{Achievement Threshold}} \right) + 0.5
\]

The score is then rounded to the nearest integer, with halves rounded up, resulting in an achievement score of 1 to 10.

*Note: Measure rates, achievement thresholds, and benchmarks, are all rounded to the same degree of precision when calculating achievement scores.*

4.1.2.2 Calculating an Improvement Score

If the facility’s performance rate is below the facility improvement threshold, the facility receives 0 points for improvement and no improvement score is calculated.

*Note: for measures with a lower desired directionality, facility will receive a zero if their performance rate is greater than the achievement threshold.*

If a facility's performance rate or improvement threshold meets or exceeds the benchmark, no improvement score is calculated.

*Note: for measures with a lower desired directionality, meet or exceeds indicates a rate that is less than or equal to the benchmark.*

If a facility's performance rate falls between the improvement threshold and the benchmark, the following equation is used to calculate the facility's improvement score:
The score is then rounded to the nearest integer, with halves rounded up.

Note: Unlike the achievement score, the facility can only earn a maximum of 9 points for improvement.

If a facility does not have sufficient data to calculate a measure improvement rate during 2022 but does have sufficient information to calculate an achievement rate during 2023, then the facility score for that measure is based solely on achievement.

Note: Measure rates, achievement thresholds, and benchmarks, are all rounded to the same degree of precision when calculating improvement scores.

4.1.3 Scoring for ICH CAHPS Clinical Measure

The ICH CAHPS survey is scored based on three composite measures and three global ratings:

- 3 Composite measures
  - Nephrologists’ Communication and Caring (6 questions)
  - Quality of Dialysis Center Care and Operations (12 questions)
  - Providing Information to Patients (9 questions)
- 3 Global ratings (Scale of 0-10)
  - Overall rating of nephrologists
  - Overall rating of the dialysis center staff
  - Overall rating of the dialysis facility
- Each composite measure/global rating is scored via achievement and improvement methods, with facilities receiving the better result for each.
- Scores on the six components will be averaged to form the ICH CAHPS measure score.

If the facility does not meet the survey administration and reporting requirements, the facility will receive a zero on the ICH CAHPS clinical measure, regardless of how many surveys were returned.

Note: The ICH CAHPS survey is administered twice within a single performance period. All calculations will be conducted using a single data set that is compiled from the aggregation of the two surveys submissions.

4.1.4 Scoring Measure Topics

After scores are calculated for each individual measure, one group of measures is then combined to form a single measure topic score. This process is applied to the two vascular access type clinical measures (VAT Fistula and VAT Catheter). The scores for this measure topic are discussed below in the example table template.
4.1.4.1 VAT Measure Topic

1) The first step is identifying the individual measure scores within the measure topic (see Section 4.1.2 for more information).

Example #1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Calculation Definition</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VAT Measures Domain Scores</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>VAT Standardized Fistula Rate Measure Score</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>VAT Long Term Catheter Rate Measure Score</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Next, determine the total number of patients for weighting the denominator. This number is calculated by taking the sum of all eligible patients included in each measure within the measure topic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Calculation Definition</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measure Weight Calculation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Number of patients included in VAT Standardized Fistula Rate Measure Score calculation</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Number of patients included in VAT Long Term Catheter Rate Measure Score calculation</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| e  | Determine total number of patients for weighting denominator  
**Add c and d** | 200   |

3) Determine the weighted score for each measure within the topic. This is done by dividing the number of patients included in each individual measure by the total number of patients across all measures within the measure topic and multiplying by the respective measure score.

*Note: Only eligible measures are considered when determining the total number of patients across all measures within a topic.*

4) Finally, to determine the measure topic score, sum the weighted measure scores of each eligible measure and round to the nearest whole number with halves rounded up.

*Note: The number of patients is used when calculating measure topic scores regardless of whether the measure uses patients or patient months in its denominator. Furthermore, the number of patients represented in the denominator during the performance period is used regardless of whether the assigned measure score was taken from the achievement or improvement methodology.*
### Calculation Definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Calculation Definition</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure Topic Score Calculation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| f | Weight the VAT Standardized Fistula Rate Measure Score  
*Calculate a * \( \frac{c}{e} \) | 2.5 |
| g | Weight the VAT Long Term Catheter Rate Measure Score  
*Calculate b * \( \frac{d}{e} \) | 2.0 |
| h | Combine Measure Scores  
*Add f + g and round* | 5.0 |
| i | Vascular Access Type Measure Topic Score (from h) | **5.0** |

### 4.2 Calculating a Facility’s Total Performance Score from the Facility’s Measure Scores

To qualify for a Total Performance Score (TPS), the facility must have earned a score on at least one measure in two of the five domains. A facility that does not meet the requisite number of scored domains will receive a TPS of “No Score”.

A facility’s individual measure scores are used to determine the facility’s five measure domain scores, which are then used to determine the facility’s TPS. The five measure domains are listed below, and the methodology for calculating domain scores and TPS are described in more detail in subsequent sections:

- Patient and Family Engagement Measure Domain
- Care Coordination Measure Domain
- Clinical Care Measure Domain
- Safety Measure Domain
- Reporting Measure Domain

#### 4.2.1 Calculating the Patient and Family Engagement Measure Domain

The Patient and Family Engagement Measure Domain is comprised of one measure, the ICH CAHPS Measure. As seen in Table 18 below, the individual measure is assigned a specific weight as a percent of the domain score. This weighted score then makes up the Patient and Family Engagement Measure Domain score.
Example 1: Eligible for all Measures in the Patient and Family Engagement Domain for PY 2025

4.2.2 Calculating the Care Coordination Measure Domain Score

The Care Coordination Measure Domain is comprised of three measures. As seen in Table 19 below, each individual clinical measure is assigned a specific weight as a percent of the domain score.
### Table 19: Care Coordination Measure Weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PY 2025 Care Coordination Measures</th>
<th>Measure Weight in the Care Coordination Measure Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHR Measure</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPPW Measure</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to calculate the Care Coordination Measure Domain Score, each individual measure score is converted to a weighted measure score. These scores are then summed up and multiplied by 10 to equal the Care Coordination Measure Domain score. See the example below for a hypothetical scenario of the Care Coordination Measure Domain Score calculation.

**Example 2: Eligible for all Measures in the Care Coordination Domain for PY 2025**

Let's calculate the Care Coordination Measure Domain Score for PY 2025 with the following measure scores:
- SHR: 8
- PPPW: 7

The formula for calculating the Care Coordination Measure Domain Score is as follows:

\[
\text{Care Coordination Measure Domain Score} = 10 \times \left( \frac{0.4 \times 8}{10} + \frac{0.2 \times 7}{10} \right)
\]

\[
= 10 \times \left( \frac{0.4}{10} \times 8 + \frac{0.2}{10} \times 7 \right)
\]

\[
= 10 \times \left( 0.04 \times 8 + 0.02 \times 7 \right)
\]

\[
= 10 \times (0.32 + 0.14)
\]

\[
= 10 \times 0.46
\]

\[
= 4.6
\]

Thus, the calculated Care Coordination Measure Domain Score is 4.6.

### 4.2.3 Calculating the Clinical Care Measure Domain Score

The Clinical Care Measure Domain is comprised of three measures. As seen in Table 20 below, each individual clinical measure is assigned a specific weight as a percent of the domain score.
### Table 20: Clinical Care Measure/Measure Topic Weights

In order to calculate the Clinical Care Measure Domain Score, each individual measure score is converted to a weighted measure score. These scores are then summed up and multiplied by 10 to equal the Clinical Care Measure Domain score. See the example below for a hypothetical scenario of the Clinical Care Measure Domain Score calculation.

#### Example 3: Eligible for all Measures in the Clinical Care Domain for PY 2025

![Clinical Care Measure Domain Calculation Diagram](image)

Clinical Care Measure Domain Score = 96.581

#### 4.2.4 Calculating the Safety Measure Domain Score

The Safety Measure Domain is comprised of one measure, the NHSN BSI Measure. As seen in Table 21 below, the individual measure is assigned a specific weight as a percent of the domain score. This weighted score then makes up the Safety Measure Domain score.
### Table 21: Safety Measures Weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PY 2025 Safety Measure</th>
<th>Measure Weight in the Safety Measure Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHSN BSI Clinical Measure</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 4: Calculating the Safety Measure Domain in PY 2025**

![Safety Measure Domain Calculation Diagram]

4.2.5 Calculating the Reporting Measure Domain Score

The Reporting Measure Domain is comprised of six measures. As seen in Table 22 below, each individual clinical measure is assigned a specific weight as a percent of the domain score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PY 2025 Reporting Measures</th>
<th>Measure Weight in the Reporting Measure Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypercalcemia Reporting Measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSN Dialysis Event Reporting Measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MedRec Reporting Measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PY 2025 Reporting Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PY 2025 Reporting Measures</th>
<th>Measure Weight in the Reporting Measure Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ultrafiltration Rate Reporting Measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Depression and Follow-Up Reporting Measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCP COVID-19 Vaccination Reporting Measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 22: Reporting Measures Weights**

In order to calculate the Reporting Measure Domain Score, each individual measure score is converted to a weighted measure score. These scores are then summed up and multiplied by 10 to equal the Reporting Measure Domain score. See the example below for a hypothetical scenario of the Reporting Measure Domain Score calculation.

**Example 5: Eligible for all Measures in the Reporting Measure Domain for PY 2025**

![Diagram of Reporting Measure Domain Score calculation]

**4.2.6 Calculation of TPS Using Domain Weights and Scores**

The TPS is comprised of the five measure domains below and their associated weights:

- **Patient and Family Engagement Measure Domain:** 15%
Care Coordination Measure Domain: 30%
Clinical Care Measure Domain: 35%
Safety Measure Domain: 10%
Reporting Measure Domain: 10%

The TPS for the facility is calculated by multiplying the Patient and Family Engagement Domain score by 0.15, the Care Coordination Domain score by 0.30, the Clinical Care Domain score by 0.35, the Safety Domain score by 0.10 and the Reporting Domain Score by 0.10 and adding the results, as follows:

\[
TPS = (0.15 \times \text{Patient and Family Engagement Domain Score})
+ (0.30 \times \text{Care Coordination Domain Score})
+ (0.35 \times \text{Clinical Care Domain Score}) + (0.10 \times \text{Safety Domain Score})
+ (0.10 \times \text{Reporting Domain Score})
\]

The TPS is rounded to the nearest integer, with halves rounded up, resulting in a range from 0–100 points.

Example 6: Total Performance Score Calculation for PY 2025
### 4.2.7 Calculation of TPS From a Facility’s Measure Scores When Missing At Least One Measure Score

Facilities are eligible to receive a TPS score if they receive a score for at least one measure in two out of the five domains. The redistribution of the missing measure’s weight (both as a percent of TPS and as a percent of its assigned domain), along with an illustrative example, is described in more detail below.

#### 4.2.7.1 Redistributing Measure Weights When a Facility Is Not Scored on a Measure

If a facility does not meet the eligibility requirements for a measure (or measure topic), the facility is not scored on the measure and the corresponding weight will be redistributed across the measures for which the facility receives a score. This redistribution will occur evenly across the measures remaining in the missing measure’s domain. As long as a facility receives at least one measure score in all five domains, the domain weights will not change. Section 4.2.8.1. explains how to redistribute the weight of a domain that is missing all of its measures.

Table 23 provides an example of this redistribution, showing the measure weights as a percent of TPS and as a percent of the domain score when the Kt/V measure is missing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure/Measure Topics by Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of TPS</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of Domain Absent Kt/V</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of TPS Absent Kt/V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PATIENT &amp; FAMILY ENGAGEMENT MEASURE DOMAIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICH CAHPS measure</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>15.00% of TPS</strong></td>
<td><strong>15.00% of TPS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARE COORDINATION MEASURE DOMAIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRR measure</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHR measure</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPPW measure</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>30% of TPS</strong></td>
<td><strong>30% of TPS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLINICAL CARE MEASURE DOMAIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V Dialysis Adequacy Comprehensive measure</td>
<td>31.43%</td>
<td>11.00%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular Access Type measure topic</td>
<td>34.29%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>17.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Measure/Measure Topics by Domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure/Measure Topics by Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of TPS</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of Domain Absent Kt/V</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of TPS Absent Kt/V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STrrR measure</td>
<td>34.29%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>17.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFETY MEASURE DOMAIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSN BSI measure</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTING MEASURE DOMAIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercalcemia reporting measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSN Dialysis Event reporting measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MedRec measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrafiltration Rate reporting measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Depression and Follow-Up reporting measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCP COVID-19 Vaccination reporting measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 23: Measure Weights as a Percent of TPS and as a Percent of Domain Score When Kt/V Measure is Missing**

### 4.2.7.2 Calculating the Score for a Domain Missing At Least One Measure Score

In order to calculate the score for a domain with unscored measures, each remaining eligible measures’ individual weight, as a percent of that domain, is increased by the same amount and converted to a weighted measure score. These scores are then summed up and multiplied by 10 to equal the domain’s score.

Using the example described in Section 4.2.7.1, the Clinical Care Measure Domain is missing the Kt/V Comprehensive measure (31.43%), leaving two measures remaining in the domain. As seen in Table 24 below, the missing measure (Kt/V Comprehensive) is assigned no weight and
the corresponding 31.43% is evenly redistributed across each individual measure remaining in the domain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PY 2025 Clinical Care Measures</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of the Clinical Care Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of the Clinical Care Domain Absent Comp Kt/V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V Dialysis Adequacy Comprehensive measure</td>
<td>31.43%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STrR measure</td>
<td>34.29%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular Access Type measure topic</td>
<td>34.29%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 24: Clinical Care Measure/Measure Topic Weights When Missing One Measure Score

These scores are then summed up and multiplied by 10 to equal the Clinical Care Measure Domain score. See the example below for a hypothetical scenario of this domain’s calculation when missing a score for Kt/V.
Example 7: Eligible for the Clinical Care Domain and all but One Measure in the Clinical Care Domain for PY 2025

4.2.7.3 Calculating the TPS When Missing At Least One Measure Score

When missing at least one measure, the TPS is comprised of all measure domains having at least one measure score. For example, if the NSHN BSI measure was missing, the TPS would be comprised of four domains (Patient & Family Engagement, Care Coordination, Clinical Care, and Reporting).

As previously noted, domain weights are affected only when an entire domain is missing. For the example used in Section 4.2.7.1, where Comprehensive Kt/V is missing, the TPS would be comprised of the five measure domains listed below and their associated TPS weights would be as follows:

- **Patient and Family Engagement Measure Domain:** 15%
- **Care Coordination Measure Domain:** 30%
- **Clinical Care Measure Domain:** 35%
- **Safety Measure Domain:** 10%
- **Reporting Measure Domain:** 10%

In the example where Comprehensive Kt/V is missing, the facility’s TPS would be calculated by multiplying the Patient and Family Engagement Domain score by 0.15, the Care Coordination Domain score by 0.30, the Clinical Care Domain score by 0.35, the Safety Domain score by 0.10 and the Reporting Domain Score by 0.10 and adding the results, as follows:
TPS = (0.15 * Patient and Family Engagement Domain Score) 
+ (0.30 * Care Coordination Domain Score) 
+ (0.35 * Clinical Care Domain Score) + (0.10 * Safety Domain Score) 
+ (0.10 * Reporting Domain Score)

Example 8: Total Performance Score Calculation for all but One Measure in the Clinical Care Domain for PY 2025

### 4.2.8 Calculation of TPS From a Facility’s Measure Scores When Missing One Domain

Facilities are eligible to receive a TPS score if they are missing measure scores from two of five domains. The redistribution of the missing measure weights (both as a percent of TPS and as a percent of its assigned domain), along with an illustrative example, is described in more detail below.

#### 4.2.8.1 Redistributing Domain Weights When a Facility Is Not Scored on Any Measures in a Domain

As previously noted, if a facility does not meet the eligibility requirements for a measure (or measure topic), the facility is not scored on the measure. If a facility is not scored on any measures (or measure topics) in a domain, then that domain’s weight is redistributed evenly across the remaining domains and then evenly across the measures within those domains.
Table 25 provides an example of this redistribution, showing the measure weights as a percent of TPS and as a percent of the domain score when the Safety Domain is missing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure/Measure Topics by Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of TPS</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of Domain Absent Safety Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of TPS Absent Safety Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PATIENT &amp; FAMILY ENGAGEMENT MEASURE DOMAIN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICH CAHPS measure</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>17.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.00% of TPS</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.50% of TPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CARE COORDINATION MEASURE DOMAIN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRR measure</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>39.49%</td>
<td>12.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHR measure</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>39.49%</td>
<td>12.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPPW measure</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
<td>21.03%</td>
<td>6.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30% of TPS</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.50% of TPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLINICAL CARE MEASURE DOMAIN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V Dialysis Adequacy Comprehensive measure</td>
<td>31.43%</td>
<td>11.00%</td>
<td>31.56%</td>
<td>11.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular Access Type measure topic</td>
<td>34.29%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>34.22%</td>
<td>12.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STrR measure</td>
<td>34.29%</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>34.22%</td>
<td>12.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35% of TPS</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.50% of TPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SAFETY MEASURE DOMAIN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSN BSI measure</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10% of TPS</td>
<td></td>
<td>0% of TPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REPORTING MEASURE DOMAIN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercalcemia reporting measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Measure Weight as a Percent of Domain Absent Safety Domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure/Measure Topics by Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of TPS</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of Domain Absent Safety Domain</th>
<th>Measure Weight as a Percent of TPS Absent Safety Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHSN Dialysis Event reporting measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MedRec measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrafiltration Rate reporting measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Depression and Follow-Up reporting measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCP COVID-19 Vaccination reporting measure</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10% of TPS</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.50% of TPS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 25: Measure Weights as a Percent of TPS and as a Percent of Domain Score When Safety Domain is Missing

### 4.2.8.2 Calculating TPS with One Domain Missing

For the example used in Section 4.2.8.1 where NSHN BSI measure is missing, the TPS would be comprised of the remaining four domains listed below and their associated TPS weights would be as follows:

- **Patient and Family Engagement Measure Domain:** 17.5%
- **Care Coordination Measure Domain:** 32.5%
- **Clinical Care Measure Domain:** 37.5%
- **Safety Measure Domain:** 0%
- **Reporting Measure Domain:** 12.5%
Example 9: Total Performance Score Calculation for One Domain Missing for PY 2025

Total Performance Score with One Domain Missing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Domain Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient and Family Engagement</td>
<td>90.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure Domain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care Coordination Measure</td>
<td>78.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Care Measure Domain</td>
<td>95.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Measure Domain</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting Measure Domain</td>
<td>93.352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Performance Score = 88

4.3 Calculating a Facility’s Payment Reduction for the Facility’s TPS

The system shall calculate payment reduction percentages for a facility based on how a facility’s TPS compares to the minimum TPS specified for the payment year. See Table 26 below for the payment reductions associated with the TPS received.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Performance Score</th>
<th>Payment Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100-55</td>
<td>No reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Score meets or exceeds minimum TPS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54–45</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 to 10 points below minimum TPS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44–35</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11 to 20 points below minimum TPS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Performance Score</td>
<td>Payment Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34–25 (21 to 30 points below minimum TPS)</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–0 (31 or more points below minimum TPS)</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Score calculated</td>
<td>No reduction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 26: TPS and Payment Reduction for PY 2025
5. Calculating Star Ratings for Dialysis Facility Measures

5.1 Background and Introduction

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) developed the Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating System to rate the overall quality of care provided by dialysis facilities. The goal of the Star Rating System is to provide patients, their families, and caregivers information that they can use to easily compare dialysis facilities, as well as be aware of areas of care delivery where the quality of care differs. Each facility is rated between one and five stars. Facilities with five stars are considered to deliver much above average quality of care and those with one star are considered to deliver care that is rated much below average quality.

The original Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating System was implemented in January 2015 on the Medicare Dialysis Facility Measures website. The technical report for the original Star Rating methodology is available at:

https://dialysisdata.org/sites/default/files/content/Methodology/StarRatings.pdf

An update to Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating System methodology occurred in October 2016, based on feedback from a Technical Expert Panel (TEP) convened in April 2015. The updated technical report for the Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating System, implemented since the October 2016 release, is available at:

https://dialysisdata.org/sites/default/files/content/Methodology/UpdatedDialysisFacilityMeasuresStarRatingMethodology.pdf

A Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating TEP was convened in February 2017. The TEP recommendations on the candidate and updated measures are described in further detail in the 2017 Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating Summary Report, is available at:


An update to Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating System methodology occurred in October 2018. The updated technical report for the Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating System is available at:

https://dialysisdata.org/sites/default/files/content/Methodology/Updated_DialysisFacilityMeasures_Star_Rating_Methodology_for_October_2018_Release.pdf

5.2 Dialysis Facility Measures Quality Measures Used in Calculating the Star Ratings

Eleven of the Dialysis Facility Measures quality measures currently reported on the Medicare website are used to calculate the Dialysis Facility Measures Star Ratings. The measures used in this update of the Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating System methodology include Dialysis Facility Measures quality measures implemented in the original 2015 Star Rating System,
updated versions of several of the Dialysis Facility Measures quality measures, replaced versions of two Dialysis Facility Measures quality measures, and measures new to the Star Rating.

5.3 Final Set of Quality Measures Used in the Clinical Star Rating Calculation

The final set of quality measures used in the Clinical Star Rating calculation include:

- Standardized Transfusion Ratio for Dialysis Facilities (STrR, NQF #2979)*
- Standardized Mortality Ratio for Dialysis Facilities (SMR, NQF #0369)*
- Standardized Hospitalization Ratio for Dialysis Facilities (SHR, NQF #1463)*
- Standardized Readmission Ratio for Dialysis Facilities (SRR, NQF #2496)*
- Total Kt/V Measure§:
  - Delivered Dose of Hemodialysis Above Minimum (Adult HD Kt/V, NQF #0249)&. II
  - Minimum spKt/V for Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients (Pediatric HD Kt/V, NQF #1423)&. II
  - Delivered Dose of Peritoneal Dialysis Above Minimum (Adult PD Kt/V, NQF #0318)&. II
  - Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Adequacy: Achievement of Target Kt/V (Pediatric PD Kt/V, NQF #2706)&
- Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Standardized Fistula Rate (SFR, NQF #2977)§
- Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Long-Term Catheter Rate (Catheter, NQF #2978)†
- Proportion of Patients with Hypercalcemia (Hypercalcemia, NQF #1454)†

* Lower is better, updated yearly
§ Higher is better, individual measure updated quarterly
† Lower is better, updated quarterly
& The four Kt/V measurements are combined into a single, Total Kt/V measure. The average percentage of patients achieving Kt/V greater than the specified thresholds for each of the four respective patient populations (Adult HD, Adult PD, Pediatric HD, and Pediatric PD), was weighted based on the number of patient-months of data available for each patient population. The resulting measure (Total Kt/V) represents the percentage of total dialysis patients eligible for the measure who had enough wastes removed from their blood (Kt/V greater than or equal to the specified threshold). After combining these four Kt/V measures, eight final Quality Measures are used to calculate the Clinical Star Rating.
II No changes to measure specifications
5.4 ICH CAHPS Star Rating Calculation

The calculation used in the ICH CAHPS® In-Center Hemodialysis Survey rating can be found at NQF #0258.

The ICH CAHPS Star Rating will be calculated and reported as separate Star Ratings. Current measure specifications are available at: https://ichcahps.org/SurveyandProtocols.aspx

The ICH CAHPS Star Rating Technical Notes are available at: https://ichcahps.org.

5.5 Development of Measure Domains

The correlation structure of the measures (Table 27) reveals that some measures are more closely correlated than others. Based on this observation, the measures are grouped into domains in an empirical, data-driven manner using factor analysis. Measures are more correlated within each domain, while measures are less correlated across different domains. Equal weighting of these domains, rather than the individual measures, avoids overweighting particular measures that may represent a similar aspect of quality as other measures in the Star Rating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SMR</th>
<th>SHR</th>
<th>SRR</th>
<th>STrr</th>
<th>Fistula</th>
<th>Catheter</th>
<th>Hypercalcemia</th>
<th>Total Kt/V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHR</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRR</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STrr</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistula</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercalcemia</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Kt/V</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 27: Spearman Correlation Matrix, Calendar Year 2016 Data*

*Correlations were statistically significant at p < 0.001 except between SMR and Catheter (p = 0.003) and Hypercalcemia and STrr (p = 0.1334)

Analyses of data from the April 2018 Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating release, and the expanded measure set, informed the creation of three measure domains to be used beginning with the October 2018 Star Rating release. Four standardized outcome measures form the first domain, which is named “Standardized Outcomes (SHR, SMR, STrr, and SRR)”. The Standardized Fistula Rate and Long-Term Catheter Rate measures form the second domain, “Other Outcomes 1 (SFR, Catheter)”. The Total Kt/V and Hypercalcemia measures form the third domain, “Other Outcomes 2 (Total Kt/V, Hypercalcemia)”.


5.6 Measure Scoring in Baseline Period and an Evaluation Period

Dialysis Facility Measures clinical quality measures have different distributions and scales, therefore the values of individual measures to measure scores are first transformed in order to make them comparable in terms of scale and direction (scoring methodology is described further below).

5.6.1 Baseline Period Measure Scoring Methodology

The October 2016 Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating release used January 2014 to December 2014 data as the baseline period. This allowed facilities to maintain or improve their Star Rating if they maintained or improved performance on the quality measures compared to their baseline period score. As new measures are added to the Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating System, one cannot directly compare cutoff scores to the Star Rating cutoffs established for the October 2016 Dialysis Facility Measures update using January to December 2014 results. Additionally, several measures are updated for the October 2018 release (see Summary of Methodology Updates for the October 2018 Dialysis Facility Measures Release) for information on how this is addressed.

The measure values in the current Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating are either standardized ratios or percentages. In developing scores for the baseline period, different scoring methods are applied, based on the measures’ scales. The most up-to-date data, as of May 2018, were used for each of the measures: January 2016 to December 2016 data for the standardized measures and July 2016 to June 2017 data for the percent-based measures. These are the data reported in the April 2018 release.

5.6.1.1 Standardized Ratio Measures: STTR, SMR, SHR, SRR

The standardized ratio measures are scored differently than the percentage measures as the quality associated with a unit change in a ratio measure is not equally spaced. For example, the quality difference between an SMR of 0.5 versus 1.0 is not the same as the quality difference between an SMR of 1.0 versus 1.5. The former represents a two-fold difference, while the latter represents a difference in mortality that is only 1.5 times higher. Probit scoring, a ranking approach described below, better accounts for these spacing differences than z-scores, which assume equal spacing. In addition, since the probit function maps percentile ranks of the standardized ratio measures to a distribution with mean 0 and variance 1, this type of scoring can be easily combined with z-scores for the percentage measures, which also have mean 0 and variance 1. Therefore, probit scoring is used for the ratio measures to define scores in the baseline period. To calculate probit scores, we input a “percentile rank/100” into the probit function, \( \phi^{-1} \), the inverse cumulative distribution function for the standard normal distribution. This produces the normal quantile associated with the input percentile rank. Minimum and maximum values of probit scores are determined by precision of the percentile input into the probit function. The Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating uses percentiles ranging from 0.5 to 99.5 in increments of 0.5, resulting in 199 distinct percentiles. The associated minimum probit score is \( \phi^{-1} (0.5/100) = -2.58 \), and the maximum probit score is \( \phi^{-1} (99.5/100) = 2.58 \).
The probit scores for ratio-based measures and the truncated z-scores for percentage-based measures have the same range of values when scoring. Therefore, the maximum and minimum probit scores (± 2.58) are chosen as the cutoffs to truncate the z-scores.

Probit scoring algorithm in the *baseline period*:

- Percentile ranks are calculated for the *baseline period* measure values, to then be fed into the probit function.
- The percentile ranks are realigned so that the highest value (99.5) represents care much above average and the lowest value (0.5) represents care much below average. This is to ensure the same directionality before combining measures.
- The percentiles are then mapped to the probit scores: probit score = $\Phi^{-1}(\text{percentile rank} / 100)$ which has a range of -2.58 to 2.58. After the probit transformation, the standardized measure scores have mean 0 and variance 1.

Figure 23 shows the distribution of measure values for SMR on the left (where lower values are better) and the distribution of probit measure scores for SMR on the right (where higher scores are better).

![Figure 23: Example of Scoring SMR, January 2016 to December 2016 Baseline Period](image)

### 5.6.1.2 Percentage Measures: SFR, Catheter, Hypercalcemia, Total Kt/V

The percentage measures vary in distribution and are scored using truncated z-scores. Truncated z-scores represent the number of standard deviations away from the mean, truncated at a maximum/minimum allowed value. During the truncation process, these measures are iteratively re-scored to ensure a final mean of 0 and variance of 1. Highly skewed measures have the potential to result in large z-scores for facilities in the tail of the measure. These large scores may exert too much influence on the Star Rating. Limiting the range of the scores through truncation ensures a facility’s Star Rating is not determined primarily by outlier performance on a single measure. The scoring algorithm is as follows:
- Percentage measures in the baseline period are realigned so that the highest value (100) represents care much above average and the lowest value (0) represents the worst possible care quality. This is to ensure scored measures have the same directionality before they are combined.

- Z-scores are calculated. All z-scored measures now have mean of 0 and variance of 1 at this step.

- Z-scores are truncated at upper and lower bounds for each measure.

- These truncated scores are then re-standardized to ensure the final truncated z-scores still have mean of 0 and variance of 1. The upper and lower truncation bounds are different for each measure, and all measure scores have a maximum range of -2.58 to 2.58.

A detailed example of this calculation is shown in Section 5.11.2. Figure 24 shows the distribution of measure values for Kt/V (left) and the distribution of measure z-scores for Kt/V (right).

![Figure 24: Example of Scoring Kt/V, July 2016 to June 2017 Baseline Period](image)

### 5.6.2 Evaluation Period Measure Scoring Methodology

For the October 2018 Dialysis Facility Measures release, the Star Rating System has new, replaced, and updated measures in the measure set. Therefore, it would not be appropriate to directly compare this evaluation period’s data to the original baseline period (January 2014 to December 2014) criteria established for the October 2016 release of the Star Ratings. Instead, the April 2018 Star Rating distribution will be used to establish a new set of cutoffs for the October 2018 release of the Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating. This will maintain the longitudinal continuity of the current Star Rating distribution, while using the appropriate score cutoffs established for the new measure set.
5.6.2.1 Standardized Ratio Measures: STrR, SMR, SHR, SRR

The standardized ratio measures represent ratios (observed events/expected events) in the evaluation period. Before applying scores to standardized ratio measures in the evaluation period, we multiply these ratios by an adjustment factor. The adjustment factor, which accounts for differences in population event rates between the baseline period and evaluation period data, is applied so that an adjusted evaluation period ratio value reflects the same value it would have in the baseline period. The adjustment factor multiplied by the standardized ratio is the same for all facilities in the evaluation period, for that particular measure. It is the average national observed event rate in the evaluation period divided by the average national observed event rate in the baseline period. For the October 2018 Star Rating release, the evaluation period will use data from January 2017 to December 2017.

Below is an example using the April 2018 Dialysis Facility Measures release data as the evaluation period, adjusted to the October 2016 Dialysis Facility Measures release data event rates, which is the baseline period. As an illustration, the example below shows the adjustment that would be made for data collected in 2016 (i.e., evaluation period):

\[
\text{SHR Adjustment Factor} = \frac{\text{Evaluation Period (Jan. - Dec. 2016) Hospitalizations per Patient-Year}}{\text{Baseline Period (Jan. - Dec. 2015) Hospitalizations per Patient-Year}} = \frac{1.81}{1.78} = 1.02
\]

Since hospitalization rates were higher in 2016 than in 2015, the expected number of events for the average facility is higher in 2016. By multiplying SHR in 2016 by a factor of 1.02 to calculate an adjusted SHR, these facilities are effectively being measured by 2015 criteria, i.e., baseline period criteria. This is interpreted as how the facility performed in the evaluation period relative to the typical facility in a pre-established baseline period.

Evaluation period facility ratios are first multiplied by the adjustment factor (as described earlier) in order to calculate individual facility adjusted ratios. Each adjusted ratio is mapped to the same percentile rank that the ratio would have been mapped to if it had been observed in the baseline period. The cutoffs used for the percentile ranks are determined by the best measure value within each percentile rank in the baseline period.

5.6.2.2 Percentage Measures: SFR, Catheter, Hypercalcemia, Total Kt/V

Each measure value is mapped to the same score that the measure value would have been mapped to if it had been observed in the baseline period (July 2016 to June 2017). Z-scores in the evaluation period are therefore calculated by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation of the measure in the baseline period. These z-scores are then truncated at the same values as truncated in the baseline period and re-standardized using the mean and the standard deviation of the truncated z-scores in the baseline period. A detailed example is shown in Table 34: Defining Scores for Kt/V in the Baseline Period (January 2015 to December 2015) and Table 35: Defining Scores for Kt/V in the Evaluation Period (July 2016 to June 2017) in Section 5.11.2.

5.6.2.3 Combining Measure Scores into Final Facility Scores

In the Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating, the measure scores are combined to calculate a final facility score for each facility. Each facility is first given domain scores between -2.58 and
2.58 by averaging the measure scores within each of the three domains. Facilities are then given a final score between -2.58 and 2.58 by averaging the domain scores. Facilities are given final scores as long as they have at least one measure value in each domain. Note that facilities that serve PD patients only (designated as PD-only facilities) do not have values for the “Other Outcomes 1 (SFR, Catheter)” domain. For the Star Rating, these facilities will be rated based on the average scores for the other domains.

### 5.7 Missing Values

As noted above, with the exception of PD-only facilities, all facilities will receive a rating if they have at least one measure value in each domain. Missing values (for facilities eligible for ratings) are assigned the mean of the scores given to that measure in the evaluation period. This method of imputation ensures one measure does not exert too much influence on the domain score, and in turn, the final score used to determine the Star Rating. For example, if one facility had the maximum measure score of 2.58 for STTR and had missing values for SMR, SRR, and SHR, it would not be appropriate to assume the Standardized Ratio Measure Domain should be given the maximum score of 2.58 based on the one measure for that domain (i.e., STTR in this case). By imputing the average score for the SMR, SHR, and SRR measure, we instead give the domain a submaximal above average score. In this example, this facility is still above average for this domain, but the domain score will not be based solely on the one observed score for STTR, and therefore limits the STTR score from being too influential on the final facility score.

### 5.8 Translating Facility Final Scores to Star Ratings

The ranges of the final score, i.e., the cutoff values, which determine facilities’ five Star Rating categories are established by data from the baseline period (see Section 4).

#### 5.8.1 Defining Final Score Cutoffs in the Baseline Period

Final scores for the cutoff year were calculated and used to define Star Rating categories in the current year Star Rating. The same cutoff year and cutoff values continue to be used in future Star Ratings until a new cutoff year (or baseline year) is established.

#### 5.8.2 Maintaining Longitudinal Continuity in the Star Rating for the October 2018 Release

This release of the Star Rating system will incorporate the new, replaced, and updated measures described earlier in this report, and will be based on new Star Rating category cutoff values. The new cutoff values will preserve the Star Rating distribution from the previous Star Rating release. These cutoffs are established using the most recent full year of data: January 2016 to December 2016 for the standardized ratio measures and July 2016 to June 2017 for the percentage-based measures. The final facility score distribution will use the Star Rating proportions reported in the April 2018 release to establish new cutoffs. These cutoffs will then be applied to the facility final scores for the October 2018 release.
5.8.3 Assigning Star Ratings in the Evaluation Period

The final score cutoffs that are defined using the April 2018 data Star Rating distribution are used to assign Star Ratings to facilities for the evaluation. If the population of facilities improves in their measure performance from the year in which the cutoffs are established, more facilities could be in the higher Star Rating categories compared to the baseline period, as they are being compared to prior measure performance in the earlier year.

Table 28 below reports an example distribution of average measure values for facilities within each Star Rating category. As is shown, better measure values and final scores correspond with higher Star Rating categories. Note: This table uses the currently available April 2018 Dialysis Facility Measures data in order to illustrate this example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>★</th>
<th>★★</th>
<th>★★★</th>
<th>★★★★</th>
<th>★★★★★</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility N (%)</td>
<td>228 (4%)</td>
<td>554 (9%)</td>
<td>2262 (43%)</td>
<td>1870 (30%)</td>
<td>1390 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHR</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRR</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STtrR</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistula</td>
<td>45.83</td>
<td>53.55</td>
<td>59.68</td>
<td>65.77</td>
<td>72.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter</td>
<td>28.45</td>
<td>19.52</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>7.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercalcemia</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Kt/V</td>
<td>82.46</td>
<td>92.23</td>
<td>94.84</td>
<td>96.38</td>
<td>97.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Score</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 28: Mean Measure Values and Mean Final Facility Scores Within Each Star Rating Category*

* April 2018 Dialysis Facility Measures release data for the evaluation period, October 2016 Dialysis Facility Measures release data for the baseline period

5.9 An Illustration of the Star Rating Calculation

This section illustrates the updated Star Rating methodology that will be implemented beginning with the October 2018 Dialysis Facility Measures Star Rating release. The calculation is illustrated using two sample facilities: (1) A Standard facility, denoted as Facility A, which provides a combination of in-center HD, home HD, and/or PD, and (2) A PD-Only facility,
denoted as Facility B, which provides only PD services. This illustrates how PD-only versus all other facilities are treated in the Star Rating calculation. Note these examples use currently available data, where January 2015 to December 2015 is the baseline period, and the data available from the April 2018 release is the evaluation period to illustrate this example.

**Step 1: ApplySuppressions to Cutoff Year & Current Year Data**

Facilities that are too new or too small to provide reliable clinical measure values are suppressed and set to missing. For this example, both Facility A and Facility B are facilities that were not suppressed.

**Step 2: Define Scores in a Cutoff Year**

- Standardized Ratio Measures: Apply probit scoring to each measure
  - Generate 199 percentile ranks for each measure (0.5 to 99.5)
  - Generate probit scores where the score = \( \phi^{-1}(\text{percentile rank} / 100) \)
- Percentage Measures: Apply iterative truncated z-score algorithm to each realigned measure
  - Let the measure of interest be \( m \) and first standardize \( m \) to get \( z \)
  - Iteratively truncate \( z \) at \( \delta^+, \delta^- \) to get \( t \) and standardize \( t \) to get \( w \)

The baseline period measure values and standardized measure scores are reported in Table 29 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Facility A (A Standard Facility)</th>
<th>Facility B (A PD-Only Facility)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure Value</td>
<td>Std. Score</td>
<td>Measure Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHR</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRR</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 29: Cutoff Year Measure Values and Standardized Measure Scores

* A facility missing a value for STrR was chosen to demonstrate missing imputation (Step 2, Part 3)

#### 5.9.1 Step 3: Score Values in Current Year Based on Cutoff Year Standards

- **Standardized Ratio Measures**
  - Apply adjustment factor to *evaluation period* measure values.
  - Assign probit scores in the *evaluation period* using bounds defined in the *baseline period*

- **Percentage Measures**
  - Standardize *evaluation period* measure values by subtracting the *baseline period mean* and dividing by the baseline period standard deviation
  - Truncate standardized measure scores at truncation bounds from *baseline period*
  - Re-standardize truncated scores by subtracting the *baseline period mean* and dividing by the baseline period standard deviation
  - Impute eligible facility’s missing values with the national average for that measure

In our example, the *evaluation* measure values and standardized measure scores are reported in Table 30.
### Table 30: Current Year Measure Values and Standardized Measure Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Facility A</th>
<th></th>
<th>Facility B</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measure Value</td>
<td>Std. Score</td>
<td>Measure Value</td>
<td>Std. Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHR</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRR</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StrR</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>Missing*</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistula</td>
<td>57.55</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercalcemia</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Kt/V</td>
<td>94.97</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>97.85</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A facility missing a value for StrR was chosen to demonstrate missing imputation (Step 3, Part 3)

#### 5.9.2 Step 4: Define Final Score Cutoffs in Cutoff Year

- Determine which facilities will be rated in the baseline period based on the suppression criteria outlined in Step 1
- Score the facility in the baseline period
  - Average standardized measure scores within each domain to obtain domain scores
  - Average domain scores to obtain a final score
- Define Star Ratings in baseline period based on the defined Star Rating proportions reported for the baseline period data
- Define the Star Rating cutoffs as the average of the greatest lower bound and the least upper bound between two adjacent Star Rating categories

For our example facilities, the baseline period domain scores and final scores are reported in Table 31 below; the Star Rating cutoffs are reported in Table 32. Note that Cutoff 1 is defined to be the average score between the highest scoring facility in the 1-Star category and the lowest scoring facility in the 2-Star category. Cutoffs for categories 2-4 are defined similarly.
Step 5: Apply Final Score Cutoffs in Current Year

- Determine which facilities will be rated in the evaluation period based on the suppression criteria
- Score the facility in the evaluation period
  - Average standardized measure scores within each domain to obtain domain scores
  - Average domain scores to obtain a final score
- Translate final scores to Star Ratings using the Star Rating cutoffs defined in the baseline period

The example evaluation period domain scores and final scores are reported in Table 33 below. Using the cutoffs reported in Table 32, both the Standard facility and the PD-Only facility would be assigned 4-Stars.
## 5.11 Additional Details

### 5.11.1 Detailed Example of Scoring Measures in the Standardized Outcomes Domain

In order to map the standardized ratio measure values in the *evaluation period* to the percentile ranks defined in the *baseline period*, percentile rank cutoffs must be established. The cutoffs are determined by the best measure value within each percentile rank in the *baseline period*. For any measure ratio value in the *evaluation period* that falls between the percentile rank cutoffs in the *baseline period*, the measure ratio value in the *evaluation period* will be “rounded up” to the higher of the two percentile rank values. A higher percentile rank indicates better performance. For example, suppose we are considering a measure for which a higher ratio indicates poorer performance on the measure. If the lowest value receiving a ratio measure percentile rank of 47.5 in the *baseline period* is 1.092 and the highest value receiving the next higher percentile rank value of 48.0 is 1.089, then the ratio measure in a future year (after applying the adjustment factor) of 1.090 would be given a percentile rank of 48.0. These “percentile ranks” are input into the probit function to determine the measure scores for the *evaluation period*.

### 5.11.2 Detailed Example of Scoring Percentage Measures

Here we show how truncated z-scores are defined in the *baseline period* and applied in the *evaluation period*. Table 34 shows how scoring is defined in the *baseline period*. In the first row, we display Kt/V and its summary statistics for January 2015 to December 2015. In the second row, the z-score is obtained by subtracting each Kt/V value by its mean (91.69) and dividing by its standard deviation (6.91). In the third row, initial truncated z-scores are calculated by truncating the z-score at a lower bound (-1.80) and upper bound (here no truncation is needed for the upper bound of Kt/V since it is already below 2.58). Finally, in the fourth row, the initial Kt/V truncated z-score is re-standardized by subtracting each value by its mean (0.07) and dividing by its standard deviation (0.72). Note that the truncation bounds in row 2 are chosen by an iterative algorithm that ensures the re-standardized measure lies within -2.58 and 2.58. The summary statistics in this table are then used to calculate the scores in the *evaluation period* (July 2016 to June 2017).
Table 34: Defining Scores for Kt/V in the Baseline Period (January 2015 to December 2015)

Table 35 shows how scoring is defined in the evaluation period. The first row reports Kt/V and its summary statistics for July 2016 to June 2017. In the second row, the z-score is obtained by subtracting each Kt/V value by the baseline period mean (91.69) and dividing by the baseline period standard deviation (6.91) in Table 34. In the third row, initial truncated z-scores are formed by truncating the z-score at the lower bound (-1.80) and upper bound (no bound needed for Kt/V) used in the baseline period. Finally, in the fourth row, the initial Kt/V truncated z-score is re-standardized by subtracting each value by the mean (0.07) and dividing by the standard deviation (0.72) of the initial truncated z-scores in the baseline period. Using the summary statistics from the baseline period, the Kt/V values are scored by criteria defined in the baseline period. Note that the mean of the re-standardized score in Table 35 is greater than 0, indicating improvement in the population average of Kt/V from the baseline period.

Table 34: Defining Scores for Kt/V in the Baseline Period (January 2015 to December 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V Measure Value</td>
<td>91.69</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V Z-Score</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-11.47</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Kt/V Truncated Z-Score</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Kt/V Truncated Z-Score (Re-Standardized)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 35: Defining Scores for Kt/V in the Evaluation Period (July 2016 to June 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V Measure Value</td>
<td>94.64</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V Z-Score</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-10.62</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Kt/V Truncated Z-Score</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Kt/V Truncated Z-Score (Re-Standardized)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADEMEX</td>
<td>Adequacy of Peritoneal Dialysis in Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFS</td>
<td>Annual Facility Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHRQ</td>
<td>Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKI</td>
<td>Acute Kidney Injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARNP</td>
<td>Advanced Registered Nurse Practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV</td>
<td>Arteriovenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVF</td>
<td>Arteriovenous Fistula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSI</td>
<td>Blood Stream Infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN</td>
<td>Blood Urea Nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>Coronary Artery Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPD</td>
<td>Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASPER</td>
<td>Certification and Survey Provider Enhanced Report System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>HHS Hierarchical Condition Categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCN</td>
<td>CMS Certification Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCPD</td>
<td>Continuous Cycling Peritoneal Dialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>AHRQ Clinical Classification Software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>Congestive Heart Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOW</td>
<td>Change of Ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>Chronic Kidney Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROWNWeb</td>
<td>Consolidated Renal Operations in a Web-enabled Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY</td>
<td>Calendar Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis Facility Measures</td>
<td>Dialysis Facility Compare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFR</td>
<td>Dialysis Facility Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECE</td>
<td>Extraordinary Circumstances Exception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDB</td>
<td>Enrollment Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQRS</td>
<td>ESRD Quality Reporting System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESA</td>
<td>Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>End Stage Renal Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSD</td>
<td>First Service Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEE</td>
<td>Generalized Estimating Equations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCP</td>
<td>Healthcare Personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCPCS</td>
<td>Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS</td>
<td>Health and Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human Immunodeficiency Virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWR</td>
<td>Hospital-wide Readmission Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICH CAHPS</td>
<td>In Center Hemodialysis - Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>International Statistical Classification of Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH</td>
<td>Intradialytic Hypotension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDR</td>
<td>Integrated Data Repository</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDOQI</td>
<td>Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V</td>
<td>K (dialyzer clearance of urea)*t (dialysis time)/V (patient’s total body water)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDO</td>
<td>Large Dialysis Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTC</td>
<td>Long Term Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTCH</td>
<td>Long Term Care Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBI</td>
<td>Medicare Beneficiary ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MedPAC</td>
<td>Medicare Payment Advisory Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MedRec</td>
<td>Medication Reconciliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS</td>
<td>Minimum Data Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRPs</td>
<td>Medication-Related Problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCH</td>
<td>National Claims History database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSN</td>
<td>National Healthcare Safety Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSN BSI</td>
<td>National Health Safety Network Blood Stream Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKF</td>
<td>National Kidney Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nPCR</td>
<td>Normalized Protein Catabolic Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NQF</td>
<td>National Quality Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTN</td>
<td>Organ Procurement and Transplant Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Physician Assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Peritoneal Dialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMIS</td>
<td>Program Management and Medical Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POS</td>
<td>Provider of Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPPW</td>
<td>Percentage of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPS</td>
<td>Prospective Payment System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY</td>
<td>Payment Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QDialysis</td>
<td>Quarterly Dialysis Facility Compare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QIES</td>
<td>Quality Improvement Evaluation System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QIP</td>
<td>Quality Incentive Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QM</td>
<td>Quality Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>Red Blood Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDS</td>
<td>Renal Data Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REBUS</td>
<td>Renal Beneficiary and Utilization System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAF</td>
<td>Standard Analysis File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFR</td>
<td>Standardized Fistula Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHR</td>
<td>Standardized Hospitalization Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIR</td>
<td>Standardized Infection Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>Standardized Mortality Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNF</td>
<td>Skilled Nursing Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spKt/V</td>
<td>“Single pool” Kt/V as it assumes that excess water and urea are removed from only one body compartment and does not reflect rebound of water and waste products contributed by other body compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRR</td>
<td>Standardized Readmission Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>Social Security Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STrrR</td>
<td>Standardized Transfusion Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWR</td>
<td>Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio for Incident Dialysis Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEP</td>
<td>Technical Expert Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOB</td>
<td>Type of Bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPS</td>
<td>Total Performance Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR</td>
<td>Ultrafiltration Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKM</td>
<td>Urea Kinetic Modeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNOS</td>
<td>United Network for Organ Sharing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPI</td>
<td>Unique Patient Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URR</td>
<td>Urea Reduction Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USRDS</td>
<td>United States Renal Data System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Veterans Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT</td>
<td>Vascular Access Type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glossary

**CMS Certification Number (CCN) Open Date**

When CMS refers to CCN open date, they are referring to the date the facility can receive reimbursement from Medicare, which in the EQRS facility database is the CCN Certification Date, and thus, the date that is referenced in the Manual and used in the measures calculations.

Some stakeholders have expressed confusion about the use of the term “CMS Certification Number (CCN) Open Date” under the ESRD QIP (for example, see the CY 2015 ESRD PPS final rule, published in the Federal Register at 79 FR 66186). CMS interprets this term to mean the “Medicare effective date” under its Medicare provider agreement regulations at 42 CFR 489.13, which governs when the facility can begin to receive Medicare reimbursement for ESRD services under the ESRD prospective payment system (PPS). Thus, a facility is eligible, with respect to a particular payment year, to receive scores on individual measures and participate in general in the ESRD QIP based on the facility’s CCN Open Date (such as the Medicare effective date).