

April 6, 2009

**NOTE TO: Medicare Advantage Organizations and Other Interested Parties**

**SUBJECT: Release of County Fee-for-Service Expenditure Data**

In accordance with section 1853(b) of the Social Security Act (the Act), we are releasing county fee-for-service expenditure data for 2007. These data can be downloaded from the CMS web site at <http://www.cms.hhs.gov/MedicareAdvtgSpecRateStats/>. The file is under the link to FFS Data then the link to FFS Data 2007. Within the file is a spreadsheet for aged, disabled, and End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) beneficiaries.

Each spreadsheet contains several pieces of data for every county in the country:

- total Medicare fee-for-service reimbursement and enrollment for Parts A and B;
- the corresponding per capita reimbursement;
- for Part A, reimbursement for direct (DME) and indirect medical education (IME) expenditures and disproportionate share expenditures (DSH); and
- the per capita expenditures with the medical education and disproportionate share expenditures removed.

Section 1853(b) (4) of the Act requires the reporting of the average risk factors for each county based on (1) inpatient diagnoses and (2) diagnoses from not only inpatient but also other sites of service. For 2007, the county average risk factors based on inpatient diagnoses are not available. We are providing average risk factors based on diagnosis from inpatient and other sites of service, since payments to managed care plans were made using these risk factors. Also, we are providing the average demographic factors (“DEMOG FACTORS”) for each county. Payments made in 2007 to non-demonstration plans were entirely based on the risk characteristics of plan enrollees. For demonstration plans, seventy-five percent of the 2007 payments were based on the risk characteristics, and twenty-five percent of the payments were based on demographic factors such as age, sex, institutional status, and Medicaid status; with the remaining 75 percent based on risk characteristics.

When comparing the fee-for-service data with the payment rates, you should standardize the fee-for-service data for characteristics. This is accomplished by dividing the per capita amounts by the average risk factor for the county. In addition, it may be appropriate to subtract a portion of the direct graduate medical education (GME) or indirect medical education (IME) cost from the Part A fee-for-service expenditures. Under current law, for example, it was the intent of Congress that MA payment rates in 2007 reflect, prior to the budget neutrality calculation, reductions of 100 percent for medical education expenditures in such rates. However, county rates that were calculated as fee-for-services rates, as specified by provisions of the Medicare Modernization Act of 2003, required only the GME portion be subtracted from the rates. Other factors might also affect the comparison between the county fee-for-service expenditure data and the payment rates.

In practice, these comparisons should be undertaken cautiously, and the results should be interpreted with a proper understanding of certain inherent limitations. The most important limitation involves

the variation in average fee-for-service costs from one year to another. These variations can be relatively substantial, even in large counties. Prior to 1998, the adjusted average per capita cost (AAPCC) ratebooks were based on a 5-year moving average of local costs. The 5-year average was intended to help minimize the impact of such variations, and the MA payment provisions in the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 were further designed to reduce year-to-year and county-to-county fluctuation. The degree of variation observable between fee-for-service costs in 1998 to 2007 is comparable to that in earlier years. Similar fluctuations may reasonably be expected in the future. As a result, any comparison of fee-for-service costs with actual payment rates for 1998 to 2007 would not necessarily hold for future years.

In addition to the limitations mentioned above, the expenditure data reported on these files may be slightly understated. The expenditure data is derived from actual claims processed by intermediaries and carriers and tabulated through the National Claims History File at CMS. Due to a cutoff date of about 6 months after the close of a year in processing bills for this release, the data are not totally complete, and the degree of completeness varies somewhat from one county to another. In addition, end-of-year settlements between certain providers and CMS, which are not completed until providers file their cost reports, are not reflected in these data.

Questions on the county fee-for-service data can be directed to Ravi Jain at (410) 786-7906.

/s/

Paul Spitalnic, A.S.A., M.A.A.A.  
Director, Part C&D Actuarial Group  
Office of the Actuary  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services