

*Nursing Home Compare*  
**Five-Star Quality Rating System:  
Technical Users' Guide**

**State-Level Health Inspection Cut Point  
Table**

**March 2025**



## Introduction

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) has enhanced its *Nursing Home Compare* public reporting site to include a set of quality ratings for each nursing home that participates in Medicare or Medicaid. The ratings take the form of several “star” ratings for each nursing home. The primary goal in launching this rating system is to provide residents and their families with an easy way to understand assessment of nursing home quality, making meaningful distinctions between high and low performing nursing homes.

The rating system features an overall five-star rating based on facility performance for three types of performance measures, each of which has its own associated five-star rating. These domains are: Health Inspections (measures based on outcomes from State health inspection surveys), Staffing (measures based on nursing home staffing levels reported at the time of State health inspection), and Quality Measures (measures based on the CMS Minimum Data Set (MDS)). The Five Star Quality Rating System: Technical Users’ Guide provides detailed information about the content and scoring of each domain, as well as the overall star rating.

This document - Five Star Quality Rating System Technical Users’ Guide: State Level Health Inspection Cut Point Table - provides the data for the state-level cut points for the star ratings included in the health inspection domain. Cut points for the staffing ratings and for the QM ratings have been fixed and do not vary monthly. Data tables giving the cut points for those ratings are included in the [Five Star Quality Rating System: Technical Users’ Guide](#).

CMS’ Five-Star quality ratings for the health inspection domain are based on the relative performance of facilities within a State. This approach helps to control for variation between States. Facility ratings are determined using these criteria:

- The top 10 percent (lowest 10 percent in terms of health inspection deficiency score) in each State receive a five-star rating.
- The middle 70 percent of facilities receive a rating of two, three, or four stars, with an equal number (approximately 23.33 percent) in each rating category.
- The bottom 20 percent receive a one-star rating.

This distribution is based on CMS experience and input from the Project’s TEP. The cut points are recalibrated each month so that the distribution of star ratings within States remains relatively constant over time in an effort to reduce the likelihood that the rating process affects the health inspection process. In the rare case that a State or territory has fewer than 5 facilities upon which to generate the cut points, the national distribution is used. Cut points for the health inspection ratings by state for the month of March 2025 are shown in Cut Point Table 1 (CP Table 1).

**Cut Point Table 1**  
**Star Cut Points for Health Inspection Scores - by State - (03-01-2025)**

State	Number of facilities	Health Inspection Score							
		1 star	2 stars		3 stars		4 stars		5 stars
			Upper	Lower	Upper	Lower	Upper	Lower	
Alabama	224	>40.667	≤40.667	>22.833	≤22.833	>14.667	≤14.667	>6.000	≤6.000
Alaska	20	>98.417	≤98.417	>75.833	≤75.833	>36.667	≤36.667	>18.333	≤18.333
Arizona	141	>61.333	≤61.333	>37.333	≤37.333	>24.000	≤24.000	>12.000	≤12.000
Arkansas	219	>85.333	≤85.333	>64.000	≤64.000	>46.667	≤46.667	>27.333	≤27.333
California	1,159	>155.500	≤155.500	>100.000	≤100.000	>66.667	≤66.667	>38.000	≤38.000
Colorado	211	>104.000	≤104.000	>62.667	≤62.667	>41.333	≤41.333	>21.333	≤21.333
Connecticut	195	>76.250	≤76.250	>53.333	≤53.333	>34.667	≤34.667	>22.000	≤22.000
D. C.	17	>212.333	≤212.333	>136.000	≤136.000	>80.000	≤80.000	>30.667	≤30.667
Delaware	44	>106.000	≤106.000	>71.333	≤71.333	>46.667	≤46.667	>20.000	≤20.000
Florida	691	>80.667	≤80.667	>43.333	≤43.333	>25.333	≤25.333	>9.333	≤9.333
Georgia	355	>75.333	≤75.333	>38.667	≤38.667	>21.333	≤21.333	>7.333	≤7.333
Guam	1*	>115.333	≤115.333	>61.333	≤61.333	>34.667	≤34.667	>14.000	≤14.000
Hawaii	42	>116.667	≤116.667	>79.667	≤79.667	>56.667	≤56.667	>32.000	≤32.000
Idaho	80	>87.333	≤87.333	>60.667	≤60.667	>43.333	≤43.333	>19.933	≤19.933
Illinois	678	>197.333	≤197.333	>113.500	≤113.500	>62.000	≤62.000	>29.333	≤29.333
Indiana	509	>74.000	≤74.000	>42.667	≤42.667	>24.667	≤24.667	>11.333	≤11.333
Iowa	397	>99.000	≤99.000	>48.000	≤48.000	>21.333	≤21.333	>6.667	≤6.667
Kansas	302	>122.500	≤122.500	>67.333	≤67.333	>44.000	≤44.000	>25.333	≤25.333
Kentucky	267	>81.667	≤81.667	>31.333	≤31.333	>17.333	≤17.333	>7.333	≤7.333
Louisiana	266	>109.000	≤109.000	>56.333	≤56.333	>35.333	≤35.333	>16.000	≤16.000
Maine	79	>74.667	≤74.667	>56.000	≤56.000	>38.667	≤38.667	>17.333	≤17.333
Maryland	221	>142.667	≤142.667	>90.667	≤90.667	>60.000	≤60.000	>32.000	≤32.000
Massachusetts	343	>121.333	≤121.333	>63.333	≤63.333	>40.667	≤40.667	>15.333	≤15.333
Michigan	421	>135.500	≤135.500	>84.667	≤84.667	>49.833	≤49.833	>25.333	≤25.333
Minnesota	340	>84.917	≤84.917	>48.000	≤48.000	>28.667	≤28.667	>10.667	≤10.667
Mississippi	202	>55.333	≤55.333	>33.333	≤33.333	>21.333	≤21.333	>9.333	≤9.333
Missouri	485	>151.667	≤151.667	>86.667	≤86.667	>50.667	≤50.667	>24.000	≤24.000
Montana	58	>120.667	≤120.667	>63.500	≤63.500	>48.667	≤48.667	>22.000	≤22.000
Nebraska	182	>66.667	≤66.667	>42.000	≤42.000	>27.333	≤27.333	>12.000	≤12.000
Nevada	67	>89.333	≤89.333	>57.333	≤57.333	>40.000	≤40.000	>22.667	≤22.667
New Hampshire	74	>36.000	≤36.000	>23.333	≤23.333	>14.000	≤14.000	>5.333	≤5.333

<b>New Jersey</b>	346	>76.000	≤76.000	>44.000	≤44.000	>26.000	≤26.000	>10.667	≤10.667
<b>New Mexico</b>	67	>217.000	≤217.000	>141.333	≤141.333	>98.000	≤98.000	>56.667	≤56.667
<b>New York</b>	603	>50.667	≤50.667	>32.667	≤32.667	>20.667	≤20.667	>10.667	≤10.667
<b>North Carolina</b>	419	>117.500	≤117.500	>52.333	≤52.333	>24.667	≤24.667	>6.667	≤6.667
<b>North Dakota</b>	74	>84.000	≤84.000	>39.333	≤39.333	>25.333	≤25.333	>14.000	≤14.000
<b>Ohio</b>	918	>109.500	≤109.500	>64.000	≤64.000	>40.667	≤40.667	>15.333	≤15.333
<b>Oklahoma</b>	286	>89.333	≤89.333	>57.333	≤57.333	>35.333	≤35.333	>16.000	≤16.000
<b>Oregon</b>	128	>133.333	≤133.333	>83.333	≤83.333	>55.333	≤55.333	>28.000	≤28.000
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	663	>115.333	≤115.333	>58.667	≤58.667	>27.333	≤27.333	>7.333	≤7.333
<b>Puerto Rico</b>	6	>174.333	≤174.333	>124.000	≤124.000	>66.667	≤66.667	>32.000	≤32.000
<b>Rhode Island</b>	73	>178.667	≤178.667	>96.000	≤96.000	>63.333	≤63.333	>19.333	≤19.333
<b>South Carolina</b>	186	>70.000	≤70.000	>39.333	≤39.333	>22.667	≤22.667	>8.667	≤8.667
<b>South Dakota</b>	95	>76.000	≤76.000	>42.333	≤42.333	>24.000	≤24.000	>12.667	≤12.667
<b>Tennessee</b>	299	>85.667	≤85.667	>35.333	≤35.333	>19.333	≤19.333	>8.000	≤8.000
<b>Texas</b>	1,175	>145.417	≤145.417	>75.000	≤75.000	>42.667	≤42.667	>22.000	≤22.000
<b>Utah</b>	98	>117.333	≤117.333	>46.667	≤46.667	>30.000	≤30.000	>8.667	≤8.667
<b>Vermont</b>	34	>148.833	≤148.833	>62.667	≤62.667	>35.333	≤35.333	>12.000	≤12.000
<b>Virginia</b>	287	>117.333	≤117.333	>68.667	≤68.667	>39.333	≤39.333	>18.000	≤18.000
<b>Washington</b>	192	>178.000	≤178.000	>114.000	≤114.000	>80.667	≤80.667	>42.667	≤42.667
<b>West Virginia</b>	122	>159.167	≤159.167	>99.333	≤99.333	>66.667	≤66.667	>38.000	≤38.000
<b>Wisconsin</b>	324	>127.000	≤127.000	>52.000	≤52.000	>27.333	≤27.333	>10.000	≤10.000
<b>Wyoming</b>	34	>86.000	≤86.000	>50.667	≤50.667	>32.000	≤32.000	>20.667	≤20.667

Notes: A higher score indicates worse performance on health inspections. The cut points are based on facility health inspection scores and are set separately for each state to achieve this distribution:

- 5 stars: ≤10<sup>th</sup> percentile
- 4 stars: >10<sup>th</sup> percentile and ≤ 33.33<sup>rd</sup> percentile
- 3 stars: >33.33<sup>rd</sup> percentile and ≤ 56.667<sup>th</sup> percentile
- 2 stars: >56.667<sup>th</sup> percentile and ≤ 80<sup>th</sup> percentile
- 1 star: >80<sup>th</sup> percentile

Due to the small number of facilities, the cut points for Guam and the Virgin Islands are based on the national distribution of health inspection scores.