Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Measures under Consideration 2016 Data Template for Candidate Measures

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
1	Auto Date (no user					
	input required)					
2	Issue Type	Yes	Some issue types are	Select one	Measure Submission	
			unavailable due to		Question	
			incompatible field		Modify Candidate Measure	
			configuration and/or		Feedback	
			workflow associations. Select			
			Measure Submission to			
			nominate a measure for			
			MUC list. Select Modify			
			Measure to change a			
			measure already submitted			
			for 2016. Select Question to			
			ask a question on the MUC			
			process. Select Feedback to			
			leave feedback about the			
			2016 MUC process.			

Row	Field Label Re	q'd Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
3		start typing to get a list of possible matches or press down to select. Enter CMS program(s) for which the measure is being submitted. These should not be current use programs. Please note is a user selects programs MIPS or HIQR and if approved for the MUC List and rulemaking the measure has the potential to be "borrowed" for use in Hospital and Physician Compare.	Multi- select	Ambulatory Surgical Center Quality Reporting Program End-Stage Renal Disease Quality Incentive Program Home Health Quality Reporting Program Hospice Quality Reporting Program Hospital-Acquired Condition Reduction Program Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Program Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program Hospital Peadmissions Reduction Program Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Program Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting Program Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Quality Reporting Program Long-Term Care Hospital Quality Reporting Program Medicaid and Medicare EHR Incentive Program for Eligible Hospitals and Critical Access Hospitals Medicare Shared Savings Program Merit-based Incentive Payment System Prospective Payment System-Exempt Cancer Hospital Quality Reporting Program Skilled Nursing Facility Quality Reporting Program Skilled Nursing Facility Value-Based Purchasing Program	Add Your Content Here

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
4	What is the history or background for including this measure on the new MUC list?	Yes	Select only one reason	Select one	None New measure never used in a program Measure currently used in a CMS program being proposed as-is for a new or different program Measure currently used in a CMS program, but the measure is undergoing substantial change	
5	If currently used:					
6	Range of year(s) this measure has been used by CMS Program(s).	No	For example: Hospice Quality Reporting (2012-2016)	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
7	What other	No	Select as many as apply.	Multi-	Ambulatory Surgical Center Quality	
	programs are		These should be current use	select	Reporting Program	
	currently using		programs only, not proposed		End-Stage Renal Disease Quality Incentive	
	this measure?		programs.		Program	
					Home Health Quality Reporting Program	
					Hospice Quality Reporting Program	
					Hospital-Acquired Condition Reduction Program	
					Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Program	
					Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program	
					Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program	
					Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Program	
					Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting Program	
					Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Quality Reporting Program	
					Long-Term Care Hospital Quality Reporting Program	
					Medicaid and Medicare EHR Incentive Program for Eligible Hospitals and Critical Access Hospitals	
					Medicare Shared Savings Program	
					Merit-based Incentive Payment System	
					Prospective Payment System-Exempt Cancer Hospital Quality Reporting Program	
					Skilled Nursing Facility Quality Reporting Program	
					Skilled Nursing Facility Value-Based Purchasing Program	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
8	Measure title	Yes	Provide the measure title	Free text		
			only (255 characters or less).	255		
			Put program-specific ID	characters		
			number in the next field, not	max		
			in the title. Note: Enter the			
			NQF ID number and former			
			MUC ID number (if			
			applicable) in later fields.			
9	Measure ID	No	Alphanumeric identifier (if	Free text		
			applicable), such as a	20		
			recognized program ID	characters		
			number for this measure (20	max		
			characters or less).			
			Examples: 199 GPRO HF-5;			
			ACO 28; CTM-3; PQI #08.			
			Fields for the NQF ID number			
			and previous year(s) Jira			
			MUC ID number are			
			provided in other data fields			
			within this form.			
10	Measure description	Yes	Provide a brief description of	Free text		
			the measure (700 characters	700		
			or less). When you paste	characters		
			text, any content over the	or less)		
			limit will be truncated.			

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
11	Numerator	Yes	[show Preview button; Markup button] The upper portion of a fraction used to calculate a rate, proportion, or ratio. A clinical action to be counted as meeting a measure's requirements. For all fields, especially Numerator and Denominator, use plain text whenever possible. If needed, convert any special symbols, math expressions, or equations to plain text (keyboard alphanumeric, such as + - * /). This will help reduce errors and speed up data conversion, team evaluation, and MUC report	Free text	Tossible values	Add Todi Content Here
12	Denominator Exclusions	Yes	formatting. [show Preview button; Markup button] The lower part of a fraction used to calculate a rate, proportion, or ratio. The denominator is associated with a given patient population that may be counted as eligible to meet a measure's inclusion requirements. [show Preview button;	Free text Free text		
			Markup button] Can apply to the Numerator or the Denominator.			

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
14	Measure Type*	Yes	Select only one type of measure.	Select one	None Composite Cost/Resource Use Efficiency Intermediate Outcome Outcome Patient Reported Outcome Process Structure Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of this form)	
15	Which clinical guideline(s)?	No	The measure should improve compliance with standard clinical guidelines. Provide a detailed description of which guideline the measure is based on and how the measure will enhance compliance with the clinical guidelines.	Free text		
16	Is this measure similar to and/or competing with measure(s) already in a program?	Yes	Consider other measures with similar purposes.	Select one	Yes No	
17	If Yes:					
18	Which existing measure(s) is your measure similar to and/or competing with?	No	Identify the other measure(s) including title and any other unique identifier	Free text		
19	Rationale for how this measure will add to the CMS program	No	Describe benefits of this measure, in comparison to existing measure(s).	Free text		
20	How will this measure be distinguished from other similar and/or competing measures?	No	Describe key differences that set this measure apart from others.	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
21	What is the target population of the measure? What area of specialty	Yes	[show Preview button; Markup button] What populations are included in this measure? e.g., Medicare Fee for Service, Medicare Advantage, Medicaid, All Payer, etc. Select the most applicable	Free text Select one		
22	best fits the measure?	163	area of specialty. Use the scroll bar to view all available specialties.	Select one	See Appendix A.22 for list choices.	
23	What NQS priority applies to this measure?	Yes	National Quality Strategy priorities (also known as domains); select as many as apply.	Multi- select	Making care safer by reducing harm caused in the delivery of care Ensuring that each person and family is engaged as partners in their care Promoting effective communication and coordination of care Promoting the most effective prevention and treatment practices for the leading causes of mortality, starting with cardiovascular disease Working with communities to promote wide use of best practices to enable healthy living Making quality care more affordable for individuals, families, employers, and governments by developing and spreading new health care delivery models Measure not able to be categorized	
24	Briefly describe the peer reviewed evidence justifying this measure	Yes	[show Preview button; Markup button] Add description of evidence.	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
25	What is the NQF status of the measure?	Yes	Select only one Four digit number with	Select one Four-digit	None Endorsed De-endorsed Submitted Failed endorsement Never submitted	
			leading zeros if needed. If no NQF ID number is known, enter numerals 0000.	ID value		
27	Evidence that the measure can be operationalized	No	Provide evidence that the data source used by the measure is readily available to CMS. Summarize how CMS would operationalize the measure. For example, if the measure is based on registry data, the submitter must provide evidence that the majority of the hospitals in the program in which the measure will be used participate in the registry; if the measure is registry-based, the submitter must provide a plan for CMS to gain access to the registry data.	Free text		
28	If endorsed:					
29	Is the measure being proposed exactly as endorsed by NQF?	No	Select only one	Radio button	Yes No	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
30	If not exactly as endorsed, specify the locations of the differences	No	Which specification fields are different? Select as many as apply.	Multi- select	Measure title Description Numerator Denominator Exclusions Target Population Area of specialty Setting (for testing) Level of analysis Data source eCQM status Other (see next field)	
31	If not exactly as endorsed, describe the nature of the differences	No	Briefly describe the differences	Free text		
32	Year of NQF Consensus Development Process (CDP) endorsement	No	Select one	Select one	None 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	
33	Year of next scheduled NQF CDP endorsement review	No	Select one	Select one	None 2016 2017 2018 2019	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
34	In what state of	Yes	Select as many as apply.	Multi-	Early Development	
	development is the			select	Field Testing	
	measure?				Fully Developed	
35	In which setting was	Yes	Select as many as apply.	Multi-	None	
	this measure tested?			select	Ambulatory surgery center	
					Ambulatory/office-based care	
					Community hospitals	
					Dialysis facility	
					Emergency department	
					Hospital outpatient department (HOD)	
					Home health	
					Hospital inpatient	
					Hospital/acute care facility	
					Inpatient psychiatric facility	
					Inpatient rehabilitation facility	
					IP units within acute care hospitals	
					Long-term care hospital	
					Nursing home	
					Post-acute care facility(s)	
					PPS-exempt cancer hospital	
					Psychiatric outpatient	
					Veterans Health Administration facilities	
					Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of	
26	At color level of one best	Vaa	Calant as many as analy	N 4 I ± :	this screen)	
36	At what level of analysis was the measure	Yes	Select as many as apply.	Multi-	None Clinician	
	tested?			select		
	lesieur				Group Facility	
					Health plan	
					Not yet tested	
					Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of	
					this screen)	
					uns screen)	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
37	What data sources are	Yes	Select as many as apply.	Multi-	Administrative claims (non-Medicare; enter	
	used for the measure?			select	relevant parts in the field below)	
					Administrative clinical data	
					Facility discharge data	
					Chronic condition data warehouse (CCW)	
					Claims	
					CROWNWeb	
					EHR (enter relevant parts in the field	
					below)	
					Hybrid	
					IRF-PAI	
					LTCH CARE data set	
					National Healthcare Safety Network	
					OASIS-C1	
					Paper medical record	
					Prescription Drug Event Data Elements	
					PROMIS	
					Record review	
					Registry (enter which Registry in the field	
					below)	
					Survey	
					Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of	
					this screen)	
					None	
38	If Registry:					
39	Specify the	No	Identify the registry using	Multi-		
	registry(ies)		the proposed measure.	select	Con Amendia A 20 for list shairs	
			Select as many as apply. Use the scroll bar to view all		See Appendix A.39 for list choices.	
			available registries.			

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
40	If EHR or Administrative Claims or Chart- Abstracted Data, description of parts related to these sources	No	Provide a brief, specific description of which parts of the measure are taken from EHR, administrative claimsbased, or chart-abstracted (i.e., paper medical records) data sources.	Free text		
41	Is this measure an eCQM?	Yes	Is this an electronic clinical quality measure (eCQM)? Select only one. If your answer is yes, the Measure Authoring Tool (MAT) ID number must be provided below.	Select one	Yes No	
42	If eCQM, enter Measure Authoring Tool (MAT) number	Yes	If not an eCQM, or if MAT number is not available, enter 0.	Free text		
43	If eCQM, does the measure have a Health Quality Measures Format (HQMF) specification?	Yes	If not eCQM, enter No	Select one	Yes No	
44	Evidence of performance gap	Yes	Evidence of a performance gap among the units of analysis in which the measure will be implemented. Provide analytic evidence that the units of analysis have room for improvement and therefore that the implementation of the measure would be meaningful. The distribution of performance should be wide. Measures must not address "topped-out" opportunities.	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
45	Unintended consequences	No	Summary of potential unintended consequences if the measure is implemented. Information can be taken from NQF CDP manuscripts or documents. If referencing NQF documents, you must submit the document or a	Free text		, and tout content there
			link to the document, and			
46	Was this measure published on a previous year's Measures under Consideration list?	Yes	the page being referenced. If yes, you are proposing the existing measure for expansion into additional CMS programs or the measure has substantially changed since originally published, then proceed to the following subset of data fields including (i.e., In what prior year(s) was this measure published?, What were the MUC IDs for the measure in each year?, Why was the measure not recommended by the MAP workgroups in those year(s)?, What were the programs that NQF MAP reviewed the measure for in each year?, List the NQF MAP workgroup(s) in each year, What was the NQF MAP decision each year?, and NQF MAP report page number being referenced for each year). If no, then skip these subset questions.	Select one	Yes No	

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
47	In what prior year(s) was this measure published?	No	Select as many as apply.	Multi- select	None 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of this screen)	
48	What were the MUC IDs for the measure in each year?	No	List both the year and the associated MUC ID number in each year. If unknown, enter N/A.	Free text		
49	Why was the measure not recommended by the MAP workgroups in those year(s)?	No	Briefly describe the reason(s) if known.	Free text		
50	What were the programs that NQF MAP reviewed the measure for in each year?	No	List both the year and the associated program name in each year.	Free text		
51	List the NQF MAP workgroup(s) in each year	No	List both the year and the associated workgroup name in each year. Workgroup options: Clinician; Hospital; Post-Acute Care/Long-Term Care; Coordinating Committee. Example: "Clinician, 2014"	Free text		
52	What was the NQF MAP decision in each year?	No	List the year(s), the program(s), and the associated decision(s) in each year. Decision options: Support; Do Not Support; Conditionally Support	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
53	NQF MAP report link for each year	For your reference in completing this section, click on the links below or copy/paste the links into your browser to view each year's MAP prerulemaking report (2012 to 2016). 2016: Link currently unavailable 2015: http://www.qualityforum.org/WorkArea/linkit.aspx?LinkIdentifier=id&ItemID=78711 2014: http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2014/01/MAP Pre-Rulemaking Report 2014 Recommendations on Measures for More than 20 Federal Programs.aspx 2013: http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2013/02/MAP Pre-Rulemaking Report - February 2013.aspx 2012: http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2012/02/MAP Pre-Rulemaking Report - Input on Measures Under Consideration by HHS for 2012 Rulemaking.aspx All major NQF reports going back to 2008 should be locatable here: http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications.aspx				
54	NQF MAP report page number being referenced for each year	No	List both the year and the associated MAP report page number for each year.	Free text		
55	If this measure is being proposed to meet a statutory requirement, please list the corresponding statute	No	List title and other identifying citation information.	Free text		
56	Measure steward	Yes	Select the current Measure Steward. Select as many as apply. Use the scroll bar to view all available stewards.	Multi- select	See Appendix A.56-58 for list choices.	
57	Measure Steward Contact Information	Yes	Last name, First name; Affiliation (if different); Telephone number; Email address	Free text		

Row	Field Label	Req'd	Screen Guidance	Data Form	Possible Values	Add Your Content Here
58	Long-Term Measure Steward (if different)	No	Entity or entities that will be the permanent measure steward(s), responsible for maintaining the measure and conducting NQF maintenance review. Use the scroll bar to view all available stewards.	Multi- select	See Appendix A.56-58 for list choices.	
59	Long-Term Measure Steward Contact Information	No	If different from Steward above: Last name, First name; Affiliation; Telephone number; Email address	Free text		
60	Primary Submitter Contact Information	Yes	If different from Steward above: Last name, First name; Affiliation; Telephone number; Email address	Free text		
61	Secondary Submitter Contact Information	No	If different from name(s) above: Last name, First name; Affiliation; Telephone number; Email address	Free text		
62	Comments	No	Any notes, qualifiers, external references, or other information not specified above. For OTHER entries: please indicate the type of additional data you are providing, such as Measure Type, Setting, Level of Analysis, or Measure Steward.	Free text		
63	Attachment(s)	No	The maximum file upload size is 10.00 MB. Attach measure information form (MIF) if available. This is a detailed description of the measure used by NQF during endorsement proceedings. If a MIF is not available, a detailed Measure Methodology form is acceptable.	Browse for files		

Appendix: Lengthy Drop-Down List Choices

A.22 Choices for What area of specialty best fits the measure?

None

Addiction medicine Allergy/immunology Anesthesiology

Cardiac electrophysiology

Cardiac surgery

Cardiovascular disease (cardiology)

Chiropractic medicine

Colorectal surgery (proctology)
Critical care medicine (intensivists)

Dermatology Diagnostic radiology Electrophysiology Emergency medicine Endocrinology

Family practice
Gastroenterology
General practice
General surgery
Geriatric medicine
Gynecological oncology

Hand surgery

Hematology/oncology
Hospice and palliative care

Infectious disease Internal medicine

Interventional pain management

Interventional radiology Maxillofacial surgery Medical oncology

Mental health professionals

Nephrology Neurology Neuropsychiatry Neurosurgery Nuclear medicine Obstetrics/gynecology Ophthalmology Optometry

Oral surgery (dentists only)

Orthopedic surgery

Osteopathic manipulative medicine

Otolaryngology Pain management Palliative care Pathology Pediatric medicine

Peripheral vascular disease

Physical medicine and rehabilitation Plastic and reconstructive surgery

Podiatry

Preventive medicine

Primary care
Psychiatry
Pulmonary disease
Pulmonology

Pulmonology Radiation oncology Rheumatology Sleep medicine Sports medicine Surgical oncology Thoracic surgery

Urology

Vascular surgery

Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of this screen)

A.39 Choices for Specify the registry(ies)

None

CDC, NHSN (National Healthcare Safety Network)

American Nursing Association's National Database for Nursing Quality Indicators® (NDNQI®)

INDING

American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program ASC NSQIP)

American College of Surgeons National Cancer Data Base (ASC NCDB)

American Heart Association's Get With the Guidelines Database

Alere Analytics Registry

American Board of Family Medicine Registry

American College of Surgeons (ACS) Surgeon Specific Registry (SSR)

American Health IT

American Osteopathic Association Clinical Assessment Program

American Society of Clinical Oncology's Quality Oncology Practice Initiative (QOPI)

Anesthesia Quality Institute National Anesthesia Clinical Outcomes Registry (NACOR)

Bayview Physician Services Registry

BMC Clinical Data Warehouse Registry

Care Coordination Institute Registry

CECity Registry ("PQRSwizard")

Cedaron Medical

Central Utah Informatics

CINA

Clinical Support Services

Clinicient

Clinigence

Conifer Value-Based Care

Corrona, LLC

Covisint Corporation Registry (formerly Docsite)

Crimson Care Registry

DC2 Healthcare (NOC2 Spine Registry and C3 Total Joint Registry)

Digital Medical Solutions Registry

DrexelMed Registry

E*HealthLine.com Inc

eClinicalWeb (eClinicalWorks) Registry

EVMS Academic Physicians and Surgeons Health Services Foundation

Falcon Registry

FORCE-TJR Registry QITM

FOTO PQRS Registry

Fresenium Medical Care CKD Data Registry

Geriatric Practice Management LTC Registry

Greenway Health PrimeDATACLOUD PQRS Registry

HCA Physician Services PQRS Registry

HCFS Health Care Financial Services LLC (HCFS)

Health Focus Registry

ICLOPS

Ingenious Med, Inc.

Intellicure, Inc

Intelligent Healthcare

iPatientCare Registry

IPC The Hospitalist Company Registry

IRISTM Registry

Johns Hopkins Disease Registry

Lumeris Registry

M2S Registry

Mankato Clinic Registry

Massachusetts General Physicians Organization Registry

McKesson Population Manager

MDinteractive

MDSync LLC

MedAmerica/CEP America Registry

Meditab Software, Inc

MedXpress Registry

MEGAS, LLC Alpha II Registry

Michigan Spine Surgery Improvement Collaborative

myCatalyst

Net Health Specialty Care Registry

Net.Orange cOS Registry

NeuroPoint Alliance (NPA)'s National Neurosurgery Quality & Outcomes Database

(N2QOD)

NextGen Healthcare Solutions

NJ-HITEC Clinical Reporting Registry

OmniMD

Patient360

PMI Registry

PQRS Solutions

PQRSPRO NetHealth LLC

Pulse PQRS Registry

Quintiles PQRS Registry

ReportingMD Registry

RexRegistry by Prometheus Research

Solutions for Quality Improvement (SQI) Registry

Specialty Benchmarks Registry

SunCoast RHIO

SupportMed Data Analytics & Registry

Surgical Care and Outcomes Assessment Program (SCOAP)

SwedishAmerican Medical Group

TeamPraxis-Allscripts CQS

The Pain Center USA PLLC

Unlimited Systems Specialty Healthcare Registry

Venous Patient Outcome Registry

Vericle, Inc.

Webconsort LLC

WebOutcomes LLC

WebPT, Inc

Wellcentive, Inc

Wisconsin Collaborative for Health Care Quality Registry

AAAAI Allergy, Asthma & Immunology Quality Clinical Data Registry in collaboration with

CECity

American College of Cardiology Foundation FOCUS Registry

American College of Cardiology Foundation PINNACLE Registry

American College of Physicians Genesis RegistryTM in collaboration with CECity

American College of Radiology National Radiology Data Registry

American College of Rheumatology Rheumatology Informatics System for Effectiveness

American Gastroenterological Association Colorectal Cancer Screening and Surveillance

Registry in collaboration with CECity

American Gastroenterological Association Digestive Recognition Program Registry in

collaboration with CECity

American Joint Replacement Registry

American Society of Breast Surgeons Mastery of Breast Surgery Program

American Society of Clinical Oncology Quality Oncology Practice Initiative (QOPI)R

Anesthesia Quality Institute National Anesthesia Clinical Outcomes Registry

Chronic Disease Registry, Inc

CUHSM.ORG

Faculty Practice Foundation, Inc. supported by BMC Clinical Data Warehouse Registry

Geriatric Practice Management LTC Qualified Clinical Data Registry

GI Quality Improvement Consortium's GIQuIC Registry

Louisiana State University Health Care Quality Improvement Collaborative [Louisiana State University Quality in Health Care Advisory Group]

State University, Quality in Health Care Advisory Group, LLC (QHC Advisory Group),

CECity]

Massachusetts eHealth Collaborative Quality Data Center QCDR

Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery Accreditation and Quality Improvement Program

(MBSAQIP) QCDR

Michigan Bariatric Surgery Collaborative QCDR

Michigan Urological Surgery Improvement Collaborative QCDR

National Osteoporosis Foundation and National Bone Health Alliance Quality

Improvement Registry in collaboration with CECity

OBERD QCDR

Oncology Nursing Quality Improvement Registry in collaboration with CECity

Oncology Quality Improvement Collaborative (The US Oncology Network, McKesson

Specialty Health, Quality in Health Care Advisory Group, LLC (QHC Advisory Group),

CECity)

Physician Health Partners QCDR

Premier Healthcare Alliance Physician RegistryTM

Renal Physicians Association Quality Improvement Registry in collaboration with CECity Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database

The Guideline AdvantageTM (American Cancer Society, American Diabetes Association, American Heart Association) supported by Forward Health Group's PopulationManagerR Vancouver Clinic

Wisconsin Collaborative for Healthcare Quality

Wound Care Quality Improvement Collaborative (Paradigm Medical Management, Patient Safety Education Network (PSEN), Net Health Systems, Inc., CECity)

A.56-58 Choices for Measure steward (56) and Long-Term Measure Steward (if different) (58)

None

Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality

Alliance of Dedicated Cancer Centers

American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology (AAAAI)

American Academy of Dermatology

American Academy of Neurology

American Academy of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery (AAOHN)

American College of Cardiology

American College of Emergency Physicians

American College of Emergency Physicians (previous steward Partners-Brigham &

Women's)

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)

American College of Radiology

American College of Rheumatology

American College of Surgeons

American Gastroenterological Association

American Medical Association

American Medical Association - Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement

American Medical Association - Physician Consortium for Performance

Improvement/American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association

American Nurses Association

American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

American Society for Radiation Oncology

American Society of Addiction Medicine

American Society of Anesthesiologists

American Urogynecologic Society

American Urological Association (AUA)

ASC Quality Collaboration

Bridges to Excellence

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) - HIV/AIDS Bureau

Heart Rhythm Society (HRS)

Indian Health Service

Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA)

MN Community Measurement

National Committee for Quality Assurance

Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology

Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology/Centers for

Medicare & Medicaid Services

Pharmacy Quality Alliance

Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies

RAND Corporation

Renal Physicians Association; joint copyright with American Medical Association -

Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement

Society of Interventional Radiology

The Joint Commission

The Society for Vascular Surgery

University of Minnesota Rural Health Research Center

University of North Carolina- Chapel Hill

Other (enter in Comments at far bottom of this screen)