

# Quality Measures: How They Are Developed, Used, & Maintained

Your guide to what goes into building quality measures  
and what happens after they are built



## About This Guide

This document is intended to provide information about:

- the complexity of the quality measure development process, which is designed to ensure quality measures are appropriate for use.
- the role that measures play in helping the U.S. healthcare system improve the quality of care and reduce costs.

## How to Use This Guide

This document was made to be read from start to finish. However, if you want to jump to certain parts, this PDF has several navigation features to help you:

- The table of contents is a clickable menu to help you find the information you need. Click on any of the bulleted items in the menu to skip forward to that section.
- There is also a Return to **Main Menu** button at the end of each section. When available, the button is in the upper right-hand corner of the page.
- Some text links to external webpages to help you find more information. This text is underlined to show that you can click on it. Additionally, each section of the document includes a list of links to resources that may be of interest if you want to learn more.
- Call-out boxes are included throughout the document to present additional details on relevant topics that are more technical in nature.

# Table of Contents

How Quality Measures Align with CMS Priorities .....	4
CMS Principles and Priorities for Quality Measure Development .....	5
About Quality Measures.....	6
Elements of a Quality Measure .....	6
Types of Quality Measures.....	9
Electronic Clinical Quality Measures (eCQMs).....	12
How are Quality Measures Evaluated? .....	13
How Is Stakeholder Input Gathered? .....	15
The Lifecycle of a Quality Measure .....	18
What Happens After a Quality Measure is Created? .....	20
How are Quality Measures Implemented? .....	20
Pre-Rulemaking .....	20
Other Implementation Processes.....	21
Measure Rollout.....	21
How are Quality Measures Maintained? .....	21
Steps in the Maintenance Process .....	22
Conclusion.....	23
Where to Find More Information.....	23

# How Quality Measures Align with CMS Priorities



A transformation is under way in the United States (U.S.) healthcare system. Increased emphasis on performance measurement is driving a fundamental change in the United States (U.S.) healthcare system. In nearly every setting of care, CMS is moving from paying for services to paying for value. CMS's goal is to foster value by promoting the highest quality, safety, and care experience with the most affordable, cost-efficient service possible for Americans.

—*Blueprint for the CMS Measures Management System v15.0*



Quality measures are tools that help improve the quality of healthcare through an approach that is consistent and accountable. The Institute of Medicine defines quality healthcare as, “The degree to which health services for individuals and populations increase the likelihood of desired health outcomes and are consistent with current professional knowledge.” Each quality measure focuses on a different aspect of healthcare, such as processes, patient health outcomes, patient perceptions, and organizational structure and/or systems. Quality measures help by measuring these key aspects of healthcare, which are chosen because they:

- Are associated with the ability to provide high-quality healthcare, and/or
- Relate to one or more quality goals for healthcare: effective, safe, efficient, patient-centered, equitable, and timely care.

Taken together, quality measures provide a more comprehensive picture of the quality of healthcare. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) uses quality measures in its quality improvement, public reporting, and pay-for-reporting programs (see the “What are CMS quality programs?” callout box for more information and examples of these programs) to improve the quality of healthcare and health outcomes for Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries. When employed on a national scale, quality measures also become helpful in identifying disparities in health outcomes across socioeconomic groups, which can be addressed to promote equitable healthcare.



## What are CMS quality programs?

CMS quality programs address care across the care continuum and encourage improvement of quality through use of payment incentives, payment reductions, and quality improvement activities, while also increasing transparency through expanded public reporting of performance results. Quality measures are implemented into the healthcare system through one of these quality programs. Example programs include:

- [Quality Improvement Organizations \(QIO\)](#)
- [Hospital Compare](#)
- [Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting](#)

For a full list of quality programs, [visit the Quality Programs page](#) on the MMS website.

In 2017, CMS launched the [Meaningful Measures initiative](#) to further focus CMS's quality measurement and quality improvement efforts on improving outcomes for patients and reducing reporting burden and costs for clinicians. Furthermore, quality measures are a key component of CMS's larger priority to drive American healthcare toward payment for value, not volume. This priority also serves the financial sustainability needs of healthcare organizations and their clinicians, as quality healthcare can lead to reduced waste and positive return on investment, particularly when approached through a balanced portfolio of quality initiatives ([Swensen et al., 2013](#)).

## CMS Principles and Priorities for Quality Measure Development

Quality measure development is guided by a series of core principles and priorities that align with CMS's priorities to enhance the healthcare system. For example, quality measures need to:

- Address high-impact measure areas that safeguard public health and identify significant opportunity for improvement.
- Be patient-centered and meaningful to patients, clinicians, and providers.
- Be outcome-based where possible.
- Minimize level of burden for providers to use.
- Align across programs and/or with other payers.
- Identify and eliminate disparities in the delivery of care.
- Guard against unintended consequences of measure implementation, including overuse and underuse of care.
- Engage stakeholders early and often in the measure development process.
- Prioritize electronic data sources (e.g., electronic health records [EHRs] and registries).
- Ensure scientific acceptability.

Quality measures are one of many approaches that CMS uses to assure quality healthcare for beneficiaries. They are tools that help gather data about the important parts of healthcare that can be used to increase value for all participants in the healthcare system, including patients, clinicians, organizations, and other key stakeholders.

## Purpose of CMS Quality Measures



To promote quality and reduce waste in healthcare by:

- Incentivizing good performance and disincentivizing poor performance through public reporting and pay for-reporting programs
- Allowing CMS and participating clinicians/hospitals to track performance over time



To improve patient decision-making by:

- Providing data through public reporting (e.g., Star Rating) to help patients, families, and caregivers make informed decisions about where to seek care that is not just based on cost



## Want more information about these topics?

Try these resources:

- [CMS Quality Measures page](#)
- [CMS Measures Management System \(MMS\) website](#)
- [Blueprint for the CMS Measures Management System \(aka "The Blueprint"\)](#)
- [National Quality Forum website](#)
- [National Quality Forum's "Quality Measures at Work" video](#)

# About Quality Measures

In this section, you will learn more about key aspects of quality measures. The topics include:

- Elements of a quality measure
- Types of quality measures
- Data sources used by measures
- Electronic clinical quality measures (eCQMs)
- Measure evaluation
- How and why stakeholder input is used in quality measure development

## Elements of a Quality Measure

A quality measure is made up of several parts, including a title and description, numerator, denominator, exclusions, and rationale. Each of these parts is described and identified on the example of a quality measure on the next page.

[The example measure](#) focuses on controlling blood pressure. One in three Americans has hypertension, or high blood pressure (HBP), and most people with HBP either don't receive treatment or haven't reached optimal blood pressure with treatment ([Fields, 2004](#); [AHA, 2010](#)). The overall goal of this measure is to increase the number of people with controlled blood pressure, which can prevent the serious and costly health problems that arise from uncontrolled blood pressure (e.g., myocardial infarction and stroke). The measure promotes effective treatment of HBP by measuring the proportion of people with an HBP diagnosis whose blood pressure is reduced to a healthy range. As you'll see below, the measure focuses on patients between the ages of 18 and 85 who had a diagnosis of HBP during an outpatient visit and whose blood pressure was adequately controlled (i.e., lower than 140/90 mmHg) during the measurement period.



## Quality Measure for Controlling High Blood Pressure

Patients with adequately controlled blood pressure.

% of patients  
(ages 18-85) with  
adequately  
controlled blood  
pressure

Patients 18-85 years old  
with a blood pressure  
diagnosis in the  
measurement period.

Any patients who are on hospice, diagnosed or receiving  
certain treatments for kidney disease, pregnant (or  
were recently), >65 years old and living in certain types  
of special needs or long-term care facilities, age 66-80  
with recent history of frailty and dementia medication  
OR recent history of frailty and serious medical illness/  
treatment, or >80 years old with evidence of frailty.

### Controlling High Blood Pressure

<b>Description</b>	Percentage of patients 18-85 years of age who had a diagnosis of high blood pressure and whose blood pressure (BP) was adequately controlled (< 140/90 mmHg) during the measurement period.
<b>Numerator</b>	Patients whose most recent blood pressure is adequately controlled (systolic blood pressure < 140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg) during the measurement period.
<b>Denominator</b>	Patients 18-85 years of age who had a visit and a diagnosis of high blood pressure overlapping the measurement period.
<b>Denominator Exclusions</b>	<p>Do not include the following patients:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hospice services given to patient any time during the measurement period</li> <li>• Documentation of end stage renal disease (ESRD), dialysis, renal transplant before or during the measurement period or pregnancy during the measurement period</li> <li>• Patients age 66 or older in Institutional Special Needs Plans (SNP) or residing in long-term care with Place of Service (POS) code 32, 33, 34, 54, or 56 for more than 90 days during the measurement period</li> <li>• Patients 66-80 years of age with at least one claim/encounter for frailty during the measurement period AND a dispensed medication for dementia during the measurement period or the year prior to the measurement period</li> <li>• Patients 66-80 years of age with at least one claim/encounter for frailty during the measurement period AND either one acute inpatient encounter with a diagnosis of advanced illness or two outpatient, observation, ED or nonacute inpatient encounters on different dates of service with an advanced illness diagnosis during the measurement period or the year prior to the measurement period</li> <li>• Patients 81 years of age and older with evidence of frailty during the measurement period</li> </ul>
<b>Rationale</b>	One out of every three Americans has hypertension, or high blood pressure ( <a href="#">Fields, 2004</a> ). Even with the availability of effective treatment options, more than half of Americans with hypertension are untreated or do not have optimal levels of blood pressure while under treatment ( <a href="#">AHA, 2010</a> ). Improvements in quality or better control of blood pressure as related to this measure would help significantly reduce the probability of serious and costly complications, including coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, stroke, ruptured aortic aneurysm, renal disease and retinopathy.
<b>CMIT ID</b>	1246 ( <a href="#">learn more about this measure on CMIT</a> )

## Where can I find a list of quality measures that CMS uses?

The [CMS Measures Inventory Tool \(CMIT\)](#) is the repository of record for information about the measures that CMS uses to promote healthcare quality and quality improvement. The inventory contains information describing each of the measures, including title, numerator, denominator, exclusions, various identifiers, type, status, usage by program, steward, healthcare priorities, and other attributes.

[Visit the CMIT website to learn more.](#)



## Types of Quality Measures

Quality measurement accounts for complex aspects of healthcare quality, which involves assessing and addressing issues at many different levels of healthcare, such as health outcomes for patients and hospitals' use of best practices. To accomplish this, different types of quality measures are required. The table below lists seven of the most common measure types and their purpose. All of these measure types are represented in CMS programs; however, CMS typically prioritizes measures that assess patient outcomes (i.e., outcome measures and patient-reported outcome performance measures) over other types of measures. Examples of each measure type are also provided, which are linked to the CMS Measures Inventory Tool (CMIT) where you can learn more about each measure.

Quality Measure Type	Description/Purpose	Example
 <b>Structure</b>	Measures features of a healthcare organization or clinician relevant to its capacity to provide healthcare.	<a href="#">Radiology: Reminder System for Screening Mammograms</a>
 <b>Process</b>	Measures steps that should be followed to provide good care. Note: there should be a scientific basis for believing that the process, when executed well, will increase the probability of achieving a desired health outcome.	<a href="#">Adult Major Depressive Disorder (MDD): Suicide Risk Assessment (eCQM)</a>
 <b>Outcome</b>	Measures the health status of a patient (or change in health status) resulting from healthcare—desirable or adverse.	<a href="#">30-Day Unplanned Readmissions for Cancer Patients</a>
 <b>Patient Reported Outcome</b>	Measures reports of the status of patients' health condition, health behavior, or experience with healthcare that come directly from the patient, without interpretation of the patient's response by a clinician or anyone else. Key domains include health-related quality of life/functional status, symptom/symptom burden, experience with care, and health-related behavior.	<a href="#">Functional Status Change for Patients with Neck Impairments</a>
 <b>Composite</b>	A measure that contains two or more individual measures, resulting in a single combined measure and score. Composite measures may be composed of one or more process measures and/or one or more outcome measures.	<a href="#">CMS Patient Safety and Adverse Events Composite</a>
 <b>Cost/Resource (Use)</b>	Measures the frequency of units of defined health system services or resources; some may further apply a dollar amount (e.g., allowable charges, paid amounts, or standardized prices) to each unit of resource use (i.e., monetize the health service or resource use units).	<a href="#">Medicare Spending per Beneficiary (MSPB)</a>
 <b>Efficiency</b>	Measures the cost of care associated with a specified level of health outcome.	<a href="#">Cardiac Imaging for Preoperative Risk Assessment for Non-Cardiac Low-Risk Surgery</a>

## Data Sources


Measures rely on different types of data sources, each of which has an impact on the scope, purpose, and generalizability of the measures using the data. In this case, “data source” refers to the type of data used to calculate the measure. For example, a claims-based measure relies on data elements that are captured in Medicare claims data (e.g., a diagnosis), and would not require data elements from the medical record (e.g., time between presentation of symptoms and initial diagnosis). Additionally, some measures are ‘hybrid’ (i.e., a combination of data sources). Descriptions of key data sources are provided here:



**Administrative Data:** Includes demographic information about the patient and usually includes claims information (that is, information used for billing purposes) such as diagnosis and procedure codes. Non-patient data, such as staffing information or organizational policies, may also be included.



**Electronic Clinical Data:** Includes patient-level information that can be extracted in a format that can be used in a measure, such as data from personal health devices, which may be uploaded to the EHR.



**Instruments/Standardized Patient Assessments:** Data collected from standardized instruments. Examples are the Long-term Care (LTC) Facility Resident Assessment Instrument (RAI), the Outcome and Assessment Information Set (OASIS), and the Minimum Data Set (MDS).



**Patient Medical Records:** A traditional source of clinical data for measures. Data may be documented on paper or electronically (i.e., electronic health records [EHR]) and may include data from the clinical laboratory, imaging services, personal health records, and pharmacy.



**Surveys:** Often collected via surveys or standardized instruments. The different Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS®) surveys are used in many CMS programs. Patient or caregiver-completed standardized instruments assessing things such as health-related quality of life, functional status, and symptoms are becoming more common.



**Registries:** Collections of information often used to collect disease-specific data for public health purposes, such as immunization registries. [The National Quality Registry Network](#) states a clinical registry “records information about the health status of patients and the healthcare they receive over varying periods of time.” CMS is using data from qualified clinical data registries (QCDRs) and qualified registries in the Quality Payment Program.

Each type of data source presents unique strengths and limitations to quality measurement, which the measure developer must take into consideration because they can affect how the measure is evaluated (see the “How are Quality Measures Evaluated?” section for details about evaluation).

Type of Data Source	Strengths	Limitations
<b>Administrative Data (i.e., claims)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Readily available</li> <li>• Uses standard coding system(s)</li> <li>• Offers information not usually found in a clinical database</li> <li>• Less burdensome to providers for data collection</li> <li>• Drawn from large populations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Only includes information recorded for billing purposes and not specifically for quality measurement</li> <li>• Varying degrees of clinical detail</li> <li>• Often limited in content, completeness, timeliness, and accuracy</li> </ul>
<b>Electronic Clinical Data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduced cost of accessing clinical information from the patient medical record or personal health device (e.g., home blood glucose monitor)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identifying test sites to serve as data sources can be difficult</li> <li>• Extracting the data requires expertise, time, and money</li> <li>• Continued use of paper notes for point-of-care documentation presents an obstacle</li> <li>• Device data may be external to the patient medical record</li> <li>• Still only partially implemented in most hospitals</li> </ul>
<b>Instruments/ Standardized Patient Assessments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Well validated and tested</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Potential for bias because some have mixed use for determining reimbursement, meeting conditions of participation, and assessing quality</li> <li>• May be proprietary</li> </ul>
<b>Patient Medical Records</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Detailed clinical data with a rich description of care</li> <li>• Includes clinically relevant information</li> <li>• May be coded to allow for electronic submission</li> <li>• Reduced cost of accessing clinical information from medical records</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time-intensive abstraction (unless automated, in the case of eQMs)</li> <li>• Expensive due to expert labor needs</li> <li>• Subjectivity and consistency concerns during abstraction</li> <li>• Not as widely available as structured claims data</li> <li>• Can be labor-intensive and expensive to abstract</li> <li>• Difficult to identify test sites</li> <li>• Structured data capture (e.g., drop-down menus) can reduce richness of data</li> </ul>
<b>Surveys</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Established way of collecting patient perspective/experience</li> <li>• Structured data for reporting</li> <li>• Unique data source</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limited scope</li> <li>• May be labor-intensive and costly to implement</li> <li>• Need validated and reliable instruments, which may be proprietary</li> </ul>
<b>Registries</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Includes detailed clinical information in structured fields</li> <li>• Multiple data sources and care settings</li> <li>• Can be available for electronic upload</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High cost of use</li> <li>• Typically limited to specific clinical areas</li> <li>• Unknown how registry requirements impact workflow</li> <li>• Feasibility of data collection is determined by the data requirements imposed by the registry</li> </ul>

## Electronic Clinical Quality Measures (eQMs)

Like all quality measures, electronic clinical quality measures (eQMs) are tools that help improve healthcare quality by measuring healthcare processes or outcomes. eQMs are developed using the electronic health record (EHR) as their data source (or some other health information technology (IT) systems source) but are distinct from other measures in that the measures are specified electronically (note: specification is explained more in the next paragraph). Ideally, the data are captured in a structured form during the processes of patient care to help reduce burden on clinicians. CMS uses eQMs in quality reporting and value-based purchasing programs, and healthcare organizations can use them to identify opportunities to improve the quality of the care they provide to patients.

Because eQMs are designed to pull data from the EHR, they do not need a human abstractor to gather the data. To that end, an eQMs technical specifications must be drafted in a format that can be processed by computers. To do this, eQMs developers must rely on a series of standards to define the measure data elements and the relationships between those data elements to generate a measure score.

For example, for an eQMs to be reported from an EHR, the [Health Quality Measure Format \(HQMF\)](#) is used to format the eQMs content using [clinical quality language \(CQL\)](#) and the Quality Data Model (QDM) to express the logic and to express the data elements needed to evaluate a clinician or organization's performance. Using the eQMs [Adult Major Depressive Disorder \(MDD\): Suicide Risk Assessment](#) as an example, a series of data elements are defined using a combination of value set codes and direct reference codes that are both human- and machine-readable, as seen in the following call-out box:

### How does interoperability fit in?

An important aspect of healthcare is the extent to which patients receive high quality care throughout the continuum—from primary care providers, to specialists, to hospitals, and back. Patients are best served when their providers have current and accurate information about patients' histories. To achieve this level of care coordination—and to measure it—EHR systems must be interoperable, allowing information to be shared safely and confidentially between providers. New standards and incentives are emerging to facilitate interoperability and measure developers adapt to these changes.

#### Example Data Criteria (Quality Data Model Data Elements) for the Adult Major Depressive Disorder (MDD): Suicide Risk Assessment eQMs

- “Encounter, Performed: Emergency Department Visit” using “Emergency Department Visit (2.16.840.1.113883.3.464.1003.101.12.1010)”
- “Encounter, Performed: Office Visit” using “Office Visit (2.16.840.1.113883.3.464.1003.101.12.1001)”
- “Encounter, Performed: Outpatient Consultation” using “Outpatient Consultation (2.16.840.1.113883.3.464.1003.101.12.1008)”
- “Encounter, Performed: Psych Visit - Diagnostic Evaluation” using “Psych Visit - Diagnostic Evaluation (2.16.840.1.113883.3.526.3.1492)”
- “Encounter, Performed: Psych Visit - Psychotherapy” using “Psych Visit - Psychotherapy (2.16.840.1.113883.3.526.3.1496)”
- “Encounter, Performed: Psychoanalysis” using “Psychoanalysis (2.16.840.1.113883.3.526.3.1141)”
- “Encounter, Performed: Telehealth Services” using “Telehealth Services (2.16.840.1.113883.3.464.1003.101.12.1031)”
- “Patient Characteristic Ethnicity: Ethnicity” using “Ethnicity (2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.837)”
- “Patient Characteristic Payer: Payer” using “Payer (2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.3591)”
- “Patient Characteristic Race: Race” using “Race (2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.836)”
- “Patient Characteristic Sex: ONC Administrative Sex” using “ONC Administrative Sex (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1)”
- “Intervention, Performed: Suicide risk assessment (procedure)” using “Suicide risk assessment (procedure) (SNOMEDCT Code 225337009)”
- “Patient Characteristic Birthdate: Birth date” using “Birth date (LOINC Code 21112-8)”

[The full specifications files for this eQMs](#) are available on [the eCQI Resource Center](#), providing an example of the specifications included in eQMs use and development.

eCQMs are developed similarly to other measures, but additional tools are used in the development process. You can click on the following links to learn more about these tools:

- [Electronic Clinical Quality Improvement Resource Center \(eCQI Resource Center\)](#): provides eCQI resources and connections with the community of professionals who are dedicated to electronic clinical quality improvement for better health.
- [Measure Authoring Tool \(MAT\)](#): web-based tool that allows measure developers to author eCQMs using CQL and the Quality Data Model (QDM).
- [Bonnie](#): software tool that allows eCQM developers to test and verify the behavior of their eCQM logic.
- [Cypress](#): open source testing tool used by vendors to certify their EHRs and health IT modules for calculating eCQMs.

## How are Quality Measures Evaluated?

Quality measures are designed to drive healthcare quality, and they also influence provider payments, reduce patient risks, and affect provider burden.

That is why it is so important that quality measures be vetted to verify that they do, in fact, indicate quality and drive quality in the healthcare system. Five primary criteria are used throughout the measure lifecycle to ensure a measure meets the applicable standards before moving to the next stage:

- **Importance:** Extent to which the specific measure focus is important to making significant gains in healthcare quality (e.g., safety, timeliness, effectiveness, efficiency, equity, patient-centeredness) and improving health outcomes for a specific high-impact aspect of healthcare where there is variation in performance or poor overall performance.
- **Feasibility:** Extent to which the specifications, including measure logic, require data that are readily available or that could be captured without undue burden and can be implemented for performance measurement.
- **Scientific Acceptability (validity and reliability):** Extent to which the measure, as specified, produces consistent (i.e., reliable) and credible (i.e., valid) results about the quality of care when implemented.
- **Usability and Use:** extent to which potential audiences (e.g., consumers, purchasers, providers, policymakers) are using or could use performance results for both accountability and performance improvement to achieve the goal of high-quality, efficient healthcare for individuals or populations.

- **Comparison to related or competing measures (harmonization):** The standardization of specifications for related measures with the same measure focus (e.g., influenza immunization of patients in hospitals or nursing homes); related measures for the same target population (e.g., eye exam and HbA1c for patients with diabetes); or definitions applicable to many measures (e.g., age designation for children) so that they are uniform or compatible, unless differences are justified (i.e., dictated by the evidence). The dimensions of harmonization can include numerator, denominator, exclusion, calculation, and data source and collection instructions. The extent of harmonization depends on the relationship of the measures, the evidence for the specific measure focus, and differences in data sources.

## What is Risk Adjustment?

Risk adjustment is a method to adjust for factors outside a clinician's control. Because outcomes are often impacted by such factors (e.g., the presence of multiple chronic conditions or advanced aged in the patient mix)—these types of measures must include evidence supporting a risk adjustment model. Measure developers test the risk adjustment methodology as part of scientific acceptability testing during development. [Learn more about risk adjustment.](#)

## What about reducing burden for clinicians and healthcare organizations?

CMS aims to limit the burden associated with adding new measures into their programs. They do this by asking measure developers to:

- Carefully consider measure feasibility, specifically the extent to which measure information can be collected through normal clinical documentation workflows.
- Review existing measures and harmonize as much as possible to limit the number of new data fields or additional programming required to calculate specific measure components.
- Focus on high-impact concepts that are important and meaningful to patients and providers alike.

When preparing their quality measures for evaluation, measure developers produce documentation and evidence to demonstrate that their measures meet each criterion. Below are some of the questions they will answer to justify their measures.

Evaluation Criterion	Questions to Consider When Addressing the Criterion
<b>Importance</b>	Is this measure meaningful and important to patients? Does it address an aspect of healthcare where there is a gap in performance or measurement?
<b>Feasibility</b>	Do the benefits of this measure outweigh the potential burdens associated with reporting on it?
<b>Scientific Acceptability</b>	Does the measure produce consistent results that accurately distinguish good care from poor quality care? Does it measure what it purports to measure?
<b>Usability &amp; Use</b>	To what extent can patients, clinicians, hospitals, or other stakeholders use information from the measure to inform performance improvement or improve accountability in care delivery?
<b>Comparison to related or competing measures (harmonization)</b>	Are there existing measures that have data elements in common with this measure? To what extent can this measure leverage those data elements to reduce the burden associated with implementation and reporting?

Learn more about these measure evaluation criteria on [the NQF website](#).



## How Is Stakeholder Input Gathered?

Quality measures, and U.S. healthcare in general, are best served by participation by a broad range of perspectives—including patients and caregivers—and representation from key stakeholders relative to specific medical conditions, patient populations, and/or care delivery settings. During the development process, measure developers gather ideas and input from many different people with a vested interest or concern related to the quality measures being developed. This work also aligns with CMS's aims to:

- Conduct its measurement activities in a transparent manner
- Gather information about future measurement needs through various methods
- Have patient-centered measurement

To achieve this, measure developers make a plan for how to solicit, gather, and meaningfully incorporate stakeholder input into the measure lifecycle and maintenance processes.

Some of the ways that stakeholders are engaged include:

- **Technical Expert Panels:** Sometimes called a working group or committee, these groups of stakeholders and experts contribute direction and thoughtful input to the measure developer in every stage of the measure lifecycle. Since an important use of quality measures is to provide information to patients and their caregivers on the quality of care provided, CMS encourages that patients and caregivers be involved in these groups to include their vital perspective.
- **Patient feedback:** This may involve informal conversations with patients, structured one-on-one interviews, focus groups, or other means of sourcing input from patients about their experiences. Sometimes, patients' families or caregivers are invited to provide their perspective as well.
- **Public Comments:** By posting an open call for input from the public, measure developers have an opportunity for the widest array of interested parties to provide input on the measures under development and can provide critical suggestions not previously considered by the measure developer or the Technical Expert Panel (TEP). Public comment ensures that measures are developed and maintained using a transparent process with balanced input from relevant stakeholders and other interested parties.


- **Other activities, such as interviews and workflow assessments:** Interviews are key to getting in-depth feedback from key stakeholders, such as physicians. Workflow assessments are a great way to understand how hospitals and clinical practices document patient information, which can inform feasibility and identify opportunities for performance improvement.



### What stakeholder groups commonly give input on quality measures?

- Healthcare professionals
- Representatives of healthcare organizations (e.g., hospitals, nursing facilities, home health, etc.)
- Patients and advocacy groups
- Family members and caregivers
- Other measure developers
- Subject matter experts
- Academic researchers
- Representatives from relevant organizations, such as specialty societies, health insurance companies, EHR companies, and local, state, and federal government agencies

# Stakeholder Engagement in the Measure Lifecycle



## Why does CMS prioritize engagement of patients and families in measure development?

Patients, family members, and caregivers can offer a unique perspective on what is important in patient care and healthcare decisions thanks to their experience with the healthcare system. CMS has made it a top priority to get these stakeholders involved in Technical Expert Panels (TEPs) and other stakeholder engagement activities, encouraging measure developers to:

- Include at least one patient, family member, or caregiver in TEPs who can share their experience related to the measure topic. More than one is strongly encouraged.
- Get patients, family members, and caregivers involved as soon as possible.
- Balance these stakeholders' needs with those of other stakeholders.

By sharing their experience and healthcare needs, the patient, family, and caregiver stakeholders help measure developers and CMS create measures that are easily understood, relevant, and useful to people experiencing care and services in the healthcare system.

Learn more about patient and family engagement in the following resources: Measures Management System (MMS) [Stakeholder Engagement webpage](#), the MMS Resources page's ["Stakeholder Engagement" section](#), and the [Person and Family Engagement Toolkit: A Guide for Measure Developers](#).



### Want more information about these topics?


Try these resources:

- [CMS Measures Management System website](#)
- [The Blueprint](#)
- [National Quality Forum's "Measurement Unpacked" e-learning](#)
- [CMS Measures Inventory Tool \(CMIT\)](#)
- [Electronic Clinical Quality Improvement \(eCQI\) Resource Center](#)
- [CMS Electronic Clinical Quality Measures Basics webpage](#)
- Measures Management System [Stakeholder Engagement webpage](#) and the ["Stakeholder Engagement" section of the Resources page](#)
- [Person and Family Engagement Toolkit: A Guide for Measure Developers](#)

[Return to Main Menu](#)

# The Lifecycle of a Quality Measure

Quality measures undergo a rigorous development and implementation process to ensure that every measure proposed for use in a CMS program meets the evaluation criteria. Even once measures are implemented, work continues to ensure measures that are in use are continuing to be relevant, useful, and scientifically sound. Measures are constantly monitored, updated, and retired. It is how CMS ensures that its measures are serving program goals without causing undue burden on the healthcare system.



CMS manages a standardized approach (as documented in [the Blueprint](#)) for developing and maintaining the quality measures used in its quality initiatives and programs. This approach comprises a set of business processes and decision criteria that CMS-funded measure developers follow in the development, implementation, and maintenance of quality measures.

There are five stages in measure development, which are described in the following list and in the table on the next page. To learn more about a specific stage, you can also click on the hyperlinks:

- [Conceptualization](#): Develop measure concepts and then narrow down to specific measures. The developer conducts an environmental scan and requests input from a broad group of stakeholders, including patients.
- [Specification](#): Identify the population, the recommended practice, and the expected outcome, as well as how it will be measured.
- [Testing](#): Assess the suitability of the quality measure's technical specifications and acquire empirical evidence to help assess the strengths and weaknesses of a measure.
- [Implementation](#): Identify measures to submit for the CMS selection and rollout processes, adopt measures into CMS programs, and seek endorsement.
- [Use, Continuing Evaluation, and Maintenance](#): Ensure that the measure continues to add value to quality reporting measurement programs and that its construction continues to be sound.

Stage	Purpose	Key Activities
<b>Conceptualization</b>	Generate a list of concepts or ideas for measures that are meaningful and important to those who receive care and those who provide it	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct information gathering, including environmental scans</li> <li>• Develop a business case</li> <li>• Convene a Technical Expert Panel (TEP)</li> <li>• Solicit public comments</li> </ul>
<b>Specification</b>	Develop the technical instructions for how the measure is to be collected and implemented consistently, reliably, and effectively	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a candidate measure list, considering public comments</li> <li>• Develop precise technical specifications, including harmonization of measure specifications</li> <li>• Define the data source(s)</li> <li>• Specify code systems</li> <li>• Construct the data protocol</li> <li>• Document measures</li> </ul>
<b>Testing</b>	Assess the suitability of the measure's technical specifications and acquire empirical evidence to help assess its strengths and weaknesses in terms of the evaluation criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop the testing work plan</li> <li>• Implement the testing plan, including alpha and beta testing</li> <li>• Analyze the test results, considering measure evaluation criteria</li> <li>• Refine the measure, applying measure evaluation criteria; solicit public comments if not obtained earlier</li> <li>• Report on measure testing</li> </ul>
<b>Implementation</b>	Complete processes and activities needed to take the measure from a developmental state to an active, in-use state	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National Quality Forum endorsement</li> <li>• Measure selection, including pre-rulemaking process and proposed and final rules</li> <li>• Measure rollout</li> </ul>
<b>Measure Use, Continuing Evaluation, &amp; Maintenance</b>	Monitor and measure to ensure the measure continues to add value to the CMS program and continues to be soundly constructed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Collect data</li> <li>• Report measure results</li> <li>• Scan environment and literature</li> <li>• Reevaluate measures</li> <li>• Evaluate the business case</li> <li>• Annual update</li> <li>• Comprehensive reevaluation</li> <li>• Ad hoc review</li> </ul>



### Want more information about these topics?

Try these resources:

- [CMS Measures Management System website - Measure Development by Stage webpage](#)
- [The Blueprint](#)
- [Measures Management System website - Resources webpage](#) (organized by measure lifecycle stage)

[Return to Main Menu](#)

# What Happens After a Quality Measure is Created?

A considerable amount of research, technical development, evaluation, and refinement goes into the development of a quality measure. This section includes more information about what happens after the quality measure has been created, including the following topics:

- How quality measures are implemented
- How quality measures are maintained

## How are Quality Measures Implemented?


Once measures have undergone development (and, in some cases, endorsement; see call-out box at the end of this section), they may be considered for use in a CMS program. This stage of the lifecycle is known as Measure Implementation. Implementation refers to the steps that finalized measures undergo to be incorporated into a CMS program, including measure selection, federal rulemaking, and rollout. Not every CMS program relies on the same process for implementation, but each one has steps in place to ensure that new measures meet certain criteria, fill a need in the program, and allow stakeholders an opportunity to comment on new measures. There are different paths that a measure can take for implementation depending on the program. As required for programs under Section 3014 of the Affordable Care Act, one path is through the pre-rulemaking and rulemaking process.

## Pre-Rulemaking

One path that measures can follow to be implemented is through [the pre-rulemaking process](#) and the rulemaking process, which is required for measure programs falling under Section 3014 of the Affordable Care Act. The figure here shows the process, which typically follows these steps:

1. From February through May each year, measure developers submit quality and efficiency measures for CMS to consider.
2. CMS reviews the submitted measures and creates a Measures Under Consideration (MUC) list. The MUC list is released publicly to request input from stakeholders.
3. In December, [the National Quality Forum \(NQF\)](#) brings together the [Measure Applications Partnership \(MAP\)](#), which includes workgroups that review measures on the MUC list and provide feedback.
4. By February 1, the MAP workgroups and [the Coordinating Committee](#) meet to provide recommendations for which measures they think specific CMS programs should use.
5. Lastly, selected measures enter the federal rulemaking process. Each CMS program proposes a rule that describes which measures are being considered for inclusion, and the public is invited to comment on them. CMS uses the feedback to finalize the rule and officially include new measure(s).

To learn more about this measure implementation process, visit [the Pre-Rulemaking page on the CMS website](#).



## Other Implementation Processes

Some quality measures or measure programs do not use the pre-rulemaking or rulemaking processes, but CMS still requires the same level of rigor in selecting measures for implementation. To maintain rigor, the steps differ only slightly from those used for measures that require pre-rulemaking and rulemaking, and these quality measures still undergo the identification and finalization steps through a public process:

1. CMS issues a call letter to solicit measures and/or identify measures considered for removal.
2. Public comments and measures submissions are taken into consideration, and the proposed changes to the program's measures set are reviewed through CMS and HHS clearance.
3. Cleared measures may go through a consensus development process, like a TEP (note: this step is not required for all programs).
4. Developers solicit public comments on all measures.
5. Once satisfied with the measures, CMS issues a final letter of implementation for the selected measures.

These measure programs have their own submission processes, so measure developers check the relevant program's requirements for additional guidance.

## Measure Rollout

Once a measure has been selected for use in a CMS program, it is considered "rolled out" because it is available for use to promote quality. However, this does not mean that work on the measure is finished. Measure developers are responsible for ensuring a smooth transition to measure use by creating a coordination and rollout plan that includes:

- Timeline for quality measure implementation
- Plan for stakeholder meetings and communication
- Anticipated business processes model
- Anticipated data management processes
- Audit and validation plan
- Plans for any necessary education

Measure developers also compile implementation guidance for healthcare organizations.

This includes information about how to calculate the measure (e.g., the implementation algorithm) and any other guidance to ensure that healthcare organizations are able to use the measure effectively and uniformly.

To learn more about measure implementation, [visit the Implementation Stage page on the MMS website](#).

## How are Quality Measures Maintained?

Measure developers monitor their quality measures to ensure that they continue to function as intended, and they look for ways to tweak measures to improve reporting and increase the value of measurement results.


Measure developers monitor the performance of their quality measures in different ways depending on the type of measure. But some common methods include:

- Analyzing data that is collected, calculated, and publicly reported for the measure
- Conducting environmental scans of the research literature related to the measure to watch for new studies that affect the soundness of the measure
- Surveilling for unintended consequences that the measure might have on clinical practice or outcomes
- Responding to questions about the measure
- Conducting maintenance reviews

### What are maintenance reviews?

Maintenance reviews are conducted periodically by measure developers to report on the activity related to the quality measures they developed. There are three basic types of measure maintenance reviews: annual updates, comprehensive reevaluations, and ad hoc reviews, with stakeholder inputs being a critical component of this review process.

## Steps in the Maintenance Process



To learn more about measure maintenance, visit [the “Measure Use, Continuing Evaluation & Maintenance” Stage page on the MMS website](#).

### What is Endorsement?

For its public reporting and value-based purchasing programs, CMS primarily uses measures that are endorsed by [the NQF](#). Because it is a recommended, but not required, part of the process, measure developers often submit applications for NQF endorsement as a step in their efforts to have their measure accepted for use in a CMS Quality program.

After measure developers submit their applications for endorsement, NQF initiates an in-depth evaluation process to assess the measures according to the five criteria: Importance, Feasibility, Scientific Acceptability, Usability & Use, and Comparison to related or competing measures (harmonization). To ensure and demonstrate that these criteria are met, measure developers gather relevant information about their measure, engage with stakeholders, and test their measures quantitatively.

To provide thorough evaluation, the NQF review committees include diverse experts, such as physicians, hospitals, other healthcare providers, health plan representatives, patients, public agency representatives, employers, and community group representatives. The committee reviews measures to make sure they address important aspects of care, are feasible to measure, provide information that is consistent and credible, and can be used to support decision-making as well as quality improvement efforts. As a result, measures that are endorsed by NQF have been thoroughly vetted and are considered high-quality.

[Learn more about NQF and the endorsement process at the NQF website.](#)



### Want more information about these topics?

Try these resources:

- [CMS Pre-Rulemaking page](#)
- MMS website - [“Implementation”](#) and [“Use, Continuing Evaluation, & Maintenance”](#) webpages
- [The Blueprint](#)
- [NQF “Maintenance of NQF-Endorsed Performance Measures” webpage](#)

[Return to Main Menu](#)

# Conclusion

Quality measures are powerful tools for advancing quality in the healthcare system. CMS programs implement a wide range of measures that serve quality priorities, such as promoting healthcare that is effective, safe, efficient, patient-centered, equitable, and timely. To ensure that quality goals are capable of promoting these benefits, measures undergo a rigorous, time-intensive process that helps align them with the critical evaluation criteria. The Measure Lifecycle, as described in the Blueprint, provides a standardized approach to measure development and maintenance based on known best practices. Measure developers use these resources to ensure that the measures they develop and maintain can be used in a CMS program to promote quality healthcare for patients and reduce waste in the healthcare system.

## Where to Find More Information

- [CMS Quality Measures webpage](#)
- [CMS Measures Management System website](#)
- [The Blueprint](#)
- [CMS Measures Inventory Tool \(CMIT\)](#)
- [Electronic Clinical Quality Improvement \(eCQI\) Resource Center](#)
- [National Quality Forum website](#)

[Return to Main Menu](#)



