



CY 2011 CMS Risk AdjustmentData Validation Overview

October 9, 2012

Welcome

Introductions

- o Cheri Rice
 - Director, Medicare Plan Payment Group
- o Jonathan Smith
 - Director, Division of Payment Validation
- o Tobi Pulley
 - Acting Deputy Director, Division of Payment
 Validation

RADV Team Members

Maricruz Bonfante **Stacey Deiter** Esmail Essajee **Ashley Franzel** Kellie Gombeski Carolyn Kapustij **Steve Ludwig**

Objectives

- Presentation will provide information about the risk adjustment data validation (RADV) contract-level audits for payment year 2011
- Information geared toward the contract-level sample, not the national sample conducted annually for error rate reporting
- At the conclusion of the presentation, CMS will respond to questions that have been submitted in advance

Agenda

- What is RADV?
- Final Payment Error Calculation Methodology
- RADV Process: Selection for Audit through Appeals
- What Happens After My Contract is Selected for an Audit?
- Answers to Submitted Questions

CY 2011 CMS Risk Adjustment Data Validation Overview

What Is RADV?

Terminology

- AROF Audit Report of Findings
- CDAT Central Data Abstraction Tool
- CMS HCC Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services Hierarchical Condition Category
- INV Invalid
- MRD Medical Record Dispute
- MRR Medical Record Review
- RADV Risk Adjustment Data Validation
- RAPS Risk Adjustment Processing System

Risk Adjustment Data Validation (RADV) Overview

- RADV validates diagnoses submitted for payment.
- RADV is a corrective action to help reduce the Part C error rate.
 - Each year CMS reports a National Payment Error Estimate to comply with the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act (IPERA) of 2010
- CMS expects that RADV will have a sentinel effect on quality of risk adjustment data submitted for payment going forward.

Risk Adjustment and Data Accuracy

- MA organizations are responsible for submitting accurate data to CMS for payment
- RADV is a method of evaluating the accuracy of the diagnoses submitted for payment

RADV Verifies Diagnoses Submitted for Payment

- MAO-submitted risk adjustment diagnoses must be:
 - Based on clinical medical record documentation from a face-toface encounter (patient and provider)
 - Coded in accordance with the ICD-9-CM Guidelines for Coding and Reporting
 - Assigned based on dates of service within the data collection period
 - Submitted to the MA contracts by acceptable:
 - > RA provider type
 - > RA provider data source

CY 2011 CMS Risk Adjustment Data Validation Overview

Final Payment Error Calculation Methodology

Final Payment Error Calculation Methodology

On Feb 24, 2012 CMS released the

Notice of Final Payment Error Calculation Methodology for Part C Medicare Advantage Risk Adjustment Data Validation Contract-Level Audits.

- Notice available at: www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Advantage/Plan-Payment/PaymentValidation.html/
- Revised from the December 20, 2010 document CMS released for comment entitled

Medicare Advantage Risk Adjustment Data Validation Notice of Payment Error Calculation Methodology for Part C Organizations Selected for Contract-Level RADV Audits- Request for Comment

- CMS reviewed all comments received and considered them when finalizing the methodology

Final Payment Error Calculation Methodology

- Methodology will be applied to next round of contract level audits conducted on payment year 2011
- Extrapolation will begin with payment year 2011
- Approximately 30 contracts will be selected for audit
- Contracts will be able to submit multiple medical records per CMS-HCC
- Fee-for-Service Adjuster will be applied to payment recovery amounts

CY 2011 CMS Risk Adjustment Data Validation Overview

RADV Process: Selection for Audit through Appeals

RADV Process -Sampling

- Step 1: Select MA Contracts eligible for RADV audits
 - Active contracts that received risk adjusted payments
- Step 2: Select enrollees eligible for sampling
 - Selection based on enrollment data for January of the payment year
 - Eligible enrollees:
 - 12 months of Part B during data collection year (i.e., Full Risk)
 - Continuously enrolled in the same contract from January of the data collection year through January of the payment year
 - Non-ESRD in status from January of the data collection year through January of the payment year;
 - No hospice-only status from January of the data collection year through January of the payment year;
 - At least one CMS-HCC assigned
 - All CMS-HCCs for selected enrollees will be reviewed

RADV Process - Sampling Size and Strata

- 201 enrollees will be selected from the contract's RADV eligible population
- Stratified, random sample
 - o 67 in each stratum
- Sampling weights
 - Enrollee sample weight computed as the total number divided by the number of enrollees sampled from that stratum (N/n).
 - For RADV contract level samples n= 67

- Every valid submitted record is evaluated by coders according to the ICD-9-CM Guidelines for Coding and Reporting
- Contracts should adhere to the RADV rules and provide documentation as prescribed in the audit instructions
 - Failure to do so may render the contract's subsequent appeals invalid and impact the payment recovery amount.
- CMS uses the Central Data Abstraction Tool (CDAT) to facilitate the RADV process

- Effective with the CY 2011 RADV audits, CMS will allow audited MA contracts to submit up to five medical records for each audited CMS-HCC per enrollee.
- A CDAT management function will allow MA contracts to add, re-order, and delete medical records for each enrollee up until the submission deadline.
- CMS will consider individual hardship requests if an MA contract identifies the need to submit more than five medical records per sampled CMS - HCC.

- CMS will consider hardship requests in the extraordinary circumstance that a contract will need to submit more than five medical records to support a CMS-HCC for an enrollee
- Guidance pertaining to the hardship request will be included in the instructions packet issued to audited contracts

- Records submitted for RADV first undergo an intake evaluation
- For outpatient and physician records, a CMS-Generated Attestation may be submitted with a record that is missing a provider's signature and/or credential
- Only valid records go forward for coding

- CMS created the Risk Adjustment Data Validation (RADV)
 Medical Record Checklist and Guidance to assist contracts
 in selecting appropriate medical records
 - The guidance is based on issues CMS observed with medical records submitted for previous RADV audits
 - The guidance addresses issues observed during intake (incorrect date of service, unacceptable provider type, etc) and coding (diagnosis cannot be verified using ICD-9 guidelines).
- List available at <u>http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-</u>
 Advantage/Plan-Payment/Downloads/radvchecklist.pdf

- All diagnoses will be abstracted from the priority medical record that supports the CMS-HCC under review.
- Priority is based on the order in which medical records are arranged by the MA contract.

RADV Process - Payment Error Estimate and Payment Recovery Amount

- The medical record review (MRR) results feed the payment error estimate
- Enrollee Level Error = Original Payment Post RADV Payment
- Apply the sampling weights to the enrollee level payment error to determine contract level error

RADV Process: Payment Error Estimate and Payment Recovery Amount

- Total all enrollee payment errors
- Calculate 99% confidence interval
- Payment Error Estimate is lower bound of 99% confidence interval
- Application of FFS Adjuster
- Payment Recovery Amount
 - Payment recovery will occur after all steps of medical record review have been completed, including medical record dispute
 - Recovery amounts will be deducted from a contract's monthly payment

RADV Process - Payment Error Estimate and Payment Recovery Amount

 Step One: calculate difference in payment based on MRR results for each sampled enrollee.

Actual payment – Post MRR payment = Enrollee level payment error

Step two: apply sampling weights.

Un-weighted payment error X strata specific sampling weight (N/n) = Extrapolated payment error for MA contract (point estimate)

RADV Process - Payment Error Estimate and Payment Recovery Amount

- Step three: calculate 99% confidence interval (CI) around payment error estimate
- Step four: determine payment recovery amount
 - o If the CI for the point estimate includes zero or is below zero, payment recovery amount is constrained to zero
 - o If CI is above zero, preliminary payment recovery amount is set at lower bound of 99% CI
 - Final payment recovery amount determined by applying a fee-forservice (FFS) adjuster to lower bound
 - If FFS adjuster amount is greater than preliminary payment recovery amount, final recovery is constrained to zero
 - Details on the FFS Adjuster will be shared in the future
 - Calculated by CMS based on a RADV-like review of records submitted to support FFS claims data.

RADV Process - Findings

- At the conclusion of the initial medical record review process, results will be issued to audited contracts
- Contracts will receive their Preliminary Audit Report of Findings (AROF)
 - For each audited CMS- HCC the Preliminary AROF will detail the validation outcome, error type (if applicable), and eligibility for medical record dispute
 - For each enrollee, the preliminary AROF will detail calculation of the corrected risk score and payment, based on initial medical record review results
- Contracts will receive information and instructions on medical record dispute (MRD) with the preliminary AROF

RADV Process - Medical Record Dispute

- MRD occurs after the initial medical record review results have been released to contracts
- Allows MA contracts an opportunity to dispute certain types of RADV-related errors
- Errors may be overturned through the MRD process
- For MRD, MA contracts will be allowed to select the "one best medical record" from the medical records it previously submitted for each audited CMS-HCC

RADV Process – MRD Findings

- At the conclusion of MRD, audited contracts will receive their finding through the Audit Report Post Medical Record Review
- Similar to the Preliminary AROF, the Audit Report
 Post Medical Record Review will detail CMS HCC
 validation outcomes, error types, eligibility for appeal, as well as payment error recovery amounts
- Contracts will also receive instructions on filing appeals

Appeals

- Per 42 CFR § 422.311, MA contracts are afforded two types of appeals:
 - Medical Record Review Determination Appeal
 - Payment Error Calculation Appeal
- Full appeal rights are detailed in the regulation

CY 2011 CMS Risk Adjustment Data Validation Overview

What Happens After My
Contract Is Selected for an
Audit?

- The CEO and MCO for your MA contract will:
 - Receive a CMS notification message via email containing:
 - Information about training and instructions availability
 - Directions on establishing three users for the Central Data Abstraction Tool (CDAT)
- Training will include
 - CDAT Access Training Teleconference
 - CMS Audit-Specific Teleconference, including CDAT submission training

- CDAT is the secure system through which data related to RADV audits is transferred.
 - MA contracts download enrollee data and audit instructions
 - MA contracts upload medical records to CDAT
 - Medical record review is conducted within the CDAT

- Two types of training will be held prior to the start of the audit
 - CDAT access training
 - Will instruct users on how to access and login to the system
 - Audit specific training
 - Will instruct users on how to upload records to CDAT
 - Will also provide information and instructions for the audit

- Enrollee data is available on CDAT following the CMS Audit-Specific Teleconference
- Medical record submission deadline will be 16 weeks after the data identifying sampled enrollees is released to contracts
- CMS will provide technical assistance regarding the submission process

CY 2011 CMS Risk Adjustment Data Validation Overview

Answers to Submitted Questions

Questions and Answers

- CMS will answer questions that were submitted through <u>radv@cms.hhs.gov</u>
- If you have additional questions, please submit them to the RADV mailbox