# New York FIDA Medicare-Medicaid Plan Quality Withhold Analysis Results Demonstration Year 3 (Calendar Year 2017)

The Medicare-Medicaid Financial Alignment Initiative (FAI) seeks to better serve people who are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid by testing person-centered, integrated care models. In order to ensure that dually eligible individuals receive high quality care and to encourage quality improvement, both Medicare and Medicaid withheld a percentage of their respective components of the capitation rate paid to each Medicare-Medicaid Plan (MMP) participating in a capitated model demonstration under the FAI. MMPs are eligible for repayment of the withheld amounts subject to their performance on a combination of CMS Core and State-Specific quality withhold measures, and if required, Alternative quality withhold measure(s).<sup>1,2</sup> For each measure, MMPs earn a "met" or "not met" designation depending on their achieved rate relative to the benchmark level, or where applicable, the gap closure target.<sup>3</sup> Based on the percent of measures with a "met" designation, MMPs receive a quality withhold payment according to the following tiered scale:

Percent of Measures Met	Percent of Withhold MMP Receives
0-19%	0%
20-39%	25%
40-59%	50%
60-79%	75%
80-100%	100%

This report provides the results of the quality withhold analysis for MMPs in the New York Fully Integrated Duals Advantage (FIDA) demonstration for Demonstration Year (DY) 3, which covers Calendar Year 2017. On the following pages, Table 1 provides results for each CMS Core measure, Table 2 provides results for each State-Specific measure, Table 3 provides results for an Alternative measure, and Table 4 provides summary results for the quality withhold analysis. In these tables, measures that also utilize the gap closure target methodology are marked with an asterisk (as noted above, for these measures, MMPs can earn a "met" designation by meeting the benchmark or the gap closure target). Additionally, any measures that were not applicable for an MMP due to low enrollment or inability to meet other reporting criteria are listed as "N/A" within the tables.

For more information about the quality withhold methodology, measures, and benchmarks, refer to the Medicare-Medicaid Capitated Financial Alignment Model CMS Core Quality Withhold Technical Notes for DY 2 through 5 and the New York FIDA Quality Withhold Technical Notes for DY 2 through 5. These documents are available on the <u>MMP Quality Withhold Methodology & Technical Notes</u> webpage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CMS Core measures apply consistently across all capitated model demonstrations, unless a certain measure is inapplicable due to differences in demonstration design or timing/enrollment constraints. State-Specific measures apply to a specific capitated model demonstration. Note that the number, type, and complexity of State-Specific measures vary depending on key areas of interest for the respective demonstration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> MMPs are evaluated on no fewer than three quality withhold measures. If an MMP did not have a reportable result for at least three standard quality withhold measures (either CMS Core or State-Specific), Alternative measure(s) are added to the analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For certain measures, an MMP can also earn a "met" designation if the MMP closes the gap between its performance in the prior calendar year and the benchmark by a stipulated improvement percentage (typically 10%). The gap closure target methodology applies to most CMS Core measures. For State-Specific measures, states have the discretion to determine whether the gap closure target methodology applies.

#### Table 1: CMS Core Measure Results

Medicare-Medicaid Plan	CW6 – Plan All- Cause Readmissions	CW7 – Annual Flu Vaccine*	CW8 – Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness*	CW11 – Controlling Blood Pressure*	CW12 – Medication Adherence for Diabetes Medications*	CW13 – Encounter Data	
	Benchmark: 1.00	Benchmark: 69%	Benchmark: 56%	Benchmark: 56%	Benchmark: 73%	Benchmark: 80%	
Aetna Better Health, Inc.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	
AgeWell New York, LLC	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	
Centers Plan for Healthy Living, LLC	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	
Elderplan, Inc.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	Not Met	
ElderServe Health, Inc.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Met	
GuildNet, Inc.	N/A	Met	N/A	N/A	Met	Met	
Healthfirst Health Plan, Inc.	N/A	Met	N/A N/A		Met	Met	
Independence Care System, Inc.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	
MetroPlus Health Plan, Inc.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	Met	
North Shore-LIJ Health Plan, Inc.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	
NY State Catholic Health Plan, Inc. (Fidelis)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	Met	
Senior Whole Health of New York, Inc.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met	
Village Senior Services Corporation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not Met	
VNS Choice	Met	Met	N/A	Not Met	Met	Met	

# Table 2: New York FIDA State-Specific Measure Results

Medicare-Medicaid Plan	NYW3 – Improvement/Stability in Activities of Daily Living Functioning*	NYW4 – Nursing Facility Diversion Benchmark: Timely and Accurate Reporting				
	Benchmark: 82%					
Aetna Better Health, Inc.	N/A	Met				
AgeWell New York, LLC	N/A	Met				
Centers Plan for Healthy Living, LLC	N/A	Met				
Elderplan, Inc.	Met	Met				
ElderServe Health, Inc.	N/A	Met				
GuildNet, Inc.	Met	Met				
Healthfirst Health Plan, Inc.	Met	Met				

Medicare-Medicaid Plan	NYW3 – Improvement/Stability in Activities of Daily Living Functioning*	NYW4 – Nursing Facility Diversion				
	Benchmark: 82%	Benchmark: Timely and Accurate Reporting				
Independence Care System, Inc.	Met	Met				
MetroPlus Health Plan, Inc.	Not Met	Met				
North Shore-LIJ Health Plan, Inc.	N/A	Met				
NY State Catholic Health Plan, Inc. (Fidelis)	Met	Met				
Senior Whole Health of New York, Inc.	Met	Met				
Village Senior Services Corporation	N/A	Met				
VNS Choice	Met	Met				

### Table 3: Alternative Measure Results^

Medicare-Medicaid Plan	AW1 – Annual Reassessment*						
	Benchmark: 65%						
Aetna Better Health, Inc.	Met						
AgeWell New York, LLC	Met						
Centers Plan for Healthy Living, LLC	Met						
Elderplan, Inc.	_						
ElderServe Health, Inc.	Met						
GuildNet, Inc.	-						
Healthfirst Health Plan, Inc.	-						
Independence Care System, Inc.	-						
MetroPlus Health Plan, Inc.	-						
North Shore-LIJ Health Plan, Inc.	Met						
NY State Catholic Health Plan, Inc. (Fidelis)	-						
Senior Whole Health of New York, Inc.	_						
Village Senior Services Corporation	Met						
VNS Choice	_						

#### Table 4: Quality Withhold Analysis Summary Results

Madisara Madisaid Dian	# of Measures in Analysis			# of Measures Met			% of Measures Met				% of Withhold		
Medicare-Medicaid Plan	Core	State	Alt	Total	Core	State	Alt	Total	Core	State	Alt	Total	
Aetna Better Health, Inc. <sup>+</sup>	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	3	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
AgeWell New York, LLC <sup>+</sup>	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	3	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
Centers Plan for Healthy Living, LLC	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Elderplan, Inc.	2	2	_	4	1	2	-	3	50%	100%	-	75%	75%
ElderServe Health, Inc.	1	1	1	3	0	1	1	2	0%	100%	100%	67%	75%
GuildNet, Inc.+	3	2	-	5	3	2	-	5	100%	100%	-	100%	N/A
Healthfirst Health Plan, Inc.	3	2	-	5	3	2	-	5	100%	100%	-	100%	100%
Independence Care System, Inc. <sup>+</sup>	1	2	_	3	1	2	-	3	100%	100%	-	100%	N/A
MetroPlus Health Plan, Inc. <sup>+</sup>	2	2	_	4	2	1	-	3	100%	50%	_	75%	N/A
North Shore-LIJ Health Plan, Inc. <sup>+</sup>	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	3	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
NY State Catholic Health Plan, Inc. (Fidelis) <sup>+</sup>	2	2	-	4	2	2	-	4	100%	100%	-	100%	N/A
Senior Whole Health of New York, Inc.	1	2	-	3	1	2	-	3	100%	100%	-	100%	100%
Village Senior Services Corporation <sup>+</sup>	1	1	1	3	0	1	1	2	0%	100%	100%	67%	N/A
VNS Choice	5	2	_	7	4	2	-	6	80%	100%	_	86%	100%
New York FIDA Averages	2	2	1	4	2	2	1	3	81%	96%	100%	91%	92%

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates measures that also utilize the gap closure target methodology (see page 1 for more information).

<sup>^</sup> As noted on page 1, MMPs are evaluated on no fewer than three quality withhold measures. If an MMP did not have a reportable result for at least three standard quality withhold measures (either CMS Core or State-Specific), Alternative measure(s) are added to the quality withhold analysis. For the DY 3 quality withhold analysis, an Alternative measure was required for six New York FIDA MMPs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Indicates MMPs that terminated on or before January 1, 2019 and were therefore not eligible for a quality withhold payment. The amount that each terminated MMP would have received was pooled and added to the amounts earned by the MMPs participating in the FIDA Demonstration on January 1, 2019. For more information, see the New York FIDA three-way contract, sections 4.3.4.6.2 and 4.3.4.6.3.