

Appendix N:  
Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient  
Access on Therapy Caps by State:  
CY 2002

## Key to Appendix N:

These tables estimate the impact the hospital outpatient therapy exception may have on beneficiary likelihood to surpass the financial limitations had they been enforced in CY 2002.

**The first column** in each table represents the state of residence group for the particular type of cap (PT, OT, SLP separate or PT/SLP combined).

**The “Total Part B enrollees” column** identifies the entire population of Beneficiaries during CY 2002 that were enrolled in Part B for at least one month.

**The “Beneficiaries receiving (therapy) services” column** represents the total number of beneficiaries within each subgroup that received the particular outpatient therapy service in CY 2002.

**The “Percent of beneficiaries receiving (therapy) services” column** represents the proportion of all Part B enrollees within each state group that accessed outpatient therapy services in CY 2002.

**The “Beneficiaries surpassing (therapy) cap threshold” column** represents the estimated number of beneficiaries in each subgroup that surpassed the financial limitations had they been enforced in CY 2002.

**The “Percentage of patients surpassing (therapy) cap threshold” column** represents the estimated percentage of beneficiaries in each subgroup that surpassed the financial limitations had they been enforced in CY 2002 (“Percentage of patients surpassing (therapy) cap threshold” = “Beneficiaries surpassing (therapy) cap threshold” / “Beneficiaries receiving (therapy) services”).

**The “Hospital not accessed” row** represents the beneficiaries that received outpatient therapy services during CY 2002 but could not, or chose not to receive any of these services from a hospital outpatient provider. Since none of the therapy furnished to these individuals was from a hospital outpatient provider, all incurred expenses were debited against the applicable cap estimate.

**The “Hospital accessed” row** represents beneficiaries that received at least one outpatient therapy treatment from an outpatient hospital provider during CY 2002. The only incurred expenses debited against the applicable cap estimate were for services furnished by an outpatient therapy provider that was not identified as a hospital<sup>1</sup>.

**The “% Not using hospital” row** represents the distribution of beneficiaries that either could not, or chose not to access outpatient therapy services from an outpatient hospital provider

---

<sup>1</sup> This debiting process is consistent with Common Working File (CWF) financial limitation tracking procedures described in the Medicare Claims Processing Manual (Pub. 104), Ch. 5, Section 10.2 *The Financial Limitation*.

during CY 2002. In addition, this row identifies the distribution of beneficiaries surpassing the cap thresholds that either could not, or chose not to access outpatient therapy services from an outpatient hospital provider during CY 2002.

Appendix N-Table 1 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on PT Cap by State: CY 2002

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving PT services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving PT	Beneficiaries surpassing PT cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing PT cap threshold
<b>Total</b>	<b>40,108,890</b>	<b>3,296,407</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>463,893</b>	<b>14.1%</b>
Hospital not accessed		2,087,979		435,280	20.8%
Hospital accessed		1,208,428		28,613	2.4%
% Not using hospital		63.3%		93.8%	
<b>AK - Alaska</b>	<b>45,126</b>	<b>4,026</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>506</b>	<b>12.6%</b>
No Hospital		2,298		457	19.9%
Hospital Access		1,728		49	2.8%
% No Hosp		57.1%		90.3%	
<b>AL - Alabama</b>	<b>713,308</b>	<b>48,834</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>4,414</b>	<b>9.0%</b>
No Hospital		25,913		4,073	15.7%
Hospital Access		22,921		341	1.5%
% No Hosp		53.1%		92.3%	
<b>AR - Arkansas</b>	<b>451,349</b>	<b>31,276</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>2,877</b>	<b>9.2%</b>
No Hospital		16,238		2,709	16.7%
Hospital Access		15,038		168	1.1%
% No Hosp		51.9%		94.2%	
<b>AZ - Arizona</b>	<b>713,947</b>	<b>45,394</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>5,890</b>	<b>13.0%</b>
No Hospital		30,844		5,476	17.8%
Hospital Access		14,550		414	2.8%
% No Hosp		67.9%		93.0%	
<b>CA - California</b>	<b>3,979,869</b>	<b>272,771</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>56,013</b>	<b>20.5%</b>
No Hospital		203,038		52,747	26.0%
Hospital Access		69,733		3,266	4.7%
% No Hosp		74.4%		94.2%	
<b>CO - Colorado</b>	<b>479,493</b>	<b>37,219</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>4,357</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
No Hospital		23,009		4,015	17.4%
Hospital Access		14,210		342	2.4%
% No Hosp		61.8%		92.2%	
<b>CT - Connecticut</b>	<b>510,985</b>	<b>54,733</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>8,902</b>	<b>16.3%</b>
No Hospital		42,978		8,482	19.7%
Hospital Access		11,755		420	3.6%
% No Hosp		78.5%		95.3%	
<b>DC - District of Columbia</b>	<b>67,664</b>	<b>5,739</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>786</b>	<b>13.7%</b>
No Hospital		3,163		727	23.0%
Hospital Access		2,576		59	2.3%
% No Hosp		55.1%		92.5%	
<b>DE - Delaware</b>	<b>117,034</b>	<b>11,763</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>2,371</b>	<b>20.2%</b>
No Hospital		9,668		2,294	23.7%
Hospital Access		2,095		77	3.7%
% No Hosp		82.2%		96.8%	
<b>FL - Florida</b>	<b>2,927,629</b>	<b>291,844</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>60,162</b>	<b>20.6%</b>
No Hospital		220,310		56,819	25.8%
Hospital Access		71,534		3,343	4.7%
% No Hosp		75.5%		94.4%	

Appendix N-Table 1 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on PT Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving PT services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving PT	Beneficiaries surpassing PT cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing PT cap threshold
<b>GA – Georgia</b>	<b>965,336</b>	<b>71,129</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>6,912</b>	<b>9.7%</b>
No Hospital		41,624		6,410	15.4%
Hospital Access		29,505		502	1.7%
% No Hosp		58.5%		92.7%	
<b>HI - Hawaii</b>	<b>167,619</b>	<b>7,386</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>1,261</b>	<b>17.1%</b>
No Hospital		4,711		1,172	24.9%
Hospital Access		2,675		89	3.3%
% No Hosp		63.8%		92.9%	
<b>IA - Iowa</b>	<b>483,950</b>	<b>50,008</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>2,224</b>	<b>4.4%</b>
No Hospital		22,283		2,013	9.0%
Hospital Access		27,725		211	0.8%
% No Hosp		44.6%		90.5%	
<b>ID - Idaho</b>	<b>174,826</b>	<b>16,866</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>1,976</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
No Hospital		10,716		1,832	17.1%
Hospital Access		6,150		144	2.3%
% No Hosp		63.5%		92.7%	
<b>IL - Illinois</b>	<b>1,632,718</b>	<b>139,642</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>16,143</b>	<b>11.6%</b>
No Hospital		75,598		14,818	19.6%
Hospital Access		64,044		1,325	2.1%
% No Hosp		54.1%		91.8%	
<b>IN - Indiana</b>	<b>869,575</b>	<b>81,733</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>9,480</b>	<b>11.6%</b>
No Hospital		46,184		8,931	19.3%
Hospital Access		35,549		549	1.5%
% No Hosp		56.5%		94.2%	
<b>KS - Kansas</b>	<b>395,379</b>	<b>35,958</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>2,672</b>	<b>7.4%</b>
No Hospital		17,759		2,461	13.9%
Hospital Access		18,199		211	1.2%
% No Hosp		49.4%		92.1%	
<b>KY - Kentucky</b>	<b>644,779</b>	<b>47,186</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>5,322</b>	<b>11.3%</b>
No Hospital		31,036		5,044	16.3%
Hospital Access		16,150		278	1.7%
% No Hosp		65.8%		94.8%	
<b>LA - Louisiana</b>	<b>614,252</b>	<b>44,452</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>8,191</b>	<b>18.4%</b>
No Hospital		30,160		7,789	25.8%
Hospital Access		14,292		402	2.8%
% No Hosp		67.8%		95.1%	
<b>MA - Massachusetts</b>	<b>933,231</b>	<b>71,522</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>7,473</b>	<b>10.4%</b>
No Hospital		36,243		6,868	18.9%
Hospital Access		35,279		605	1.7%
% No Hosp		50.7%		91.9%	
<b>MD - Maryland</b>	<b>649,259</b>	<b>61,894</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>12,190</b>	<b>19.7%</b>
No Hospital		52,039		11,776	22.6%
Hospital Access		9,855		414	4.2%
% No Hosp		84.1%		96.6%	

Appendix N-Table 1 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on PT Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving PT services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving PT	Beneficiaries surpassing PT cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing PT cap threshold
<b>ME – Maine</b>	<b>222,637</b>	<b>20,098</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>1,072</b>	<b>5.3%</b>
No Hospital		9,837		964	9.8%
Hospital Access		10,261		108	1.1%
% No Hosp		48.9%		89.9%	
<b>MI - Michigan</b>	<b>1,434,882</b>	<b>137,161</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>21,225</b>	<b>15.5%</b>
No Hospital		72,757		19,869	27.3%
Hospital Access		64,404		1,356	2.1%
% No Hosp		53.0%		93.6%	
<b>MN - Minnesota</b>	<b>666,106</b>	<b>61,352</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>2,908</b>	<b>4.7%</b>
No Hospital		35,627		2,657	7.5%
Hospital Access		25,725		251	1.0%
% No Hosp		58.1%		91.4%	
<b>MO - Missouri</b>	<b>879,257</b>	<b>67,371</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>7,937</b>	<b>11.8%</b>
No Hospital		37,895		7,437	19.6%
Hospital Access		29,476		500	1.7%
% No Hosp		56.2%		93.7%	
<b>MS - Mississippi</b>	<b>436,041</b>	<b>34,758</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>5,288</b>	<b>15.2%</b>
No Hospital		18,123		4,998	27.6%
Hospital Access		16,635		290	1.7%
% No Hosp		52.1%		94.5%	
<b>MT - Montana</b>	<b>141,826</b>	<b>13,984</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>988</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
No Hospital		7,430		902	12.1%
Hospital Access		6,554		86	1.3%
% No Hosp		53.1%		91.3%	
<b>NC - North Carolina</b>	<b>1,201,721</b>	<b>89,067</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>12,032</b>	<b>13.5%</b>
No Hospital		49,625		11,368	22.9%
Hospital Access		39,442		664	1.7%
% No Hosp		55.7%		94.5%	
<b>ND - North Dakota</b>	<b>103,089</b>	<b>9,497</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>2.6%</b>
No Hospital		3,693		208	5.6%
Hospital Access		5,804		35	0.6%
% No Hosp		38.9%		85.6%	
<b>NE - Nebraska</b>	<b>256,877</b>	<b>23,899</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>1,969</b>	<b>8.2%</b>
No Hospital		13,279		1,755	13.2%
Hospital Access		10,620		214	2.0%
% No Hosp		55.6%		89.1%	
<b>NH - New Hampshire</b>	<b>172,486</b>	<b>19,299</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>1,492</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
No Hospital		8,542		1,319	15.4%
Hospital Access		10,757		173	1.6%
% No Hosp		44.3%		88.4%	
<b>NJ - New Jersey</b>	<b>1,200,069</b>	<b>101,331</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>19,802</b>	<b>19.5%</b>
No Hospital		70,854		18,653	26.3%
Hospital Access		30,477		1,149	3.8%
% No Hosp		69.9%		94.2%	

Appendix N-Table 1 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on PT Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving PT services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving PT	Beneficiaries surpassing PT cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing PT cap threshold
<b>NM - New Mexico</b>	<b>241,628</b>	<b>17,027</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>1,878</b>	<b>11.0%</b>
No Hospital		10,238		1,762	17.2%
Hospital Access		6,789		116	1.7%
% No Hosp		60.1%		93.8%	
<b>NV - Nevada</b>	<b>262,194</b>	<b>14,678</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>2,636</b>	<b>18.0%</b>
No Hospital		10,891		2,507	23.0%
Hospital Access		3,787		129	3.4%
% No Hosp		74.2%		95.1%	
<b>NY - New York</b>	<b>2,677,703</b>	<b>245,521</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>48,400</b>	<b>19.7%</b>
No Hospital		174,663		45,068	25.8%
Hospital Access		70,858		3,332	4.7%
% No Hosp		71.1%		93.1%	
<b>OH - Ohio</b>	<b>1,720,199</b>	<b>149,961</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>17,128</b>	<b>11.4%</b>
No Hospital		86,570		16,043	18.5%
Hospital Access		63,391		1,085	1.7%
% No Hosp		57.7%		93.7%	
<b>OK - Oklahoma</b>	<b>520,938</b>	<b>34,516</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>4,448</b>	<b>12.9%</b>
No Hospital		21,621		4,298	19.9%
Hospital Access		12,895		150	1.2%
% No Hosp		62.6%		96.6%	
<b>OR - Oregon</b>	<b>505,955</b>	<b>32,440</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>2,109</b>	<b>6.5%</b>
No Hospital		18,878		1,935	10.3%
Hospital Access		13,562		174	1.3%
% No Hosp		58.2%		91.7%	
<b>PA - Pennsylvania</b>	<b>2,088,507</b>	<b>158,531</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>22,612</b>	<b>14.3%</b>
No Hospital		101,814		21,259	20.9%
Hospital Access		56,717		1,353	2.4%
% No Hosp		64.2%		94.0%	
<b>PR - Puerto Rico</b>	<b>445,881</b>	<b>28,453</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>1,338</b>	<b>4.7%</b>
No Hospital		24,646		1,313	5.3%
Hospital Access		3,807		25	0.7%
% No Hosp		86.6%		98.1%	
<b>RI - Rhode Island</b>	<b>165,978</b>	<b>10,764</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>1,116</b>	<b>10.4%</b>
No Hospital		7,140		1,018	14.3%
Hospital Access		3,624		98	2.7%
% No Hosp		66.3%		91.2%	
<b>SC - South Carolina</b>	<b>603,598</b>	<b>44,654</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>5,751</b>	<b>12.9%</b>
No Hospital		28,211		5,435	19.3%
Hospital Access		16,443		316	1.9%
% No Hosp		63.2%		94.5%	
<b>SD - South Dakota</b>	<b>120,894</b>	<b>10,688</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
No Hospital		5,553		463	8.3%
Hospital Access		5,135		48	0.9%
% No Hosp		52.0%		90.6%	

Appendix N-Table 1 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on PT Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving PT services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving PT	Beneficiaries surpassing PT cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing PT cap threshold
<b>TN - Tennessee</b>	<b>864,097</b>	<b>62,543</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>7,817</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
No Hospital		36,982		7,411	20.0%
Hospital Access		25,561		406	1.6%
% No Hosp		59.1%		94.8%	
<b>TX - Texas</b>	<b>2,361,335</b>	<b>188,336</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>23,717</b>	<b>12.6%</b>
No Hospital		115,280		22,391	19.4%
Hospital Access		73,056		1,326	1.8%
% No Hosp		61.2%		94.4%	
<b>UT - Utah</b>	<b>212,591</b>	<b>20,971</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>2,710</b>	<b>12.9%</b>
No Hospital		13,669		2,557	18.7%
Hospital Access		7,302		153	2.1%
% No Hosp		65.2%		94.4%	
<b>VA - Virginia</b>	<b>924,236</b>	<b>79,898</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>11,310</b>	<b>14.2%</b>
No Hospital		51,773		10,669	20.6%
Hospital Access		28,125		641	2.3%
% No Hosp		64.8%		94.3%	
<b>VT - Vermont</b>	<b>91,240</b>	<b>10,140</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>772</b>	<b>7.6%</b>
No Hospital		4,851		677	14.0%
Hospital Access		5,289		95	1.8%
% No Hosp		47.8%		87.7%	
<b>WA - Washington</b>	<b>756,988</b>	<b>64,898</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>6,503</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
No Hospital		44,234		5,970	13.5%
Hospital Access		20,664		533	2.6%
% No Hosp		68.2%		91.8%	
<b>WI - Wisconsin</b>	<b>797,691</b>	<b>79,886</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>4,487</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
No Hospital		37,639		4,116	10.9%
Hospital Access		42,247		371	0.9%
% No Hosp		47.1%		91.7%	
<b>WV - West Virginia</b>	<b>347,652</b>	<b>23,893</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>2,430</b>	<b>10.2%</b>
No Hospital		13,577		2,283	16.8%
Hospital Access		10,316		147	1.4%
% No Hosp		56.8%		94.0%	
<b>WY - Wyoming</b>	<b>68,179</b>	<b>7,473</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>889</b>	<b>11.9%</b>
No Hospital		4,925		818	16.6%
Hospital Access		2,548		71	2.8%
% No Hosp		65.9%		92.0%	
<b>Other</b>	<b>79,087</b>	<b>1,944</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>13.0%</b>
No Hospital		1,350		244	18.1%
Hospital Access		594		9	1.5%
% No Hosp		69.4%		96.4%	

Appendix N-Table 2 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on OT Cap by State: CY 2002

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving OT services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving OT	Beneficiaries surpassing OT cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing OT cap threshold
<b>Total</b>	<b>40,108,890</b>	<b>745,240</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>129,509</b>	<b>17.4%</b>
Hospital not accessed		499,837		126,488	25.3%
Hospital accessed		245,403		3,021	1.2%
% Not using hospital		67.1%		97.7%	
<b>AK - Alaska</b>	<b>45,126</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4.4%</b>
No Hospital		251		29	11.6%
Hospital Access		430		1	0.2%
% No Hosp		36.9%		96.7%	
<b>AL - Alabama</b>	<b>713,308</b>	<b>10,332</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>1,680</b>	<b>16.3%</b>
No Hospital		7,256		1,662	22.9%
Hospital Access		3,076		18	0.6%
% No Hosp		70.2%		98.9%	
<b>AR - Arkansas</b>	<b>451,349</b>	<b>8,158</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>885</b>	<b>10.8%</b>
No Hospital		4,792		866	18.1%
Hospital Access		3,366		19	0.6%
% No Hosp		58.7%		97.9%	
<b>AZ - Arizona</b>	<b>713,947</b>	<b>7,307</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>848</b>	<b>11.6%</b>
No Hospital		4,217		820	19.4%
Hospital Access		3,090		28	0.9%
% No Hosp		57.7%		96.7%	
<b>CA - California</b>	<b>3,979,869</b>	<b>38,721</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>7,439</b>	<b>19.2%</b>
No Hospital		23,598		7,055	29.9%
Hospital Access		15,123		384	2.5%
% No Hosp		60.9%		94.8%	
<b>CO - Colorado</b>	<b>479,493</b>	<b>8,717</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1,108</b>	<b>12.7%</b>
No Hospital		5,664		1,063	18.8%
Hospital Access		3,053		45	1.5%
% No Hosp		65.0%		95.9%	
<b>CT - Connecticut</b>	<b>510,985</b>	<b>12,791</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>2,085</b>	<b>16.3%</b>
No Hospital		10,101		2,046	20.3%
Hospital Access		2,690		39	1.4%
% No Hosp		79.0%		98.1%	
<b>DC - District of Columbia</b>	<b>67,664</b>	<b>1,475</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>13.2%</b>
No Hospital		802		178	22.2%
Hospital Access		673		17	2.5%
% No Hosp		54.4%		91.3%	
<b>DE - Delaware</b>	<b>117,034</b>	<b>1,825</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>13.8%</b>
No Hospital		1,381		250	18.1%
Hospital Access		444		2	0.5%
% No Hosp		75.7%		99.2%	
<b>FL - Florida</b>	<b>2,927,629</b>	<b>69,035</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>23,244</b>	<b>33.7%</b>
No Hospital		56,039		22,904	40.9%
Hospital Access		12,996		340	2.6%
% No Hosp		81.2%		98.5%	

Appendix N-Table 2 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on OT Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving OT services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving OT	Beneficiaries surpassing OT cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing OT cap threshold
<b>GA – Georgia</b>	<b>965,336</b>	<b>14,976</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>2,057</b>	<b>13.7%</b>
No Hospital		8,965		2,011	22.4%
Hospital Access		6,011		46	0.8%
% No Hosp		59.9%		97.8%	
<b>HI - Hawaii</b>	<b>167,619</b>	<b>1,012</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
No Hospital		480		91	19.0%
Hospital Access		532		1	0.2%
% No Hosp		47.4%		98.9%	
<b>IA - Iowa</b>	<b>483,950</b>	<b>14,085</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>685</b>	<b>4.9%</b>
No Hospital		8,317		657	7.9%
Hospital Access		5,768		28	0.5%
% No Hosp		59.0%		95.9%	
<b>ID - Idaho</b>	<b>174,826</b>	<b>2,568</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>15.7%</b>
No Hospital		1,732		397	22.9%
Hospital Access		836		7	0.8%
% No Hosp		67.4%		98.3%	
<b>IL - Illinois</b>	<b>1,632,718</b>	<b>30,946</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>4,974</b>	<b>16.1%</b>
No Hospital		17,757		4,789	27.0%
Hospital Access		13,189		185	1.4%
% No Hosp		57.4%		96.3%	
<b>IN - Indiana</b>	<b>869,575</b>	<b>27,039</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>4,557</b>	<b>16.9%</b>
No Hospital		18,662		4,466	23.9%
Hospital Access		8,377		91	1.1%
% No Hosp		69.0%		98.0%	
<b>KS - Kansas</b>	<b>395,379</b>	<b>10,912</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>1,322</b>	<b>12.1%</b>
No Hospital		6,940		1,297	18.7%
Hospital Access		3,972		25	0.6%
% No Hosp		63.6%		98.1%	
<b>KY - Kentucky</b>	<b>644,779</b>	<b>11,763</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>2,433</b>	<b>20.7%</b>
No Hospital		9,324		2,393	25.7%
Hospital Access		2,439		40	1.6%
% No Hosp		79.3%		98.4%	
<b>LA - Louisiana</b>	<b>614,252</b>	<b>15,187</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>3,610</b>	<b>23.8%</b>
No Hospital		10,877		3,528	32.4%
Hospital Access		4,310		82	1.9%
% No Hosp		71.6%		97.7%	
<b>MA - Massachusetts</b>	<b>933,231</b>	<b>18,777</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>2,829</b>	<b>15.1%</b>
No Hospital		11,035		2,770	25.1%
Hospital Access		7,742		59	0.8%
% No Hosp		58.8%		97.9%	
<b>MD - Maryland</b>	<b>649,259</b>	<b>13,324</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>1,792</b>	<b>13.4%</b>
No Hospital		10,144		1,734	17.1%
Hospital Access		3,180		58	1.8%
% No Hosp		76.1%		96.8%	

Appendix N-Table 2 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on OT Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving OT services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving OT	Beneficiaries surpassing OT cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing OT cap threshold
<b>ME – Maine</b>	<b>222,637</b>	<b>4,358</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>6.2%</b>
No Hospital		1,961		247	12.6%
Hospital Access		2,397		25	1.0%
% No Hosp		45.0%		90.8%	
<b>MI - Michigan</b>	<b>1,434,882</b>	<b>28,310</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>5,449</b>	<b>19.2%</b>
No Hospital		16,537		5,381	32.5%
Hospital Access		11,773		68	0.6%
% No Hosp		58.4%		98.8%	
<b>MN - Minnesota</b>	<b>666,106</b>	<b>18,753</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>1,339</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
No Hospital		12,631		1,309	10.4%
Hospital Access		6,122		30	0.5%
% No Hosp		67.4%		97.8%	
<b>MO - Missouri</b>	<b>879,257</b>	<b>20,603</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>3,435</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
No Hospital		15,403		3,363	21.8%
Hospital Access		5,200		72	1.4%
% No Hosp		74.8%		97.9%	
<b>MS - Mississippi</b>	<b>436,041</b>	<b>8,732</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>2,090</b>	<b>23.9%</b>
No Hospital		5,270		2,053	39.0%
Hospital Access		3,462		37	1.1%
% No Hosp		60.4%		98.2%	
<b>MT - Montana</b>	<b>141,826</b>	<b>2,476</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>7.4%</b>
No Hospital		1,439		182	12.6%
Hospital Access		1,037		2	0.2%
% No Hosp		58.1%		98.9%	
<b>NC - North Carolina</b>	<b>1,201,721</b>	<b>21,379</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>4,627</b>	<b>21.6%</b>
No Hospital		14,774		4,573	31.0%
Hospital Access		6,605		54	0.8%
% No Hosp		69.1%		98.8%	
<b>ND - North Dakota</b>	<b>103,089</b>	<b>2,928</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
No Hospital		1,609		111	6.9%
Hospital Access		1,319		16	1.2%
% No Hosp		55.0%		87.4%	
<b>NE - Nebraska</b>	<b>256,877</b>	<b>6,516</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>9.5%</b>
No Hospital		4,578		599	13.1%
Hospital Access		1,938		23	1.2%
% No Hosp		70.3%		96.3%	
<b>NH - New Hampshire</b>	<b>172,486</b>	<b>5,272</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>604</b>	<b>11.5%</b>
No Hospital		2,942		578	19.6%
Hospital Access		2,330		26	1.1%
% No Hosp		55.8%		95.7%	
<b>NJ - New Jersey</b>	<b>1,200,069</b>	<b>19,894</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>3,058</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
No Hospital		13,959		2,971	21.3%
Hospital Access		5,935		87	1.5%
% No Hosp		70.2%		97.2%	

Appendix N-Table 2 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on OT Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving OT services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving OT	Beneficiaries surpassing OT cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing OT cap threshold
<b>NM - New Mexico</b>	<b>241,628</b>	<b>3,455</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>12.9%</b>
No Hospital		2,123		433	20.4%
Hospital Access		1,332		14	1.1%
% No Hosp		61.4%		96.9%	
<b>NV - Nevada</b>	<b>262,194</b>	<b>2,590</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>16.5%</b>
No Hospital		1,715		415	24.2%
Hospital Access		875		12	1.4%
% No Hosp		66.2%		97.2%	
<b>NY - New York</b>	<b>2,677,703</b>	<b>36,357</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>5,397</b>	<b>14.8%</b>
No Hospital		22,564		5,144	22.8%
Hospital Access		13,793		253	1.8%
% No Hosp		62.1%		95.3%	
<b>OH - Ohio</b>	<b>1,720,199</b>	<b>46,208</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>7,290</b>	<b>15.8%</b>
No Hospital		32,063		7,102	22.2%
Hospital Access		14,145		188	1.3%
% No Hosp		69.4%		97.4%	
<b>OK - Oklahoma</b>	<b>520,938</b>	<b>7,099</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>1,701</b>	<b>24.0%</b>
No Hospital		5,085		1,681	33.1%
Hospital Access		2,014		20	1.0%
% No Hosp		71.6%		98.8%	
<b>OR - Oregon</b>	<b>505,955</b>	<b>5,160</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>4.6%</b>
No Hospital		2,505		228	9.1%
Hospital Access		2,655		10	0.4%
% No Hosp		48.5%		95.8%	
<b>PA - Pennsylvania</b>	<b>2,088,507</b>	<b>46,913</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>6,229</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
No Hospital		35,069		6,118	17.4%
Hospital Access		11,844		111	0.9%
% No Hosp		74.8%		98.2%	
<b>PR - Puerto Rico</b>	<b>445,881</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>24.5%</b>
No Hospital		553		183	33.1%
Hospital Access		201		2	1.0%
% No Hosp		73.3%		98.9%	
<b>RI - Rhode Island</b>	<b>165,978</b>	<b>2,789</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
No Hospital		1,716		268	15.6%
Hospital Access		1,073		12	1.1%
% No Hosp		61.5%		95.7%	
<b>SC - South Carolina</b>	<b>603,598</b>	<b>10,750</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>1,761</b>	<b>16.4%</b>
No Hospital		6,852		1,728	25.2%
Hospital Access		3,898		33	0.8%
% No Hosp		63.7%		98.1%	
<b>SD - South Dakota</b>	<b>120,894</b>	<b>2,350</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
No Hospital		1,102		113	10.3%
Hospital Access		1,248		7	0.6%
% No Hosp		46.9%		94.2%	

Appendix N-Table 2 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on OT Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving OT services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving OT	Beneficiaries surpassing OT cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing OT cap threshold
<b>TN - Tennessee</b>	<b>864,097</b>	<b>11,423</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>2,181</b>	<b>19.1%</b>
No Hospital		8,132		2,161	26.6%
Hospital Access		3,291		20	0.6%
% No Hosp		71.2%		99.1%	
<b>TX - Texas</b>	<b>2,361,335</b>	<b>43,873</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>9,939</b>	<b>22.7%</b>
No Hospital		29,092		9,796	33.7%
Hospital Access		14,781		143	1.0%
% No Hosp		66.3%		98.6%	
<b>UT - Utah</b>	<b>212,591</b>	<b>2,644</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>15.3%</b>
No Hospital		1,768		398	22.5%
Hospital Access		876		7	0.8%
% No Hosp		66.9%		98.3%	
<b>VA - Virginia</b>	<b>924,236</b>	<b>19,355</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>3,473</b>	<b>17.9%</b>
No Hospital		13,111		3,368	25.7%
Hospital Access		6,244		105	1.7%
% No Hosp		67.7%		97.0%	
<b>VT - Vermont</b>	<b>91,240</b>	<b>1,994</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>10.5%</b>
No Hospital		1,057		204	19.3%
Hospital Access		937		5	0.5%
% No Hosp		53.0%		97.6%	
<b>WA - Washington</b>	<b>756,988</b>	<b>12,529</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1,287</b>	<b>10.3%</b>
No Hospital		8,285		1,236	14.9%
Hospital Access		4,244		51	1.2%
% No Hosp		66.1%		96.0%	
<b>WI - Wisconsin</b>	<b>797,691</b>	<b>23,085</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>2,253</b>	<b>9.8%</b>
No Hospital		12,851		2,201	17.1%
Hospital Access		10,234		52	0.5%
% No Hosp		55.7%		97.7%	
<b>WV - West Virginia</b>	<b>347,652</b>	<b>5,274</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>1,097</b>	<b>20.8%</b>
No Hospital		3,482		1,083	31.1%
Hospital Access		1,792		14	0.8%
% No Hosp		66.0%		98.7%	
<b>WY - Wyoming</b>	<b>68,179</b>	<b>1,459</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>14.8%</b>
No Hospital		1,089		212	19.5%
Hospital Access		370		4	1.1%
% No Hosp		74.6%		98.1%	
<b>Other</b>	<b>79,087</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>14.1%</b>
No Hospital		186		43	23.1%
Hospital Access		141		3	2.1%
% No Hosp		56.9%		93.5%	

Appendix N-Table 3 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on SLP Cap by State: CY 2002

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving SLP services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving SLP	Beneficiaries surpassing SLP cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing SLP cap threshold
<b>Total</b>	<b>40,108,890</b>	<b>367,783</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>41,765</b>	<b>11.4%</b>
Hospital not accessed		220,152		37,116	16.9%
Hospital accessed		147,631		4,649	3.1%
% Not using hospital		59.9%		88.9%	
<b>AK - Alaska</b>	<b>45,126</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>5.7%</b>
No Hospital		175		16	9.1%
Hospital Access		157		3	1.9%
% No Hosp		52.7%		84.2%	
<b>AL - Alabama</b>	<b>713,308</b>	<b>5,831</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>1,101</b>	<b>18.9%</b>
No Hospital		4,038		1,038	25.7%
Hospital Access		1,793		63	3.5%
% No Hosp		69.3%		94.3%	
<b>AR - Arkansas</b>	<b>451,349</b>	<b>3,334</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>11.3%</b>
No Hospital		1,630		301	18.5%
Hospital Access		1,704		76	4.5%
% No Hosp		48.9%		79.8%	
<b>AZ - Arizona</b>	<b>713,947</b>	<b>3,621</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
No Hospital		1,464		215	14.7%
Hospital Access		2,157		26	1.2%
% No Hosp		40.4%		89.2%	
<b>CA - California</b>	<b>3,979,869</b>	<b>20,772</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2,605</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
No Hospital		10,752		2,281	21.2%
Hospital Access		10,020		324	3.2%
% No Hosp		51.8%		87.6%	
<b>CO - Colorado</b>	<b>479,493</b>	<b>4,124</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
No Hospital		2,201		315	14.3%
Hospital Access		1,923		45	2.3%
% No Hosp		53.4%		87.5%	
<b>CT - Connecticut</b>	<b>510,985</b>	<b>6,066</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>8.2%</b>
No Hospital		4,327		440	10.2%
Hospital Access		1,739		56	3.2%
% No Hosp		71.3%		88.7%	
<b>DC - District of Columbia</b>	<b>67,664</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>17.5%</b>
No Hospital		465		123	26.5%
Hospital Access		352		20	5.7%
% No Hosp		56.9%		86.0%	
<b>DE - Delaware</b>	<b>117,034</b>	<b>1,227</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
No Hospital		687		94	13.7%
Hospital Access		540		13	2.4%
% No Hosp		56.0%		87.9%	
<b>FL - Florida</b>	<b>2,927,629</b>	<b>27,146</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>3,987</b>	<b>14.7%</b>
No Hospital		16,006		3,533	22.1%
Hospital Access		11,140		454	4.1%
% No Hosp		59.0%		88.6%	

Appendix N-Table 3 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on SLP Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving SLP services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving SLP	Beneficiaries surpassing SLP cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing SLP cap threshold
<b>GA - Georgia</b>	<b>965,336</b>	<b>7,453</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>809</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
No Hospital		3,850		732	19.0%
Hospital Access		3,603		77	2.1%
% No Hosp		51.7%		90.5%	
<b>HI - Hawaii</b>	<b>167,619</b>	<b>814</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
No Hospital		330		11	3.3%
Hospital Access		484		4	0.8%
% No Hosp		40.5%		73.3%	
<b>IA - Iowa</b>	<b>483,950</b>	<b>5,926</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
No Hospital		3,233		202	6.2%
Hospital Access		2,693		31	1.2%
% No Hosp		54.6%		86.7%	
<b>ID - Idaho</b>	<b>174,826</b>	<b>1,622</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
No Hospital		948		158	16.7%
Hospital Access		674		18	2.7%
% No Hosp		58.4%		89.8%	
<b>IL - Illinois</b>	<b>1,632,718</b>	<b>17,287</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1,307</b>	<b>7.6%</b>
No Hospital		9,198		1,145	12.4%
Hospital Access		8,089		162	2.0%
% No Hosp		53.2%		87.6%	
<b>IN - Indiana</b>	<b>869,575</b>	<b>11,148</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1,229</b>	<b>11.0%</b>
No Hospital		6,361		1,079	17.0%
Hospital Access		4,787		150	3.1%
% No Hosp		57.1%		87.8%	
<b>KS - Kansas</b>	<b>395,379</b>	<b>4,203</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>8.1%</b>
No Hospital		2,075		290	14.0%
Hospital Access		2,128		51	2.4%
% No Hosp		49.4%		85.0%	
<b>KY - Kentucky</b>	<b>644,779</b>	<b>7,062</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>10.7%</b>
No Hospital		4,387		655	14.9%
Hospital Access		2,675		99	3.7%
% No Hosp		62.1%		86.9%	
<b>LA - Louisiana</b>	<b>614,252</b>	<b>6,720</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1,683</b>	<b>25.0%</b>
No Hospital		4,035		1,456	36.1%
Hospital Access		2,685		227	8.5%
% No Hosp		60.0%		86.5%	
<b>MA - Massachusetts</b>	<b>933,231</b>	<b>9,691</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>880</b>	<b>9.1%</b>
No Hospital		6,473		799	12.3%
Hospital Access		3,218		81	2.5%
% No Hosp		66.8%		90.8%	
<b>MD - Maryland</b>	<b>649,259</b>	<b>7,015</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>610</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
No Hospital		4,269		521	12.2%
Hospital Access		2,746		89	3.2%
% No Hosp		60.9%		85.4%	

Appendix N-Table 3 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on SLP Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving SLP services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving SLP	Beneficiaries surpassing SLP cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing SLP cap threshold
<b>ME - Maine</b>	<b>222,637</b>	<b>1,922</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
No Hospital		1,045		63	6.0%
Hospital Access		877		8	0.9%
% No Hosp		54.4%		88.7%	
<b>MI - Michigan</b>	<b>1,434,882</b>	<b>13,899</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>958</b>	<b>6.9%</b>
No Hospital		7,359		848	11.5%
Hospital Access		6,540		110	1.7%
% No Hosp		52.9%		88.5%	
<b>MN - Minnesota</b>	<b>666,106</b>	<b>6,435</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>5.0%</b>
No Hospital		3,886		283	7.3%
Hospital Access		2,549		41	1.6%
% No Hosp		60.4%		87.3%	
<b>MO - Missouri</b>	<b>879,257</b>	<b>9,972</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1,486</b>	<b>14.9%</b>
No Hospital		6,282		1,333	21.2%
Hospital Access		3,690		153	4.1%
% No Hosp		63.0%		89.7%	
<b>MS - Mississippi</b>	<b>436,041</b>	<b>4,380</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1,015</b>	<b>23.2%</b>
No Hospital		2,362		882	37.3%
Hospital Access		2,018		133	6.6%
% No Hosp		53.9%		86.9%	
<b>MT - Montana</b>	<b>141,826</b>	<b>1,150</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>5.8%</b>
No Hospital		613		61	10.0%
Hospital Access		537		6	1.1%
% No Hosp		53.3%		91.0%	
<b>NC - North Carolina</b>	<b>1,201,721</b>	<b>11,583</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1,665</b>	<b>14.4%</b>
No Hospital		6,313		1,431	22.7%
Hospital Access		5,270		234	4.4%
% No Hosp		54.5%		85.9%	
<b>ND - North Dakota</b>	<b>103,089</b>	<b>1,081</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
No Hospital		585		36	6.2%
Hospital Access		496		7	1.4%
% No Hosp		54.1%		83.7%	
<b>NE - Nebraska</b>	<b>256,877</b>	<b>2,616</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>6.0%</b>
No Hospital		1,497		132	8.8%
Hospital Access		1,119		25	2.2%
% No Hosp		57.2%		84.1%	
<b>NH - New Hampshire</b>	<b>172,486</b>	<b>2,004</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
No Hospital		1,154		103	8.9%
Hospital Access		850		9	1.1%
% No Hosp		57.6%		92.0%	
<b>NJ - New Jersey</b>	<b>1,200,069</b>	<b>9,970</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>1,202</b>	<b>12.1%</b>
No Hospital		6,280		1,080	17.2%
Hospital Access		3,690		122	3.3%
% No Hosp		63.0%		89.9%	

Appendix N-Table 3 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on SLP Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving SLP services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving SLP	Beneficiaries surpassing SLP cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing SLP cap threshold
<b>NM - New Mexico</b>	<b>241,628</b>	<b>1,896</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>13.9%</b>
No Hospital		1,135		240	21.1%
Hospital Access		761		23	3.0%
% No Hosp		59.9%		91.3%	
<b>NV - Nevada</b>	<b>262,194</b>	<b>1,280</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>13.4%</b>
No Hospital		737		157	21.3%
Hospital Access		543		15	2.8%
% No Hosp		57.6%		91.3%	
<b>NY - New York</b>	<b>2,677,703</b>	<b>19,699</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>1,098</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
No Hospital		12,544		976	7.8%
Hospital Access		7,155		122	1.7%
% No Hosp		63.7%		88.9%	
<b>OH - Ohio</b>	<b>1,720,199</b>	<b>23,049</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>3,107</b>	<b>13.5%</b>
No Hospital		17,202		2,871	16.7%
Hospital Access		5,847		236	4.0%
% No Hosp		74.6%		92.4%	
<b>OK - Oklahoma</b>	<b>520,938</b>	<b>3,690</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>721</b>	<b>19.5%</b>
No Hospital		2,196		683	31.1%
Hospital Access		1,494		38	2.5%
% No Hosp		59.5%		94.7%	
<b>OR - Oregon</b>	<b>505,955</b>	<b>3,174</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>4.6%</b>
No Hospital		1,623		130	8.0%
Hospital Access		1,551		16	1.0%
% No Hosp		51.1%		89.0%	
<b>PA - Pennsylvania</b>	<b>2,088,507</b>	<b>27,599</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>3,268</b>	<b>11.8%</b>
No Hospital		19,537		2,846	14.6%
Hospital Access		8,062		422	5.2%
% No Hosp		70.8%		87.1%	
<b>PR - Puerto Rico</b>	<b>445,881</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
No Hospital		55		9	16.4%
Hospital Access		88		2	2.3%
% No Hosp		38.5%		81.8%	
<b>RI - Rhode Island</b>	<b>165,978</b>	<b>1,653</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>8.0%</b>
No Hospital		1,158		112	9.7%
Hospital Access		495		21	4.2%
% No Hosp		70.1%		84.2%	
<b>SC - South Carolina</b>	<b>603,598</b>	<b>5,424</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>598</b>	<b>11.0%</b>
No Hospital		3,134		530	16.9%
Hospital Access		2,290		68	3.0%
% No Hosp		57.8%		88.6%	
<b>SD - South Dakota</b>	<b>120,894</b>	<b>980</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
No Hospital		459		47	10.2%
Hospital Access		521		6	1.2%
% No Hosp		46.8%		88.7%	

Appendix N-Table 3 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on SLP Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving SLP services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving SLP	Beneficiaries surpassing SLP cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing SLP cap threshold
<b>TN - Tennessee</b>	<b>864,097</b>	<b>7,959</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>905</b>	<b>11.4%</b>
No Hospital		4,792		779	16.3%
Hospital Access		3,167		126	4.0%
% No Hosp		60.2%		86.1%	
<b>TX - Texas</b>	<b>2,361,335</b>	<b>20,273</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>3,254</b>	<b>16.1%</b>
No Hospital		11,434		3,032	26.5%
Hospital Access		8,839		222	2.5%
% No Hosp		56.4%		93.2%	
<b>UT - Utah</b>	<b>212,591</b>	<b>1,479</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>6.6%</b>
No Hospital		615		89	14.5%
Hospital Access		864		8	0.9%
% No Hosp		41.6%		91.8%	
<b>VA - Virginia</b>	<b>924,236</b>	<b>9,976</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1,403</b>	<b>14.1%</b>
No Hospital		6,120		1,250	20.4%
Hospital Access		3,856		153	4.0%
% No Hosp		61.3%		89.1%	
<b>VT - Vermont</b>	<b>91,240</b>	<b>949</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>7.2%</b>
No Hospital		526		55	10.5%
Hospital Access		423		13	3.1%
% No Hosp		55.4%		80.9%	
<b>WA - Washington</b>	<b>756,988</b>	<b>6,988</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>8.1%</b>
No Hospital		4,003		516	12.9%
Hospital Access		2,985		48	1.6%
% No Hosp		57.3%		91.5%	
<b>WI - Wisconsin</b>	<b>797,691</b>	<b>10,090</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>719</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
No Hospital		6,103		619	10.1%
Hospital Access		3,987		100	2.5%
% No Hosp		60.5%		86.1%	
<b>WV - West Virginia</b>	<b>347,652</b>	<b>3,547</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>540</b>	<b>15.2%</b>
No Hospital		2,110		452	21.4%
Hospital Access		1,437		88	6.1%
% No Hosp		59.5%		83.7%	
<b>WY - Wyoming</b>	<b>68,179</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>10.5%</b>
No Hospital		326		57	17.5%
Hospital Access		243		3	1.2%
% No Hosp		57.3%		95.0%	
<b>Other</b>	<b>79,087</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8.4%</b>
No Hospital		63		10	15.9%
Hospital Access		80		2	2.5%
% No Hosp		44.1%		83.3%	

Appendix N-Table 4 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on PT/SLP Cap by State: CY 2002

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving PT/SLP services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving PT/SLP	Beneficiaries surpassing PT/SLP cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing PT/SLP cap threshold
<b>Total</b>	<b>40,108,890</b>	<b>3,503,136</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>508,686</b>	<b>14.5%</b>
Hospital not accessed		2,187,838		469,850	21.5%
Hospital accessed		1,315,298		38,836	3.0%
% Not using hospital		62.5%		92.4%	
<b>AK - Alaska</b>	<b>45,126</b>	<b>4,191</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
No Hospital		2,385		471	19.7%
Hospital Access		1,806		54	3.0%
% No Hosp		56.9%		89.7%	
<b>AL - Alabama</b>	<b>713,308</b>	<b>52,216</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>5,574</b>	<b>10.7%</b>
No Hospital		28,046		5,104	18.2%
Hospital Access		24,170		470	1.9%
% No Hosp		53.7%		91.6%	
<b>AR - Arkansas</b>	<b>451,349</b>	<b>33,207</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>3,290</b>	<b>9.9%</b>
No Hospital		16,857		2,977	17.7%
Hospital Access		16,350		313	1.9%
% No Hosp		50.8%		90.5%	
<b>AZ - Arizona</b>	<b>713,947</b>	<b>47,390</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>6,158</b>	<b>13.0%</b>
No Hospital		31,395		5,643	18.0%
Hospital Access		15,995		515	3.2%
% No Hosp		66.2%		91.6%	
<b>CA - California</b>	<b>3,979,869</b>	<b>283,471</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>58,457</b>	<b>20.6%</b>
No Hospital		207,730		54,474	26.2%
Hospital Access		75,741		3,983	5.3%
% No Hosp		73.3%		93.2%	
<b>CO - Colorado</b>	<b>479,493</b>	<b>39,472</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>4,811</b>	<b>12.2%</b>
No Hospital		23,883		4,358	18.2%
Hospital Access		15,589		453	2.9%
% No Hosp		60.5%		90.6%	
<b>CT - Connecticut</b>	<b>510,985</b>	<b>58,219</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>9,579</b>	<b>16.5%</b>
No Hospital		45,056		9,020	20.0%
Hospital Access		13,163		559	4.2%
% No Hosp		77.4%		94.2%	
<b>DC - District of Columbia</b>	<b>67,664</b>	<b>6,203</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>923</b>	<b>14.9%</b>
No Hospital		3,401		829	24.4%
Hospital Access		2,802		94	3.4%
% No Hosp		54.8%		89.8%	
<b>DE - Delaware</b>	<b>117,034</b>	<b>12,519</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>2,502</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
No Hospital		9,994		2,386	23.9%
Hospital Access		2,525		116	4.6%
% No Hosp		79.8%		95.4%	
<b>FL - Florida</b>	<b>2,927,629</b>	<b>306,087</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>63,935</b>	<b>20.9%</b>
No Hospital		226,043		59,485	26.3%
Hospital Access		80,044		4,450	5.6%
% No Hosp		73.8%		93.0%	

Appendix N-Table 4 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on PT/SLP Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving PT/SLP services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving PT/SLP	Beneficiaries surpassing PT/SLP cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing PT/SLP cap threshold
<b>GA – Georgia</b>	<b>965,336</b>	<b>75,639</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>7,727</b>	<b>10.2%</b>
No Hospital		43,698		7,070	16.2%
Hospital Access		31,941		657	2.1%
% No Hosp		57.8%		91.5%	
<b>HI - Hawaii</b>	<b>167,619</b>	<b>7,921</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>1,278</b>	<b>16.1%</b>
No Hospital		4,893		1,172	24.0%
Hospital Access		3,028		106	3.5%
% No Hosp		61.8%		91.7%	
<b>IA - Iowa</b>	<b>483,950</b>	<b>53,423</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>2,546</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
No Hospital		23,861		2,256	9.5%
Hospital Access		29,562		290	1.0%
% No Hosp		44.7%		88.6%	
<b>ID - Idaho</b>	<b>174,826</b>	<b>17,784</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>2,159</b>	<b>12.1%</b>
No Hospital		11,129		1,971	17.7%
Hospital Access		6,655		188	2.8%
% No Hosp		62.6%		91.3%	
<b>IL - Illinois</b>	<b>1,632,718</b>	<b>150,061</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>17,540</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
No Hospital		80,371		15,836	19.7%
Hospital Access		69,690		1,704	2.4%
% No Hosp		53.6%		90.3%	
<b>IN - Indiana</b>	<b>869,575</b>	<b>87,738</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>10,768</b>	<b>12.3%</b>
No Hospital		48,601		9,860	20.3%
Hospital Access		39,137		908	2.3%
% No Hosp		55.4%		91.6%	
<b>KS - Kansas</b>	<b>395,379</b>	<b>38,218</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>3,143</b>	<b>8.2%</b>
No Hospital		18,482		2,797	15.1%
Hospital Access		19,736		346	1.8%
% No Hosp		48.4%		89.0%	
<b>KY - Kentucky</b>	<b>644,779</b>	<b>51,261</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>6,180</b>	<b>12.1%</b>
No Hospital		32,850		5,657	17.2%
Hospital Access		18,411		523	2.8%
% No Hosp		64.1%		91.5%	
<b>LA - Louisiana</b>	<b>614,252</b>	<b>47,829</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>9,583</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
No Hospital		31,698		8,838	27.9%
Hospital Access		16,131		745	4.6%
% No Hosp		66.3%		92.2%	
<b>MA - Massachusetts</b>	<b>933,231</b>	<b>77,224</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>8,622</b>	<b>11.2%</b>
No Hospital		39,523		7,830	19.8%
Hospital Access		37,701		792	2.1%
% No Hosp		51.2%		90.8%	
<b>MD - Maryland</b>	<b>649,259</b>	<b>65,963</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>12,938</b>	<b>19.6%</b>
No Hospital		53,966		12,303	22.8%
Hospital Access		11,997		635	5.3%
% No Hosp		81.8%		95.1%	

Appendix N-Table 4 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on PT/SLP Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving PT/SLP services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving PT/SLP	Beneficiaries surpassing PT/SLP cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing PT/SLP cap threshold
<b>ME – Maine</b>	<b>222,637</b>	<b>21,299</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>1,184</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
No Hospital		10,417		1,049	10.1%
Hospital Access		10,882		135	1.2%
% No Hosp		48.9%		88.6%	
<b>MI - Michigan</b>	<b>1,434,882</b>	<b>145,701</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>22,336</b>	<b>15.3%</b>
No Hospital		76,478		20,669	27.0%
Hospital Access		69,223		1,667	2.4%
% No Hosp		52.5%		92.5%	
<b>MN - Minnesota</b>	<b>666,106</b>	<b>65,156</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>3,343</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
No Hospital		37,540		2,984	7.9%
Hospital Access		27,616		359	1.3%
% No Hosp		57.6%		89.3%	
<b>MO - Missouri</b>	<b>879,257</b>	<b>72,489</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>9,487</b>	<b>13.1%</b>
No Hospital		40,312		8,684	21.5%
Hospital Access		32,177		803	2.5%
% No Hosp		55.6%		91.5%	
<b>MS - Mississippi</b>	<b>436,041</b>	<b>37,090</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>6,136</b>	<b>16.5%</b>
No Hospital		19,101		5,626	29.5%
Hospital Access		17,989		510	2.8%
% No Hosp		51.5%		91.7%	
<b>MT - Montana</b>	<b>141,826</b>	<b>14,680</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>1,068</b>	<b>7.3%</b>
No Hospital		7,722		963	12.5%
Hospital Access		6,958		105	1.5%
% No Hosp		52.6%		90.2%	
<b>NC - North Carolina</b>	<b>1,201,721</b>	<b>96,159</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>13,545</b>	<b>14.1%</b>
No Hospital		52,623		12,445	23.6%
Hospital Access		43,536		1,100	2.5%
% No Hosp		54.7%		91.9%	
<b>ND - North Dakota</b>	<b>103,089</b>	<b>10,206</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>292</b>	<b>2.9%</b>
No Hospital		4,010		243	6.1%
Hospital Access		6,196		49	0.8%
% No Hosp		39.3%		83.2%	
<b>NE - Nebraska</b>	<b>256,877</b>	<b>25,447</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>2,220</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
No Hospital		13,969		1,945	13.9%
Hospital Access		11,478		275	2.4%
% No Hosp		54.9%		87.6%	
<b>NH - New Hampshire</b>	<b>172,486</b>	<b>20,450</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>1,661</b>	<b>8.1%</b>
No Hospital		9,107		1,456	16.0%
Hospital Access		11,343		205	1.8%
% No Hosp		44.5%		87.7%	
<b>NJ - New Jersey</b>	<b>1,200,069</b>	<b>107,135</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>21,138</b>	<b>19.7%</b>
No Hospital		74,024		19,711	26.6%
Hospital Access		33,111		1,427	4.3%
% No Hosp		69.1%		93.2%	

Appendix N-Table 4 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on PT/SLP Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving PT/SLP services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving PT/SLP	Beneficiaries surpassing PT/SLP cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing PT/SLP cap threshold
<b>NM - New Mexico</b>	<b>241,628</b>	<b>18,090</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>2,148</b>	<b>11.9%</b>
No Hospital		10,772		1,974	18.3%
Hospital Access		7,318		174	2.4%
% No Hosp		59.5%		91.9%	
<b>NV - Nevada</b>	<b>262,194</b>	<b>15,373</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>2,787</b>	<b>18.1%</b>
No Hospital		11,244		2,622	23.3%
Hospital Access		4,129		165	4.0%
% No Hosp		73.1%		94.1%	
<b>NY - New York</b>	<b>2,677,703</b>	<b>257,703</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>49,554</b>	<b>19.2%</b>
No Hospital		181,830		45,825	25.2%
Hospital Access		75,873		3,729	4.9%
% No Hosp		70.6%		92.5%	
<b>OH - Ohio</b>	<b>1,720,199</b>	<b>161,389</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>20,883</b>	<b>12.9%</b>
No Hospital		93,628		19,308	20.6%
Hospital Access		67,761		1,575	2.3%
% No Hosp		58.0%		92.5%	
<b>OK - Oklahoma</b>	<b>520,938</b>	<b>36,434</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>5,077</b>	<b>13.9%</b>
No Hospital		22,450		4,832	21.5%
Hospital Access		13,984		245	1.8%
% No Hosp		61.6%		95.2%	
<b>OR - Oregon</b>	<b>505,955</b>	<b>34,352</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>2,312</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
No Hospital		19,758		2,102	10.6%
Hospital Access		14,594		210	1.4%
% No Hosp		57.5%		90.9%	
<b>PA - Pennsylvania</b>	<b>2,088,507</b>	<b>174,447</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>26,842</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
No Hospital		111,363		24,701	22.2%
Hospital Access		63,084		2,141	3.4%
% No Hosp		63.8%		92.0%	
<b>PR - Puerto Rico</b>	<b>445,881</b>	<b>28,499</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>1,358</b>	<b>4.8%</b>
No Hospital		24,651		1,328	5.4%
Hospital Access		3,848		30	0.8%
% No Hosp		86.5%		97.8%	
<b>RI - Rhode Island</b>	<b>165,978</b>	<b>11,782</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>1,325</b>	<b>11.2%</b>
No Hospital		7,797		1,183	15.2%
Hospital Access		3,985		142	3.6%
% No Hosp		66.2%		89.3%	
<b>SC - South Carolina</b>	<b>603,598</b>	<b>48,165</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>6,362</b>	<b>13.2%</b>
No Hospital		29,996		5,910	19.7%
Hospital Access		18,169		452	2.5%
% No Hosp		62.3%		92.9%	
<b>SD - South Dakota</b>	<b>120,894</b>	<b>11,297</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>580</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
No Hospital		5,770		517	9.0%
Hospital Access		5,527		63	1.1%
% No Hosp		51.1%		89.1%	

Appendix N-Table 4 Estimated Impact of Hospital Outpatient Access on PT/SLP Cap by State: CY 2002 (cont.)

State group	Total Part B enrollees	Beneficiaries receiving PT/SLP services	Percent of beneficiaries receiving PT/SLP	Beneficiaries surpassing PT/SLP cap threshold	Percent of patients surpassing PT/SLP cap threshold
<b>TN – Tennessee</b>	<b>864,097</b>	<b>67,345</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>8,717</b>	<b>12.9%</b>
No Hospital		39,409		8,081	20.5%
Hospital Access		27,936		636	2.3%
% No Hosp		58.5%		92.7%	
<b>TX - Texas</b>	<b>2,361,335</b>	<b>198,137</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>26,600</b>	<b>13.4%</b>
No Hospital		118,842		24,759	20.8%
Hospital Access		79,295		1,841	2.3%
% No Hosp		60.0%		93.1%	
<b>UT - Utah</b>	<b>212,591</b>	<b>21,807</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>2,804</b>	<b>12.9%</b>
No Hospital		13,858		2,617	18.9%
Hospital Access		7,949		187	2.4%
% No Hosp		63.5%		93.3%	
<b>VA - Virginia</b>	<b>924,236</b>	<b>85,402</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>12,845</b>	<b>15.0%</b>
No Hospital		54,636		11,917	21.8%
Hospital Access		30,766		928	3.0%
% No Hosp		64.0%		92.8%	
<b>VT - Vermont</b>	<b>91,240</b>	<b>10,722</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>875</b>	<b>8.2%</b>
No Hospital		5,104		748	14.7%
Hospital Access		5,618		127	2.3%
% No Hosp		47.6%		85.5%	
<b>WA - Washington</b>	<b>756,988</b>	<b>68,595</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>7,268</b>	<b>10.6%</b>
No Hospital		45,950		6,616	14.4%
Hospital Access		22,645		652	2.9%
% No Hosp		67.0%		91.0%	
<b>WI - Wisconsin</b>	<b>797,691</b>	<b>85,878</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>5,474</b>	<b>6.4%</b>
No Hospital		40,593		4,870	12.0%
Hospital Access		45,285		604	1.3%
% No Hosp		47.3%		89.0%	
<b>WV - West Virginia</b>	<b>347,652</b>	<b>26,067</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>3,009</b>	<b>11.5%</b>
No Hospital		14,585		2,709	18.6%
Hospital Access		11,482		300	2.6%
% No Hosp		56.0%		90.0%	
<b>WY - Wyoming</b>	<b>68,179</b>	<b>7,786</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>950</b>	<b>12.2%</b>
No Hospital		5,063		864	17.1%
Hospital Access		2,723		86	3.2%
% No Hosp		65.0%		90.9%	
<b>Other</b>	<b>79,087</b>	<b>2,018</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
No Hospital		1,374		255	18.6%
Hospital Access		644		13	2.0%
% No Hosp		68.1%		95.1%	