

Table 45

## Distribution of Medicare Covered Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) Stays, by State of Provider and Major RUG-III Groups: Calendar Year 2001

State of Provider	Total Covered Stays <sup>1</sup>	Total All RUG-III Groups	RUG-III Rehabilitation Groups						Other Major RUG-III Groups					Case-Mix Index <sup>3</sup>	
			Total	Ultra	Very	High	Medium	Low	Extensive Care	Special Care	Clinically Complex	Cognitively Impaired	Not Otherwise Classified <sup>2</sup>	Nursing	Nursing/ Therapy
				High	High										
United States <sup>4</sup>	2,065,936	100.0	65.9	6.1	5.7	11.4	32.3	10.4	13.4	1.6	5.6	1.2	12.3	1.28	2.13
Alabama	31,788	100.0	68.3	7.3	6.1	12.3	31.7	10.8	10.7	2.0	7.0	1.7	10.3	1.28	2.18
Arizona	21,067	100.0	68.3	7.1	6.5	13.7	31.0	10.1	15.3	1.2	4.2	0.6	10.3	1.31	2.21
Arkansas	23,793	100.0	50.4	3.4	3.2	6.9	27.9	9.0	28.0	2.0	6.2	1.2	12.3	1.33	1.92
California	143,821	100.0	67.1	11.0	6.3	11.6	26.9	11.4	16.2	2.3	5.6	0.8	8.0	1.32	2.28
Colorado	19,772	100.0	72.5	7.7	7.7	14.5	32.2	10.5	15.0	1.0	2.9	0.7	7.9	1.32	2.29
Connecticut	38,829	100.0	69.3	3.9	5.8	12.4	33.8	13.4	6.7	0.9	6.5	1.2	15.5	1.25	2.07
Delaware	5,566	100.0	67.4	3.0	3.1	11.6	40.4	9.3	8.3	1.5	4.7	1.4	16.8	1.24	2.01
Florida	153,469	100.0	73.2	9.0	7.8	14.0	32.8	9.6	9.8	1.4	4.6	1.1	10.0	1.29	2.30
Georgia	39,666	100.0	58.5	3.2	4.6	11.5	29.5	9.7	12.9	3.2	8.8	2.0	14.6	1.26	1.96
Idaho	10,558	100.0	65.1	4.7	4.6	10.6	32.9	12.2	17.7	1.2	4.8	1.3	9.9	1.31	2.10
Illinois	110,781	100.0	69.5	10.0	7.1	11.5	31.3	9.4	13.6	1.6	4.5	0.9	10.0	1.30	2.28
Indiana	62,349	100.0	71.3	6.0	6.7	13.0	35.0	10.6	12.6	0.9	3.7	1.2	10.3	1.29	2.21
Iowa	35,232	100.0	54.3	3.5	4.4	10.8	28.7	7.0	25.4	1.4	5.2	0.8	12.9	1.32	1.99
Kansas	27,732	100.0	55.1	3.7	4.0	9.4	30.8	7.2	27.3	1.3	4.7	0.8	10.9	1.33	2.01
Kentucky	37,806	100.0	57.0	3.5	4.4	9.8	30.1	9.3	18.5	1.6	7.8	1.9	13.1	1.29	1.97
Louisiana	30,237	100.0	51.1	6.8	4.6	9.3	23.1	7.4	33.2	2.4	5.2	0.7	7.4	1.37	2.08
Maine	13,881	100.0	69.2	9.2	5.6	11.1	33.4	9.9	12.2	0.8	4.0	1.0	12.8	1.28	2.22
Maryland	47,365	100.0	66.8	4.5	5.6	12.5	35.4	8.9	10.2	1.7	5.3	1.2	14.8	1.26	2.09
Massachusetts	68,462	100.0	69.7	8.7	7.1	12.3	28.9	12.7	9.0	1.2	6.4	1.5	12.2	1.27	2.21
Michigan	59,744	100.0	74.0	7.1	7.8	14.1	35.4	9.7	6.9	1.1	4.1	1.1	12.8	1.26	2.24
Minnesota	46,926	100.0	60.3	3.2	3.7	8.9	33.7	10.7	10.2	1.4	6.7	1.5	20.0	1.23	1.92
Mississippi	21,056	100.0	63.1	8.0	6.0	11.2	28.4	9.5	15.6	2.8	6.2	1.5	10.9	1.29	2.16
Missouri	52,732	100.0	64.6	5.6	5.9	11.7	32.8	8.5	20.0	1.4	4.5	0.8	8.7	1.32	2.16
Montana	10,034	100.0	50.1	2.2	3.1	7.2	29.1	8.6	21.2	2.1	7.1	1.9	17.7	1.27	1.84
Nebraska	19,668	100.0	54.9	3.9	4.2	8.7	27.6	10.4	22.0	1.4	6.3	0.8	14.6	1.30	1.96

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45—Continued

## Distribution of Medicare Covered Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) Stays, by State of Provider and Major RUG-III Groups: Calendar Year 2001

State of Provider	Total Covered Stays <sup>1</sup>	Total All RUG-III Groups	RUG-III Rehabilitation Groups						Other Major RUG-III Groups					Case-Mix Index <sup>3</sup>	
			Total	Ultra	Very	High	Medium	Low	Extensive	Special	Clinically	Cognitively	Not Otherwise Classified <sup>2</sup>	Nursing	Nursing/ Therapy
				High	High										
Nevada	6,272	100.0	71.2	7.6	8.7	18.0	28.3	8.6	13.2	1.9	4.9	1.1	7.6	1.31	2.30
New Hampshire	10,384	100.0	65.1	4.3	6.4	12.8	29.9	11.7	16.4	1.2	5.0	1.3	10.9	1.30	2.11
New Jersey	78,338	100.0	66.6	3.3	4.9	11.4	37.2	10.0	8.0	1.5	7.1	1.6	15.1	1.24	2.03
New Mexico	6,246	100.0	71.2	4.5	7.6	17.2	34.5	7.4	15.6	1.7	3.6	0.9	7.0	1.32	2.24
New York	117,131	100.0	57.8	0.9	1.7	5.6	33.0	16.5	5.6	1.9	8.4	2.1	24.3	1.19	1.75
North Carolina	55,688	100.0	63.9	2.8	4.5	11.1	34.0	11.6	11.6	2.0	7.0	1.5	14.0	1.27	2.00
North Dakota	8,973	100.0	38.5	1.8	2.1	4.7	21.3	8.7	21.4	2.0	10.9	1.8	25.4	1.22	1.65
Ohio	116,824	100.0	72.9	6.9	7.0	13.5	36.0	9.5	11.0	1.1	4.6	0.7	9.7	1.29	2.25
Oklahoma	25,514	100.0	54.4	3.4	4.3	10.7	29.6	6.5	31.8	1.5	4.4	0.7	7.1	1.37	2.04
Oregon	14,970	100.0	67.4	3.4	5.9	13.6	37.7	6.9	15.2	1.3	4.2	0.7	11.1	1.30	2.12
Pennsylvania	118,898	100.0	69.0	6.6	5.9	11.1	34.3	11.0	10.7	1.5	5.4	1.2	12.2	1.27	2.16
Rhode Island	9,619	100.0	64.4	4.2	6.1	12.0	30.4	11.7	7.9	1.4	8.3	1.4	16.6	1.24	2.03
South Carolina	25,269	100.0	69.0	9.1	5.4	10.0	32.7	11.7	9.4	2.5	6.0	1.2	11.9	1.27	2.20
South Dakota	10,312	100.0	46.7	0.8	1.5	4.8	30.3	9.3	22.1	1.8	9.5	1.0	18.9	1.26	1.74
Tennessee	51,395	100.0	66.6	5.7	5.8	12.0	33.2	9.9	14.0	2.6	6.0	1.4	9.5	1.30	2.14
Texas	115,239	100.0	63.0	6.9	5.6	11.1	29.6	9.8	18.1	1.8	4.7	1.3	11.1	1.30	2.14
Utah	12,441	100.0	71.7	6.6	7.4	15.0	32.4	10.2	15.0	0.8	3.2	0.6	8.8	1.32	2.26
Vermont	5,144	100.0	56.1	4.4	3.8	7.6	26.7	13.6	14.7	2.0	7.6	1.9	17.6	1.25	1.92
Virginia	40,852	100.0	71.6	4.2	6.1	13.7	38.1	9.4	9.5	1.7	4.6	1.1	11.6	1.28	2.15
Washington	33,622	100.0	67.1	3.5	4.7	11.7	36.9	10.3	11.7	1.6	6.6	0.9	12.1	1.28	2.07
West Virginia	17,537	100.0	60.9	4.4	4.6	9.8	32.5	9.7	20.2	1.8	5.2	1.0	10.8	1.31	2.06
Wisconsin	48,879	100.0	69.5	4.7	5.8	12.8	36.8	9.4	8.0	1.9	5.8	1.2	13.6	1.26	2.12
Wyoming	4,055	100.0	59.2	2.1	2.4	8.6	36.2	9.8	23.3	1.5	5.0	1.4	9.7	1.33	1.98

<sup>1</sup>Reflects SNF admissions with at least 1 day of covered care under Medicare. Excludes SNF providers with no therapy across all covered stays.<sup>2</sup>Includes the major RUG-III clinical categories, Behavior Problems and Physical Functions (Reduced).<sup>3</sup>The purpose of the RUG-III case-mix index is to establish equitable payment levels for the Medicare SNF prospective payment system: Federal Register (FR): Medicare Program: Prospective Payment System and Consolidated Billing for Skilled Nursing Facilities; Final Rule. 63 FR (91) 26262, (May 12, 1998). In calculating case-mix indexes for individual SNFs (and the entire State), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services uses the RUG-III weights and the MEDPAR analog, and applies them to the distribution of residents in a given SNF.<sup>4</sup>Excludes Hawaii, Alaska, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, Virgin Islands, residence unknown, and outlying areas.

SOURCE: Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services, Office of Information Services: Data from the Medicare Decision Support Access Facility; data development by the Office of Research, Development, and Information.