

February 5, 2021

Elizabeth Richter
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
200 Independence Avenue
Washington, DC 20002

VIA E-Mail

Dear Acting Administrator Richter,

Minnesota has long led the nation in progressive health reforms. In 2016, we again led the country. Recognizing that individual market premiums and participation were unstable at the time, Minnesota was one of the first states to create a reinsurance program to promote market stability. Through a Section 1332 waiver, **Minnesota committed to spending hundreds of millions of dollars of state funds to support the individual market** in this way.

State policymakers worked closely with federal officials to craft legislative language for our reinsurance program that would not negatively affect federal funding for Minnesota's health care programs. While reinsurance would artificially lower premiums for consumers in the individual market, and federal funding for BHP is calculated based on individual market premiums, CMS and Treasury recognized that this investment of state funds should not result in reduced federal funding overall.

Under the previous Administration, however, CMS and Treasury erred by not approving Minnesota's waiver request in full, which resulted in reduced federal funding for our BHP without compensating with increased funding via 1332 passthrough. This arbitrary decision has unfairly pitted stability in the individual market against federal funding for public problems, ultimately to the disadvantage of Minnesotans.

The federal government's decision to deny part of Minnesota's 2016 waiver request was based on a plainly flawed and inconsistent methodology that calculated our reinsurance payment with the existence of the BHP taken into consideration but independently calculated our BHP payment without the existence of reinsurance taken into consideration.

This was clearly a mistake four years ago, so what we are requesting now is for CMS and Treasury to quickly correct the mistake moving forward. This correction would be consistent with the parameters of Minnesota's original 1332 waiver request from 2016 and with the federal government's stated positions late that same year. In doing so, it would undo a harmful, arbitrary, and inconsistent position taken by the previous Administration.

We would welcome conversations with CMS and Treasury about the best way to accomplish this request.

Sincerely, Have amala

Grace Arnold, Commissioner (Temporary)

Minnesota Department of Commerce

Jodi Harpstead, Commissioner

- Celi Kamiteal

Minnesota Department of Human Services

Cc: Jeff Wu, Christen Linke Young, Jeff Grant, Anne Marie Costello



Administrator
Washington, DC 20201

June 21, 2021

Grace Arnold, Commissioner (Temporary) Minnesota Department of Commerce 85 7th Place East, Suite 280 Saint Paul, MN 55101

Jodi Harpstead, Commissioner Minnesota Department of Human Services 540 Cedar Street Saint Paul, MN 55101

Dear Commissioners Arnold and Harpstead:

Thank you for contacting the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) on February 5, 2021, to share your concerns regarding Minnesota's State Innovation Waiver under section 1332 of the the Affordable Care Act (ACA), which was approved in part on September 22, 2017. Section 1332 waivers are reviewed and approved by the Departments of Health and Human Services (HHS) and the Department of the Treasury. We have been reviewing the concerns raised in your letter and wanted to provide an update. CMS intends to propose and solicit comments on potential approaches to address the intersection of section 1332 waivers and Basic Health Programs (BHP) in a future BHP rulemaking.

We appreciate Minnesota's longstanding commitment to providing affordable health insurance, and look forward to working with the state on this matter.

Sincerely,

Chiquita Brooks-LaSure

Administrator